Lake Mead Razorback Sucker Updater 2010

Ron Kegerries and Brandon Albrecht



Colorado Inflow Activities

- Golden and Holden's studies on cover in Lake Mead and Lake Mohave.
- Conditions similar to that of Las Vegas Bay and the MR/VR inflow.
- Larval razorback found in the CRI area in 2000 and 2001.
- Most recently the capture of one adult razorback near the CRI in 2008.
- USFWS Biological Opinion





2008 comprehensive report

Provides an overview of our findings since 1996

 Recommends ways of gaining further understanding of razorbacks in Lake Mead and how this population may provide recovery insights for other populations in other locations

Bureau of Reda

Managing Water in the West



Management Plan:

 Positive indication of management progression

LCR MSCP funded

Plan of attack for Lake Mead razorbacks

Living document

Road map for recovery



Methods of Investigation

Efforts were initiated on: February 23, 2010

- 1. Sonic telemetry
- 2. Larval sucker sampling
- 3. Trammel Netting

Methods refined over 14 years of sampling for razorback sucker and similar to those used to locate the population at the Muddy River/Virgin River Inflowcarea

Sonic Telemetry Highlights

- Fish from Scanlon Bay (Gregg Basin) quickly joined the inflow fish
- Movement occurred within Gregg Basin and even up the Colorado River
- Fish were found in aggregate on a couple of occasions near the small island South of the inflow leading to adult captures.
- Two sonic fish (1-LVB, 1-MR/VR) were located within the CRI area.
- It was noted that fish utilize the deep channel when moving long distances.
- Seven active CRI still remain plus the two tagged fish from previous stockings.

 SUR data provided 9,201 detections recording data on fish movement when crews weren't on the lake.

Larval Sampling

- Initiated on March 9, 2010
- Both systematic, basinwide sampling and targeted sampling
- 7 razorback sucker larvae collected on April 13, 2010
- 1 flannelmouth and 4 suspected hybrids
- Water temp. 14-16°C
- CPM 0.002 for RZ



Trammel Netting

- Netting efforts initiated on March 24, 2010
- Efforts focused on sonic fish and/or larval fish presence
- 3 wild razorback sucker captured (2-6 years old, 1-11 years old)
- 4 hybrid razorback/flannelmouth sucker captured
- 52 flannelmouth sucker captured
- All razorback sucker captured April 20, 2010
- Hybrid sucker captured April 7-20, 2010
- Flannelmouth sucker captured April 8-May 25, 2010



Summary items and highlights from CRI, 2010

- Identified the presence of wild, ripe razorback sucker at the Colorado Inflow area of Lake Mead!
- Captured 3 adult razorback sucker
- Captured 7 larval razorback sucker
- Documented wild, adult razorback sucker in spawning condition
- Successfully stocked and tracked 8 sonic tagged fish leading us to wild razorback sucker
- Documented movement and the use of the Colorado River by stocked razorbacks
- Documented hybridization and the presence of flannelmouth within the Colorado Inflow area
- Confirmed that current methodologies for finding new populations of razorback sucker within Lake Mead are feasible and effective.

What effect will these fish have on the overall population size? How many razorbacks use the CRI area and what is the population size? How important is the CRI to flannelmouth? Can razorbacks be found continually at the CRI? Are recruitment patterns similar to those of other areas of Lake Extent of hybridization? Mead? Does the CRI produce larval fish annually? Are there juvenile razorbacks present? Can we obtain enough aging data to assess the age structure of this population? Can enough individuals be collected and marked to estimate **population size?** Do these razorback sucker utilize similar habitats to the other Lake Mead razorbacks? Can what we have learned be applied throughout the **Colorado River basin?**

Colorado Inflow Recommended Work Plan

Increase efforts (time and manpower) at the CRI.

- Anticipate nearly <u>doubling</u> the effort spent tracking, larval sampling, and netting.
- An effort to indentify spawning in 2011 (can we repeat or better results from 2010?).
- Understand razorback sucker habitat use within the CRI.
- Identify other spawning sites within or adjacent to the CRI.
- Track fish within the river and better understand movement and habitat use throughout the river/lake interface.
- Begin to start answering these new questions and prioritize or focus future studies.
- Search for avenues to investigate physicochemical and biological factors that allow for razorback sucker recruitment in Lake Mead (i.e., why).

Long Term Monitoring Highlights

To date:

<u>102 wild, young, sexually immature</u> (subadult) razorback sucker collected at Lake Mead.

The trend: 1996-1997 (2 yrs) = 4 subadults 1998-2005 (8 yrs) = 17 subadults 2006-2010 (5 yrs) = 81 subadults



Razorback Sucker Age Structure: Long Term Monitoring

- Lake Mead hydrograph with number of aged razorback sucker spawned per year lakewide









Long Term Monitoring Cont.

Catch and effort comparisons:

- 1. New, young, aged fish
- 2. New, young, aged fish minus MR/VR (i.e., the magic is not just happing at the MR/VR inflow)
- 3. Total razorback sucker captures

The Bottom line...

increasing catch rates despite reduced effort associated with switch to "long-term" monitoring



THANK YOUL

Lake Mead Work Group

- US Bureau of Reclamation (MSCP)
- Southern Nevada Water Authority
- Nevada Department of Wildlife
- Arizona Game and Fish
 US Fish and Wildlife Service
- US National Park Service

Questions?