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## Objective

## Use molecular markers (microsatellites, mtDNA) to monitor impact of management on genetic diversity



## Sampling

- 15 years worth of data!!!
- Larvae (1997-2011)
- 259 collections, 6347 individuals
- Adults
- 303 wild fish
- 922 repatriates (stocked 1992 - 2011)



## Statistics

- Allelic Richness: The number of alleles per locus corrected for sample size
- Gene Diversity: A measure of genetic variation in a population (expected frequency of heterozygous individuals)


## Microsatellite DNA variation within larval samples over time

- Nuclear DNA variation is being maintained by this sampling strategy
- Allelic richness
$-\mathrm{r}=0.007, \mathrm{P}=0.914$
- Gene diversity
$-\mathrm{r}=0.007, \mathrm{P}=0.918$



## mtDNA variation within larval samples over time

- mtDNA variation is actually being increased by this sampling strategy!
- Allelic richness
$-r=0.212, P=0.001$
- Gene diversity
$-\mathrm{r}=0.119, \mathrm{P}=0.064$




## Microsatellite variation within repatriate samples over time

- Nuclear DNA variation is being maintained by this sampling strategy
- Allelic richness
$-r=0.005, P=0.935$
- Gene diversity
$-\mathrm{r}=0.005, \mathrm{P}=0.938$




## mtDNA variation within repatriate samples over time

- mtDNA variation is actually being increased by this sampling strategy!
- Allelic richness
$-r=0.449, P=0.071$
- Gene diversity
$-\mathrm{r}=0.397, \mathrm{P}=0.124$


## Transmission of variation from larvae to repatriates?

- Look for differences in allele frequencies among life history stages
- Use F-statistics


## F-statistics



- Subpopulations (S) are made up of individuals (I)
- Can examine several components affecting random mating
- between individuals within subpopulations (IS)
- among individuals relative to the total population (IT)
- among subpopulations (ST)


## Partitioning among sample variation ( $F_{\text {ST }}$ )


$F_{S T}=$ divergence among all life stages
$F_{\text {SC }}=$ divergence among temporal samples within life stages
$F_{C T}=$ divergence among regions corrected for temporal variation within life stages

# Distribution of mtDNA variation among larvae, adults, and repatriates 

## SOURCE

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Among groups } & F_{S T}=0.003 \\ \text { Among samples within groups } & F_{S C}=0.004 \\ \text { Among larvae, repatriates, and adults } & F_{C T}=-0.001\end{array}$

## No differences among larvae, repatriates, and adults!

## Conclusions

- All measures of genetic variation consistent among samples of larvae and repatriates
- Variation is being transmitted from larvae to repatriates
- Increasing levels of genetic variation over time



## Still have a problem!!!

Despite all of our efforts, population size continues to decline


At this point, more than 155,500 young fish have been repatriated, with estimated annual survivorship of ca. 1\%

## Still have a problem!!!

Genetic variation is increasing because a higher proportion of the population is contributing


- Problem - ability to maintain genetic variation is constrained by population size
- This will lead to a loss of variation, resulting in decreased adaptability and potential issues with inbreeding


## Still have a problem!!!

Size matters
Difficult to grow up fish to $>400 \mathrm{~mm}$ in a timely manner

Even so, striped bass are large enough to eat even the largest razorback suckers

SOLUTION: refuges where can develop appropriately age structured populations



## Solution: Off-channel habitats (Minckley et al. 2003)

- Develop isolated off-channel habitats
- Refugia for reproduction
- Interchange individuals with main river



## Off-channel habitats

- Need some basic life history information
- How many individuals do we use in each pond?
- How often do we exchange them?
- How does reproductive success vary across individuals and years?
- Demographic and genetic information is essential for design of and informed management of backwater populations

