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- Initially petitioned for ESA listing in 1998
- Listed as a Candidate Species by USFWS in July 2001
- Western Yellow-billed

Cuckoo comprised a
Distinct Population
Segment


## YBCU in New Mexico:

- Historically rare statewide, but
 common along riparian areas of Pecos and Rio
- Grande (Bailey 1928, Hubbard 1978)
- Listed as sensitive but recent trend data lacking
- Recorded during Rio Grande WIFL surveys between 1997 and 2005
- Formal surveys beginning 2006


## Studies including:

- Protocol surveys
- Radio telemetry
- Habitat quantification
- Migration


## 2011 Protocol Surveys







## 2011 Survey Results



| River Reach | YBCU Detections |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Detections | Percent of Detections |
| Belen Reach | 16 | $6 \%$ |
| Sevilleta NWR/La Joya <br> Reach | 6 | $2 \%$ |
| San Acacia Reach | 6 | $2 \%$ |
| Escondida Reach | 15 | $6 \%$ |
| Bosque del Apache NWR <br> Reach | 17 | $6 \%$ |
| Tiffany Reach | $\mathbf{4}$ | $2 \%$ |
| San Marcial Reach | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ | $76 \%$ |
| Totals | 159 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Elephant Butte Reservoir <br> (Subset of San Marcial <br> Reach) | $60 \%$ |  |

## Historic Survey Results

| River Reach | YBCU Detections |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Belen | NS | NS | NS | 1 | 3 | 16 |
| Sevilleta/La <br> Joya | NS | NS | NS | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| San Acacia | NS | NS | NS | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| Escondida | NS | 3 | 19 | 29 | 6 | 15 |
| Bosque del <br> Apache | NS | 22 | 35 | 47 | 14 | 17 |
| Tiffany | 10 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 4 |
| San Marcial | 106 | 222 | 299 | 257 | 249 | 202 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ |
| Elephant Butte <br> Reservoir <br> (Subset of San <br> Marcial Reach) | 76 | 182 | 252 | 211 | 222 | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ |

Detections $\neq$ Territories

## "Territory" Delineation Difficulties

- Breeding territories $=2$ or 3 adults
- Both males and females vocalize

- YBCUs have large, undefended territories that can overlap and they move around A LOT
- Actual YBCU locations are calculated based on surveyor coordinates and estimated distance and bearing to the bird, all of which have inherent error
- Surveys conducted later in the breeding season (i.e. surveys 3 and 4) could detect hatch year fledglings that have dispersed from the nest site into surrounding areas


## "Territory" Delineation



## "Territory" Delineation - New

"Territory" = min of 2 detections over 4 surveys $<300 \mathrm{~m}$ apart during the same survey or $<500 \mathrm{~m}$
 apart during at least 2 surveys - otherwise detections not considered part of a breeding territory, but as "random/floater" detections
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## "Territory" Delineation - New

- "Territory" = min of 2 detections over 4 surveys <300 m apart during the same survey or <500 m apart during at least 2 surveys - otherwise
 detections not considered part of a breeding territory, but as "random/floater" detections
- No more than 3 detections within 300 m during the same survey more than 3 YBCU detections during the same survey period in an area <300 m suggests multiple breeding territories
- Detection patterns evaluated based on number and proximity of detections during individual survey periods. Ideally, multiple discreet detections within 300 m of each other over multiple surveys are needed to confirm a breeding "territory"
- Although YBCU territories can overlap, natural breaks between detection clumps should be considered
- "Best biological opinion" is often consulted



## 2011 Survey Results and "Territory" Delineations



| River Reach | YBCU Detections |  | YBCU Territories |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Detections | Percent of <br> Detections | Number of <br> Territories | Percent of <br> Territories |
| Belen Reach | 16 | $6 \%$ | 4 | $5 \%$ |
| Sevilleta NWR/La Joya Reach | 6 | $2 \%$ | 2 | $3 \%$ |
| San Acacia Reach | 6 | $2 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Escondida Reach | 15 | $6 \%$ | 3 | $4 \%$ |
| Bosque del Apache NWR Reach | 17 | $6 \%$ | 4 | $5 \%$ |
| Tiffany Reach | 4 | $2 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| San Marcial Reach | 202 | $76 \%$ | 58 | $80 \%$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Elephant Butte Reservoir <br> (Subset of San Marcial Reach) | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ | $60 \%$ | 46 | $63 \%$ |

## Studies including:

- Protocol surveys
- Radio telemetry
- Nesting habitat quantification
- Migration





## 2007-2008

- 12 YBCU's instrumented; data from 10 used
- Average of 94 locs/bird
- Southwestern Naturalist Feb 2012




## Mean home range:

- Combined MCP = 81.6 ha
- Combined 50 \% KHR = 7.1 ha

- Combined 95 \% KHR = 56.3 ha


## Mean daily and seasonal movement:

- Combined max daily distance travelled $=786 \mathrm{~m}$
- Combined max seasonal distance travelled $=1.6 \mathrm{~km}$


## Habitat Utilization

- Individual cuckoo habitat utilization was calculated based on the 50 and 95 \% KHR probabilities
- Cuckoo habitat utilization was estimated from a modified Hink and Ohmart (1984) vegetation community and structure classification system



## Habitat Utilization
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## Studies including:

- Protocol surveys
- Radio telemetry
- Nesting habitat quantification
- Migration


## Nesting Habitat Quantification



Center plots only:

* Tally trees (> 5 cm DBH) by species, DBH class and live/dead in 0.15 ha plot
* Tally shrubs ( 0.5 to 5 cm DBH) within $1 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$ plots by species and live/dead
* Ground cover (bare, litter, grass, forbs) by \%

All plots:


* Record nest data including nest height, nest substrate species and height, distance to riparian edge, water, etc.


## RECLAMATION

## Nest Site Data ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ )

- All nests in Goodding's willow
- Canopy height $=10.3 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 9.8 to 10.6 m )
- Nest height $=4.2 \mathrm{~m}(2.9$ to 6.2 m$)$
- Nest substrate height $=9.6 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ to 10.6 m )
- Substrate DBH = 21 cm ( 11 to 34 cm )
- Distance to riparian edge $=23.3 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 12.0 to $\mathbf{4 0 . 0} \mathrm{m}$ )
- Distance to perennial water $=223 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 70 to 460 m )


## Center Plot Data ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ )

- Live trees ( $>5 \mathrm{~cm}$ DBH) per hectare $=1,691$ (1,014 to 2,756)
- 98\% Salix, $2 \%$ saltcedar, $28 \%$ dead

- $44 \%$ Class 1, $43 \%$ Class 2, 13\% Class 3
- Live shrub stems (<5 cm DBH) per $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1.5$ ( 0.8 to 3.1 )
- 77\% Salix, 23\% Baccharis, 37\% dead


## Subplot Data ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ )

- Canopy trees per hectare = 708 ( 87 to 2,500 )
- Average canopy tree height = 8.1 m (6.0 to 9.9 )
- Shrubs per hectare $=74,147(152$ to 640,000$)$

- Average shrub height $=1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 0.5 to 4.8 m )
- Vegetated volume 0 to $3 \mathrm{~m}=52.1 \%$
- Vegetated volume 3 to $6 \mathrm{~m}=46.0 \%$
- Vegetated volume 6 to $9 \mathrm{~m}=31.4 \%$
- Vegetated volume 9 to $12 \mathrm{~m}=8.3 \%$


## Studies including:

- Protocol surveys
- Radio telemetry
- Nesting habitat quantification
- Migration


## Migration Studies



## 2009 Geolocator Study

- 13 Cuckoos captured and outfitted with 1.3 g mk 14 BAS geolocators

- Backpack attachment methodology based on work by Paxton (USGS), Rappole and Tipton (1991) and NaefDaenzer (2007)
- Provides continuous Lat/Long coordinates based on day length and absolute time of midday and midnight


## Questions:

- Riparian corridor utilization Pecos? Rio Grande? Others?

- Double breeding strategy? (Rowher et al.)
- Site fidelity
- Migration stopover and winter range


## Results ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ )

- Recaptured 1.5 km from initial capture location

- Appeared to use Pecos River as migratory corridor NOT Rio Grande
- Approx 9000 km between summer and winter locations
- Western Birds publication
- 4 Cuckoos instrumented with new generation Lotek radio transmitter/geolocator on Pecos River in 2011



## Future

- Continue surveys
- Find nests and increase nest habitat
 sample size
- Recapture instrumented Pecos River Cuckoos
- Update veg maps
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