FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

OPEN SESSION

Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, April 9, 2008

1	PARTICIPANTS:
2	Board Members
3	RONALD A. ROSENFELD, Chairman
4	ROY A. BERNARDI, Director
5	GEOFFREY BACINO, Director
6	ALICIA R. CASTANEDA, Director
7	ALLAN I. MENDELOWITZ, Director
8	SHELIA WILLIS, Secretary
9	Also Present
10	NEIL R. CROWLEY
11	STEPHEN M.CROSS
12	SHARON LIKE
13	CHARLES MCLEAN
14	CHRIS BOSLAND
15	J.P. GREEN
16	DARRIS MEEKS
17	BILL GLAVIN
18	TOM DOOLITTLE
19	
20	* * * *
21	
22	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(9:57 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Good morning,
4	everyone. I call this meeting of the Board of
5	Directors of the Federal Housing Finance Board to
6	order.
7	Today we will have an open session
8	followed immediately by a closed session where the
9	board will receive updates of examination and
10	supervisory findings. We now need to vote to
11	approve closing the latter portion of today's
12	meeting as required by the Sunshine Act and
13	Finance Board regulations.
14	And since the closed portion of today's
15	meeting will contain sensitive and confidential
16	bank examination information, I would ask for a
17	motion to seal the transcript of this portion of
18	the meeting.
19	Director Castaneda?
20	DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Mr. Chairman, I
21	move to close the portion of today's meeting at
22	which we will receive updates of examination and

	1	supervisory	findings	and	further	that	We
--	---	-------------	----------	-----	---------	------	----

- 2 determine that the record and transcript of this
- 3 closed portion of the meeting be kept
- 4 confidential.
- 5 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you for the
- 6 motion. Is there any discussion of the motion?
- 7 Any discussion?
- 8 (No response)
- 9 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Do I have a second?
- 10 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: I second.
- 11 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Director
- 12 Mendelowitz. Will the secretary please call the
- 13 roll in the motion?
- MS. WILLIS: On the item before the
- 15 board, Director Bacino, how do you vote?
- 16 DIRECTOR BACINO: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Director Canstaneda?
- 18 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Yes.
- 19 MS. WILLIS: Director Mendelowitz?
- DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Yes.
- 21 MS. WILLIS: Director Bernardi?
- 22 DIRECTOR BERNARDI: Yes.

1	MS. WILLIS: Chairman Rosenfeld?
2	CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Yes. The motion is
3	carried and the subsequent portion of our meeting
4	will be closed and the transcript will remain
5	closed and confidential. Thank you.
6	Now, let us turn to the public portion
7	of today's meeting. We will be considering
8	proposed amendments to the affordable housing
9	program regulation.
10	I see Mr. McLean will be making the
11	presentation.
12	MR. MCLEAN: Yes, I will. Good morning,
13	Mr. Chairman and members of the board. We are
14	before you to recommend approval of a notice for
15	public comment of proposed rulemaking that would
16	amend the affordable housing program regulation to
17	permit the Federal Home Loan Banks to provide

22 As you know, on January 15, 2008,

moderate income households.

18

19

20

21

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING
706 Duke Street, Suite 100
Alexandria, VA 22314
Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

homeownership set aside funds for refinancing and

restructuring certain adjustable rate and

nontraditional mortgage loans made to low or

1	pursuant to a request by the Federal Home Loan
2	Bank of San Francisco, the Finance Board approved
3	board resolution 2008-01.
4	That board resolution approved waivers
5	of certain homeownership set aside program
6	provisions of the AHP regulation that allowed the
7	San Francisco Bank to establish a temporary pilot
8	program to provide AHP direct subsidy to enable
9	eligible households with adjustable rate mortgages
10	or nontraditional loans held by a San Francisco
11	Bank member to refinance or restructure their
12	loans into affordable long term fixed rate fully
13	amortizing mortgages.
14	Because refinancing an existing home
15	mortgage loan would be a new use of AHP subsidy,
16	at that time, we recommended that the matter be
17	addressed through rulemaking and subject to public
18	notice and comment.
19	Accordingly and in light of the interest
20	by other banks in offering such a program, we
21	recommend that the proposed rule under
22	consideration solicit public comments on whether

Т	AHP subsidy should be used in the manner
2	authorized by the board resolution 2008-01.
3	The proposed amendments to the AHP
4	regulation would temporarily add authority for all
5	the banks to establish a refinancing program under
6	the homeownership set aside provisions in section
7	951.6 of the Finance Board's regulations.
8	The authority would allow the banks to
9	assist low or moderate income homeowners who are
LO	at risk of losing their homes as a result of
L1	monthly payment increases due to interest rate
L2	resets or changes in the loan's amortization rate.
L3	The proposed rule requests comments on
L4	the requirements for the proposed refinancing set
L5	aside program. It also solicits comments on other
L6	ways in which AHP subsidy could be used to assist
L7	eligible households in refinancing their
L8	adjustable rate or nontraditional mortgages.
L9	For example, by helping to refinance
20	loans that are not held by bank members, by
21	assisting households to qualify for new loans from
2.2	federal, state, and local government refinancing

Т	programs, or by providing community based
2	assistance for areas where avoiding foreclosures
3	could have a more significant and broader impact.
4	The purpose of the program would be to
5	assist low or moderate-income households that
6	cannot afford payment increases due to interest
7	rate increases or payment recast to amortized,
8	reamortized principle and interest on an
9	adjustable rate or nontraditional mortgage in
10	their primary residences.
11	The assistance would help provide the
12	households with a stable mortgage payments for the
13	life of the loan. The initial loan must be held
14	by a bank member or its affiliates. The mortgage
15	must be an adjustable rate or nontraditional
16	mortgage originated on or before July 10th of
17	2007.
18	The member must make the new loan, which
19	must be a fixed rate, fully amortizing first
20	mortgage with a term of at least 30 years. The
21	interest rate on the new loan must be at or below
22	a current market rate and result in a household's

2	percent of income.
2	mb - aun - beide - be end te ende

The AHP subsidy may be used to reduce
the principle of the new loan to achieve a maximum
loan to value ratio of 97 percent or reduce the
interest rate below a current market rate or to
pay for counseling costs for the household.

total housing costs being no greater than 45

The maximum amount of AHP direct subsidy

would be \$25,000 per household. The Federal Home

Loan Bank member institution refinancing the

mortgage would be required to match the amount of

AHP subsidy to each household under two to one

basis.

14 For example, to qualify for \$10,000 of 15 AHP's subsidy, the member would have to contribute \$20,000 to the eligible cost of the refinancing. 16 17 The member would not be compensated for foregoing 18 the scheduled interest rate reset. The member would be prohibited from charging or counting 19 20 towards its matching amount certain fees, such as prepayment fees, origination fees, and discount 21 22 points.

1	To promote long term affordability, if
2	the member or other entity forecloses on the AHP
3	assisted loan during the five-year retention
4	period, the member would be required to repay the
5	amount of AHP subsidy on a prorata basis.
6	The banks are permitted to allocate
7	two-thirds of their set aside authority to such
8	refinancing programs. If the banks were to use
9	the maximum proposed refinancing set aside
10	authority in 2008, they could provide almost \$75
11	million in AHP subsidy. The proposed new
12	authority would expire on June 30, 2011.
13	We recommend that the board adopt the
14	proposed rule amending the AHP regulation
15	contained in your board book allowing for a 60-day
16	comment period.
17	We are ready to respond to any questions
18	you may have.
19	CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you. Any of
20	the other board members wish to comment?
21	DIRECTOR BERNARDI: I see you raised the
22	direct subsidy from \$15,000 to \$25,000 for higher cost

1 areas such as San Francisco and New York.

- 2 MR. MCLEAN: Yes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Any other comments?
- 4 (No response)
- 5 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Well, if there's
- 6 nothing else --
- 7 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: I do have -- it's
- 8 not -- I don't have any questions, but I would
- 9 like to say something for the record, if I may,
- 10 Mr. Chairman.
- 11 When we approved the waiver for the San
- 12 Francisco Bank in January, I had two main concerns
- 13 which I spelled out at that time. First, that the
- 14 program be neither perceived nor operated as a bank
- 15 for members and second, since that action reversed
- 16 a long held position of this agency, that any
- 17 further action beyond the San Francisco pilot
- 18 program be conducted after a full notice and
- 19 comment from interested, as they call theirs, in
- 20 an APA consistent proceeding.
- 21 With respect to my first concern, I had
- 22 hoped we would gain experience under the San

1 Francisco program to inform our deliberations

- 2 under these APA proceedings. Unfortunately, it
- 3 appears the bank the only submitted its proposed
- 4 procedures to our staff for review within the last
- 5 couple of weeks. That's correct, right?
- 6 MR. MCLEAN: The 25th of March.
- 7 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Obviously, no
- 8 business has therefore been conducted under the
- 9 program, so we really don't have any idea how this
- is going to be worked out. But I am at least glad
- 11 that today we are addressing the APA issue.
- 12 And I confess that I continue to have
- some concerns about the proposal, particularly
- 14 regarding the scope of the agency's authority in
- 15 this area under the Bank Act, as well as with the
- 16 possible unintended consequences of trying to open
- 17 up the existing program to address new needs.
- 18 While we have the best of intentions, as
- 19 I said in January, these extraordinary and urgent
- 20 times for many American homeowners cry out for new
- 21 solutions. We don't know where this precedent
- 22 would lead. We have tried to limit that scope as

1 best as we might, but it's still possible that

- what we may seem a good step today may come to be
- 3 a burden tomorrow. There have been new needs only
- 4 by crowding out other traditional AHP
- 5 beneficiaries.
- As our staff noted in our briefing, the
- 7 AHP is very often oversubscribed by traditional
- 8 beneficiaries as it is. The burden falls for
- 9 refinancers no matter how meritorious may be may
- well mean even more people who might ordinarily
- 11 have benefited from the program must go without
- 12 assistance.
- 13 A program cannot be all things to all
- 14 people. That is not to say that we ought not to
- make these changes. But it does suggest that we
- 16 need to be mindful of the tradeoffs and potential
- 17 ramifications down the road.
- 18 As I say, I'm not sure where I will come
- out at the end of the day, so I am very helpful
- that we will get thoughtful comments from across
- 21 the spectrum as we go forward, not just on the
- 22 specifics but also on the embedded policy and

- 1 legal issues raised by the proposal.
- 2 And frankly, it seems to me that on the
- 3 basis put forth in the preamble, the Finance Board
- 4 authority to create new uses for the AHP fund is
- 5 claimed to be quite broad, perhaps overly so and
- 6 to an extent not consistent with Congress's
- 7 intent. I am not a lawyer, but this seems to
- 8 assert almost complete authority to define the
- 9 problem. And I'm not sure Congress gave us that
- 10 much authority here.
- 11 At the same time, I'm not sure that we
- 12 need to make such sweeping claims to support the
- 13 proposed action. But that's another reason again
- 14 why I would be looking forward to hear all the
- 15 comments. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Director
- 17 Mendelowitz?
- 18 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Yeah. Because of
- issues that Director Castaneda raised, I'd like to
- get a clarification from our general counsel.
- Is it your view that we have the legal
- 22 authority to implement this regulation?

1 MR. CROWLEY: Yes. The statute gives

- 2 you -- it gives the Finance Board the authority to
- define or specify by regulation activities that
- 4 are eligible to receive the AHP subsidy. So, if
- 5 the narrow question is -- that we have here is can
- 6 we -- can you authorize the use of AHP subsidy to
- 7 refinance otherwise income eligible household
- 8 loans, I believe the answer to that is yes.
- 9 It's a fair question as to the language
- in the preamble is somewhat open ended. I think
- 11 that it's not intended to claim unlimited
- 12 authority to specify activities. I think as a
- 13 matter of interpretation, I would say that any new
- 14 activities that the Finance Board chooses to
- specify by regulation should relate back to the
- 16 standards articulated in the statute. The
- 17 relevant one here is to finance homeownership.
- So, to the extent that we define a use
- 19 that aids eligible households financing
- 20 homeownership, I think that is well within your
- 21 authority.
- DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Well, Mr.

1 Crowley, I'm not a lawyer and it's obvious that

- 2 you're a very good one, so I take that that answer
- 3 means yes?
- 4 MR. CROWLEY: Yes, it does.
- 5 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Thank you.
- 6 Secondly, one of the things I said when we
- 7 discussed the waiver in San Francisco was that I
- 8 thought it made little sense to be spending money
- 9 putting new folks into homes at the same time that
- 10 large numbers of other folks are losing homes if
- 11 we could prevent that because the attendant
- 12 external cost disruption, et cetera, you know, I
- think made a good case for why we should try to
- 14 traditionally use some AHP money in this
- 15 direction.
- 16 And I'm happy to see that the board
- moved expeditiously to go from what was a waiver
- in January for one bank to a proposed regulation
- on which we can get comments and get wisdom
- 20 throughout the system and how to structure it well
- 21 and implement this according to the requirements
- of the administrator's procedures act. So, I

1 thank you and I thank all the staff who worked on

- 2 this and did it so quickly.
- 3 Third, I don't want anyone to think that
- 4 this is going to make a major difference in what
- 5 we're seeing. I think I saw estimates that the
- 6 foreclosure rate this year is going to be two
- 7 million. Director Castaneda yesterday told me
- 8 that she saw estimates it was 1.6 million. And
- 9 this is a program that will help some people, a
- small number of people if all the banks implement
- it, but it's not going to solve the crisis.
- But in the face of the firestorm that's
- before us, helping somebody is better than helping
- 14 no one. So, I really endorse this.
- 15 The last thing I'd like to raise is the
- 16 differences between the circumstances in the San
- 17 Francisco district which lead to the structuring
- of their proposed waiver that in fact are almost
- unique to the San Francisco district and they're
- 20 not similar to circumstances in other districts.
- 21 And that is in San Francisco, the San Francisco
- 22 Bank had a lot of members who held mortgages on

1	their balance sheet that in fact placed homeowners
2	at risk of losing their homes.
3	And the member nexus there specifically
4	involved the member taking a hit of two dollars
5	for every one dollar of AHP money in order to make
6	a one, efficient restructuring of the mortgage
7	and two, efficient use of AHP money, namely the
8	lender has to take a serious hit and that was the
9	constraint that made sure the AHP money wasn't
10	willy nilly dissipated in poor ways.
11	But in many of the other districts they
12	don't have members who in fact hold these kind of
13	adjustable rate mortgages on their balance sheets.
14	And in order for other districts to operate a
15	program like this to help at risk homeowners,
16	those others districts probably need to have a
17	program that has a member nexus in which the
18	member refinances and the outstanding loan will be
19	held by someone else.
20	And so, I really think that it's
21	imperative if we want this program to have a broad

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING
706 Duke Street, Suite 100
Alexandria, VA 22314
Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

based operability throughout the whole system that

22

1 we do get good comments to the proposed rule that

- 2 specify how the program and the rule can be
- 3 adjusted to make it possible for this kind of
- 4 program to work effectively in districts where
- 5 they don't have members in the home loan bank in
- 6 the district who in fact put at risk mortgages on
- 7 their balance sheet. Thank you.
- 8 MR. CROWLEY: I believe that the
- 9 proposed rule asks among many questions that it
- 10 does seek comment on, I believe it does request
- 11 those ones you mentioned.
- 12 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Charles, has the
- 13 San Francisco Bank know how many of their members
- 14 may have --
- 15 MR. MCLEAN: No. We have not obtained
- that information from the San Francisco Bank.
- 17 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: We don't know
- 18 whether it's one member or five or six?
- MR. MCLEAN: Not directly from --
- 20 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: We don't have hat
- 21 information yet?
- MR. MCLEAN: No.

1 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Any other comments?

- DIRECTOR BACINO: One quick question,
- 3 Mr. Chairman. First of all, Charles and Sharon,
- 4 thank you for the briefing or briefings as you
- 5 guys had to make a couple of return trips to my
- 6 office.
- 7 Since the San Francisco waiver was
- 8 approved, you mentioned others have expressed some
- 9 interest in looking at something similar to this;
- 10 correct?
- 11 MR. MCLEAN: Yes.
- 12 DIRECTOR BACINO: Did we incorporate
- some of their thoughts?
- MR. MCLEAN: Yes, we did. We have both
- discussed in the preamble and requested comments
- 16 regarding key aspects of some of the approaches
- 17 that we've heard from them so that they can be
- 18 considered for inclusion in the final rule.
- 19 DIRECTOR BACINO: Okay. And you know,
- just finally, I would urge -- I mean, we tend not
- 21 to sometimes get a huge outside audiences at these
- things, but I would urge anybody who is interested

1 to please make sure they comment. I mean, I think

- 2 we do our job better and write better regulations
- 3 with more input from people who are part of the
- 4 system and stakeholders and shareholders in what
- 5 we do.
- 6 DIRECTOR BERNARDI: Just a general
- 7 comment. This proposal seems to mirror FHA
- 8 Secure. You're looking at individuals who have
- 9 basically been keeping up with their payments but
- 10 that the reset is going to substantially harm
- 11 them.
- 12 And there are a significant number of
- proposals out there to help us through these
- 14 crises, as you can imagine. And the
- 15 administration will be presenting one today to
- 16 Congress as well.
- 17 I think the key point here is just
- 18 making sure that when you do the refinancing, do
- 19 the underwriting, we do it a little bit better
- than it's been done in the past, not casting
- 21 aspersions to any particular lending institution.
- But if we do it that way, like Allan

1 indicated, this -- I think the flexibility is good

- 2 here. I think it's good to be able to assist
- 3 additional folks who are in difficulty.
- 4 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Mr. McLean, I'd
- 5 like to get a personal assurance from you that no
- 6 AHP funds are being diverted to buying you
- 7 beautiful neckties.
- 8 MR. MCLEAN: You have that assurance,
- 9 Mr. Chairman.
- 10 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: If there is nothing
- 11 else, I would accept a motion to approve
- 12 publication of a notice of proposed rulemaking in
- our federal register regarding the Affordable
- 14 Housing Program and the authority for staff to
- make technical and conforming changes.
- Is there a motion?
- 17 DIRECTOR BACINO: So moved.
- 18 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Director Bacino.
- 19 Do I have a second?
- 20 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Second.
- 21 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Director Castaneda,
- thank you. Would secretary please call the roll?

1		MS. WILLIS: On the item before the
2	board, Di	rector Bacino, how do you vote?
3		DIRECTOR BACINO: Yes.
4		MS. WILLIS: Director Castaneda?
5		DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Yes.
6		MS. WILLIS: Director Mendelowitz?
7		DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Yes.
8		MS. WILLIS: Director Bernardi?
9		DIRECTOR BERNARDI: Yes.
10		MS. WILLIS: Chairman Rosenfeld?
11		CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Yes. The motion is
12	adopted.	This ends the open session of the
13	meeting.	We will reconvene in closed session in
14	three min	utes.
15		(Whereupon, at 10:16 a.m., the
16		PROCEEDINGS were adjourned.)
17		* * * *
18		
19		
20		
20 21		