FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

OPEN SESSION

Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, January 15, 2008

1	PARTICIPANTS:
2	Board Members
3	RONALD A. ROSENFELD, Chairman
4	BRIAN MONTGOMERY, Director
5	GEOFFREY BACINO, Director
6	ALICIA R. CASTANEDA, Director
7	ALLAN I. MENDELOWITZ, Director
8	SHELIA WILLIS, Secretary
9	Also Present
10	CHARLES MCLEAN
11	STEPHEN M. CROSS
12	SHARON LIKE
13	NEIL R. CROWLEY
14	MIKE POWERS
15	CHRISTIE SCIACCA
16	SYLVIA MARTINEZ
17	
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Good morning,
4	everyone. I call this meeting of the Board of
5	Directors of the Federal Housing Finance Board to
6	order.
7	Today we will have an open session
8	followed immediately by a closed session where the
9	board will receive updates for examinations of
10	supervisory findings.
11	We now need to vote to approve closing
12	the latter portion of today's meeting as required
13	by the Sunshine Act and Finance Board Regulations.
14	And since the closed portion of today's meeting
15	will contain sensitive and confidential bank
16	examination information, I would ask for a motion
17	to seal the transcript of this portion of the
18	meeting.
19	Director Bacino?
20	DIRECTOR BACINO: Mr. Chairman, I move
21	to close the portion of today's meeting at which
22	we'll receive updates of examination supervisory

	1	findings,	further	that	we	determine	that	the
--	---	-----------	---------	------	----	-----------	------	-----

- 2 record and transcript of this closed portion of
- 3 the meeting be kept confidential.
- 4 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you for the
- 5 motion. Is there any discussion on the motion?
- 6 Any discussion?
- 7 (No response)
- 8 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Do I have a second?
- 9 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Second.
- 10 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Director Bacino --
- or pardon me, Director Castaneda, thank you.
- 12 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: I'm glad you
- 13 remember my name.
- 14 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: The secretary will
- 15 please call the roll.
- MS. WILLIS: On the item before the
- 17 board, Director Bacino, how do you vote?
- DIRECTOR BACINO: Yes.
- 19 MS. WILLIS: Director Castaneda?
- 20 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Director Mendelowitz?
- DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Yes.

1	MS.	WILLIS:	Director	Montgomery?	

- 2 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Yes.
- 3 MS. WILLIS: Chairman Rosenfeld?
- 4 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Yes. The motion is
- 5 carried and a subsequent portion of our meeting
- 6 will be closed and the transcript will be remained
- 7 closed and confidential. Thank you.
- 8 Now, let us turn to the public portion
- 9 of today's meeting. We will be considering a
- 10 request by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San
- 11 Francisco regarding its Affordable Housing
- 12 Program.
- Who will be making it? Who will be
- making the presentation?
- MR. CROSS: I'll make the presentation,
- 16 Mr. Chairman.
- 17 Mr. Chairman, members of the board, the
- 18 Federal Home Loan Bank Act requires each federal
- 19 home loan bank annually to contribute 10 percent
- of its proceeding year's net income to subsidize
- 21 lending for long term low and moderate income
- 22 owned occupied and affordable rental housing.

1	Under our Affordable Housing Program or
2	AHP Regulations, each bank can allocate its
3	contributions toward two programs: Its
4	Competitive Application Program and its
5	Homeownership Set Aside Program.
6	Under the AHP Regulation, a bank has the
7	authority to allocate the greater of \$4.5 million
8	or 35 percent of its annual required AHP
9	contribution to the Homeownership Set Aside
10	Program. The principle rules governing the
11	Homeownership Set Aside Program are found in
12	section 951.6 of our regulations.
13	The Federal Home Loan Bank of San
14	Francisco has submitted a request for a waiver of
15	certain provisions of Section 951.6 that concern
16	the AHP Set Aside Program. The San Francisco Bank
17	seeks to establish a program, which the bank
18	refers to as the Homeownership Preservation
19	Subsidy to assist low and moderate income
20	households refinance or restructure mortgages that
21	are or will soon be unaffordable because of
22	scheduled resets in their monthly payments.

1	The program requires a waiver from the
2	Finance Board in order to permit the bank to use
3	funds in a way other than enumerated in our
4	regulations. Specifically, Section 951.6c4 states
5	that, "Subsidies from the Set Aside Program may be
6	used for the purchase, construction, or
7	rehabilitation of owner occupied homes, provided
8	that at least one third of that amount is used to
9	assist first time home buyers."
10	One waiver would permit the San
11	Francisco Bank to allocate a portion of its 2008
12	and 2009 set aside allocation to assist low and
13	moderate income households homeowners modify or
14	refinance their adjustable rate nontraditional
15	mortgages that have adjusted or are scheduled to
16	adjust to an unaffordable interest rate following
17	an initial teaser interest rate.
18	Although the use of AHP funds for
19	refinancing of homes is a departure from current
20	policy, we believe that the temporary regulatory
21	waivers are justified given the current
22	circumstances in mortgage markets, which make

- 1 homeownership preservation or retention a
- 2 priority.
- 3 A second waiver would allow increase in
- 4 the maximum grant amount. Under Section 951.6c3,
- 5 AHP direct subsidies under the Homeownership Set
- 6 Aside Program are limited to a maximum of \$15,000.
- 7 The waiver resolution would allow in cases
- 8 warranted by the cost of restructuring alone under
- 9 the program a maximum subsidy under the
- 10 Homeownership Preservation Subsidy Program of
- 11 \$25,000.
- The bank proposes to dedicate \$10
- million of its AHP Set Aside to assist low and
- 14 moderate income homeowners refinance their
- 15 mortgages under the Homeownership Preservation
- 16 Subsidy in 2008. This amount would leave more
- 17 than one third of the bank's set aside allocation
- in 2008 to assist first time home buyers as is
- 19 required under the current regulation.
- The proportion of funds normally
- 21 dedicated to the Competitive Application Program,
- 22 which provides funds for rental and homeownership

1	projects, would not be changed. The bank's
2	advisory council AHP advisory council has
3	submitted a letter in support of the bank's
4	proposed program.
5	The bank proposed and the resolution
6	prepared for our board requires that as a
7	condition for participating in the program, that a
8	loan be owned by a member or a member's affiliate
9	and that the member contribute an amount equal to
10	at least twice the amount of AHP subsidy to the
11	restructuring of the loan.
12	In effect, the member would cover at
13	least two thirds of the eligible costs associated
14	with refinancing the member's loan. To qualify
15	for maximum AHP subsidy of \$25,000, for example,
16	the member would have to contribute \$50,000
17	towards the eligible costs of refinancing.
18	Consistent with the requirements of the
19	bank act, the benefit of the subsidy would go to
20	low and moderate income households. In the case
21	of this program, the benefit could take the form
22	of reduced monthly payments, a reduced loan

1 principle, payment of closing costs, and

- 2 homeownership or credit counseling.
- 3 Under the terms of the program, a
- 4 household with an unaffordable mortgage would be
- 5 able to refinance or restructure its existing
- 6 mortgage into a mortgage with stable and
- 7 affordable monthly payments for 30 years.
- 8 The member institution would be solely
- 9 responsible for bearing the lost revenue from
- 10 reducing and stabilizing the low and moderate
- income households' current adjustable rate
- mortgage by replacing it with a market rate, fixed
- 13 rate, fully amortizing loan with a maturity of at
- 14 least 30 years.
- 15 AHP subsidy would go to reducing further
- 16 the fixed interest rate below a current market
- 17 rate if necessary to ensure that the household's
- 18 monthly housing costs, including interest,
- 19 principal taxes, insurance, and fees are no
- greater than 45 percent of the household's monthly
- 21 income.
- The program would also use AHP subsidy

1	to reduce the outstanding mortgage balance if
2	necessary to ensure that the loan is no greater
3	than 97 percent of the property's appraised value,
4	cover reasonable and documented third party
5	closing costs, and pay for home ownership or
6	credit counseling for the borrower.
7	The bank would require the member to
8	establish an escrow account for monthly payments
9	by the borrower for the purpose of paying property
10	taxes, hazard insurance premiums, and any flood
11	insurance premiums. The household must not have
12	more than \$35,000 in total assets, excluding home
13	equity and tax deferred retirement and education
14	savings.
15	In addition, the waiver resolution
16	establishes a series of conditions that must be
17	satisfied under the program, all of which have
18	been discussed with the bank. Among those
19	conditions are the following: Any member
20	receiving an AHP allocation under the program must
21	contribute two thirds of the eligible
22	restructuring costs for the benefit of the low and

- 1 moderate income homeowners.
- The waivers would expire on December 31,
- 3 2009. This is essentially a pilot program. Any
- 4 loan refinanced under the program must have an
- 5 outstanding principal balance -- must not have an
- 6 outstanding principal balance in excess of the
- 7 current Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac conforming loan
- 8 limit.
- 9 The San Francisco Bank must ensure than
- any member using AHP subsidy under the program
- does not impose on the borrower any foreclosure
- 12 expenses that the member may have incurred prior
- to the date of the AHP assistance or count
- 14 foreclosure expenses as eligible member costs
- 15 under the program.
- 16 The San Francisco Bank must require all
- 17 participating members to inform all customers with
- 18 eligible mortgages of the availability of AHP
- 19 assistance under the program and make assistance
- to eligible households available on a first come,
- 21 first served basis.
- 22 The San Francisco Bank must provide all

1	members with equitable access to AHP subsidy under
2	the program and must establish operating policies
3	and procedures for the administration of the
4	program satisfactory to the director of the Office
5	of Supervision prior to dispersing subsidy under
6	the program. And a member would be obligated to
7	repay AHP subsidy on a pro rata basis upon
8	foreclosure by the member or any other entity,
9	including a deed in lieu of foreclosure.
10	The bank's proposed Housing Preservation
11	Subsidy would be consistent with the aims of
12	recent foreclosure response programs such as FHA
13	Secure, the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act,
14	the Hope Alliance, which provide for a temporary
15	freeze in interest rates scheduled to adjust in
16	order to develop loan modification or workouts.
17	And the American Securitization Forms streamline
18	foreclosure and loss avoidance framework, which
19	has similar loan modification provisions.
20	However, the Home Preservation Subsidy
21	Program can fill gaps that these programs cannot
22	address, principally through its exclusive focus

Τ	on low and moderate income households and the
2	requirement that assistance be in the form of a
3	fixed rate fully amortizing mortgage, not just a
4	temporary suspension of scheduled rate increases.
5	One of the strengths of the AHP over the
6	years has been its ability to respond to changing
7	conditions in mortgage finance. Although in the
8	past AHP funds have not been used to refinance
9	existing home mortgages, we believe a temporary
10	modification to the policy is warranted given
11	changed conditions. The current regulation
12	reflects conditions in which interest rates were
13	at a relatively low level and house price
14	appreciation was anticipated.
15	Households that desired to refinance
16	their mortgages under those conditions would most
17	likely be would have been able to do so without
18	the need of AHP subsidy. These conditions have
19	changed and funds are now needed for homeownership
20	preservation. This limited authority will allow
21	us to assess the responsiveness of the bank's
22	proposal to these current challenges.

1	Going forward, in order that we respond
2	appropriately to these new circumstances, we also
3	intend to seek comment from the public through a
4	notice of proposed rulemaking. That proposed
5	rulemaking would solicit comment on whether and
6	under what circumstances AHP homeownership set
7	aside funds should be made available for loan
8	refinancing or restructuring in the future.
9	We would in summary, we recommend
10	that you approve the bank's waiver request subject
11	to the conditions that we detail in the
12	resolution.
13	Specifically, we seek authority to
14	allow, subject to the conditions set forth in the
15	resolution, a portion of AHP Set Aside Funds to be
16	used temporarily by the San Francisco Federal Home
17	Loan Bank to subsidize certain costs associated
18	with refinancing or restructuring mortgages to low
19	and moderate income households that face scheduled
20	increases and monthly payments that will likely
21	render these loans unaffordable to the households
22	and allow the maximum AHP grant under the program

1 to be \$25,000. These waivers would expire on

- 2 December 31, 2009.
- We also seek your agreement to prepare
- 4 for your consideration at a future board meeting a
- 5 notice and comment on a proposed rulemaking
- 6 addressing circumstance in which for the
- 7 preservation of homeownership, AHP subsidies could
- 8 be used for loan refinancing or restructuring.
- 9 I thank you for your indulgence. And I
- 10 am joined by Charles McLean, Associate Director
- and Sylvia Martinez from the Office of Supervision
- 12 to answer questions on the policy side and Sharon
- 13 Like, Senior Attorney and Neil Crowley, General
- 14 Counsel, to answer any legal questions about our
- 15 proposal.
- 16 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you, Dr.
- 17 Cross. Do any of the board members have a
- 18 comment? Yes, Ms. Castaneda?
- 19 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: I would like to --
- I do have a comment Mr. Chairman. Please let me
- just state at the outset that I will support the
- 22 waiver on the terms proposed by staff.

1	These are, of course, extraordinary
2	times and there are historic challenges facing
3	many millions of American homeowners. We all want
4	to do what we can do to help. And this proposal
5	at least represents a step, albeit a relatively
6	small one, in the right direction.
7	That said, I have two main concerns and
8	comments, which have been mitigated by the
9	conditions our staff have included in the
10	proposal.
11	First, I think it's absolutely vital
12	that we do everything we can to limit the degree
13	to which this becomes or even gives the appearance
14	of a device to bail out members who may have made
15	loans that, whether or not they were appropriate
16	at origination, have turned out to be unwise.
17	I do appreciate the provisions that have
18	been imposed on the program, that the member put
19	out \$2 for every \$1 in subsidy, the provisions
20	requiring notifications of the program's ability,
21	and that the members ensure all eligible borrowers
22	have an equal opportunity to apply for assistance,

- 1 for example.
- 2 These do, as I say, mitigate the
- 3 appearance of it being allowed to assert and
- 4 extend and limit the potential for cherry picking,
- 5 which loans get restructured.
- 6 While we'll never be able to ensure that
- 7 the subsidy money isn't just used to facilitate or
- 8 structuring that would be made even in the absence
- 9 of these programs, it is incumbent on us and the
- 10 bank, for that matter, to closely monitor the
- implementation and operation of the program for
- 12 signs that it's working as we intended. So, I
- would expect staff to report to this board
- 14 periodically on how it's working.
- 15 And second, I'm not so sure that
- 16 ultimately a waiver is the appropriate way for us
- 17 to provide the relief intended, especially as it
- 18 represents a reversal in position by this agency
- on what are eligible purposes for AHP funding.
- I would think a precedent under the APA,
- 21 be it through an entering final rule or whatever
- 22 process will be appropriate here. So, we get the

input of both doesn't stand to gain as well as

- lose from their reallocation of funds under the
- 3 bank's AHP fund.
- I didn't have time to go through legal
- 5 opinion and details since we got it late, but I
- 6 believe your opinion reflects these two.
- 7 Am I correct, Neil?
- 8 MR. CROWLEY: That's correct.
- 9 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Okay. Especially
- 10 since I understand we are likely to proceed with a
- 11 similar request from at least one other bank,
- 12 Homegrown Bank, in the near future and perhaps
- more after today.
- 14 But I think there is a sense that time
- is of the essence and we will act today.
- 16 Nevertheless, I urge staff to move us quickly as
- 17 possible to prepare a regulatory proposal on which
- 18 we can have notice and comment regarding the
- 19 direction of the AHP program.
- 20 And Steve, I have a question. Can you
- 21 tell me if the bank's housing advisory council
- fully endorses this proposal and how we'd see in

1 the minutes? I'm just curious if there was any

- 2 dissent.
- 3 MR. CROSS: Charles, would you?
- 4 MR. MCLEAN: Yes. We have reviewed the
- 5 minutes from the advisory council meetings as
- 6 Steve indicated, as well as from some of the
- 7 committee meetings, the housing committee
- 8 meetings.
- 9 There was discussion, and as Steve --
- 10 which was unclear. However, as Steve mentioned,
- 11 the chair of the advisory council in the initial
- 12 package and in the subsequent package that was
- sent in from the bank has endorsed the program.
- 14 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you, Mr.
- 16 McLean. Any other comments? Mr. Bacino?
- 17 DIRECTOR BACINO: First of all, Steve,
- 18 let me tell you I think your comment was
- 19 absolutely correct. The current housing crisis
- that we're in calls for some innovative thinking
- and action on behalf of the banks and also on
- behalf of us, the regulators.

Т	So, frankly, I guess I would say I think
2	that if we view this as a pilot program, I think
3	the key that we have to remember is we've got to
4	give it as much latitude as we can to give it a
5	chance to ensure its success.
6	My question to you is are some of the
7	other banks doing things that are similar already?
8	MR. CROSS: Two banks have indicated
9	that they will make community investment programs,
LO	CIP funds available to members for to assist
L1	them in restructuring troubled mortgages. Those
L2	two banks are Cincinnati and Indianapolis.
L3	The difference between that program,
L4	which does not require a waiver and this program
L5	is that in that case, the member receives a loan
L6	from the home loan bank at a reduced interest rate
L7	an advance from a home loan bank at a reduced
L8	interest rate that it can use for documented
L9	community investment purposes including
20	restructuring or refinancing loans to eligible
21	households. Whereas, under this program, the Home
22	Loan Bank of San Francisco would be extending a

1 grant that would be passed forward to the

- 2 homeowner along with a two times matching
- 3 contribution from the member institution.
- 4 DIRECTOR BACINO: Okay. Just one last
- 5 thought, there's a chart on the board package that
- 6 has a comparison table between Home Preservation
- 7 Subsidy, FHA Secure, and the Hope Now. You don't
- 8 have to turn to it. I was just going to say that
- 9 I thought it was extremely well done and extremely
- 10 helpful. And that's -- makes it a lot easier for
- 11 us as we go through some of this.
- MR. CROSS: That was prepared jointly by
- 13 Sylvia Martinez, Amy Bogden, and with input from
- 14 Charles McLean.
- 15 DIRECTOR BACINO: Thanks because it was
- 16 well done.
- 17 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: And I just -- and
- 18 Allan, forgive me. I know you have some comments.
- 19 Charles, Cincinnati announced that
- 20 program that Steve just talked about a couple of
- 21 months ago.
- MR. MCLEAN: Yes.

1 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Have they make

- 2 actually any loans under?
- 3 MR. MCLEAN: I think that at least one
- 4 member has drawn down advances under the program.
- 5 This is -- which I received that information from
- 6 looking at one of the publications that the
- 7 Cincinnati Bank sent out a couple of days ago.
- 8 Prior to that, we thought -- we had
- 9 questions about how effective the program was
- 10 because there hadn't been news, but now it seems
- 11 that at least one member and my inference is that
- 12 other members were also thinking about accessing
- 13 that program.
- 14 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Because Sylvia just
- announced it last week and I think that (off
- 16 mike).
- MR. MCLEAN: Yeah.
- 18 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: So, it's too early
- 19 to tell.
- MR. MCLEAN: Yes. But we can look into
- 21 that further.
- 22 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Any other of the

directors? Yes, Mr. Montgomery, Brian Montgomery.

- 2 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: A couple of
- 3 questions. First off, one clarification on FHA
- 4 Secure. The original intent of the product was to
- 5 help borrowers that were just delinquent with some
- 6 parameters that you've outlined here in your
- 7 chart.
- 8 About a month after we rolled out the
- 9 program, it had been confusing all along for the
- 10 public and even for the press. Look, does the
- 11 borrower have to be delinquent to come to FHA?
- 12 This is a question we got all the time. IN fact,
- some of the 30,000 phone calls got were look, I
- 14 want to go to FHA, but I'm not delinquent. No,
- no, we don't want you to be delinquent. You can
- 16 be current and refinance in FHA.
- So, what we decided at that point was to
- 18 borrow a phrase from the restaurant industry to
- the front of the house, FHA secures one product;
- to the back of the house, we didn't put you in the
- 21 appropriate pocket. So, this is an important
- 22 level of clarification. We either put you in the

1 current pocket or the delinquent pocket, so as

- 2 they're priced differently through Ginnie Mae
- anyway.
- 4 My question is is the intent of this to
- 5 be in lieu of any assistance that FHA Secure may
- 6 provide or the Hope Now Alliance using the
- 7 American securitization form. Is it to be in
- 8 place of that or is it just another tool in the
- 9 toolbox, so to speak?
- 10 MR. CROSS: It's my understanding that
- 11 this is to be another tool in the toolbox. There
- 12 -- there is nothing that would preclude this being
- used in conjunction with other programs. Am I
- 14 correct, Charles?
- MR. MCLEAN: Yes.
- 16 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: And this may be in
- 17 here. I'm sorry. I just got here yesterday.
- But, did they quantify how many borrowers they
- think they'll be able to help?
- 20 MR. CROSS: They didn't specifically,
- 21 but you can infer the number. A maximum grant of
- \$25,000 with the initial pool being \$10 million

1 would mean if every assistance package required

- 2 \$25,000, there could be 400 households assisted.
- 3 We wouldn't -- we would actually expect
- 4 that the \$25,000 assistance would be the exception
- 5 not the rule, because the program is targeting
- 6 exclusively to low and moderate income households,
- 7 at \$10,000 assistance, it would assist 1,000
- 8 households.
- 9 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: So, best case,
- 10 1,000, worst case, probably 400?
- 11 MR. CROSS: Under the initial
- 12 allocation, that's correct.
- DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Now, it is the
- intent also for the borrower who's under the water
- to help make up that delta between the unpaid
- 16 principle value -- excuse me -- the unpaid
- 17 principal balance versus the new appraised value
- 18 of the home?
- MR. CROSS: No, that is -- that
- 20 difference is made up out of the combined AHP
- 21 subsidy and member write- off.
- 22 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: And member

1 write-off, which I agree with Alicia's point. I

- think the hairpin by the member bank, I think is
- 3 appropriate as well. The -- I forgot the other
- 4 point I was going to make.
- 5 The FHA program -- for existing FHA
- 6 borrowers, we have a rather robust loss mitigation
- 7 program. But there's a whole delinquency process,
- 8 we have various tools that we can use with a
- 9 borrower. And one of them was we did a variation
- 10 following Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and Wilma, and
- that was a variation of what's called our partial
- 12 claim program.
- 13 And in the lieu of a disaster
- 14 assistance, we first sped up that process. And
- 15 secondly, we would take that combined value of any
- arrearages, missed payments, missed tax payments
- or insurance or whatever and to a point of time
- when the borrower got on their feet under this
- 19 program, it's still in into effect, we would take
- 20 whatever that combined value was and FHA fronted
- 21 that money to the lender and then we then put that
- in what we call a soft second mortgage that has a

due on sale clause or until what point the

- 2 mortgagor sells or refinances.
- Normally, they make payments on it.
- 4 Most of these were concentrated in the South where
- 5 the median home prices were much, much lower than
- 6 I suspect we'll be seeing -- that this bank will
- 7 look at.
- 8 But I was just curious, did they
- 9 research or look at any sort of similar program as
- 10 part of their loss mitigation efforts?
- 11 MR. CROSS: Charles, do you know the
- 12 answer to that question?
- MR. MCLEAN: No, I don't.
- MR. CROSS: I don't know the answer to
- 15 that question.
- 16 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Well, more than
- 17 likely, you probably would have heard of --
- 18 MR. CROSS: Right.
- 19 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: -- had they tried.
- 20 MR. CROSS: May I just add one
- 21 clarification in response to your earlier
- 22 question? I would characterize 1,000 not as the

1 -- 1,000 households not as the best case scenario,

- 2 but a more likely case scenario than 400.
- 3 It's very possible that in some cases
- 4 the amount of assistance would be just 5,000 or
- 5 6,000 or 4,000 dollars in the following sense.
- 6 The first step of the program is that the member
- 7 must replace the adjustable rate mortgage with a
- 8 fixed rate fully amortizing mortgage at a market
- 9 interest rate.
- 10 And so, take, for example, the case in
- which a mortgage is scheduled to adjust in three
- months to a 10 percent interest rate and the
- current 30 year market rate is 6 and a half
- 14 percent, the replacement of the scheduled reset 10
- 15 percent rate with the current market rate of 6 and
- 16 a half percent is fully the responsibility of the
- 17 member. That reduction in interest rate is not
- 18 subsidized by AHP.
- 19 So, AHP subsidy would only go to
- 20 reducing an interest rate below that market level
- 21 and would go to reduce principal to 97 percent of
- the appraised value. The bank presented to us as

1 illustrative examples a number of cases in which

- 2 the subsidy would be \$4,000 or \$5,000, \$6,000, not
- 3 \$10,000, \$15,000, or \$25,000.
- 4 So, the best case scenario is probably
- 5 more like 2,000 or 2500 households based on a
- 6 \$4,000 -- \$5,000 subsidy from AHP. I think a
- 7 likely scenario would be 1,000 households. And
- 8 the lowest number of households just
- 9 mathematically would be 400.
- 10 I'm sure that's more than you wanted to
- 11 hear, but I just wanted to make it clear that
- 12 1,000 is a best case scenario. It's a more likely
- 13 case.
- 14 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: And thanks for the
- 15 clarification. I would encourage all the member
- banks to look strongly at what the Hope Now
- 17 Alliance is doing to my note about the toolbox and
- 18 FHA Secure recognizing the pending FHA legislation
- 19 that we're getting closer to. We are still set at
- 20 87 percent of conforming, which is what has proven
- 21 to be a barrier in California and other high power
- 22 states.

1	So, I think on the surface this looks
2	another good way to help more borrowers.
3	CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: I notice a number
4	of folks in the audience who are seemingly new to
5	our deliberations. I will point out that Director
6	Montgomery's knowledge of the FHA Program should
7	not be assumed to be attributable to the rest of
8	the directors of the Finance Board. His knowledge
9	arises from the fact that he is the FHA
10	Commissioner and therefore, has extraordinary
11	insight into the FHA Program, and we welcome his
12	participation.
13	Any other comments? Director
14	Mendelowitz?
15	DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Thank you, Mr.
16	Chairman. First, I would like to express my great
17	appreciation to the San Francisco Bank for making
18	this proposal. Everyone is well aware of the
19	tremendously positive role that the home loan bank
20	system has played since the meltdown of the credit
21	markets in August by a dramatic growth in our
22	advanced and book in liquefying our members so

that in fact, the financial market crisis in

- 2 August didn't turn into something worse for the
- 3 economy overall.
- 4 But at the same time, we have not been
- 5 doing a lot to help mitigate the risk that
- 6 homeowners face as a result of the events that in
- fact led up to the meltdown in the capital
- 8 markets. And I think that's been a deficiency,
- 9 and I really appreciate the thinking and the
- 10 creativity that went into this proposal on the
- 11 part of the San Francisco Bank.
- 12 Secondly, with that said, I agree
- wholeheartedly with Director Castaneda and the
- 14 staff's proposal that something like this in fact
- 15 should be the result of an APA proceeding, and it
- should be a new program entered into a regulation.
- 17 And therefore, I view the San Francisco Program as
- an absolutely critical source of information on
- 19 what, in fact, might make it possible to design a
- 20 program that would work most effectively and
- 21 beneficially to aid homeowners at risk.
- 22 And in that regard, I would very much

Т	like to encourage the San Francisco Bank as soon
2	as they get information on how the program is
3	working and in the context of the parameters laid
4	out in this waiver, to let us know, in fact, if
5	the parameters work or if there are problems with
6	the parameter which we can fix by adjusting the
7	extent of the waiver.
8	Don't waste time bringing it to us. Let
9	the board members know so we can move quickly on
10	this, because I would hate to see a good effort
11	stymied because there are certain aspects of it
12	that we didn't anticipate that have gotten in the
13	way of effectively using this program to help
14	homeowners at risk.
15	As I said when I was looking through the
16	proposal and we were debating exactly how much
17	funds should be available, my feeling was let's be
18	a little more generous, primarily because if
19	somebody is offshore drowning and they're 100 feet
20	offshore and we throw them an 80 foot rope, we
21	haven't done them any good.
22	And so, the lifeline that we ship out to

1	these	people,	that	we	throw	out	to	these	people,
---	-------	---------	------	----	-------	-----	----	-------	---------

- let's make sure that in fact it's long enough to
- get to them so that we can help them. So, that's
- 4 number one.
- Number two, one aspect of the program
- 6 relates to underwriting the credit of the
- 7 restructured borrowers and the waiver basically
- 8 places a maximum on debt service to gross income
- 9 of 45 percent. And I want to encourage this San
- 10 Francisco Bank when it applies this program to
- 11 keep in mind that that's a maximum, not a minimum
- and that 45 percent is a pretty onerous debt
- 13 service burden.
- 14 The standard rule of thumb in
- 15 underwriting mortgages is that all the associated
- 16 expenses associated with the mortgage, the
- mortgage principal interest, taxes, any mortgage
- insurance, et cetera, shouldn't exceed 28 percent.
- 19 And as Director Montgomery knows better than me,
- 20 the FHA Secure Program places a maximum of 31
- 21 percent on the mortgage service all in costs
- 22 relative to gross income of 31 percent.

1	And so, I would encourage them to be
2	very thoughtful, very careful about this. We do
3	not want to set up homeowners to fail. We want to
4	set up homeowners to succeed. And if in a
5	restructuring that has benefited from an AHP
6	subsidy, the debt service burden is still so high
7	as to put the homeowner at risk. We haven't done
8	any good other than to hold off a foreclosure for
9	another short period of time. So, please, folks,
10	when you administer this, give it careful
11	attention.
12	Third, I want to emphasize that the
13	funding for this program comes out of the
14	Homeowners Set Aside portion of the AHP. It does
15	not come out of the allocation for competitive
16	programs, which are essentially affordable rental
17	housing support programs.
18	The support for affordable rental
19	housing generally in our country has been fairly
20	low. AHP has been an extremely important source
21	of funding for affordable rental housing. And had
22	this proposal proposed taking money out of the

1 affordable rental housing portion of the AHP

- funding, I would have opposed it because I don't
- 3 think that we should be taking money away from the
- 4 affordable rental housing part of the program,
- 5 which generally has not gotten much support in
- 6 recent years. And the appropriate pot to come out
- 7 if is the homeownership set aside.
- 8 And if any other banks come forward with
- 9 proposals, I would urge them to make sure that the
- 10 funding for any of their proposals does come out
- of the homeowners set aside and that you not
- 12 entertain asking for a waiver to take funds out of
- 13 the affordable rental housing portion of the
- 14 program.
- Third, there is one issue that is
- 16 absolutely unavoidable when we look at this and
- 17 that is the reason why the housing finance sector,
- 18 financial sector, capital markets have gotten into
- 19 so much trouble is because of the collapse of
- 20 underwriting of household mortgages.
- 21 So, there's a lot of complex reasons for
- 22 why this happened, but at the end of the day,

Τ	mortgages	tnat	Tooked	like	tney	were	perfecti	У

- 2 normal mortgages but sub prime turned out to be on
- a de facto basis predatory loans. And I define a
- 4 predatory loan much more loosely than the way
- 5 predatory loan might be defined in the sense that
- if a loan is made to a homeowner that it's clear
- 7 they do not have the ability to repay, that has to
- 8 be viewed in a functional sense as a predatory
- 9 loan.
- The only outcome of that is going to be
- 11 that homeowner goes into default and loses their
- 12 home and whatever equity they may have in the
- 13 home.
- 14 The Finance Board entertained this issue
- of the interface between liquidity that we prove
- 16 to our members and the predatory lending problem a
- 17 couple of years ago and we in effect, set it
- 18 aside.
- 19 We took a very weak response and for the
- 20 most part, we relied upon an advisory bulletin in
- 21 which to address the issue of predatory lending.
- 22 I think that this was not one of our finer hours,

1	and I think that while we may be a little bit late
2	into the game, I think this is the time when we
3	really need to revisit the issue of the extent to
4	which the evil of predatory lending gets addressed
5	directly by the Finance Board and we take
6	regulatory action to make sure that, in fact,
7	Finance Board advances are not liquefying what
8	would be easily classified as predatory loans.
9	And I would like to work with the staff
10	and as quickly as possible bring to the board a
11	regulatory proposal to make sure that the
12	liquidity provided to the financial sector by the
13	home loan banks is not contaminated in anyway by
14	funding of predatory loans.
15	Lastly, and this goes back to the
16	original proposal of San Francisco, one of the
17	concerns I had is that the waiver requires and the
18	program envisions that the restructured loans
19	would be all turned into 30 year fixed rate
20	mortgages.
21	And because they are going to be
22	unusual, different, they aren't going to fall into

1	the category of loans that would typically be
2	bought by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which means
3	the members will most likely have to put them on
4	their balance sheet because there is not much of
5	an exit strategy other than prime conforming
6	mortgages where you don't carry the Ginnie Mae
7	guarantee.
8	And your discussion earlier, Brian,
9	really set me thinking that one really nice way to
10	help make this program even more successful would
11	be look for opportunities to match the assistance,
12	restructuring assistance provided under the pilot
13	proposed by San Francisco with refinancing through
14	FHA Secure.
15	If the FHA subsidy and the member's
16	contribution rate with combine the loan down to a
17	level that meets both the underwriting and maximum
18	loan requirements set by FHA Secure, a good avenue
19	would be to use that assistance to in fact enable
20	an FHA security financing because the mortgage
21	then will have a FHA guarantee and it will be

available for rolling into a Ginnie Mae security

22

1	and	provides	the	member	with	а	vehicle	ior	takıng

- 2 a 30 year fixed rate mortgage that they wouldn't
- 3 ordinarily put on their balance sheet and putting
- 4 it out into a capital market which intermediates
- 5 the associated risk somewhat better.
- 6 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Just one
- 7 clarification on that, Allan. The advanced
- 8 partial claim, if that's what you're referring to,
- 9 is only available to existing FHA homeowners.
- 10 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: But an existing
- 11 sub prime borrower that meets the other
- requirements can't refinance into an FHA mortgage?
- DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Oh, absolutely.
- 14 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: That's what I'm
- 15 talking about.
- DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Yes. In fact,
- we've done close to 70,000 since the announcement
- 18 back in September. But the advanced partial claim
- 19 option again was born out of disaster assistance
- 20 --
- 21 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Right, right.
- 22 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: That is only for

- 1 existing FHA homeowners.
- 2 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: I will recognize
- again the chairman's observation that your
- 4 expertise on this is unique. I was thinking just
- 5 more in terms of using the subsidy to make it
- 6 possible to meet the underwriting terms of an FHA
- 7 mortgage and make it in fact possible for at risk
- 8 homeowners to refinance not just into an
- 9 affordable 30 year fixed rate mortgage but one
- 10 that's FHA insured so in fact the member doesn't
- 11 have to keep the risk of a 30 year fixed rate
- 12 mortgage on the balance sheet if they choose not
- 13 to. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Are there any other
- 15 comments from any of the directors?
- 16 (No response)
- 17 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Okay. If there's
- nothing else, I will accept a motion to approve
- 19 the resolution grant the request of the Federal
- 20 Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. Is there a
- 21 motion?
- 22 DIRECTOR BACINO: So moved.

1 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you, Mr.

- 2 Bacino. And do I have a second?
- 3 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you, Ms.
- 5 Castaneda. Will the secretary please call the
- 6 roll?
- 7 MS. WILLIS: On the item before the
- 8 board, Director Bacino, how do you vote?
- 9 DIRECTOR BACINO: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Director Castaneda?
- 11 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Director Mendelowitz?
- 13 DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Director Montgomery?
- 15 DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Chairman Rosenfeld?
- 17 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Yes. Before we
- 18 recess, the board will pass a resolution to
- 19 preserve the memory of a dedicated employee of the
- 20 Finance Board, Margaret L. Hawley. Meg passed
- 21 away on Friday, January 11, 2008. We all know how
- 22 hard Meg fought her illness and contributed to the

- 1 work of the Office of Supervision.
- 2 She earned the respect and admiration of
- 3 her coworkers and supervisors. Her talent,
- 4 knowledge, and experience were important to
- 5 enhance the work of Office of Supervision. She
- 6 was also known for her integrity and humor, which
- 7 endeared all of her -- which endeared her to all
- 8 of us at the Finance Board. We will miss her
- 9 greatly.
- 10 I will now make a motion that the board
- 11 approve the resolution honoring Meg Hawley. Do I
- have a second for this motion?
- 13 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Thank you, Director
- 15 Castaneda. Will secretary please call the roll?
- MS. WILLIS: On the item before the
- 17 board, Director Bacino, how do you vote?
- 18 DIRECTOR BACINO: Yes.
- MS. WILLIS: Director Castaneda?
- 20 DIRECTOR CASTANEDA: Yes.
- 21 MS. WILLIS: Director Mendelowitz?
- DIRECTOR MENDELOWITZ: Yes.

1		MS. WILLIS: Director Montgomery?
2		DIRECTOR MONTGOMERY: Yes.
3		MS. WILLIS: Chairman Rosenfeld?
4		CHAIRMAN ROSENFELD: Yes. The motion is
5	adopted.	This ends the open session of the
6	meeting.	We will reconvene the closed session in
7	three min	uutes.
8		(Whereupon, at 10:44 a.m., the
9		PROCEEDINGS were adjourned.)
10		* * * * *
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		