# Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan



## 2009 Plan Update

Prepared under the direction of the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee, the Local Emergency Planning Committee, and the Lamar County Emergency Management Agency by:



P. O. Box 509 Northport, AL 35476 205) 333-2990, Fax (205) 333-2713

## Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan Table of Contents

| Introduction  |   | 1  |
|---------------|---|--|
| Section One   | Planning Process<br>Plan Update Process<br>Continued Public Participation<br>Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee<br>Participation Guidelines<br>Committee and Public Meeting Schedule and Participation<br>Interagency and Intergovernmental Coordination<br>Integration with Existing Plans<br>Plan Adoption  | 2<br>2<br>2<br>4<br>4<br>7<br>8<br>8   |
| Section Two   | General Characteristics<br>Growth Trends<br>General Geology   | 10<br>10<br>14   |
| Section Three | Lamar County Risk Assessment<br>Hazard Profiles<br>I. Thunderstorms/Wind<br>II. Lightning<br>III. Hail<br>IV. Tornados<br>V. Flooding<br>VI. Drought/Extreme Heat<br>VII. Winter Storm/Extreme Cold Temperatures<br>VIII. Hurricane/ Tropical Storm<br>IX. Sinkhole/ Expansive Soils<br>X. Landslide<br>XI. Earthquake<br>XII. Wildfire<br>XIII. Dam Failure<br>Lamar County Probability Assessment | $     \begin{array}{r}       16 \\       24 \\       24 \\       24 \\       25 \\       26 \\       29 \\       31 \\       32 \\       33 \\       37 \\       39 \\       40 \\       44 \\       46 \\       49 \\     \end{array} $ |
| Section Four  | Vulnerability Assessment<br>Hazard Impacts<br>Socially Vulnerable Populations<br>Vulnerable Structures<br>Critical Facility Inventory<br>Development Trends<br>Methods of Warning<br>Vulnerability Summary<br>Estimated Loss Projections<br>Mitigating Potential Losses   | 51<br>54<br>58<br>59<br>63<br>65<br>69<br>69<br>72   |

| Section Five | Jurisdiction Assessments  | 77  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
|              | Town of Beaverton   | 79  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Town of Detroit   | 93  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Town of Kennedy   | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Town of Millport  | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | City of Sulligent   | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | City of Vernon  | 149 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section Six  | Mitigation Plan Maintenance   | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms   | 163 |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Continued Public Participation  | 163 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix I   | Mitigation Ideas: Possible Mitigation Measures by Hazard Type<br>Mitigation Planning Workshop for Preparing and Reviewing<br>Local Plans G-318 FEMA |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix II  | Adopting Resolutions To be added  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Lamar County  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Town of Beaverton   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Town of Detroit   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Town of Kennedy   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Town of Millport  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | City of Sulligent   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | City of Vernon  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Lamar County Fire Association   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Lamar County School Board   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | West Alabama Regional Commission  |     |  |  |  |  |  |

## LIST OF MAPS, FIGURES, & TABLES

| Maps   |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 2-1    | Lamar County General Location                   | 12   |
| 2-2    | Lamar County Population Density                 | 13   |
| 3-1    | Lamar County Dam Locations by Risk Category     | 47   |
| 4-1    | Lamar County Census Tracts                      | 56   |
| 4-2    | Lamar County Critical Facilities                | 62   |
| 4-3    | Lamar County Land Use Land Cover                | 64   |
| 4-4    | Lamar County Outdoor Warning System             | 67   |
| 5-1    | Town of Beaverton Critical Facilities           | 87   |
| 5-2    | Town of Detroit Critical Facilities             | 101  |
| 5-3    | Town of Kennedy Critical Facilities             | 115  |
| 5-4    | Town of Millport Critical Facilities            | 129  |
| 5-5    | City of Sulligent Critical Facilities           | 143  |
| 5-6    | City of Vernon Critical Facilities              | 157  |
| Figure | es  |      |
| 2-1    | Geology of Alabama                              | 15   |
| 3-1    | Generalized Tornado Paths                       | 27   |
| 3-2    | Wind Zones in the United States                 | 27   |
| 3-3    | Outcrops of Carbonate Rocks                     | 37   |
| 3-4    | Areas of Active Sinkhole and Subsidence         | 37   |
| 3-5    | General Soils of Alabama                        | 38   |
| 3-6    | Landslide Incidence in Lamar County             | 39   |
| 3-7    | 1996 U.S. Geological Survey Shaking- Hazard Map | 41   |
| 3-8    | Epicenters of Earthquakes                       | 41   |
| 3-9    | New Madrid Seismic Zone                         | 42   |
| 3-10   | Southern Appalachian Seismic Zone               | 42   |
| 3-11   | Risk of Wildland Fire on a Given Acre           | 45   |
| 3-12   | Fire Occurrence Areas                           | 45   |

## Tables

| 1-1 | Lamar County Existing Plans by Jurisdiction             | 9  |
|-----|---|----|
| 2-1 | Growth Trends in 1980- 2000 Population                  | 11 |
| 3-1 | Lamar County Hazard Identification by Jurisdiction      | 17 |
| 3-2 | Lamar County Prioritized Threat by Jurisdiction         | 18 |
| 3-3 | Lamar County Hazard Events                              | 19 |
| 3-4 | Fujita Tornado Scales                                   | 28 |
| 3-5 | Lamar County NFIP/Flood Map Status                      | 30 |
| 3-6 | Lamar County National Inventory of Dams Risk Categories | 46 |
| 3-7 | Lamar County Dam Inventory                              | 48 |
| 3-8 | Lamar County Hazard Probability Assessment              | 50 |

| 4-1  | Lamar County Population Characteristics                             | 55  |
|------|---|-----|
| 4-2  | Lamar County Income Data  | 57  |
| 4-3  | Lamar County Housing Characteristics                                | 58  |
| 4-4  | Lamar County Building Stock by General Occupancy                    | 59  |
| 4-5  | Lamar County Building Exposure                                      | 59  |
| 4-6  | Lamar County Critical Facilities                                    | 61  |
| 4-7  | Population Projections  | 63  |
| 4-8  | Lamar County Outdoor Warning Sirens                                 | 68  |
| 4-9  | Lamar County Vulnerability Summary                                  | 70  |
| 4-10 | Lamar County Estimated Losses from Specified Hazards                | 71  |
| 4-11 | Lamar County Mitigation Actions                                     | 75  |
| 5-1  | Town of Beaverton Risk & Vulnerability Overview                     | 80  |
| 5-2  | Town of Beaverton Hazard Events                                     | 81  |
| 5-3  | Town of Beaverton Hazard Probability Assessment                     | 85  |
| 5-4  | Town of Beaverton Critical Facilities                               | 86  |
| 5-5  | Town of Beaverton Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards | 88  |
| 5-6  | Town of Beaverton Mitigation Actions                                | 91  |
| 5-7  | Town of Detroit Risk & Vulnerability Overview                       | 94  |
| 5-8  | Town of Detroit Hazard Events                                       | 95  |
| 5-9  | Town of Detroit Hazard Probability Assessment                       | 99  |
| 5-10 | Town of Detroit Critical Facilities                                 | 100 |
| 5-11 | Town of Detroit Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards   | 102 |
| 5-12 | Town of Detroit Mitigation Actions                                  | 105 |
| 5-13 | Town of Kennedy Risk & Vulnerability Overview                       | 109 |
| 5-14 | Town of Kennedy Hazard Events                                       | 109 |
| 5-15 | Town of Kennedy Hazard Probability Assessment                       | 113 |
| 5-16 | Town of Kennedy Critical Facilities                                 | 114 |
| 5-17 | Town of Kennedy Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards   | 116 |
| 5-18 | Town of Kennedy Mitigation Actions                                  | 119 |
| 5-19 | Town of Millport Risk & Vulnerability Overview                      | 122 |
| 5-20 | Town of Millport Hazard Events                                      | 123 |
| 5-21 | Town of Millport Hazard Probability Assessment                      | 127 |
| 5-22 | Town of Millport Critical Facilities                                | 128 |
| 5-23 | Town of Millport Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards  | 130 |
| 5-24 | Town of Millport Mitigation Actions                                 | 133 |
| 5-25 | City of Sulligent Risk & Vulnerability Overview                     | 136 |
| 5-26 | City of Sulligent Hazard Events                                     | 137 |
| 5-27 | City of Sulligent Hazard Probability Assessment                     | 141 |
| 5-28 | City of Sulligent Critical Facilities                               | 142 |
| 5-29 | City of Sulligent Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards | 144 |
| 5-30 | City of Sulligent Mitigation Actions                                | 147 |
| 5-31 | City of Vernon Risk & Vulnerability Overview                        | 150 |
| 5-32 | City of Vernon Hazard Events  | 151 |
| 5-33 | City of Vernon Hazard Probability Assessment                        | 155 |
| 5-34 | City of Vernon Critical Facilities                                  | 156 |
| 5-35 | City of Vernon Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards    | 158 |
| 5-36 | City of Vernon Mitigation Actions                                   | 161 |

## Introduction

### Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan is a multi-jurisdictional, multi-hazard mitigation plan. This plan fulfills the requirements set forth by the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000). It meets all eligibility requirements set forth by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for grant assistance. To date, assistance is available from the following grant programs: the Hazard Mitigation Grant (HMGP), Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMA), Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program (PDM), Repetitive Flood Claims Grant Program (RFC), and Severe Repetitive Loss Program (SRL). This plan covers the entire county including all unincorporated areas, the Towns of Beaverton, Detroit, Kennedy, and Millport, and the Cities of Sulligent and Vernon. Other local governments that elected to participate in and adopt the plan are: the Lamar County School Board, Lamar County Fire Association and the West Alabama Regional Commission.

## **Authority**

Section 409 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (public Law 93-228, as amended), Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations, as amended by Section 201 of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires that all state and local governments develop a Hazard Mitigation Plan as a condition of receiving federal disaster assistance.

## Funding

Funding for this plan update was made available through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP). The Alabama Emergency Management Agency (AEMA) and Alabama Association of Regional Councils (AARC) entered into an agreement to update these plans for many counties in Alabama. The West Alabama Regional Commission (WARC) facilitated the Lamar County plan update.

## Scope

The Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan includes all incorporated and unincorporated areas in Lamar County. The plan addresses all natural hazards identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. All hazards that may affect Lamar County and its residents are identified. Hazard mitigation strategies are discussed in terms of goals, objectives and mitigation actions. Responsibility for implementation of strategies is discussed and possible funding sources are identified.

#### Purpose

"Mitigation is the cornerstone of emergency management. It's the ongoing effort to lessen the impact disasters have on people's lives and property through damage prevention and flood insurance" (http://www.fema.gov/fima/). The Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan is an effort to identify mitigation strategies that address the hazards to which Lamar County is the most vulnerable. This plan is only one of many means Lamar County will take to achieve a safer, more hazard-resistant environment for its residents.

## **Section One: Planning Process**

## Plan update process

The hazard mitigation planning update process began in July of 2007 after the Alabama Association of Regional Councils (AARC) was awarded a planning grant from the Alabama Emergency Management Agency (AEMA). The WARC received 75 percent funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The remaining 25 percent was provided locally through in-kind services and member dues.

The Lamar County mitigation plan is the representation of the County's commitment to reduce risks from natural hazards. In doing this, the number, location, extent and probability of natural disasters occurring within the area was assessed. Worksheets were developed by WARC and completed by the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee members that represented each jurisdiction and/or local government participating in the plan update. These worksheets, which included updating of each jurisdiction's data tables, critical facilities and mitigation strategies, were the basis for the plan. Next, mitigation actions that would reduce the loss of life or property in the area were considered. In doing this, all jurisdictions, local governments, first responders (police, fire and medical), and the general public were invited and encouraged to participate.

## Continued Public Participation

After the initial plan was completed in 2005, it was made available for ongoing public view and comment at the Lamar County Emergency Operations Center, all City and Town Halls, the Lamar County Courthouse, and the West Alabama Regional Commission. Each local government was instructed that amendments or additions could be made to that plan at any time. Additional opportunities for comment were provided at annual meetings held by the Lamar County EMA.

## Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee

Before beginning the plan update process, WARC staff coordinated with Mr. Johnny Bigham, Lamar County EMA Director, to review the hazard mitigation planning committee. Existing members were confirmed to continue service. Replacements were made to fill vacancies as needed, and new members were added to represent local governments participating in the plan for the first time. Mr. Bigham assumed the responsibility as Chairman of the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee and also invited the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) to participate in the planning process. The Hazard Mitigation Committee consisted of the following members:

## Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee

Lamar County

| Johnny Bigham | EMA Director      |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Jim Smith     | County Engineer   |
| Johnny Rogers | Chairman, E-911   |
| Jim Mathis    | Fire Assoc. Pres. |
| Greg Norton   | District 1        |

| Dewey Carruth                            | District 2                    |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Terry Roberts                            | District 3                    |
| Gary Beard                               | District 4                    |
| Terry Perkins                            | County Sheriff                |
| Lamar County Department of Education     | ,                             |
| Loff Nowman                              | Suparintandant                |
|  | Superimendent                 |
| Lamar County Department of Public Health |                               |
| Jennifer Terrell                         | Environmentalist              |
| Sharon Myers                             | Public Health Nurse           |
| County Water & Fire Authority            |                               |
| AI Elbert                                | Board Member                  |
| Jerry Merchant                           | Water Dept.                   |
| Fred Ray Savage                          |                               |
| Economic Development Authority           |                               |
| Larry Huggins                            |                               |
| Town of Beaverton                        |                               |
|  | Mayor                         |
| Charles Mosley                           | Fire Chief                    |
| Tracy Gann                               | City Clerk                    |
| Town of Detroit                          | ony olon                      |
| Gary Mullins                             | Mayor, Water Superintendent   |
| Donald Puckett                           | City Clerk                    |
| Kelly Prothro                            | Fire Chief                    |
| Town of Kennedy                          |                               |
| Ray Holsonback                           | Mayor                         |
| James Vice                               | Town Clerk                    |
| Jim Mathis                               | Fire Chief, Fire Assoc. Pres. |
| James Nabors                             | Water Superintendent          |
| Golden Patrick                           | Police Chief                  |
| Town of Millport                         |                               |
| Waymon Fields                            | Mayor                         |
| Larry Leonard                            | Water Dept.                   |
| Lynnette Ogden                           | City Clerk                    |
| Tim Fields                               | Fire Chief                    |
| Heath Allred                             | Police Chief                  |
| City of Sulligent                        |                               |
| Scott Boman                              | Mayor                         |
| Gary Mosley                              | City Clerk                    |
| James Guyton                             | Water Superintendent          |
| Robbie Ives                              | Fire Chief                    |
| City of Vernon                           |                               |
| Dupree Pennington                        | iviayor                       |

| Rebecca Cantrell | City Clerk           |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Ted Collins      | Police Chief         |
| Larry Dubose     | Fire Chief           |
| Rodger Holloway  | Water Superintendent |
| General          |                      |
| Gene Smith       | WARC                 |
| Cory Johnson     | WARC                 |
| Melissa Mayo     | WARC                 |
|                  |                      |

## Participation Guidelines

The Chairman of the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee set forth a list of participation guidelines for the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee:

- 1. At least one appointed representative from each participating local government should attend all committee meetings. In the event of extenuating circumstances, the local government may send a non-appointed representative.
- 2. Each local government should submit requested information to Lamar County EMA or WARC in a timely manner. Local governments should meet time frames and deadlines established by the committee. In the event of extenuating circumstances, the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee Chairman may approve late submissions.
- 3. Committee members should fully cooperate with the WARC and the Lamar County EMA during the update and finalization of the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan by providing the best available information necessary to complete the plan.
- 4. Each participating jurisdiction must submit a list of prioritized actions. The jurisdiction must provide an analysis of considered mitigation measures and the method used to prioritize the actions. This analysis should address the benefit-cost ratio of the measures. The selected actions must identify the hazard(s) being mitigated.

## Committee and Public Meeting Schedule and Participation

Each local government was invited to participate in each of the committee meetings. In the event they were unable to attend the meetings they were required to obtain meeting materials from the Lamar County EMA or the WARC prior to or immediately following the missed meeting. Meeting materials were completed and returned via mail, fax, email, or by scheduling an individual meeting with the Lamar County EMA and/or the WARC for the local government to be counted as an active participant in the planning process. The public was also invited and encouraged to participate in all meetings. Public meeting notices were published in the Lamar Democrat, Lamar Leader, and the West Alabama Gazette at least seven days prior to the meeting date and included contact information for assistance.

Attendees at the meetings were asked to group themselves by jurisdiction in order to review and complete meeting materials that required collaboration and provide other needed data. Some individuals participated with and contributed to more than one jurisdiction as deemed appropriate.

## February 13, 2008 10:00 am Lamar County Meeting 1

The Chairman of the Hazard Mitigation Committee, Mr. Johnny Bigham, opened the meeting. West Alabama Regional Commission (WARC) reviewed the original plan with committee members and attendees and explained the update process. WARC staff indicated

that improvements to the organization and content of the entire plan be considered in order to better meet FEMA guidelines. The Committee agreed that WARC staff address organizational and formatting changes to all sections of the plan as necessary. Attendees were given worksheets and other materials related to the agenda topics in order to review and provide data for the update. A total of 23 committee members or designees attended the meeting. No one from the general public was in attendance.

- Greg Norton, County Commission
- Dewey Carruth, County Commission
- Barry Carruth, Sheriff's Dept.
- Larry Cox, City of Sulligent Street Dept.
- Terry Roberts, County Commission
- Tracy Taylor, Sulligent Police Dept.
- Jennifer Terrell, Public Health Dept.
- Gary Mosley, Sulligent Clerk
- Ray Holsenback, Kennedy Mayor
- James "Buddy" Vice, Kennedy Clerk
- Golden Patrick, Kennedy Police Dept.
- Tom Eskridge, Vernon Mayor
- Gary Beard, County Commission
- Johnny Bigham, Lamar County EMA
- Al Elbert, Lamar County Water Board
- Jerry Marchant, Lamar County Water
- Larry Smith, Kennedy Water Operator
- Larry Leonard, Millport Water Manager
- Casey Baughn, Lamar County Rescue
- Johnny Rogers, Lamar County Probate Judge, Chairman E911
- Gene Smith, WARC
- Cory Johnson, WARC
- Melissa Mayo, WARC

Each local government covered the following work items in this meeting:

- Review and update existing plans checklist
- Update of risk and vulnerability assessment
- o Review historical storm data for designated 10-year period
- Update critical facilities inventory
- Review and update the mitigation action goals and actions for Natural Hazards

## March 12, 2008 10:00 am Lamar County Meeting 2

Cory Johnson of WARC opened the meeting. WARC staff led the work session, which included a review of the NFIP Program and the current FEMA Flood Map update process, additions to event data and review of critical facilities in flood areas. Eleven committee members or their designees attended the second meeting. There was no one from the general public in attendance.

- Joe Collier, Beaverton Mayor
- Billy Murphy, Detroit Mayor
- Larry Leonard, Millport Water Manager
- Billy Shackelford, Bank of Vernon

- Jerry Merchant, Lamar County Water
- Al Elbert, Lamar County Water Board
- Gary Mosley, Sulligent Clerk
- Larry Smith, Kennedy Water Operator
- Rebecca Cantrell, Vernon Clerk
- Jodi Shelton, Lamar County Health Dept.
- Cory Johnson, WARC

Each local government covered the following work items in this meeting:

- o Add hurricane, flood, tornado, sinkhole event data
- o Review critical facility list to identify any facilities in floodplain
- o Discuss flood issues and possible mitigation actions

## March 26, 2008 10:00 am Lamar County Meeting 3

The Chairman of the Hazard Mitigation Committee, Mr. Johnny Bigham, opened the meeting. WARC staff led the work session which focused on updating the goals and objectives of the plan and finalizing mitigation actions. There were 15 committee members or their designees in attendance. There was no participation from the general public.

- Larry Leonard, Millport Water Manager
- Terry Robinson, Lamar County Superintendent of Education
- Gary Beard, County Commission
- Jennifer Terrell, Lamar County Public Health Dept.
- James Vice, Kennedy Clerk
- Gary Mosley, Sulligent Clerk
- Johnny Bigham, Lamar County EMA
- Greg Norton, County Commission
- Al Elbert, Lamar County Water Board
- Rebecca Cantrell, Vernon Clerk
- Dewey Carruth, County Commission
- Johnny Rogers, Lamar County Probate Judge, Chairman E911
- Terry Roberts, County Commission
- Cory Johnson, WARC
- Melissa Mayo, WARC

Each local government covered the following work items in this meeting:

- o Add mitigation actions
- Develop mitigation goals and objectives
- o Identify and prioritize mitigation actions

## March 26, 2009 10:00 am Lamar County Meeting 4

Cory Johnson of the WARC opened the meeting and led the review of the updated draft plan. Committee members had been provided a copy of the draft prior to the meeting. To ensure an all-inclusive opportunity for the public to be involved in the planning process, copies of the draft plan were also made available prior to the meeting at the Lamar County EMA, the Lamar County Commission, and West Alabama Regional Commission offices along with instructions to submit comments on the plan. There was no one from the general public in attendance, and no comments were received. Fourteen committee members or their representatives attended the meeting.

- Johnny Bigham, EMA Director
- Sharon Myers, Lamar County Health Dept.
- Johnny Rogers, Judge of Probate
- Jennifer Terrell, Lamar County Health Dept.
- Tommy Dockery, Alabama Dept. of Health, Area 3
- Rebecca Cantrell, City Clerk Vernon
- Karl Byrd, Alabama Forestry Commission
- Gary Mosley, Clerk Sulligent
- Joe L. Collier, Mayor Beaverton
- Waymon Fields, Mayor Millport
- James Vice, Clerk Kennedy
- Ray Holsenback, Mayor- Kennedy
- Jeff Bradford, Alabama Forestry Commission
- Cory Johnson, WARC

The following work items were covered in this meeting:

- Review of draft plan
- Comments and revisions
- o Plan review and adoption process

The public was afforded an opportunity to review and comment on the final updated plan prior to adoption. A request for comments was posted at the library and commission and town hall offices on September 30, 2009 and a ten-day comment period was provided. Plans were made available for review at the County EMA office and Lamar County Courthouse and included instructions for submitting comments to the WARC.

## Interagency and Intergovernmental Coordination

Interagency and intergovernmental coordination also played a vital part in the development of this plan. Each of the agencies listed below were contacted via mail, email, fax, or telephone requesting the best available data that they could contribute to the development of the plan. All information provided was beneficial in completing the risk and vulnerability assessments.

## Federal Agencies

- National Weather Service provided storm event data
- United States Geological Survey provided information on general geology, earthquakes, sinkholes, land subsidence, and landslides
- U.S. Army Corp of Engineers provided the <u>National Inventory of Dams</u>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency
- U. S. Department of Transportation's Hazardous Material Information System provided event data

## State Agencies

- Alabama Emergency Management Agency provided hurricane damage data
- Geological Survey of Alabama provided information on general geology, earthquakes, sinkholes, and landslides

- Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs provided the <u>Alabama</u> <u>Drought Management Plan</u>, National Flood Insurance Program information and FEMA flood map update information
- Forestry Commission provided information regarding wildfires

## **Regional Agencies**

• West Alabama Regional Commission compiled the plan

## Local Agencies

• Lamar County Emergency Management Agency provided assistance in gathering data

## Academia

- University of Alabama
  - Department of Geology
  - Cartographic Research Lab

## Integration with Existing Plans

The Committee was careful when updating the plan so that it would not contradict or conflict with any existing local subdivision regulations, zoning ordinances, comprehensive plans, or standard building codes. Table 1-1 provides a list of the existing plans by jurisdiction.

## Plan Adoption

All jurisdictions in Lamar County, along with the Lamar County School Board and the Lamar County Volunteer Fire Association have actively participated in the planning process. Representatives from each local government attended each of the meetings and provided completed worksheets that were vital in the update of the plan. Upon completion of the plan each of the six municipalities (Beaverton, Detroit, Kennedy, Millport, Sulligent and Vernon) along with the Lamar County Commission, Lamar County School Board, Lamar County Volunteer Fire Association and WARC, passed formal resolutions adopting the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan. By adopting this multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan Lamar County and the listed local governments will be eligible applicants for mitigation grant funds through the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, Flood Mitigation Assistance Program, Repetitive Flood Claims Program, and the Severe Repetitive Loss Program. Adopting Resolutions can be found in Appendix II.

| Table 1-1:   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Lamar County<br>Existing Plans by Jurisdiction   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| PLAN/POLICY         Beaverton         Detroit         Kennedy         Millport         Sulligent         Vernon         Unincorporated<br>County |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive<br>Plan  | Ν | Y | Y | Y | Ν | Ν | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Plan   | Ν | Y | Y | Y | Ν | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Growth<br>Management Plan  | Ν | Ν | N | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν |  |  |  |  |
| Capital<br>Improvement Plan  | N | Ν | N | Y | N | Ν | N |  |  |  |  |
| Zoning Ordinance   | Ν | Ν | Ν | Y | Ν | Y | Ν |  |  |  |  |
| Building Code  | Ν | Ν | Y | Ν | Ν | Y | Ν |  |  |  |  |
| Floodplain<br>Management Plan  | Ν | Ν | Y | Y | Ν | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Elevation<br>Certificates  | N | Ν | N | Ν | N | N | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage<br>Ordinance  | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | Ν |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency<br>Management Plan   | Ν | Y | Y | Y | Ν | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Facilities<br>Map   | Ν | Ν | Y | Y | Ν | Ν | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Land Use<br>Map   | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Y |  |  |  |  |
| State Plan   | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Hazard Mitigation  | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic National<br>Stockpile Plan   | Ν | Ν | Y | Y | Ν | Ν | Y |  |  |  |  |
| Other<br>Source: Participating Jurise  | N | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν |  |  |  |  |

## **Section Two: General Characteristics**

Lamar County is located in west central Alabama along the state's western border. Fayette, Pickens and Marion Counties in Alabama and Lowndes and Monroe Counties in east Mississippi border Lamar County. The county has 605 square miles of land area and approximately .62 square miles of water area as reported by the 2000 Census. The county contains six municipalities: the Towns of Beaverton, Detroit, Kennedy and Millport and the Cities of Sulligent and Vernon. See **Map 2-1**: Lamar County General Location Map. Lamar County is governed by a Probate Judge, who serves as the chairman of the County Commission and County Commissioners, who are elected by citizens in their commission districts. An elected mayor and council serve each municipality. The City of Vernon serves as the Lamar County seat while the City of Sulligent is the predominant center for local business and trade.

Lamar County has one airport located south of Sulligent. The airport does not provide commercial service. Two rail lines serve the county, one in the north that runs through Beaverton and Sulligent, and the other in the south that goes through Kennedy and Millport. Utilities in Lamar County include electricity, gas, water, sewer, and solid waste. Alabama Power provides electrical service and gas is supplied by Alabama Gas Corporation. AT&T provides telecommunication services. Water and sewer service is provided by municipal or rural systems. The cities of Sulligent and Vernon and Town of Millport operate sewer systems. The Town of Kennedy has a collection system that pumps sewage to Millport for treatment. Most unincorporated areas are serviced only by septic tanks. Lamar County operates a solid waste collection program and inert landfill.

## Growth Trends

Lamar County's population has been declining over the past twenty years. All municipalities have experienced losses in population, many in double digits, with the exception of the City of Sulligent, which has had only a minimal increase. **Map 2-2**: Lamar County Population Density depicts population concentrations in Lamar County. **Table 2-1** below shows the growth trends for the county and its municipalities compared to the Alabama Association of Regional Council's (AARC) Region 2 and the State of Alabama. Region 2 contains Bibb, Fayette, Greene, Hale, Lamar, Pickens, and Tuscaloosa Counties.

|              |           |           |           | Change 1 | 980-2000 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
|              | 1980      | 1990      | 2000      | Number   | Percent  |
| Beaverton    | 360       | 319       | 226       | -134     | -37.22%  |
| Detroit      | 326       | 291       | 247       | -79      | -24.23%  |
| Kennedy      | 604       | 523       | 541       | -63      | -10.43%  |
| Millport     | 1,287     | 1,203     | 1,160     | -127     | -9.87%   |
| Sulligent    | 2,130     | 1,886     | 2,151     | 21       | 0.98%    |
| Vernon       | 2,609     | 2,247     | 2,143     | -466     | -17.86%  |
| Lamar County | 16,453    | 15,715    | 15,904    | -1,359   | -8.26%   |
|              |           |           |           |          |          |
| Region II*   | 236,632   | 247,125   | 268,208   | 131,576  | 13.34%   |
| Alabama      | 3,893,888 | 4,040,587 | 4,447,100 | 553,212  | 14.21%   |

## Table 2-1: Growth Trends 1980-2000

Source: US Bureau of Census, \*Calculations by WARC





## General Geology

Lamar County lies within the Fall Line Hills district of the East Gulf Coastal Plain, which is part of the Coastal Plain physiographic province. Mature hills of moderate relief separated by stream valleys characterize this region. Altitudes range from 250 feet in the west to 750 feet in the northeastern part of the county.

Geologic units exposed in the county range from youngest to oldest--Eutaw, Gordo, Coker, and Pottsville formation of the Pennsylvanian age. Exposed Pottsville formation found in the valley of the Buttahatchee River in the northeastern portion consists mainly of sandstone, conglomerate, siltstone and shale. This formation dips to the southwest at about 75 feet per mile. The Cretaceous system, consisting of the Coker, Gordo, and Eutaw formations, consists of mostly interbedded sands and clays. The Eutaw formation is only exposed as outliers on hilltops in the southwestern corner of the county. It is underlain by the Gordo formation. Low terrace deposits and alluvium of Quaternary age are exposed mainly along the stream valleys throughout the county. This consists mainly of sand and gravel with occasional silt.

## Figure 2-1: Geology of Alabama



Source: The University of Alabama Geology Department online at <u>http://www.geo.ua.edu/</u>

## Section Three: Risk Assessment

The risk assessment process is necessary to identify those natural hazards that pose a threat to Lamar County and its municipal jurisdictions. This process used information provided by members of the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee to identify these hazards.

**Table 3-1** shows the hazards that pose a threat to each jurisdiction. Identification of these hazards was based on historical occurrences and the vulnerability of the jurisdiction to a future occurrence. Each jurisdiction was responsible for identifying the hazards that pose a threat to their community. Tsunami/Volcano/Typhoon was removed during the plan update based on committee consensus that the hazard(s) did not pose a threat to the county or its jurisdictions.

**Table 3-2** provides the prioritized threat by jurisdiction. Each jurisdiction was responsible for providing hazard information with consideration to the number of past occurrences and the impacts of the hazard on the jurisdiction. Hazards are prioritized highest to least impact designating the hazard with the highest impact as number one.

**Table 3-3** is the cornerstone for the hazard profiles that follow in this section. This table contains data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)-National Weather Service and other noted sources combined with local input for a defined ten-year study period of January 1997 – December 2006. The table shows events for all hazard types and provides the location, date, type, magnitude, deaths, injuries, and dollar amounts for property and crop damages, and total damages.

The numbers of historical events provided in the updated plan are significantly different from the original plan. The number of events in the original plan could not be substantiated and did not provide details such as date, magnitude, or amount of damages, injuries, or deaths. Further, NOAA data, when shown, was not sufficiently sorted to the jurisdictional level. As FEMA guidelines request that detailed event data be provided, the Hazard Mitigation Committee agreed upon the new ten-year study period as a means of establishing a corrected historical reference that utilized verifiable sources while allowing for local input in a consistent format that can be easily built upon in future updates.

Event locations in the table labeled as "countywide" refer to an event that affected the entire county, including all municipalities within. If there is an associated amount of damages, they are assumed to be countywide. Countywide events are also listed in each municipality's event table in the individual Jurisdiction Assessment located in Section Five. No amount of damages is listed given the damages were considered to be countywide. An event labeled "Lamar County/Crossville," for example, indicates a specific unincorporated area of the county was identified as affected. Such events will not be repeated in the individual jurisdiction tables since the location was site specific and did not affect an incorporated jurisdiction.

Some events provided by the National Weather Service are reported as statewide occurrences. Hurricanes, droughts, and winter storms often have this type of far-reaching impact. In cases such as this, the event is shown as a countywide event that affected all municipalities. Any statewide damage figures provided by NOAA were not used in Table 3-3. If available from the jurisdiction, damage amounts are shown as Local Input.

| Table 3-1:Lamar CountyHazard Identification by Jurisdiction             |           |         |         |          |           |        |                          |  |
|---|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|--------------------------|--|
| Natural Hazards   | Beaverton | Detroit | Kennedy | Millport | Sulligent | Vernon | Unincorporated<br>County |  |
| Thunderstorm/<br>Wind   | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Lightning   | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Hail  | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Tornado   | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Flood   | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Drought/<br>Extreme Heat  | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Winter Storm  | X         | Х       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Hurricane/<br>Tropical Storm  | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Sinkhole/<br>Expansive Soils  | X         | N/A     | N/A     | N/A      | N/A       | N/A    | X                        |  |
| Landslide   | X         | N/A     | N/A     | N/A      | N/A       | N/A    | X                        |  |
| Earthquake  | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Wildfire  | X         | X       | X       | X        | X         | X      | X                        |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure   | N/A       | N/A     | N/A     | N/A      | N/A       | N/A    | N/A                      |  |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions                                     |           |         |         |          |           |        |                          |  |
| Key: X Affects the jurisdiction<br>N/A Not a threat to the jurisdiction |           |         |         |          |           |        |                          |  |

| Table 3-2:Lamar CountyPrioritized Threat by Jurisdiction |           |         |         |          |           |        |                          |  |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|--------------------------|--|
| Natural Hazards  | Beaverton | Detroit | Kennedy | Millport | Sulligent | Vernon | Unincorporated<br>County |  |
| Thunderstorm/<br>Wind                                    | 2         | 2       | 2       | 3        | 1         | 2      | 2                        |  |
| Lightning  | 4         | 5       | 6       | 6        | 2         | 3      | 3                        |  |
| Hail   | 6         | 7       | 7       | 7        | 7         | 8      | 4                        |  |
| Tornado  | 1         | 3       | 3       | 2        | 4         | 6      | 1                        |  |
| Flood  | 5         | 10      | 4       | 4        | 6         | 5      | 5                        |  |
| Drought/<br>Extreme Heat                                 | 8         | 8       | 8       | 8        | 5         | 4      | 6                        |  |
| Winter Storm   | 3         | 1       | 1       | 1        | 3         | 1      | 7                        |  |
| Hurricane/<br>Tropical Storm                             | 9         | 4       | 9       | 9        | 9         | 9      | 8                        |  |
| Sinkhole/<br>Expansive Soils                             | 11        | N/A     | N/A     | N/A      | N/A       | N/A    | 11                       |  |
| Landslide  | 12        | N/A     | N/A     | N/A      | N/A       | N/A    | 12                       |  |
| Earthquake   | 10        | 9       | 10      | 10       | 10        | 10     | 9                        |  |
| Wildfire   | 7         | 6       | 5       | 5        | 8         | 7      | 10                       |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | N/A       | N/A     | N/A     | N/A      | N/A       | N/A    | N/A                      |  |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions                      |           |         |         |          |           |        |                          |  |

Hazards are prioritized with the highest threat assigned number one.

## TABLE 3-3: LAMAR COUNTY STORM EVENTS BY HAZARD

Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD       | CrD      | Total Cost              | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|-----------|----------|-------------------------|----------|
| Beaverton    | 5/5/1999   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | \$5,000   |          | \$5,000                 |          |
| Beaverton    | 8/3/2003   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2,000     |          | 2,000                   |          |
| Beaverton    | 7/21/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 3,000     |          | 3,000                   |          |
| Beaverton    | 11/15/2006 | Tstm Wind   | N/A     |     |     | 5,000     |          | 5,000                   |          |
| Detroit      | 7/2/2002   | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | 7,000     |          | 7,000                   |          |
| Detroit      | 5/16/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 3,000     |          | 3,000                   |          |
| Detroit      | 12/7/2004  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | 2,000     |          | 2,000                   |          |
| Kennedy      | 11/8/2000  | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     | 3,000     |          | 3,000                   |          |
| Kennedy      | 8/27/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 8,000     |          | 8,000                   |          |
| Kennedy      | 7/4/2004   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 4,000     |          | 4,000                   |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/1998  | High Wind   | 40 kts. |     |     |           |          |                         |          |
| Lamar County | 6/5/1998   | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | 45,000    | 10,000   | 55,000                  |          |
| Lamar County | 11/2/1999  | High Wind   | 45 kts. |     |     |           |          |                         |          |
| Lamar County | 7/20/2000  | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     | 30,000    |          | 30,000                  |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/2001  | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     | 300,000   |          | 300,000                 |          |
| Lamar County | 2/21/2001  | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     | 120,000   |          | 120,000                 |          |
| Lamar County | 5/20/2001  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 4,000     |          | 4.000                   |          |
| Lamar County | 5/7/2003   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 10,000    |          | 10.000                  |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 3,000     |          | 3.000                   |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 8.000     |          | 8,000                   |          |
| Lamar County | 1/13/2005  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 60.000    |          | 60,000                  |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006   | Tstm Wind   | 75 kts. |     |     | 80.000    |          | 80,000                  |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006   | Strong Wind | N/A     |     |     | ,         |          | 00,000                  |          |
| Millport     | 8/19/2001  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 1.000     |          | 1 000                   |          |
| Millport     | 8/20/2002  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 8.000     |          | 8,000                   |          |
| Millport     | 10/2/2004  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2.000     |          | 2 000                   |          |
| Millport     | 4/30/2005  | Tstm Wind   | 52 kts. |     |     | 13.000    |          | 13,000                  |          |
| Millport     | 6/21/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2.000     |          | 2 000                   |          |
| Millport     | 7/18/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 1.000     |          | 1 000                   |          |
| Millport     | 7/18/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     | 10.000    |          | 10,000                  |          |
| Sulligent    | 8/11/1998  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 5.000     |          | 5 000                   |          |
| Sulligent    | 2/27/1999  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 5,000     |          | 5,000                   |          |
| Sulligent    | 6/18/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 22 000    |          | 22,000                  |          |
| Sulligent    | 7/20/2005  | Tstm Wind   | 52 kts. |     |     | 2,000     |          | 2 000                   |          |
| Sulligent    | 11/15/2006 | Tstm Wind   | N/A     |     |     | 1,000     |          | 1,000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 3/5/1997   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 7,000     |          | 7,000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 5/2/1997   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 6,000     |          | 6,000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 5/2/1997   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 7,000     |          | 7,000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 5/3/1997   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 15 000    |          | 15,000                  |          |
| Vernon       | 6/13/1998  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 20,000    |          | 20,000                  |          |
| Vernon       | 9/5/1999   | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | 10,000    |          | 10,000                  |          |
| Vernon       | 2/13/2000  | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts  |     |     | 5 000     |          | 5 000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 3/30/2000  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts  |     |     | 2 000     |          | 2,000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 11/8/2000  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 2,000     |          | 2,000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 6/24/2002  | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts  |     |     | 60,000    |          | 60,000                  |          |
| Vernon       | 9/16/2002  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 3 000     |          | 3 000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 7/13/2002  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 8,000     |          | 3,000<br>8 000          |          |
| Vernon       | 7/21/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 5 000     |          | 5,000                   | <u> </u> |
| Vernon       | 7/7/2003   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 8,000     |          | 3,000<br>8,000          |          |
| Vernon       | 4/7/2004   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 2 000     |          | 2 000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 5/10/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kte  |     |     | 2,000     |          | 2,000                   |          |
| Vernon       | 7/21/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts  |     |     | 2 000     |          | 2,000                   |          |
| TOTALS       | 172172000  | E0          | 00 M.S. | 0   | 0   | \$030 000 | \$10.000 | 2,000<br><b>¢0/0 ∩∩</b> | <u> </u> |
| IUIALO       |            | 52          |         | U   | J   | ψ909,000  | φ10,000  | φ343,000                | L        |

#### Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

| Location            | Date      | Туре          | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County, Crews | 4/30/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County        | 7/20/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County        | 7/21/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County        | 2/12/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Millport            | 6/20/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent           | 2/22/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent           | 6/13/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent           | 12/7/2004 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent           | 4/30/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon              | 2/22/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon              | 3/19/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon              | 7/13/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon              | 12/7/2004 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon              | 4/6/2005  | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS              |           | 14            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Lightning Strikes January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms and Alabama Forestry Commission

| Location     | Date      | Туре      | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD       | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Beaverton    | 7/22/2000 | Lightning |     |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/13/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     | \$22,000  |     | \$22,000   |          |
| Sulligent    | 8/27/2002 | Lightning | N/A |     |     | 90,000    |     | 90,000     |          |
| Sulligent    | 7/20/2005 | Lightning | N/A |     | 1   |           |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 9/6/2001  | Lightning | N/A | 1   | 6   |           |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 7/31/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     | 10,000    |     | 10,000     |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 6         |     | 1   | 7   | \$122,000 | \$0 | \$122,000  |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Hail January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location                 | Date       | Туре           | Mag      | Dth | lnj | PrD     | CrD   | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------|----------|-----|-----|---------|-------|------------|----------|
| Beaverton                | 4/21/2005  | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | \$2,000 |       | \$2,000    |          |
| Beaverton                | 4/21/2005  | Hail           | 1.00 in. |     |     | 1,000   |       | 1,000      |          |
| Beaverton                | 4/7/2006   | Hail           | 0.75 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Detroit                  | 5/2/2003   | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | 100,000 |       | 100,000    |          |
| Detroit                  | 5/5/2003   | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | 14,000  |       | 14,000     |          |
| Detroit                  | 5/16/2003  | Hail           | 1.00 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Detroit                  | 11/23/2004 | Hail           | 1.25 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Detroit                  | 5/13/2006  | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Detroit                  | 7/28/2006  | Hail           | 0.88 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Kennedy                  | 6/2/2003   | Hail           | 0.75 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Lamar County, Crossville | 4/30/2002  | Hail           | 1.00 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Lamar County, Molloy     | 3/10/2000  | Hail           | 1.00 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Millport                 | 1/24/1997  | Hail           | 0.75 in. |     |     | 5,000   |       | 5,000      |          |
| Millport                 | 5/2/1997   | Hail           | 1.00 in. |     |     | 5,000   |       | 5,000      |          |
| Millport                 | 3/6/1998   | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | 3,000   |       | 3,000      |          |
| Millport                 | 3/19/1998  | Hail           | 0.75 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Millport                 | 4/16/1998  | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | 5,000   | 3,000 | 8,000      |          |
| Millport                 | 3/10/2000  | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | 10,000  |       | 10,000     |          |
| Millport                 | 4/30/2002  | Hail           | 0.75 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Millport                 | 9/12/2004  | Hail           | 0.88 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Millport                 | 7/18/2006  | Hail           | 1.00 in. |     |     |         |       |            |          |
| Sulligent                | 5/2/1997   | Tstm Wind/hail | 0 kts.   |     |     | 6,000   |       | 6,000      |          |

| Sulligent | 3/19/1998 | Hail | 0.75 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
|-----------|-----------|------|----------|---|---|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Sulligent | 3/3/2000  | Hail | 1.75 in. |   |   | 10,000    |       | 10,000    |  |
| Sulligent | 4/21/2005 | Hail | 1.25 in. |   |   | 1,000     |       | 1,000     |  |
| Sulligent | 4/21/2005 | Hail | 1.75 in. |   |   | 9,000     |       | 9,000     |  |
| Sulligent | 5/10/2006 | Hail | 0.75 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| Vernon    | 5/28/1998 | Hail | 0.75 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| Vernon    | 3/10/2000 | Hail | 0.88 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| Vernon    | 4/30/2002 | Hail | 0.75 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| Vernon    | 6/2/2003  | Hail | 0.88 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| Vernon    | 7/21/2003 | Hail | 0.75 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| Vernon    | 4/20/2006 | Hail | 0.75 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| Vernon    | 6/2/2006  | Hail | 0.75 in. |   |   |           |       |           |  |
| TOTALS    |           | 34   |          | 0 | 0 | \$171,000 | 3,000 | \$174,000 |  |

\*There was no local input

#### Tornados January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location                 | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD         | CrD      | Total Cost  | Comments |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Detroit                  | 11/23/2004 | Tornado      | F1  |     |     | \$300,000   |          | \$300,000   |          |
| Kennedy                  | 11/24/2001 | Tornado      | F3  | 2   | 1   | 600,000     |          | 600,000     |          |
| Kennedy                  | 9/25/2005  | Tornado      | F1  |     |     | 70,000      |          | 70,000      |          |
| Kennedy                  | 3/13/2006  | Tornado      | F0  |     |     | 20,000      |          | 20,000      |          |
| Lamar County, Crossville | 9/25/2005  | Tornado      | F0  |     |     | 1,000       |          | 1,000       |          |
| Lamar County, Fernbank   | 11/10/2002 | Tornado      | F2  |     | 2   | 200,000     |          | 200,000     |          |
| Lamar County, Hightogy   | 4/16/1998  | Tornado      | F1  |     |     | 30,000      | 45,000   | 75,000      |          |
| Millport                 | 12/7/2004  | Tornado      | F2  |     |     | 30,000      |          | 30,000      |          |
| Millport                 | 11/15/2005 | Funnel Cloud | N/A |     |     | 0           |          |             |          |
| Sulligent                | 3/13/2006  | Tornado      | F0  |     |     | 6,000       |          | 6,000       |          |
| Vernon                   | 5/7/2003   | Tornado      | F1  |     |     | 5,000       |          | 5,000       |          |
| Vernon                   | 11/15/2005 | Funnel Cloud | N/A |     |     | 0           |          |             |          |
| Vernon                   | 11/15/2005 | Tornado      | F0  |     |     | 20,000      |          | 20,000      |          |
| TOTALS                   |            | 13           |     | 2   | 3   | \$1,282,000 | \$45,000 | \$1,327,000 |          |

## Tornados January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location               | Date       | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|------------------------|------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Kennedy                | 7/10/2005  | Tornado | F1  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County, Fernbank | 12/7/2004  | Tornado | F2  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County, Molloy   | 11/10/2006 | Tornado | F2  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County, Round Mt | 12/21/2006 | Tornado | F1  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon                 | 3/00/1997  | Tornado | F2  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon                 | 12/7/2004  | Tornado | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon                 | 3/9/2006   | Tornado | F1  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS                 |            | 7       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

Floods (including flash floods) January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

 $http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent{\storms}$ 

| Location     | Date     | Туре        | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD     | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|---------|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/7/1998 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | \$25,000 | \$5,000 | \$30,000   |          |
| Lamar County | 8/5/2003 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | 17,000   |         | 17,000     |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | 5,000    |         | 5,000      |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | 5,000    |         | 5,000      |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | 5,000    |         | 5,000      |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | 5,000    |         | 5,000      |          |

| Lamar County | 11/24/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |   |   | 3,000    |         | 3,000    |  |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----|---|---|----------|---------|----------|--|
| Lamar County | 4/6/2005   | Flash Flood | N/A |   |   | 8,000    |         | 8,000    |  |
| Sulligent    | 7/20/2005  | Flash Flood | N/A |   |   | 1,000    |         | 1,000    |  |
| Vernon       | 2/21/2003  | Flash Flood | N/A |   |   | 10,000   |         | 10,000   |  |
| Vernon       | 5/17/2003  | Flash Flood | N/A |   |   | 3,000    |         | 3,000    |  |
| TOTALS       |            | 11          |     | 0 | 0 | \$87,000 | \$5,000 | \$92,000 |  |

\*There was no local input

#### Drought/Extreme Heat January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/18/2006 | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/1/2006  | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/1/2006  | Drought | D-3 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local Input

#### Winter Storms/ Hard Freezes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 12/23/1998 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |
| Lamar County | 12/21/1999 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/27/2000  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/24/2003  | Extreme Cold | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/18/2006  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 5            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local Input

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 9/16/2004 | High Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     |     |     |            | Ivan     |
| Lamar County | 7/10/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Dennis   |
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Katrina  |
| TOTALS       |           | 3              |         | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD       | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Beaverton    | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | \$2,299   |     | \$2,299    | Katrina  |
| Kennedy      | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | 3,845     |     | 3,845      | Katrina  |
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | 160,936   |     | 160,936    | Katrina  |
| Millport     | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | 9,867     |     | 9,867      | Katrina  |
| Sulligent    | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | 11,817    |     | 11,817     | Katrina  |
| Vernon       | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | 17,342    |     | 17,342     | Katrina  |
| TOTALS       |           | n/a            |     | 0   | 0   | \$206,106 | \$0 | \$206,106  |          |

Sinkholes/Expansive Soils, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no sinkholes or expansive soils reported during this time frame.

Landslides, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no landslides reported during this time frame.

#### Earthquakes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

| Location       | Date      | Туре       | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments    |
|----------------|-----------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-------------|
| Lamar/Fernbank | 5/19/2005 | Earthquake | 2.5 | 0   | 0   |     |     |            | 3 miles WNW |
|                |           |            |     |     |     |     |     |            | Millport    |
| Millport       | 5/19/1999 | Earthquake | 2   | 0   | 0   |     |     |            |             |
| TOTALS         |           | 2          |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |             |

\*There were no earthquakes reported from NOAA or local sources during this time frame.

#### Wildfires, January 1, 2000 - December 31, 2006

Source: Alabama Forestry Commission & the U.S. Forest Service

| Location     | Date       | Туре           | Acres | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|----------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Beaverton    | 7/22/2000  | Lightning      | 30    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/4/2000   | Miscellaneous  | 31    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/10/2000  | Incendiary     | 39    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/17/2000  | Railroad       | 107   |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/20/2000  | Incendiary     | 25    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/21/2000  | Incendiary     | 70    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/21/2000  | Incendiary     | 60    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/21/2000  | Incendiary     | 420   |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/21/2000  | Incendiary     | 420   |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/20/2000  | Incendiary     | 30    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 10/15/2000 | Incendiary     | 65    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/6/2002   | Incendiary     | 74    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 4/7/2002   | Campfires      | 98    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/15/2006  | Debris Burning | 67    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Millport     | 8/17/2000  | Railroad       | 68    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 15             | 1604  |     |     | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local Input

#### Dam/ Levee Failure, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no dam or levee failures reported during this time frame.

## I. Thunderstorm Profile

A thunderstorm is a convective cloud that often produces heavy rain, wind gusts, thunder, lightning, and hail. Lamar County experiences many thunderstorms each year. The county is most susceptible to thunderstorms during the spring, summer, and late fall. Most of the damage caused by thunderstorms results from straight-line winds, lightning, flash flooding, and hail. Occasionally, thunderstorms will spawn tornados.

Primary Effects from thunderstorms in Lamar County would include:

- 1. High Winds, Straight-line Winds
- 2. Lightning
- 3. Flooding
- 4. Hail
- 5. Spawning Tornados

Hazardous results from significant thunderstorms in Lamar County would include:

- 1. High winds can cause downed trees and electrical lines resulting in loss of power
- 2. Severe storms are capable of producing intense lightning that poses many threats to people and infrastructure and can ignite fires.
- 3. Heavy rains can produce severe storm water run-off in developed areas and cause bodies of water to breach their banks.
- 4. Large hail can injure people and livestock and damage crops.
- 5. Severe thunderstorms can produce tornados that destroy anything in its path, resulting in loss of power, shelter, and potential loss of life.

The National Weather Service reported 66 severe thunderstorms during the ten-year study period of 1997 - 2006. An estimated \$939,000 in property damage and \$10,000 in crop damage resulted from these storms. No injuries or deaths were reported during these thunderstorm events. **Table 3-3** shows the historical occurrences of severe thunderstorms during the study period. All jurisdictions in Lamar County have been affected by thunderstorms. Of the storms reported, 16 affected the entire county, one occurred in an unincorporated county area, and the remaining 49 affected only specific municipalities.

## II. Lightning Profile

Lightning is a natural phenomenon associated with all thunderstorms, but can occur in the absence of a storm. Each jurisdiction is at risk for lightning strikes during a thunderstorm. Lightning strikes can cause power outages, fires, electrocution, and disruptions to communication systems. The National Weather Service showed five reports of severe lightning occurrences in Lamar County between 1997 and 2006. One event in 2001 in Vernon killed one person and injured six. One injury was reported in a Sulligent lightning strike in 2005. Together the events during the study period caused \$122,000 in property damage. One reported event caused a fire that burned 30 acres. **Table 3-3** includes the lightning data from available sources for Lamar County.

Primary Effects from Lightning in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Power Outages
- 2. Wild Fires

- 3. Electrocution
- 4. Disruption of Communication Waves

Hazardous results for significant Lightning in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Power outages result in tremendous losses for food distributors and individuals due to loss of refrigeration as well as disruptions to routine business operations.
- 2. Fires destroy most everything it comes in contact with and also can be detrimental to the health of any living organism due to the massive smoke cloud it produces.
- 3. Electrocution of electronic device such as water and sewer pumps can cause disruption in service leading to unsanitary conditions and lack of potable water.
- 4. Disrupted communications from electrical storms can result in inability to communicate with other agencies, making preparation or recovery from a storm nearly impossible.

## III. Hail Profile

Hail is frequently associated with severe thunderstorms. Thunderstorms have been known to produce hailstones one-quarter inch in diameter or larger. The National Weather Service reported 34 occasions where hail was present between 1997 and 2006 as shown in **Table 3-3**. Hail has the potential to cause major property damage. An estimated \$171,000 in property damage and \$3,000 in crop damage has occurred as a result of hail events in Lamar County. Each jurisdiction in Lamar County is vulnerable to experiencing hailstorms. The most significant event during the study period occurred in the Town of Detroit in May of 2003 when a reported 1.75 inches of hail fell, resulting in \$100,000 in property damage.

Primary Effects from Hail in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Property Damage
- 2. Crop Damage
- 3. Communication equipment damage
- 4. Livestock loss and injury

Hazardous results from significant Hail in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Any size hail can damage exposed real and personal property. Hail is a major problem for car dealerships, as the unprotected lots of cars receive major damage.
- 2. Heavy hail is capable of destroying entire crop yields. Farmers of above ground crops are especially concerned with hail as it is extremely detrimental to the crop.
- 3. Communication equipment, such as receivers, is susceptible to large hail. These instruments can be seriously damaged or destroyed by large hail.
- 4. Large hail is a danger to livestock of all sorts and is a threat farmers must consider. Hundreds of thousands of dollars are invested in these animals, which may be injured or killed in a hailstorm.

## IV. Tornado Profile

Tornados are rotating columns of air extending downward to the ground with recorded winds in excess of 300 miles per hour. They are highly localized events, most of which last for a short period of time and have a limited destruction path. In Alabama the typical tornado season extends from March through early June, with April and June being peak months for tornado activity. Additionally, Alabama experiences a secondary tornado season from November through December. **Figure 3-1** shows the general paths of tornados across the United States.

**Figure 3-2** shows the FEMA designated wind zones in the United States. Lamar County is located in Zone IV, which warrants profiling. Zone IV has witnessed a higher frequency of tornados than any other zone. Zone IV has also witnessed some of the deadliest tornados in history.

The National Weather Service and local sources have reported eighteen tornados and two funnel clouds in Lamar County since 1997. The most severe tornado, an F-3, occurred in Kennedy on November 24, 2001 killing two and injuring one person. The event also had the highest amount of damages reported in the county for a single event at \$600,000. An estimated \$1,282,000 in property damage and \$45,000 crop damage occurred as a result of the reported tornados.

Each jurisdiction has been affected by tornado activity in the past. The location of Lamar County in wind zone IV, past occurrences of tornados, and the potential for future occurrences to cause damage, death, and injuries leaves Lamar County vulnerable to tornados.

Primary effects from Tornados in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Loss of life
- 2. Property damage
- 3. Infrastructure destruction and damage
- 4. Sanitation and water delivery interruption

Hazardous results from significant Tornados in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Collapse of structures can leave people homeless.
- 2. Roadways may become blocked by debris. Damage may destroy automobiles, creating additional hardships to individuals and families and business operations.
- 3. High wind speeds associated with a tornado can destroy anything in its path. Power poles topple, communication receivers are destroyed, and water sanitation and treatment plants are offline.
- 4. Due to destruction, sanitation crews are unable to remove massive amounts of waste, and water delivery is disrupted. This can lead to an increase in disease-carrying insects and lack of potable water.



Figure 3-1: Generalized Tornado Paths

Source: Chermock, Ralph L.1976. <u>Hurricanes and Tornados in Alabama</u>. Geological Survey of Alabama, Pg.28



**Figure 3-2: Wind Zones in the United States** 

Source: http://energyshelter.com/windzonesmaps.htm

Tornados are now measured using the new enhanced Fujita Tornado Scale by examining the damage caused by the tornado after it passes over man-made structures and vegetation. The new scale was put into use in February of 2007. Due to the study period of the plan, which goes through 2006, events shown in **Table 3-3** express the magnitude of tornados using the original Fujita scale. Below is a table comparing the estimated winds in the original F-scale and the operational EF-scale that is currently in use by the National Weather Service. Like the original scale there are six categories from zero to five that represent damage in increasing degrees.

The new scale incorporates the use of 28 Damage Indicators and 8 Degrees of Damage to assign a rating. Detailed information can be found at the following link <u>EF-scale documentation</u>, which includes additional enhanced descriptions of damage to multiple types of structures and vegetation with photographs, a PC-based expert system, and enhanced training materials.

| FU          | U <mark>JITA SC</mark> A     | OPERATIONAL<br>EF-SCALE   |              |                           |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| F<br>Number | Fastest<br>1/4-mile<br>(mph) | 3 Second<br>Gust<br>(mph) | EF<br>Number | 3 Second<br>Gust<br>(mph) |
| 0           | 40-72                        | 45-78                     | 0            | 65-85                     |
| 1           | 73-112                       | 79-117                    | 1            | 86-110                    |
| 2           | 113-157                      | 118-161                   | 2            | 111-135                   |
| 3           | 158-207                      | 162-209                   | 3            | 136-165                   |
| 4           | 208-260                      | 210-261                   | 4            | 166-200                   |
| 5           | 261-318                      | 262-317                   | 5            | <b>Over 200</b>           |

## Table 3-4: Fujita Tornado Scales

http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/satellite/satelliteseye/educational/fujita.html

## V. Flood Profile

There are three types of flooding that affect Lamar County: (1) general flooding, (2) storm water runoff, and (3) flash flooding. General flooding occurs in areas where development has encroached into flood-prone areas. Storm water runoff causes flooding in areas that have inadequate drainage systems. Flash flooding is caused when a large amount of rain falls within a short period of time. **Table 3-3** shows severe flooding events in Lamar County recorded by the National Weather Service. Between 1997 and 2006 there were 11 occurrences of flash flooding in the county. Damages from these events totaled \$87,000 in property damage and \$5,000 in crop damage. No injuries were reported.

Recent events not reflected in the study period include two significant rain events that caused countywide flooding and affected most of the municipalities to varying degrees. In early January of 2009 3-5 inches of rain fell during a two-day period and caused flash flooding and washed out numerous roads. Again in late February the county received a reported 4-6 inches of rainfall. A total of ten 10' culverts were destroyed as roadways were washed out; numerous roads were closed and school was cancelled. No injuries were reported. Combined damages to roads and bridges for the two events totaled over \$950,000. Sulligent, Vernon and Millport all experienced storm water runoff problems because drainage facilities could not handle the volume of rain.

Primary Effects from Floods in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Loss of life
- 2. Property damage
- 3. Crop damage
- 4. Dam and levee failure

Hazardous results from significant flood in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Rising water levels can quickly sweep people along in its path.
- 2. Rapidly moving water destroys anything in its path and also leaves hazardous mold and breeds insects.
- 3. Periods of standing water kills inadaptable plants, and flowing water removes sediment and nutrients from the soil.
- 4. Breached dams and levees allow water to flood into the surrounding floodplain resulting in destruction of crops and property.

## **Flood Assessment Tools**

## Programs

Lamar County participates in the *National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)*. The *NFIP* allows property owners to purchase federally sponsored flood insurance. The *NFIP* maps communities in order to establish Flood Risk Zones or Special Flood Hazards Areas. These hazard areas are then mapped on the *Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMS)*. *FIRMS* are used to assess the risks of floods and aid in proper floodplain management. An update of the flood maps of Lamar County began in FY2006. These maps are not yet complete and therefore were not available for use in this plan. Currently, the county and all of the jurisdictions are participants in the NFIP program.

## Regulations

The *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)* requires cities to obtain a NPDES permit for the discharge of wastewater/storm water. This program will address residential and commercial land uses, illicit discharges and improper disposal, industrial facilities, and construction sites. Currently, the Cities of Sulligent and Vernon have storm water management plans. Zoning Ordinances in the Town of Millport and the City of Vernon address land use regulations pertaining to hazard mitigation. These ordinances prohibit construction in the floodplains. Subdivision Regulations have been adopted by the Town of Millport, Town of Kennedy and the City of Vernon. Standard Building Codes have been adopted by the City of Vernon. Additionally, Lamar County and each jurisdiction have various plans and regulatory tools in place to aid in hazard mitigation as shown earlier in the plan in Table 1-1.

## TABLE 3-5 Lamar County NFIP/Flood Map Status

| Local Government  | NFIP Status   | FEMA Flood Map<br>update status | Effective FIRM<br>date<br>Mav | Action |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Lamar County      | Participating | Began FY2006                    | 2010                          |        |
| Town of Beaverton | Participating |                                 |                               |        |
| Town of Detroit   | Participating |                                 |                               |        |
| Town of Kennedy   | Participating |                                 |                               |        |
| Town of Millport  | Participating |                                 |                               |        |
| City of Sulligent | Participating |                                 |                               |        |
| City of Vernon    | Participating |                                 |                               |        |

Source: National Flood Insurance Program, ADECA Office of Water Resources, Participating Jurisdictions

## Repetitive Loss Properties

Repetitive loss properties are those for which two or more losses of at least \$1,000 each have been paid under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) within any 10-year period since 1978. *FEMA – Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance, July 1, 2008.* 

Lamar County has had only one repetitive loss property located in the City of Sulligent in the downtown area. The property, a non–residential structure, experienced two flood events and damages were restricted to the contents of the building only. The total losses paid were \$17,394.75. The City of Sulligent mitigated this problem with grading and fill.

## Flood Prone Areas

Lamar County has a high number of rural roads and bridges that are vulnerable to flooding during periods of excessive rain. These roads are located throughout the entire county and are not limited to any concentrated area. There are many major creeks in the county including the Luxapallila, Sipsey, Yellow, Hells, and Buttahatchee creeks.
# VI. Drought/Extreme Heat Profile

Drought occurs when there is a deficiency of precipitation over an extended period of time. Climatic factors, such as high temperature, high winds, and low relative humidity, can contribute to the severity of a drought. No society is immune to the social, economic, and environmental impacts of a drought. There are two primary types of drought: meteorological and hydrological droughts. These events can result in agricultural and socioeconomic droughts.

*Meteorological droughts* are defined as the degree of dryness as compared to the normal precipitation for the area over the duration of the dry season. This type of drought is specific to a given region since atmospheric conditions and precipitation vary from one region to the next.

*Hydrological droughts* are associated with the effects of precipitation deficiencies on surface or groundwater supplies. Hydrological droughts do not occur as often as meteorological or agricultural droughts. It takes longer for precipitation deficiencies to show up in soil moisture, stream flow, groundwater levels, and reservoir levels. Hydrological droughts have an immediate impact on crop production, but reservoirs may not be affected for several months. Climate, changes in land use, land degradation, and the construction of dams can have adverse effects on the hydrological system especially in drought conditions.

Agricultural droughts occur when the moisture in the soil no longer meets the needs of the crop.

Socioeconomic droughts occur when physical water shortage begins to affect people and their quality of life.

The National Weather Service uses two indexes to categorize drought. The most accurate index of short-term drought is the Crop Moisture Index (CMI). This index is effective in determining short-term dryness or wetness affecting agriculture. The most accurate index of long-term drought is the Palmer Index (PI). It has become the semi-official index of drought.

Primary effects from Drought and Excessive Heat in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Crop and other agricultural damage
- 2. Water supply shortage. Water wells, creeks, rivers, and lakes dry up
- 3. Forest fires
- 4. Heat exhaustion and heat stroke

Hazardous results from significant Drought and Excessive Heat in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Agricultural damage from drought will result in economic losses of crops and livestock.
- 2. A water supply shortage will result in the necessity for water to be trucked into the area, damage to the sewer system and lack of hydroelectric power.
- 3. Forest fires can devastate vast acreages and burn homes and businesses.
- 4. Heat exhaustion can be debilitating and result in a hospital stay. Heat stroke can cause death.

**Table 3-3** reflects that the National Weather Service reported three instances of drought for Lamar County in 2006. No crop or property damages were reported. Statewide, 31 counties were declared a disaster area. Alabama farmers received one million dollars in federal disaster aid along with other grant assistance. It was during this time that the State implemented its

Drought Monitoring System. An initial five wells were selected to track water levels around the state, with plans to increase the number of monitoring wells to 25. Drought conditions continued to escalate into 2007 and by August the Federal Government declared all 67 Alabama counties Natural Disaster areas. West-central Alabama reported a rainfall deficit that reached nearly 30 inches by 2007. Impacts were felt by farmers of all crops, including timber, livestock producers, and the forestry service. Additionally, electricity providers were affected as river and lake levels dropped and some municipalities were forced to place restrictions on water consumption as supplies became strained. State Agriculture Commissioner Ron Sparks referred to this event as the worst drought in 30-40 years.

# VII. Winter Storms/ Extreme Cold Temperatures Profile

Lamar County is vulnerable to extreme winter weather conditions, such as extreme cold temperatures, snow, and ice. Lamar County has experienced five winter storm events between 1997 and 2006. There were no reported damages. The most common impacts of severe winter weather are power failure due to downed power lines and traffic hazards. Also many homes and buildings, especially in rural areas, lack proper insulation or heating leading to risk of hypothermia.

**Table 3-3** shows the winter storm events that have affected Lamar County from 1997-2006. Each jurisdiction felt the impact of these storms.

Primary effects from winter storms in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Injury and damage from downed trees and utility lines due to the snow and ice load.
- 2. Widespread impassable roads and bridges.
- 3. Disruption of services and response capabilities.
- 4. Crop and other agricultural damage.

Hazardous results from winter storms in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Loss of power, communications, and fires are a common result of severe winter storms. Widespread power outages close down businesses and impact hospitals, nursing homes, and adult and child care facilities serving special needs populations.
- 2. Loss of transportation ability will affect emergency response, recovery and supply of food and materials.
- 3. Numerous vehicle accidents in a winter storm can stretch thin the resources of fire rescue and law enforcement.
- 4. Stranded motorists and the homeless can create a food and housing shortage within the community.
- 5. The widespread nature of winter storms usually creates a strain on police, fire and medical providers due to the volume of calls for service.

# VIII. Hurricane/Tropical Storm Profile

Hurricane season in the northern Atlantic Ocean, which affects the United States, begins on June 1 and ends on November 31. These months accompany warmer sea surface temperatures, which is a required element to produce the necessary environment for tropical cyclone/hurricane development.

According to data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Hurricane Center, there are three classification levels of storms based on wind speed. The first, a tropical depression, is "an organized system of clouds and thunderstorms with a defined surface cyclonic closed circulation and maximum sustained winds of 38 mph or less." A tropical storm is the second level and is described as "an organized system of strong thunderstorms with a defined surface circulation and maximum sustained winds of 39-73 mph." A "hurricane," which is the third classification level, is "an intense tropical weather system of strong thunderstorms with a well-defined surface circulation and maximum sustained winds of 74 mph or higher." Individual hurricanes vary in intensity and are categorized using the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale.

NOAA measures wind speeds for thunderstorm/wind and hurricane events in knots (kts) while the Saffir-Simpson scale, shown later in the Hurricane profile, measures wind speed in miles per hour. Both knots and miles per hour is a speed measured by a number of units of distance covered in certain amount of time. Here is how knots compare to mph: 1 knot = 1 nautical mile per hour = 6076.12 feet per hour 1 mph = 1 mile per hour = 5280 feet per hour To convert knots into miles per hour, multiply the number of knots by 1.151.

# Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale

Once a tropical storm reaches the level of a hurricane, it is then classified by the storm's intensity. Intensity levels, or categories, are used to assign a number (e.g., Category 1) to a hurricane based on the storm's intensity at the current time. The Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale has a total of five categories. Wind speed is the primary determining factor of where on the scale an individual storm will fall. The categories are a means to anticipate what impacts and damage any particular storm will inflict upon the location affected by it. With the scale in place, people within the hurricane's tract can better estimate the type of damage they should expect (i.e., wind, storm surge, and/or flooding impacts) due to the intensity of the oncoming hurricane.

# Category One Hurricane

- Wind speeds: 74-95 mph; storm surge 4-5 feet above normal sea levels
- No real damage to building structures; possible damage to poorly constructed signs
- Damages primarily to unanchored mobile homes, exposed shrubbery, and weaker trees
- Coastal road flooding and minor pier damage likely

# Category Two Hurricane

- Wind speeds: 96-110 mph; storm surge 6-8 feet above normal sea levels
- Buildings experience damage to roofing material, doors, and windows
- Shrubbery and trees experience considerable damage; some trees blown down
- Mobile homes, poorly constructed signs, and piers considerably damaged
- Coastal & low-lying escape routes flood 2-4 hours prior to arrival of hurricane eye
- Smaller boats in unprotected anchorages will break their moorings

# Category Three Hurricane

- Wind speeds: 111-130 mph; storm surge 9-12 feet above normal levels
- Structural damage to small residences and utility buildings, minor curtainwall failures
- Damage to shrubbery and trees with foliage blown off trees and large trees blown down
- Mobile homes and poorly constructed signs destroyed
- Low-lying escape routes flood 3-5 hours before arrival of eye of hurricane
- Small structures near coastline flooded; floating debris batters larger structures
- Terrain lower than 5 feet above mean sea level may be flooded inland 8 miles or more
- Evacuation of low-lying residents within several blocks of the shoreline may be required.

# Category Four Hurricane

- Wind speeds: 131-155 mph; storm surge 13-18 feet above normal
- Extensive curtainwall failures; some total roof structure failures on small residences
- Doors and windows of sturdier structures extensively damaged
- Major damage to lower floors of structures near the shore
- Terrain lower than 10 feet above sea level may be flooded requiring massive evacuation of residential areas as far inland as 6 miles.
- Includes damages and flooding at Category Three levels; increasingly severe

#### Category Five Hurricane

- Wind speeds greater than 155 mph; storm surge greater than 18 feet above normal levels
- Complete roof failure on many residences and industrial buildings
- Some complete building failures; small utility buildings being blown over or away
- Includes damages and flooding at Category Four levels; increasingly severe
- Severe and extensive window and door damage is anticipated
- Major damage to lower floors of all structures less than 15 feet above sea level and within 500 yards of shoreline
- Massive evacuation of residential areas on low ground within 5-10 miles of shoreline

# **Threats Related to Hurricanes**

Hurricanes impact regions in a variety of ways. The intensity of the storm, the speed of the winds, whether the storm moves through a region quickly or whether it stalls over one area all are variables toward the physical damage the storm will cause. Storm surges, high winds, and heavy rains are the three primary elements of hurricanes, while tornados and inland flooding are potential secondary elements caused in the wake of the storm. Lamar County is not directly affected by storm surges, therefore no additional analysis will be completed on the topic.

Primary Effects of Hurricanes:

- I. Storm Surges
  - a. Primary cause of deaths in hurricanes
  - b. Large volumes of ocean water that are driven onshore by a land-falling hurricane or tropical storm
  - c. Can increase mean water level by 15 feet+ if accompanied by tide
- II. Wind
  - a. Secondary cause of deaths related to hurricanes
  - b. Continue causing destruction as storm travels miles inland
  - c. Able to completely destroy towns and structures that fall within storm path
  - d. Winds near perimeter of eye of storm are strongest and most intense
  - e. Oftentimes produce tornados

#### III. Heavy Rains

- a. Rain levels during hurricanes can easily exceed 15 to 20 inches
- b. Cause flooding beyond coastal regions

#### Secondary Effects of Hurricanes:

- I. Tornados
  - a. Usually found in right-front quadrant of storm or embedded in rain bands
  - b. Some hurricanes capable of producing multiple twisters
  - c. Usually not accompanied by hail or numerous lightning strikes
  - d. Tornado production can occur for days after the hurricane makes landfall
  - e. Can develop at any time of the day or night during landfall of a hurricane
- II. Inland Flooding
  - a. Statistically responsible for greatest number of fatalities over last 30 years
  - b. Stronger storms not necessarily cause of most flooding; weaker storms that move slowly across the landscape can deposit large amounts of rain causing significant flooding

Lamar County is at a low risk for a direct hit by a hurricane due to its position several miles inland from the Alabama coastline. Although Lamar County does not feel the effects of storm surges, other effects including heavy rain, flooding, and tornados often have significant impacts on Lamar County. For example, in 1995 Hurricane Opal made landfall in the Florida Panhandle near Pensacola Beach. Opal then moved across the state of Alabama through Lamar County destroying trees, signs, and power lines with her high winds. Heavy rain fell quickly across the county causing flooding along the banks of creeks and streams. (http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/HAW2/english/history.shtml#opal)

#### Hurricane Ivan

Hurricane Ivan impacted southern Alabama from September 13-16, 2004, making landfall near Gulf Shores at approximately 10:00 a.m. on the 16<sup>th</sup> as a Category 3 hurricane. Storm surge values of 10-14 feet along the Alabama and Florida coastlines were the highest observed in over 100 years. As the storm moved inland, high winds and heavy rains wreaked havoc across the state. Heavy rainfall ranging between five and eight inches caused minor flooding across various areas of the state. Hurricane force winds were experienced for two to four hours across all inland Alabama counties, causing major damage to trees. These fallen trees were determined to be the primary cause of all inland structural damage attributed to the storm and caused electricity to residents to be interrupted for a week or more. Alabama totaled an estimated \$500,000,000 in damage to timber. Most of the soybean and pecan crops were destroyed, while the cotton crop suffered significantly though was not completely ruined. (http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwEvent~storms) There were no damages reported by local sources for Hurricane Ivan.

#### **Hurricane Dennis**

As a Category 3 hurricane, Dennis came ashore at Navarre Beach in the Florida Panhandle around 2:00 p.m. on July 10, 2005. Dennis brought with him sustained wind speed at 135 mph and estimated storm surges of 10-15 feet. The National Weather Service issued an inland hurricane warning, including all seven WARC counties, which indicated areas would experience substantial winds in excess of 74 mph with gusts up to 90 mph. The hurricane produced 5-10 inches of rain throughout Alabama. President Bush approved a disaster declaration to provide infrastructure assistance to governments in counties across Alabama, making them eligible to receive federal and state assistance to recover costs of debris removal operations and emergency protective measures. There were no reported damages from Hurricane Dennis by local sources.

# Hurricane Katrina

Hurricane Katrina made landfall on August 29, 2005 near Buras, Louisiana as a Category 3 storm and became known not only as the costliest, but also as one of the most devastating hurricanes in the history of the United States. It is the deadliest hurricane to strike U.S. coastlines since 1928 and produced damages in excess of \$75 billion.

Katrina had maximum sustained winds estimated to be 120 mph at landfall. As Katrina moved across land, the storm weakened, though it maintained hurricane status past Laurel, Mississippi. Southwestern Alabama experienced hurricane conditions as Katrina moved through neighboring Mississippi. (http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~ShowEvent~564984)

The effects of Katrina were widespread across Alabama, particularly areas in the western portions of the state. These effects included significant rainfall values totaling between 5 and 6 inches near the Mississippi state line and high winds with gusts recorded to be 68 mph out of Vance, Alabama. The rain and winds resulted in thousands of fallen trees and downed power lines. Power outages lasted from a few days to a week or more, and Alabama Power reported Katrina to be the worst storm in their history for statewide damage and power outages. Additionally, minor damage occurred to some structures throughout the area. In Alabama, six tornados also stemmed from Katrina, four of which were F-0 and two that were F-1. (http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~ShowEvent~564984) Local sources in Lamar County reported a total of \$206,106 in damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina.

# IX. Sinkholes/ Expansive Soils Profile

#### Sinkholes

Naturally occurring Sinkholes occur where limestone, carbonate rock, salt beds, or rocks can be dissolved by groundwater circulating through them. As the rock dissolves, spaces and caverns develop underground. The land usually stays intact until the underground spaces become too large to support the ground at the surface. When the ground loses its support it will collapse, forming a sinkhole. Sinkholes can be small or so extreme they consume an automobile or a house. The most damage from sinkholes tends to occur in Florida, Texas, Alabama, Missouri, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Pennsylvania.

Sinkholes do not pose a threat to Lamar County. There have been no reports of sinkholes from any source. **Figure 3-3** shows no outcrops of carbonate rocks in Lamar County. **Figure 3-4** also shows no active areas of sinkholes or subsidence throughout Lamar County, therefore no further profiling will be done.



Source: <u>http://www.gsa.al.state</u>

# Expansive soils

Expansive soils are soils that swell when they come in contact with water. The presence of clay is generally the cause of such behavior. Figure 3-5 shows the general soil areas for the state. Lamar County has Coastal Plains, Major Flood Plains and Alluvial soils. There were no expansive soils reported from NOAA or local sources during the time frame covered by the plan. Though these soils have shrink-swell potential, the committee does not feel a profile is necessary.



Figure 3-5: General Soils of Alabama

Source: Cartographic Research Lab, University of Alabama

# X. Landslide Profile

A landslide is defined by the United States Geological Survey as the movement of rock, debris, or earth down a slope. Various natural and man-induced triggers can cause a landslide. Naturally induced landslides occur as a result of weakened rock composition, heavy rain, changes in groundwater levels, and seismic activity. Geologic formations in a given area are key factors when determining landslide susceptibility. The three underlying geologic formations present within the region are the Coker, Gordo, and Tuscaloosa groups. These groups are classified as having low to moderate susceptibility to slope failure. A 1982 study performed by Karen F. Rheams of the United States Geological Survey indicated that no landslides had occurred in Lamar County. **Figure 3-6** shows that Lamar County is at a low risk of incidence. Only the northeast corner of Lamar County, including the Town of Beaverton, has a moderate incidence to landslides. There were no landslides reported from NOAA or local sources during the time frame covered by this plan.

Primary effects from landslide in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Property damage
- 2. Impassable roads
- 3. Sediment erosion
- 4. Underground infrastructure damage

Hazardous results from landslide in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Landslides move with tremendous force capable of destroying most structures in its path while carrying anything it comes in contact with.
- 2. Material from landslides can damage and destroy roads as well as block them with debris, resulting in disruption to business and other activity.
- 3. Removed sediment can leave the surrounding area bare and prone to erosion.
- 4. The flow of a landslide can rip underground pipes and wiring from an area as well as bury them deeper under debris, creating a loss of services.





Source: <u>http://www.hazardmaps.gov/savedMapManager.php</u>

#### XI. Earthquake Profile

An earthquake is a sudden slip on a fault and the resulting ground shaking and radiated seismic energy caused by the slip (http://geology.usgs.gov/index.shtml). The hazards associated with earthquakes include anything that can affect the lives of humans, including surface faulting, ground shaking, landslides, liquefaction, tectonic deformation, tsunamis, and seiches. Earthquake risk is defined as the probability of damage and loss that would result if an earthquake caused by a particular fault were to occur. Losses depend on several factors including the nature of building construction, population density, topography and soil conditions, and distance from the epicenter. Interestingly, an earthquake's magnitude can be a poor indicator of hazard impact because the duration of ground shaking, and resulting increased damages, is not factored into the magnitude concept. The majority of losses are due to collapsing houses and other structures, the most vulnerable being those of unreinforced masonry and adobe. Structures built with more flexible materials, such as steel framing are preferred. Wood frame construction, which constitutes a high percentage of homes in the United States, also tends to flex rather that collapse, but is more susceptible to fire. Building codes have historically been utilized to address construction standards to mitigate damages for earthquakes and other hazards. However, older structures, non-compliance, and incomplete knowledge of needed measures remain a problem. In order to reduce losses to lives and property, wider adoption of improved construction methods for both residential and important critical facilities such as hospitals, schools, dams, power, water, and sewer utilities is needed.

The 1996 U.S. Geological Survey Shaking-Hazard Map **Figure 3-7** shows that earthquakes are a low rated hazard in the state and shows that Lamar County has between a two and four percent probability of an earthquake occurring. These hazard categories are based on the frequency that earthquakes occur and the distance the earthquake can be felt from the epicenter. The colors depict the levels of horizontal shaking that have a 1-in-10 chance of being exceeded in a 50-year period. Shaking is expressed as a percentage of g, the gravitational acceleration of a falling object.

Although many areas of the United States are better known for their susceptibility, earthquakes do occur in Alabama. **Figure 3-8** shows the epicenters of earthquakes recorded in the state since 1886 as provided by the Geological Survey of Alabama. Those events that occurred in Lamar County during the study period of January 1997 – December of 2006 are noted in **Table 3-3**.

Lamar County has been the epicenter for two earthquakes during the study period. The unincorporated community of Fernbank was the epicenter for an event in 2005 that had a recorded magnitude of 2.5. Fernbank is located in south central Lamar County just northwest of Millport. The second event was in 1999 in the Town of Millport and had a magnitude of 2.0. No damage or injuries were reported for the event. Prior to the study period, the Town of Kennedy experienced two small quakes in 1990 and 1975. These occurrences had negligible effects on the area.



Figure 3-7: 1996 U.S. Geological Survey Shaking-Hazard Map

Source: Geological Survey of Alabama at <u>http://www.gsa.state.al.us/gsa/EQ2/eg.html</u>





Source: Geological Survey of Alabama at http://www.gsa.state.al.us/gsa/geologichazards/earthquakes/eqinal.html

Two zones of frequent earthquake activity that could potentially impact Lamar County are the New Madrid Seismic Zone shown in **Figure 3-9** and the Southern Appalachian Seismic Zone shown in **Figure 3-10**. Damage could be significant in Lamar County if a powerful earthquake were to occur because buildings in this part of the country have not been constructed to withstand such a powerful force. The last significant earthquake that affected Alabama was the 1895 New Madrid earthquake. This quake registered a 6.8 on the Richter scale and was moderately felt throughout the southeastern United States. The New Madrid Fault line runs along the Mississippi River. Geologists agree that another major earthquake along the New Madrid Fault line could cause chimneys to fall, glass to break, and walls to crack in Lamar County.

Figure 3-9: New Madrid Seismic Zone

Figure 3-10: Southern Appalachian Seismic Zone





Source: <u>http://samab.org/data/SAACD.html</u>

Source: http://quake.wr.usgs.gov/prepare/factsheets/HiddenHazs/NMSZBig.gif

In the eastern United States strong earthquakes occur less frequently than other parts of the country; however, this does not mean that the damage in this area would be any less catastrophic should a powerful quake occur. There are two important reasons for this. The first is that the type of rock present in the eastern part of the country transmits seismic waves more effectively. This in turn creates better transmission of earthquake energy and results in higher damage over a wider area. Second, because buildings and other structures in the eastern United States have not been designed to withstand severe earth shaking, they will sustain more damage.

Primary effects from earthquake in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Property Damage
- 2. Underground infrastructure damage
- 3. Building collapse
- 4. Trigger for other natural disasters

Hazardous results from earthquake in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Shaking can cause cracking of roads, bridges, or buildings, which may also lead to collapse.
- 2. Pipes and wiring underground could be severely damaged due to the movement of the earth. This would result in interruption of service and long periods of repair before lines were serviceable again.
- 3. Buildings in Lamar County are not built to meet the rigors of earthquakes; collapsing structures could kill or injure occupants.
- 4. Earthquakes can create other disasters such as landslides, flooding, and sinkholes. Shifting of underlying soil and breaching of dams are examples of possible results from an earthquake.

# XII. Wildfire Profile

Wildfires are responsible for burning thousands of acres of land across the United States each year. They are large, fast moving, disastrous fires that occur in the wilderness or rural areas. These fires are uncontrolled and in dry conditions can spread rapidly through the surrounding vegetation and structures. Lamar County is susceptible to wildland fires especially during times of drought. Lamar County has a total of 314,600 acres of forestland.

**Table 3-3** shows the number of wildfires reported in Lamar County by the Alabama Forestry Commission and the National Forest Service. Data was available from 2000 through 2006 only. For the purposes of this report a wildfire was defined as a fire affecting 25 acres or more. During the reporting period there have been 15 wildfires in Lamar County that burned a total of 1,604 acres.

The National Forest Service (NFS) maintains data nationwide and produces various maps and forecasts daily under the Wildland Fire Assessment System (WFAS). A review of this data showed Lamar County has an 11-15 percent probability of a fire occurring because of a lightning strike. The probability of ignition by lightning depends mainly on fuel moisture. Fuel Model Maps help to determine susceptibility of vegetative cover to wildfires. Lamar County is covered by Fuel Models A and C. Areas covered by these models consist of light fuel vegetation such as herbaceous plants and round woods that are less than one-quarter of an inch.

**Figure 3-11** and **Figure 3-12** from the Alabama Forestry Commission show Lamar County's risk of a wildland fire on a given acre and the fire occurrences per 1,000 acres. The areas at highest risk in Lamar County are along the southwest border of the county line and an area just north of the unincorporated area of Bedford in the east central part of the county. These areas include spots ranked as either "High" and/or "Extreme" on both the fire susceptibility and fire occurrence indexes.

Primary effects from wildfire in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Loss of property
- 2. Loss of livestock
- 3. Destruction of wilderness
- 4. Crop destruction

Hazardous results from significant wildfire in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Widespread fire destroys everything flammable, leaving people homeless and businesses destroyed.
- 2. Fenced in livestock have no way of escaping the path of a wildfire, and most are lost due to smoke inhalation.
- 3. Most wildfires actually help forests grow because they rid the forest of underbrush, but exceptionally hot fires that have a long duration destroy entire forests.
- 4. An entire year's crop can be lost by burning through all vegetation.

Figure 3-11







# XIII. Dam Failure Profile

The National Inventory of Dams lists eleven dams in Lamar County. **Table 3-6** lists the number of dams in each hazard category. One dam was classified as having high hazard potential, meaning failure or misoperation would probably result in the loss of human life. This dam has since been mitigated. Two dams are listed in the significant risk category meaning their failure would probably not result in the loss of life but would result in economic loss, environmental damage, and disruption of lifeline facilities. The remaining eight dams in the county are listed as low risk meaning that their failure or misoperation would not result in the loss of life and only result in low economic or environmental damage. None of the dams is located in a municipality. All are located in sparsely populated areas scattered throughout the unincorporated jurisdiction. **Table 3-7** provides an inventory listing of all the dams in Lamar County and includes additional data on each. **Map 3-1** shows the locations of these dams and their associated risk category.

There were no dam or levee failures reported from NOAA or local sources during the time frame considered by this plan.

Primary effects from Dam failure in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Loss of life
- 2. Destruction of property
- 3. Unregulated water flow to surrounding areas.
- 4. Increased amount of disease and disease-carrying animals in the area.

Hazardous results from dam failure in Lamar County would include:

- 1. Heavy flooding would be a direct result of a dam failure causing many deaths by injuring and trapping people in structures.
- 2. Large amounts of water would sweep with it property and severely damage any that remained in the area. Chemical spills from local factories caused by rushing water would pollute the area and destroy crops and other property.
- 3. The river would be able to flow naturally once the dam was breached, damaging any structures in the path, as well as interrupted wildlife cycles and hydrologic power supply.
- 4. A direct result of the flood would be increased disease such as West Nile and Malaria as a result of the unsanitary conditions.

# Table 3-6: Lamar County National Inventory of Dams Risk Categories

| Hazard Categories | Number of Dams |
|-------------------|----------------|
| High              | 1*             |
| Significant       | 2              |
| Low               | 8              |
| Undetermined      | 0              |
| Total             | 11             |

Source: Army Corps of Engineers\* Dam has been destroyed



| Dam Name                              | NID ID  | River                   | NID<br>Height | NID<br>Storage | Year<br>Completed | Drainage<br>Area | Hazard | County | Longitude | Latitude |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|
| DOLAN DAVIS<br>DAM                    | AL00114 | TR-MUD<br>CREEK         | 15.00         | 70.00          | 1960              |                  | L      | LAMAR  | -88.1733  | 33.6067  |
| LAMAR COUNTY<br>PUBLIC LAKE<br>DAM    | AL00110 | TR-CUT BANK<br>CREEK    | 23.00         | 504.00         | 1950              |                  | S      | LAMAR  | -88.2333  | 33.7750  |
| FAULKNER<br>LAKE DAM                  | AL01478 | TR-YELLOW<br>CREEK      | 18.00         | 64.00          | 1946              |                  | н      | LAMAR  | -88.1267  | 33.7767  |
| B B BOX DAM                           | AL00107 | TR-CUT BANK<br>CREEK    | 25.00         | 462.00         | 1970              | 0.19530          | L      | LAMAR  | -88.2367  | 33.7850  |
| WILLIAM<br>PHILLIPS DAM<br>AT BEDFORD | AL00116 | DRY BRANCH              | 18.00         | 78.00          | 1969              | 0.06250          | L      | LAMAR  | -88.1967  | 33.7967  |
| SULLIGENT DAM                         | AL00109 | INDIAN<br>CREEK         | 30.00         | 872.00         | 1956              | 0.39060          | L      | LAMAR  | -88.1750  | 33.8683  |
| GAULT LAKE<br>DAM                     | AL00108 | TR-MUD<br>CREEK         | 20.00         | 116.00         | 1950              | 0.05470          | L      | LAMAR  | -88.1367  | 33.6483  |
| YATES DAM                             | AL00111 | TR-HELL<br>CREEK        | 36.00         | 383.00         | 1957              | 0.54690          | L      | LAMAR  | -87.9617  | 33.7367  |
| ROGERS DAM                            | AL00112 | TR-WILSON<br>CREEK      | 20.00         | 176.00         | 1953              | 0.23440          | S      | LAMAR  | -88.1933  | 33.7417  |
| DUBOSE DAM                            | AL00115 | TR-BROWNS<br>MILL CREEK | 20.00         | 72.00          | 1962              | 0.08590          | L      | LAMAR  | -87.9900  | 33.7517  |
| MCGEE DAM                             | AL00113 | TR-MUD<br>CREEK         | 18.00         | 92.00          | 1960              | 0.13280          | L      | LAMAR  | -88.1367  | 33.6283  |

Table 3-7: Lamar County Dam Inventory

Source: http://crunch.tec.army.mil/nidpublic/webpages/nid.cfm

#### Hazard Probability Assessment

The Hazard Probability Assessment shows the likelihood of a future occurrence based on the number of historical occurrences and population affected by each event. Data are from NOAA, the National Forest Service, the Alabama Forestry Commission, and the Geological Survey of Alabama. The source data was supplemented by the Lamar County EMA and local input to provide the number of historical occurrences and affected population of events of the past 10-year period of 1997-2006. Probability was calculated by dividing the number of occurrences of each hazard type by the number of days (3,650) in the ten-year study period. This provided the probability, or likelihood, that each hazard has of occurring on any given day in Lamar County during the next ten years. There are instances where the probability of a future event for an identified hazard could not be determined due to the absence of historical event data. This is a data limitation beyond the control of an affected jurisdiction.

The county's Hazard Probability Assessment is shown in **Table 3-8**. Each jurisdiction has an individual hazard probability assessment shown in Section Five of the plan.

| Table 3-8:                  |   |   |         |               |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---------|---------------|--|--|
|                             | Hazard Pr                               | obability Ass                           | essment |               |  |  |
| Natural Hazards             | Number of<br>Historical<br>Occurrences* | Probability<br>of Future<br>Occurrence* | Extent* | Area Affected |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind           | 66                                      | 1.8%                                    | >10%    | County-wide   |  |  |
| Lightning                   | 6                                       | 0.2%                                    | >10%    | County-wide   |  |  |
| Hail                        | 34                                      | 1.0%                                    | 5-10%   | County-wide   |  |  |
| Tornado                     | 20                                      | 0.5%                                    | >10%    | County-wide   |  |  |
| Flood                       | 11                                      | .03                                     | 5-10%   | County-wide   |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat        | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | County-wide   |  |  |
| Winter Storm                | 5                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | County-wide   |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | County-wide   |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |
| Landslide                   | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |
| Earthquake                  | 2                                       | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | County-wide   |  |  |
| Wildfire                    | 15                                      | 0.4%                                    | 5/10%   | County-wide   |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure           | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |

Source: NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions; Geological Survey of Alabama

Methodology: Number of historical occurrences is events reported by all sources during the ten-year period of January 1, 1997 – December 31, 2006. Probability is expressed as a percentage of the chance of an event occurring on any given day by dividing the number of historical events by 3,650 (days in the ten-year period). Extent is expressed as the percentage assigned by the jurisdiction's ranking in the vulnerability summary (Table 4-9).

\* Zero denotes no data available to determine the probability, extent, or affected area.

#### Section Four: Vulnerability Assessment

In section Three, the primary and hazardous results were considered for each identified hazard. In this section each hazard was further reviewed to identify the impacts on the county and its jurisdictions. Impact in terms of dollar value for past hazard occurrences are shown for the County in **Table 3-3** and for each jurisdiction in their individual Hazard Event table in Section Five of the plan.

#### Thunderstorms (wind, lightning, and hail)

Thunderstorm damages have a wide range of severity. Falling trees and flying debris are responsible for most of the destruction. Lightning can cause substantial property damage and death. Utility disruption and blocked roadways are also common. Historically Lamar County has experienced these storms every year with varying frequency and intensity. Winds of 65 knots have been recorded during these events. Hailstones as large as 1.75 inches have occurred resulting in property and crop damage. Since these storms have no defined track, all residents of Lamar County are vulnerable to the impacts of severe thunderstorms.

#### Tornados

The impacts of tornados can be far-reaching. Property damage, injury, and death can result from the weakest tornados. Interruption of electrical services, communications, and other utilities may occur. Transportation corridors may be blocked or even destroyed. Debris removal can take time and can be costly. Residents may suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety, and grief for lost loved ones. Limited emergency personnel results in longer response times. Tornados do not follow a definite path; therefore, all residents of Lamar County are vulnerable to tornados. Tornados ranging in intensity of F0 to F3 have been recorded in Lamar County.

Areas with higher population densities carry increased potential for damage, injury and death. The Cities of Sulligent and Vernon, and the Town of Millport have the highest number of residents in the county and would be impacted the most. Areas with high concentrations of mobile homes are also very vulnerable to tornados. Lamar County has 1,807 mobile homes countywide, 24% of the total housing stock. The City of Sulligent has the highest number of mobile homes within a municipality with 192 (18.7%).

#### Flooding

Flooding can occur along the banks of creeks and streams that flow throughout the County and where development has encroached in the floodplain. Flash flooding can occur anywhere in the County but is most likely in areas with denser development patterns. The Cities of Sulligent and Vernon and the other towns in the county are vulnerable to flash flooding that can occur due to inadequate or clogged drainage systems during periods of excessive rainfall. To date, there have been limited Repetitive Loss properties in Lamar County to indicate any significant impact areas. Impacts for both flood types includes property and crop damage, washed out roadways, contamination or failure of water and sewer systems, increase in waterborne disease, and possible dam or levee failure.

#### Drought and extreme heat

All of Lamar County is vulnerable to drought and extreme heat occurrences. The effects are far-reaching and impact people, livestock, crops, and hydrologic systems. Droughts create conditions of increased vulnerability to wild fires, which destroy lives and property, and also leads to water supply shortages as reservoirs and groundwater levels drop. Heat exhaustion and stroke are common and can disproportionately impact the elderly and low-income residents who cannot afford air conditioning.

#### Winter storms and extreme cold

Lamar County has been affected by several winter storms in the past, which have included snow, sleet, ice and extreme temperatures. The entire county along with all jurisdictions have been impacted by these events. Lamar County is not as readily equipped to deal with winter storm events. As a result, ice and snow can leave roads impassable, effectively crippling residents from traveling to school, work, or the grocery store. The most significant impacts from a winter storm event are power outages and consequential loss of heat, numerous transportation-related accidents, and stranded motorists. Much like drought, extreme cold has more impact on disadvantaged populations, especially the homeless.

#### Hurricanes and Tropical Storms

Lamar County has experienced several Hurricanes/Tropical Storms including Ivan, Dennis, and Katrina. Fallen trees, high winds, and excessive rain were widespread throughout the county. These storms left large numbers of residents without power and tremendous amounts of debris blocking roadways. Like tornados, the impact from these events is most felt by those living in mobile homes in the county and its jurisdictions (24% of the housing stock). Additional impacts include clean up of debris and the restoration of power and other damaged utilities. The effects of these events are often widespread and not concentrated to an isolated or specific location. Inadequate manpower to deal with the amount of damage often causes delays getting systems back to normal, further impacting residents.

#### Sinkholes and Expansive Soils

During the Risk Assessment, it was determined that sinkholes and expansive soils were not a significant threat to the county due to the absence of outcrops of carbonate rocks and limited adverse effects and shrink-swell potential of soils in the county. While the County and the Town of Beaverton identified this hazard in the risk assessment there have been no reported events and both jurisdictions ranked this as a very low priority hazard.

#### Landslides

During the Risk Assessment, it was determined that landslides were not a significant threat to the county due to the absence of any reported occurrences. The County and the Town of Beaverton identified this hazard in the risk assessment. Both jurisdictions ranked this as a very low priority hazard indicating that impacts would not be significant.

#### Earthquakes

Lamar County has experienced low magnitude earthquake events in the past, primarily in the southern part of the county. No damage or injuries were reported from these events. The

impacts from earthquakes would be negligible. Impacts are possible in all jurisdictions. The built environment, including underground infrastructure, is most likely to be affected; however, earthquakes can trigger other natural disasters such as landslides and sinkholes.

#### Wildfires

Due to the vast amount of forestland in Lamar County, wildfires can impact the entire county and all its municipalities. Wildfires can encroach on homes and developed areas. Smoke from these fires may lead to limited visibility along roadways, increasing the probability of accidents. In addition, wildfires in Lamar County can destroy property, crops and livestock, threatening the economic livelihood of the County.

#### Dam and levee failure

There are eleven dams located in Lamar County. Only one dam, located in north Vernon, had been classified as having High hazard potential, and it has since been destroyed. While none of the jurisdictions identified this hazard in the risk assessment and there have been no prior historical occurrences, this hazard was profiled due to the possibility of a future occurrence. The impact of a dam failure in the county is low given the dam locations are in remote areas with little residential occupancy. None of the municipalities would be impacted. Areas likely to be affected are unincorporated areas to the southwest of Vernon, northeast of Millport, and near the eastern county line. Potential impacts would be limited or unregulated water flow, associated damages to property and crops, and a potential increase in waterborne disease.

#### Socially Vulnerable Populations

Certain populations are generally more affected by hazard events. These populations can be defined in terms of social, racial, and economic characteristics. Data provided in the section was obtained from 2000 Census using breakouts for entire municipalities and census tracts.

**Table 4-1** shows the county's population characteristics by jurisdiction and by census tract. Lamar County contains three census tracts (See **Map 4-1**). Those tracts with the highest population are the most vulnerable. Tract 301 is the most populated tract, which contains the City of Vernon. Tract 300 is the second most populated tract and includes the City of Sulligent and the Towns of Beaverton and Detroit. Tract 302 is the least populated tract and contains the Towns of Kennedy and Millport.

Minority populations are generally considered to be more vulnerable to hazard events. These populations may not have the resources necessary to recover as quickly or completely from disasters. Minorities generally have higher percentages of inadequate medical insurance, inadequate home insurance, and homes that may be deemed as substandard housing.

Populations over sixty-five years of age and those under eighteen years of age are more vulnerable than other population groups. These groups are at higher risk for injury and medical complications that may occur during or as a result of a disaster. These special needs populations may require more attention during evacuation and may require special shelters.

In addition to the racial and age composition within the county, income levels are important when identifying vulnerable populations. Lower income individuals may not have the resources to prepare or recover from disasters. **Table 4-2** shows the median household income, per capita income, and poverty level data for the jurisdictions and census tracts in Lamar County.

The median household income for the State of Alabama is \$34,135. The median household income for the United States is \$41,994. None of the census tracts in the County has a median household income that equals or exceeds the state or national average. Per capita income is the average obtained by dividing aggregate income by the total population of an area. The per capita income for the State of Alabama is \$18,189. The per capita income for the United States is \$21,587. None of the municipalities or census tracts in the County has per capita income that equals or exceeds these averages.

The percent of persons below the poverty level in the State of Alabama is 16.1%. The corresponding rate for the United States is 12.4%. Much of the county is above one or both of these rates. Only the Town of Beaverton has rates below both the state and national level. The City of Sulligent and census tract 302 fall below the state rate. The Town of Detroit has the highest poverty rate at 23.9%.

| Table 4-1: Lamar County | Population | Characteristics |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|
|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|

|                      | Population | Race-White | Race-Black | Race-Other* | der 18 years | Age 18-64<br>years | Age 65 and<br>Over |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Geographic Area      |            |            |            | -           | Unc          |                    |                    |
| Lamar County Total   | 15,904     | 13,816     | 1,906      | 182         | 3,750        | 9,626              | 2,528              |
| Beaverton            | 226        | 216        | 7          | 3           | 44           | 129                | 53                 |
| Detroit              | 247        | 196        | 51         | 0           | 74           | 141                | 32                 |
| Kennedy              | 541        | 462        | 74         | 5           | 128          | 326                | 87                 |
| Millport             | 1,160      | 760        | 394        | 6           | 278          | 690                | 192                |
| Sulligent            | 2,151      | 1,658      | 469        | 24          | 508          | 1,297              | 346                |
| Vernon               | 2,143      | 1,847      | 271        | 25          | 516          | 1,251              | 376                |
| Unincorporated area* | 9,436      | 8,677      | 640        | 119         | 2,202        | 5,792              | 1,442              |
| Census Tracts        |            |            |            |             |              |                    |                    |
| 300                  | 5,264      | 4,494      | 718        | 52          | 1,268        | 3,178              | 818                |
| 301                  | 6,143      | 5,420      | 624        | 99          | 1,421        | 3,696              | 1,026              |
| 302                  | 4,497      | 3,902      | 564        | 31          | 1,061        | 2,752              | 684                |
|                      |            |            |            |             |              |                    |                    |

Source: 2000 Census, \* WARC calculations



# Table 4-2: Lamar County Income Data

| Geographic Area | Median Household<br>Income | Per Capita<br>Income | Persons Below<br>Poverty Level | Percent Below<br>Poverty Level |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Lamar County    | \$28,059                   | \$14,435             | 2,521                          | 16.1%                          |
| Beaverton       | \$20,250                   | \$12,782             | 22                             | 9.9%                           |
| Detroit         | \$19,531                   | \$10,107             | 53                             | 23.9%                          |
| Kennedy         | \$24,750                   | \$12,447             | 84                             | 16.0%                          |
| Millport        | \$26,458                   | \$12,823             | 243                            | 21.0%                          |
| Sulligent       | \$26,541                   | \$15,794             | 442                            | 21.0%                          |
| Vernon          | \$27,344                   | \$14,784             | 322                            | 15.6%                          |
| Census Tracts   |                            |                      |                                |                                |
| 300             | 28,103                     | 14,331               | 906                            | 17.3%                          |
| 301             | 26,647                     | 14,702               | 984                            | 16.6%                          |
| 302             | 29,933                     | 14,191               | 631                            | 14.1%                          |

Source: 2000 Census

#### Vulnerable Structures

Housing is an important consideration of mitigation planning. The concentration and the type of housing are two primary factors. In Lamar County there are a total of 7,517 housing units. **Table 4-3** shows the housing characteristics of the county by jurisdiction.

| Geographic Area      | Total Housing Units | Mobile Home Units | Mobile Home Percent |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Lamar County Total   | 7,517               | 1,807             | 24.0%               |
| Beaverton            | 124                 | 35                | 28.2%               |
| Detroit              | 118                 | 14                | 11.9%               |
| Kennedy              | 252                 | 63                | 25.0%               |
| Millport             | 554                 | 79                | 14.3%               |
| Sulligent            | 1,025               | 192               | 18.7%               |
| Vernon               | 1,067               | 77                | 7.2%                |
| Unincorporated area* | 4,377               | 1,347             | 30.8%               |

# Table 4-3: Lamar County Housing Characteristics

#### Source: 2000 Census, DP-4, \* WARC calculations

The City of Vernon has the greatest concentration of housing units, followed closely by the City of Sulligent. Sulligent is the municipality with the highest number of mobile homes. However, at 30.8%, the unincorporated area has the highest percentage of mobile homes compared to its total number of housing units. Mobile home units are historically very vulnerable to a variety of hazards and prone to high amounts of damage and complete destruction.

**Table 4-4** shows the building stock in Lamar County by general occupancy. The data provides the number of buildings by use and is shown by census tract. According to this data, provided by HAZUS-MH MR3 2007 software, tract 301 has the highest number of structures in the county. Complementing this information is **Table 4-5** that provides the value totals for these building types and is again shown by census tract. Tract 301 also has the highest total value for structures in the county.

Lamar County does not have any residential or commercial repetitive loss properties at this time.

# Table 4-4: Lamar County Building Stock by General Occupancy

|                           |             |            |            |             |           |            |           | Building |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Tract                     | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Agriculture | Religious | Government | Education | Count    |
| 300                       | 2,350       | 24         | 11         | 1           | 3         | 4          | 1         | 2,394    |
| 301                       | 2,631       | 30         | 16         | 1           | 7         | 4          | 1         | 2,690    |
| 302                       | 1,998       | 13         | 4          | 1           | 3         | 2          | 1         | 2,022    |
| Source: HAZUS-MH MR3 2007 |             |            |            |             |           |            |           |          |

|         |   |            |            |             |           |            |           | Total    |
|---------|---|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Tract   | Residential   | Commercial | Industrial | Agriculture | Religious | Government | Education | Exposure |
| 300     | 256,274   | 69,619     | 38,900     | 4,410       | 12,034    | 8,242      | 5,358     | 394,837  |
| 301     | 333,966   | 94,180     | 69,256     | 1,514       | 22,890    | 7,242      | 10,744    | 539,792  |
| 302     | 241,614   | 31,250     | 12,242     | 1,740       | 11,994    | 3,018      | 2,592     | 304,450  |
| Source: | Source: HAZUS-MH MR3 2007 Numbers shown in thousands of dollars |            |            |             |           |            |           |          |

# Critical Facility Inventory

Critical facilities are crucial to the daily operation of Lamar County. Critical facilities help maintain a certain quality of life. Loss of operation could result in severe impacts on the community. Each of the critical facilities listed in **Table 4-6** is vulnerable to each of the hazards identified in the risk assessment. Critical facilities include but are not limited to the following:

- Governmental services
- Police and Fire Departments
- Public Works
- Education
- Industrial
- Medical

Each local government listed facilities based on the location of the facility without regard to ownership or function. The Lamar County Courthouse, for example, is shown on the City of Vernon's list based on its location in the city. The County's list will show only what is located in the unincorporated areas. Each local government also provided addresses and approximate values for the facilities listed, using replacement values from their insurance policies when available. As part of the plan update the lists were expanded upon and reorganized, and many of the facilities (with the exception of water and sewer systems) have been mapped when adequate data was provided (see **Map 4-2**). The county's critical facility list and map are shown in this section while those for the individual jurisdictions are shown in Section Five.

Critical facilities were reviewed to consider vulnerability to special flood hazard areas. The determination utilized the review of existing FIRMs or FHBMs. Critical facilities in Lamar County identified as being in a special flood hazard area and particularly vulnerable to floods include:

Unincorporated Jurisdiction:575,000Henson Springs Volunteer Fire Department located 8288 River Rd\$75,000Water booster pump at Hwy 17 and County Road 35\$40.000

# TABLE 4-6: Lamar County Critical Facilities

| Facility                      | Loostion                   | ٨٢٥٥             |                 | Value              |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Governmental Services         | Location                   | Alea             | 036             | Value              |
| *Crossville Fire Dent         | 16090 Hway 18              | Outside Vernon   | Fire Protection | \$75,000           |
| *Henson Springs               | 8288 River Rd              |                  | Fire Protection | 75,000             |
| *Mollov Fire Department       | 52 McGill Gin Rd           |                  | Fire Protection | 75,000             |
| *Star- Sunnyside Fire Dept    |                            |                  | Fire Protection | 75,000             |
|                               |                            |                  |                 | 75,000             |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
| Public Works                  |                            |                  |                 |                    |
| Water tank                    | Turman Rd                  | Lost Creek       | Water Storage   | 240,000            |
| Water tank                    | Abernathy Rd               | W of Sulligent   | Water Storage   | 150,000            |
| Water tank                    | CR 49S                     | Crossville       | Water Storage   | 400,000            |
| Water tank                    | CR 20                      | Hightogy         | Water Storage   | 400,000            |
| Water tank                    | Buck Jackson Rd            | Wofford          | Water Storage   | 400,000            |
| Water Tank(Vernon System)     | Convalescent Center Rd     | Near Vernon      | Water Storage   | 300,000            |
| Water booster pump station    | 278 E                      | E of Beaverton   | Potable water   | 60,000             |
| Water booster pump station    | Hwy17/CR 35                | N of Sulligent   | Potable water   | 40,000             |
| Water booster pump station    | Mulberry Rd                | Moscow           | Potable water   | 40,000             |
| Water booster pump station    | Aberdeen Rd                | NW of Vernon     | Potable water   | 75,000             |
| Water booster pump station    | Blooming Grove             | Blooming Grove   | Potable water   | 5,000              |
| Water booster pump station    | Thomas Rd                  | NE Vernon        | Potable water   | 5,000              |
| Water booster pump station    | Hwy 18                     | E Vernon         | Potable water   | 75,000             |
| Water booster pump station    | CR 49 S                    | Kennedy          | Potable water   | 40,000             |
| Water booster pump station    | CR 49S                     | Piney Grove      | Potable water   | 40,000             |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
| Education                     |                            |                  |                 |                    |
| *South Lamar k-12             | Hwy 96                     | East of Millport | Education       | 10,990,000         |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
| Industrial                    |                            | 1 1              |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
| Miscellaneous                 |                            |                  | _               |                    |
| *Lamar County Airport         | 51315 Hwy 17               |                  | Transport       | 4,000,000          |
| *Lamar Regional Clinic        | 49494 Hwy 17               |                  | Health Care     | 3,000,000          |
| *Care Center of Vernon        | 150 Convalescent Center Rd |                  | Geriatric Care  | 5,000,000          |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
|                               |                            |                  |                 |                    |
| Onumery Longel Justic Patters |                            |                  | TOTAL           | <b>MOT TOO 000</b> |
| Source. Local Jurisdiction    | Facility shown on Map 4-4  |                  | TOTAL           | \$25,560,000       |



| Kennedy: Sewer    | pump station #1 at 3110 County Rd 49     | \$90,000 |
|-------------------|--|----------|
| Millport: Sewer p | pump station at 240 Millport Manor Drive | \$45,000 |

Construction of new critical facilities includes two storm shelters currently being built in the Town of Millport. The shelters will be located at the main complex of the Millport Housing Authority on Hwy 96 and a smaller six-unit site located farther east on Hwy 96. The shelters will accommodate approximately 96 and 30 people respectively. The project is being funded through the FEMA HMGP program. The shelters will be completed in September of 2009.

Construction of additional critical facilities will follow future development.

#### **Development Trends**

Lamar County as a whole is not projected to have any significant growth in population. The City of Sulligent was the only incorporated area that experienced even a small increase in population from 1980 to 2000. **Table 4-7** shows the population projections for the years 2010, 2020, and 2025. The success of growth in Lamar County depends on access to four-lane highways, such as Interstate 22 (Corridor X) in Marion County and the proposed north-south corridor. Also, increasing U.S. Highway 278 to four lanes will contribute to the growth in this region. **Map 4-3** shows the current land use land cover of Lamar County.

| 2010 | 16,105 |
|------|--------|
| 2020 | 16,179 |
| 2025 | 16.175 |

**Table 4-7 Population Projections** 

The development trends in the county do not indicate any marked increase in vulnerability to the identified hazards. During the plan update the land use patterns were reviewed and it was determined that there have been no changes since the original plan. Additionally, no known or anticipated annexations by municipalities were identified during the plan update. Based on population projections and local development trends, development is expected to remain consistent within existing patterns.

# Map 4-3: Lamar County Land Use Land Cover

2







This map is not a survey product, and should not be used for conveyance. Sources: US Census Bureau TIGER Line Files, WARC, Alabama Land and Water Resources. For more information, call (205)333-2990.

2009

#### Methods of Warning

Lamar County Emergency Management Agency and the county's jurisdictions have constructed a warning system that provides multiple ways to receive weather watches, warnings, and other emergency messages.

#### **NOAA Weather Radio**

NOAA Weather Radio is a nationwide network of radio stations broadcasting weather and other emergency information 24 hours a day. All National Weather Service-issued watches, warnings, forecasts and other emergency messages are broadcast on one of seven frequencies.

National Weather Service personnel at offices in Birmingham record weather information that plays in a cyclical pattern repeating every three to six minutes. Broadcasts generally include local area five-day forecasts, current weather conditions, radar reports, weather summaries, climatic data, river and lake stage readings, and other weather information. The broadcasts are continuously updated to provide the listener with the latest information.

NOAA Weather Radio is useful any time for the latest weather information but becomes even more important during severe or hazardous weather. During episodes of severe weather, the normal broadcast cycle is interrupted and focus shifted to the local severe weather threat. Watches, warnings, and statements are given the highest priority and are updated frequently as conditions change.

In an emergency, each transmitter is capable of transmitting a warning alarm tone signal and the new Specific Area Message Encoding (SAME) signal, followed by information on the emergency situation. These signals will activate specially designed receivers, either bringing up the volume or producing a visual and/or audible alarm. Not all weather band receivers have this capability, but all radios that receive NOAA Weather Radio transmissions can receive the emergency broadcasts. The warning alarm device is tested each Wednesday, between 11 am and noon, weather permitting.

#### **Outdoor Warning Sirens**

Lamar County EMA has 20 in-place outdoor warning sirens. Although these sirens cover most of the populated areas, there are many places without an outdoor siren. An additional 2 sites have been selected for proposed sirens in the unincorporated county. **Map 4-4** shows the existing and proposed sirens identified by number, location and audible buffer radius. **Table 4-8** lists the existing and proposed sirens and is keyed to **Map 4-4** for reference.

The existing sirens have an effective radiated coverage area of one mile around the siren. The sirens are activated only for Thunderstorm and Tornado Warnings but will be used to notify the public of Hazardous Materials Incidents in the near future. There is no ALL CLEAR siren sounding due to the possibility of public confusion. Weather Warnings sound like a long wail while Hazardous Material Alerts will have a distinct sound when the program goes on line. The siren blasts run three to five minutes.

The sirens are activated from the Lamar County E-911 Office. Activations may be completed in three separate south to north groupings or via the entire system simultaneously.


| Existing | Sirens       |                     |             |             |
|----------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Number   | Jurisdiction | Address             | Latitude    | Longitude   |
| 1        | Beaverton    | 32490 CR49          | 33'55.930 N | 88'1.245 W  |
| 2        | Detroit      | 326 Real St         | 34'1.477 N  | 88'10.264 W |
| 3        | Kennedy      | 17885 Hwy 96        | 33'44.716N  | 88'59.158 W |
| 4        | Millport     | Welch St            | 33'33.425 N | 88'5.475 W  |
| 5        | Millport     | Sherry St           | 33'33.304 N | 88'4.983 W  |
| 6        | Millport     | Old Kennedy Rd      | 33'33.510 N | 88'4.577 W  |
| 7        | Sulligent    | 2105 Wadsworth Rd   | 33'53.166 N | 88'6.474 W  |
| 8        | Sulligent    | Hwy 17 Ogden Hill   |             |             |
| 9        | Sulligent    | Humber/Wolf Rd      | 33'53.166 N | 88'9.236 W  |
| 10       | Sulligent    | 7711 Hwy 278 E      | 33'54.711 N | 88'1.245 W  |
| 11       | Vernon       | 44745 Hwy 17        | 33'45.515 N | 88'6.590 W  |
| 12       | Vernon       | 690 Columbus Ave NW | 33'45.471 N | 88'7.142 W  |
| 13       | Vernon       | 1118 CR 9           | 33'44.434 N | 88'7.503 W  |
| 14       | Sulligent    | Miss Anne Dr        |             |             |
| 15       | County       | 51315 Hwy 17        |             |             |
| 16       | County       | 16090 Hwy 18 E      |             |             |
| 17       | County       | 8288 River Rd       |             |             |
| 18       | County       | 14024 Hwy 96        |             |             |
| 19       | County       | 11761 CR 9          |             |             |
| 20       | County       | 52 McGill Gin Rd    |             |             |
| Proposed | d Sirens     |                     |             |             |
| Number   | Jurisdiction | Address             | Latitude    | Longitude   |
| 1        | East Vernon  | 13th St. NE         |             |             |
| 2        | County       | CR 20/Hwy 17        |             |             |

## TABLE 4-8: Lamar County Outdoor Warning Sirens

Source: Participating Jurisdictions

The entire countywide Outdoor Siren Warning System is periodically tested. Notification of testing is usually posted in the newspapers to avoid confusion. The general public is advised to not depend on hearing the sirens inside a building. The sirens are designed to be heard outdoors only and are installed near recreational areas and shopping malls where there are large outdoor populations. As a backup to the Outdoor Siren Warning System, police and fire units throughout the county can be instructed to sound their sirens.

## **Broadcast Media**

One of the key elements of the Countywide Warning System is broadcast media. Most of the radio, television, and cable companies that serve Lamar County residents are dedicated to informing their audiences of impending emergencies. These broadcasters have partnered with the Lamar County Emergency Management Agency to bring their listeners and viewers fast, accurate, and important severe weather and civil emergency information via EAS and traditional newsgathering methods. Most of the television stations serving the Lamar County market (ABC 33/40, CBS 42, NBC 13, and Fox 6) feature live Doppler radar and certificated meteorologists. Many of the radio stations provide continuous severe weather coverage.

## Vulnerability Summary

**Table 4-9** provides a summary of Lamar County's vulnerability to specified hazards by jurisdiction. Each jurisdiction was tasked with considering how vulnerable they are to each hazard by considering the percentage of potential damage and the frequency of occurrences. Using information from the Risk Assessment in Section Three as well as the data in the earlier parts of this section as a basis for evaluation, the committee members assigned either N/A: Not Applicable, L: Low Risk, M: Medium Risk, and H: High Risk as defined in the Table Key.

## **Estimated Loss Projections**

**Table 4-10** shows the estimated loss projections for each hazard. The average number of occurrences per year is shown along with total number of deaths and injuries. The average amount of loss per event was determined by combining crop and property loss damages for each event type and then dividing by the corresponding total number of events reported during the ten-year study period. This amount is shown under the column heading Average Crop and Property Loss. There are instances where the Average Crop and Property Loss (per event) and Projected Loss (per Event) for an identified hazard could not be determined due to the absence of historical event data. This is a data limitation beyond the control of an affected jurisdiction.

The Projected Loss is shown per event by hazard type. Due to the fluctuations in the value of a dollar over the ten-year study period, a midpoint year of 2001 was established. The Projected Loss was then calculated by adjusting the 2001 value of \$1 up to \$1.15, a 15% increase to reflect the value of the dollar in 2007. Average loss amounts were increased by 15% to achieve a 2007 value for an estimated loss per event occurrence.

| Table 4-9:<br>Lamar County<br>Vulnerability Summary   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards  Beaverton  Detroit  Kennedy  Millport  Sulligent  Vernon  Unincorpor<br>County |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/<br>Wind   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Lightning   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Hail  | Μ   | Μ   | Μ   | L   | М   | Μ   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Tornado   | Н   | Н   | Μ   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Flood   | L   | L   | L   | L   | Н   | Μ   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Drought/<br>Extreme Heat  | М   | Μ   | Н   | Μ   | Н   | Н   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm  | Μ   | Н   | Μ   | Н   | н   | Н   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/<br>Tropical Storm  | L   | Н   | Н   | Н   | Μ   | Μ   | Н   |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/<br>Expansive Soils  | L   | N/A | N/A | NA  | N/A | N/A | Μ   |  |  |  |
| Landslide   | L   | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | L   |  |  |  |
| Earthquake  | L   | Μ   | L   | L   | L   | L   | Μ   |  |  |  |
| Wildfire  | М   | Μ   | М   | Н   | Н   | Н   | М   |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure   | N/A |  |  |  |

Source: Participating Jurisdictions

KEY:

NA – Not Applicable; not a hazard to the jurisdiction L – Low Risk; little damage potential (damage to less than 5% of the jurisdiction)

M – Medium Risk; moderate damage potential (damage to 5-10% of jurisdiction, infrequent occurrence)

H – High Risk; significant risk/major damage potential (damage to over 10% of jurisdiction, regular occurrence)

| Table 4-10:<br>Lamar County<br>Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards |                                       |                 |                   |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards  | Average<br>Occurrences*<br>(per year) | Total<br>Deaths | Total<br>Injuries | Average<br>Crop and<br>Property<br>Loss*<br>(per event) | Projected<br>Loss*<br>(per event) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | 6.6                                   | 0               | 0                 | \$14,379  | \$16,536                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning  | .6                                    | 1               | 7                 | 20,333  | 23,383                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail   | 3.4                                   | 0               | 0                 | 5,118   | 5,886                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado  | 2                                     | 2               | 3                 | 66,350  | 76,302                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood  | 1.1                                   | 0               | 0                 | 8,364   | 9,619                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm/Freeze  | .5                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm  | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake   | .2                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire   | 1.5                                   | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source:

NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions; Geological Survey of Alabama

Methodology: Average occurrences were expressed annually by dividing the total number of occurrences by the ten-year period. Deaths and injuries were taken from the hazard event data. Average loss was calculated by dividing the total amount of all damages by the total number of occurrences during the ten-year period. Projected loss expresses an estimated damage amount per future occurrence by converting the average loss figure from a midpoint of 2001 dollars to 2007 dollars (\$1 in 2001 = \$1.15 in 2007). \* Zero denotes no data available to determine the average occurrences, average loss or projected loss per event.

## Mitigating Potential Losses

The Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee set forth mitigation goals and objectives for the county and its jurisdictions. Each jurisdiction set forth its own mitigation action plans located in Section Five. Participating local governments contributed as least one action to the mitigation action plan or they are included in a listed action.

## Mitigation Strategy

In the preparation of the mitigation strategy, the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee reconsidered the goals and objectives of the initial plan and chose to revise them in order to provide a framework that would better serve the county and its local governments and meet the purposes of the hazard mitigation plan. The committee agreed upon a uniform set of selected goals that are decidedly broad in scope in order to accommodate a variety of potential objectives. Objectives were chosen to further define how to address accomplishing a given goal based on the needs of the county. Finally, actions are identified to achieve the various objectives and vary by jurisdiction in order to address unique risks.

## **Mitigation Actions**

In order to consider a comprehensive range of potential mitigation measures the Hazard Planning Committee reviewed the FEMA planning tool *Mitigation Ideas: Possible Mitigation Measures by Hazard Type* (See Appendix I). Each jurisdiction selected its own mitigation actions to support the goals and objectives of the mitigation strategy. Actions identify the activity, what hazard(s) are addressed, whether the activity applies to a new or existing asset, and an estimated cost. The action also identifies the planning mechanism, possible funding sources, and a time frame for completion of the activity. Local governments then prioritized the actions by assigning the highest priority action as number one and so on.

## Action Priority and Cost Benefit Review

In the selection and prioritization of mitigation actions, each member was asked to consider the following: funding options, political support, public support, legality, preservation of the environment, and staff capability. The committee then looked at each strategy in terms of costs and benefits. Not only were direct costs and benefits considered, but indirect costs and benefits were also acknowledged. Indirect costs and/or benefits are often intangible attributes such as social effects.

Priority mitigation actions will be implemented only if they are cost beneficial; maximum benefits must outweigh the associated costs of the proposed actions. The Planning Committee performed a general evaluation of each mitigation measure, which might require FEMA funds. The committee weighed the estimated costs for each mitigation measure against the projected benefits of the action. A more detailed benefit-cost analysis will be required for each priority action to determine economic feasibility during the project-planning phase. Projects will also require a more detailed evaluation for eligibility and feasibility including social impact, environmental impact, technical feasibility, and other criteria that measure effectiveness. This detailed evaluation of actions will be performed in the pre-application phase of a grant request. Further, action implementation will be subject to the availability of FEMA grants and other sources of funding from year-to-year.

## Mitigation Status

During the plan update mitigation actions were reviewed in order to identify completed, deferred, or deleted actions from the previous plan and incorporate actions added during annual updates. New actions identified during the update planning process have also been added to the plan. Some actions were identified as serving the purpose of preparedness, response, and recovery to an extent that warranted removal from the plan. The hazard planning committee agreed that such actions did not meet the intent of mitigation planning or the eligibility of mitigation grant programs. In order to track the progress of identified actions, Lamar County's original 2005 Mitigation Plan list is shown below. The current status of the proposed action is shown in Italics.

## **BENCHMARKING:**

## Lamar County Mitigation Plan (2005)

## **Current Projects**

- NFIP participant –*Action deferred and revised in plan update*
- Shelter Program Action ongoing and deferred in plan update

## **Future Projects**

- 1. Add additional Outdoor Warning Sirens Deferred
- 2. Add indoor warning systems for public buildings (i.e., schools, industry) –*Deferred and revised*
- 3. Evaluate, plan, and implement drainage improvement projects Deferred
- 4. Assist with constructing storm shelters across the county Deferred
- 5. Add warning and response systems for snow and ice storms throughout the county *Action Revised*
- 6. Initiate a Fire Prevention Program to educate residents on fire prevention Deleted
- 7. Replace outdated or worn fire equipment Deleted
- 8. Update communications system (UHF/VHF/800) Revised and deferred
- 9. Update EARS Deleted
- 10. Encourage non-participating jurisdictions to join NFIP –*Deleted (all jurisdictions are participants in program)*

**Table 4-11** shows the county mitigation actions. Each jurisdiction set forth its own set of mitigation actions in Section Five of the plan. During the plan update process new actions were identified and added to the plan.

## **Mitigation Strategy – Lamar County**

## **Goal 1: Protect life**

| Objective 1.1 | Improv | e Warning and Emergency Communication Systems                |
|---------------|--------|--|
| Action        | 1.1.1  | Install additional outdoor warning sirens                    |
| Action        | 1.1.2  | Upgrade communication system                                 |
| Action        | 1.1.3  | <i>Provide indoor warning systems at critical facilities</i> |
|               |        |  |

Objective 1.2 Reduce impact of hazards on vulnerable populations Action 1.2.1 Encourage Individual Storm Shelters in county

Objective 1.3 Improve disaster response and recovery

## **Goal 2: Protect property**

Objective 2.1 Reduce losses to critical facilities/assets Action 2.1.1 Provide emergency generators at critical facilities

## Objective 2.2 Continue Participation in NFIP program Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirem

Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's)

Objective 2.3 Provide and maintain essential public services

Objective 2.4 Reduce losses due to drainage problems Action 2.4.1 Upgrade drainage systems

## **Goal 3: Reduce economic impacts of disasters**

Objective 3.1 Maintain operations of critical businesses and major employers

## **Goal 4: Protect environment and natural resources**

Objective 4.1 Identify, protect, and properly manage floodplains

Objective 4.2 Enforce local codes and regulations related to NFIP

## **Goal 5: Increase public preparedness for disasters**

Objective 5.1 Continue to train severe weather spotters

|                               | Table 4-11:   |
|-------------------------------|---|
|                               | Lamar County Mitigation Actions                         |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Implement drainage improvement projects                 |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County, Jurisdictions                             |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                      |
| Estimated Cost                | \$7,500,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Local, Grants   |
| Priority                      | 1   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Install additional outdoordoor warning sirens           |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                      |
| Estimated Cost                | \$20,000 ea   |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 2   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action Project     | Provide indoor warning system to critical facilites     |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                      |
| Estimated Cost                | \$30,000  |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 3   |
| ¥                             |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Individual storm shelters throughout county (568 units) |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA  |
| Time frame for Completion     | 5 years from funding availability - have applied        |
| Estimated Cost                | 2,130,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 4   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Upgrade communications equipment                        |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                      |
| Estimated Cost                | \$80,000  |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 5   |

| <b>R</b> <i>T</i> <sup>1</sup> <i>i</i> <sup>1</sup> <i>i</i> <sup>1</sup> <i>i</i> <sup>1</sup> | Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or |
|--|---|
| Mitigation Action  | improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).                |
| Hazard(s) Addressed  | Flood   |
| Applies to new/existing asset  | New and Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism   | Lamar County  |
| Time frame for Completion  | Continuous  |
| Estimated Cost   |   |
| Funding Sources  | Local   |
| Priority   | 6   |
|  |   |
| Mitigation Action  | Provide emergency generators to critical facilities                 |
| Hazard(s) Addressed  | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset  | Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism   | Lamar County, Jurisdictions   |
| Time frame for Completion  | One year from funding availability                                  |

\$25000 ea Local, Grants

7

**Estimated** Cost

Priority

Funding Sources

# Section Five: Jurisdiction Assessments

This page left intentionally blank

# **Town of Beaverton**

This page left intentionally blank

|   | Table 5-1:  | nton               |               |
|---|---|--------------------|---------------|
| Risk  | and Vulnerabilit  | rton<br>v Overview |               |
| Natural Hazards   | Hazard<br>Identification  | Prioritized Threat | Vulnerability |
| Thunderstorm/Wind   | X   | 2                  | Н             |
| Lightning   | X   | 3                  | Н             |
| Hail  | X   | 6                  | М             |
| Tornado   | X   | 1                  | Н             |
| Flood   | X   | 9                  | L             |
| Drought/Extreme Heat  | X   | 4                  | М             |
| Winter Storm  | X   | 5                  | М             |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm  | X   | 8                  | L             |
| Sinkhole/Expansive Soils  | X   | 11                 | L             |
| Landslide   | X   | 12                 | L             |
| Earthquake  | X   | 10                 | L             |
| Wildfire  | X   | 7                  | Μ             |
| Dam/Levee Failure   | N/A   | N/A                | N/A           |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions   |   |                    |               |
| KEY <b>Hazard Identification:</b><br>X Affects the Jurisdiction, N/A N<br><b>Priority:</b><br>Hazards are prioritized with the highes<br><b>Vulnerability:</b><br>NA – Not Applicable; not a hazard to t<br>L – Low Risk; little damage potential ( | Not a threat to the jurisdiction<br>at threat assigned number one.<br>the jurisdiction<br>(damage to less than 5% of the ju | urisdiction)       |               |

- M Medium Risk; moderate damage potential (damage to 5-10% of jurisdiction, infrequent occurrence)
  H High Risk; significant risk/major damage potential (damage to over 10% of jurisdiction, regular occurrence)

## **TABLE 5-2: TOWN OF BEAVERTON HAZARD EVENTS**

Thunderstorms/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Beaverton    | 5/5/1999   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | \$5,000  |     | \$5,000    |          |
| Beaverton    | 8/3/2003   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2,000    |     | 2,000      |          |
| Beaverton    | 7/21/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 3,000    |     | 3,000      |          |
| Beaverton    | 11/15/2006 | Tstm Wind   | N/A     |     |     | 5,000    |     | 5,000      |          |
| Lamar County | 6/5/1998   | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/20/2000  | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/2001  | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/21/2001  | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/20/2001  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/7/2003   | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/13/2005  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006   | Tstm Wind   | 75 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/1998  | High Wind   | 40 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/2/1999  | High Wind   | 45 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006   | Strong Wind | N/A     |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 17          |         | 0   | 0   | \$15,000 | \$0 | \$15,000   |          |

## Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location     | Date      | Туре          | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/20/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/21/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/12/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3             |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

## Lightning Strikes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

| http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms |           |           |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Location   | Date      | Туре      | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
| Beaverton  | 7/22/2000 | Lightning |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County   | 6/13/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |           | 2         |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Hail, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location  | Date      | Туре | Mag      | Dth | lnj | PrD     | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|-----------|-----------|------|----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|------------|----------|
| Beaverton | 4/21/2005 | Hail | 1.75 in. |     |     | \$2,000 |     | \$2,000    |          |
| Beaverton | 4/21/2005 | Hail | 1.00 in. |     |     | 1,000   |     | 1,000      |          |
| Beaverton | 4/7/2006  | Hail | 0.75 in. |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| TOTALS    |           | 3    |          | 0   | 0   | \$3,000 | \$0 | \$3,000    |          |

\*There was no local input

Tornados, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no tornados reported during this time frame.

## Floods (including flash floods), January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/7/1998   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/5/2003   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/24/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 4/6/2005   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 8           | 3   | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Drought/Extreme Heat, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/18/2006 | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/1/2006  | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/1/2006  | Drought | D-3 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Winter Storms/ Hard Freezes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 12/23/1998 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/21/1999 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/27/2000  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/24/2003  | Extreme Cold | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/18/2006  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 5            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Katrina  |
| Lamar County | 9/16/2004 | High Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     |     |     |            | Ivan     |
| Lamar County | 7/10/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Dennis   |
| TOTALS       |           | 3              |         | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

## Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location  | Date      | Туре           | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD     | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|------------|----------|
| Beaverton | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | \$2,299 | \$0 | \$2,299    | Katrina  |
| TOTALS    |           | N/A            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0     | \$0 | \$2,299    |          |

## Sinkholes/Expansive Soils, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no sinkholes or expansive soils reported during this time frame.

## Landslides, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no landslides reported during this time frame.

## Earthquakes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no earthquakes reported from Geological Survey of Alabama, NOAA or local sources during this time frame.

## Wildfires, January 1, 2000 - December 31, 2006

Source: Alabama Forestry Commission & the US Forest Service

| Location  | Date      | Туре      | Acres | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Beaverton | 7/22/2000 | Lightning | 30    |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS    |           | 1         |       | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Dam/ Levee Failure, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no dam or levee failures reported during this time frame.

|                             | Table 5-3:Town of BeavertonHazard Probability Assessment |   |         |               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards             | Number of<br>Historical<br>Occurrences*                  | Probability<br>of Future<br>Occurrence* | Extent* | Area Affected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind           | 20   | 0.6%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning                   | 2  | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail                        | 3  | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado                     | 0  | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood                       | 8  | 0.2%                                    | <5%     | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat        | 3  | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm                | 5  | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm | 3  | 0.1%                                    | <5%     | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils | 0  | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide                   | 0  | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake                  | 0  | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire                    | 1  | 0                                       | 5-10%   | Town-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure           | 0  | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Number of historical occurrences is events reported by all sources during the ten-year period of January 1, 1997 – December 31, 2006. Probability is expressed as a percentage of the chance of an event occurring on any given day by dividing the number of historical events by 3,650 (days in the ten-year period). Extent is expressed as the percentage assigned by the jurisdiction's ranking in the vulnerability summary (Table 4-9).

\*Zero denotes no data available to determine the probability, extent, or affected area.

## TABLE 5-4: Town of Beaverton Critical Facilities

| ТАВ                        | LE 5-4: Town of Beavertor  | Critical Facilities |           |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Facility                   | Location                   | Use                 | Value     |
| Governmental Services      |                            |                     |           |
| *Beaverton City Hall       | 32490 CR 49                | Local Government    | \$75,000  |
| *Beaverton Fire Dept       | 12495 Hwy 278              | Fire Protection     | 150,000   |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
| Public Works               |                            |                     |           |
| County Pumping Station     | 13133 Hwy 287              | Water System        | 60,000    |
| County Water Tank 1        | 61561 CR 49                | Potable Water       | 200.000   |
| County Water Tank 2        | 751 Cantrell Rd            | Potable Water       | 200,000   |
|                            |                            |                     | ·         |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
| Education                  |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
| Industrial                 |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
| Miscellaneous              | 22400 CD 40                | Moother Morning     | 10 500    |
| Outdoor warning Siren (#1) | 32490 CR49                 |                     | 12,500    |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
|                            |                            |                     |           |
| Source: Local Jurisdiction | *Facility shown on Map 5-1 | ΤΟΤΑΙ               | \$697 500 |

## MAP 5-1: TOWN OF BEAVERTON CRITICAL FACILITIES





| Table 5-5:Town of BeavertonEstimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards |                                       |                 |                   |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards  | Average<br>Occurrences*<br>(per year) | Total<br>Deaths | Total<br>Injuries | Average<br>Crop and<br>Property<br>Loss*<br>(per event) | Projected<br>Loss*<br>(per event) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | 2                                     | 0               | 0                 | \$750   | \$862                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning  | .2                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 1,000   | 1,000                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood  | .8                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm/Freeze  | .5                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm  | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 766   | 881                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire   | .16                                   | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source:

NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Average occurrences were expressed annually by dividing the total number of occurrences by the ten-year period. Deaths and injuries were taken from the hazard event data. Average loss was calculated by dividing the total amount of all damages by the total number of occurrences during the ten-year period. Projected loss expresses an estimated damage amount per future occurrence by converting the average loss figure from a midpoint of 2001 dollars to 2007 dollars (\$1 in 2001 = \$1.15 in 2007). \*Zero denotes no data available to determine the average occurrences, average loss or projected loss per event.

## **Town of Beaverton Mitigation Action Plan**

The Town of Beaverton recognizes the importance of Mitigation Planning and will incorporate Mitigation planning in planning documents as they are revised or initiated.

## Mitigation Status

During the plan update mitigation actions were reviewed in order to identify completed, deferred, or deleted actions from the previous plan and incorporate actions added during annual updates. New actions identified during the update planning process have also been added to the plan. Some actions were identified as serving the purpose of preparedness, response, and recovery to an extent that warranted removal from the plan. The hazard planning committee agreed that such actions did not meet the intent of mitigation planning or the eligibility of mitigation grant programs. In order to track the progress of identified actions, the Town of Beaverton's original 2005 Mitigation Plan list is shown below. The current status of the proposed actions is shown in Italics.

## **BENCHMARKING:**

## Town of Beaverton Mitigation Plan (2005)

## **Current Projects**

• There are no hazard mitigation projects currently underway

## **Future Projects**

- 1. Add outdoor warning sirens Project deleted (Existing sirens sufficient for town)
- 2. Indoor warning systems for public buildings Deleted
- 3. Construct a community storm shelter Deferred
- 4. Encourage individual storm shelters Deleted

**Table 5-6** shows the Town of Beaverton's updated mitigation actions. During the plan update process new actions were identified and added to the plan.

## **Mitigation Strategy – Town of Beaverton**

## **Goal 1: Protect life**

Objective 1.1 Improve Warning and Emergency Communication Systems Action 1.1.1 Upgrade communications equipment

Objective 1.2 Reduce impact of hazards on vulnerable populations Action 1.2.1 Construct short-term community storm shelter

Objective 1.3 Improve disaster response and recovery

## **Goal 2: Protect property**

Objective 2.1 Reduce losses to critical facilities/assets

Objective 2.2 Continue Participation in NFIP program Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirements, regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).

Objective 2.3 Provide and maintain essential public services Action 2.3.1 Clear power line rights-of-way

Objective 2.4 Reduce losses due to drainage problems Action 2.4.1 Upgrade drainage systems to add culverts, pipe, storm drains

## **Goal 3: Reduce economic impacts of disasters**

Objective 3.1 Maintain operations of critical businesses and major employers

## **Goal 4: Protect environment and natural resources**

Objective 4.1 Identify, protect, and properly manage floodplains

Objective 4.2 Enforce local codes and regulations related to NFIP

## **Goal 5: Increase public preparedness for disasters**

Objective 5.1 Continue to train severe weather spotters

## Table 5-:6:Town of Beaverton Mitigation Actions

| Mitigation Action             | Upgrade communictaions equipment                                    |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Beaverton, Lamar County EMA                                 |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                                  |
| Estimated Cost                | \$150,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Local, Grants   |
| Priority                      | 1   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Build short-term community storm shelter                            |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA, Town of Beaverton                                 |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                                  |
| Estimated Cost                | \$75,000  |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 2   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Upgrade drainage system; add culverts, pipe, storm drains           |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Beaverton, Public Works                                     |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                                  |
| Estimated Cost                | \$200,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Local, Grants   |
| Priority                      | 3   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or |
| Miligation Action             | improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).                |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New and Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Beaverton, Public Works                                     |
| Time frame for Completion     | Continuous  |
| Estimated Cost                |   |
| Funding Sources               | Local   |
| Priority                      | 4   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Clear power line rights-of-way                                      |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Beaverton, Lamar Co. Commission, State Highway Dept.        |
| Time frame for Completion     | One to two years from funding availability                          |
| Estimated Cost                | \$5,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Local, Grants   |
| Drignity                      | 5   |

This page left intentionally blank

# **Town of Detroit**

This page left intentionally blank

|  | Table 5-7:   |                    |               |  |
|--|--|--------------------|---------------|--|
| D  | Town of Detr   | 'oit<br>v Overview |               |  |
| Natural Hazards  | Hazard<br>Identification   | Prioritized Threat | Vulnerability |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | X  | 2                  | Н             |  |
| Lightning  | X  | 5                  | Н             |  |
| Hail   | X  | 7                  | Μ             |  |
| Tornado  | X  | 3                  | Н             |  |
| Flood  | X  | 10                 | L             |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | X  | 8                  | Μ             |  |
| Winter Storm   | X  | 1                  | Н             |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm   | X  | 4                  | Н             |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive Soils   | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |  |
| Landslide  | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |  |
| Earthquake   | X  | 9                  | Μ             |  |
| Wildfire   | X  | 6                  | Μ             |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |  |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions  |  |                    |               |  |
| KEY Hazard Identification:<br>X Affects the Jurisdiction, N/<br>Priority:<br>Hazards are prioritized with the h<br>Vulnerability:<br>NA – Not Applicable; not a hazar<br>L – Low Risk; little damage pote<br>M – Medium Risk: moderate dan | A Not a threat to the jurisdiction<br>highest threat assigned number one.<br>In the jurisdiction<br>ntial (damage to less than 5% of the jurisdiction of the jurisdiction) | irisdiction)       |               |  |

M – Medium Risk; moderate damage potential (damage to 5-10% of jurisdiction, infrequent occurrence)
 H – High Risk; significant risk/major damage potential (damage to over 10% of jurisdiction, regular occurrence)

## **TABLE 5-8: TOWN OF DETROIT HAZARD EVENTS**

Thunderstorm/Wind, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре        | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Detroit      | 7/2/2002  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | \$7,000  |     | \$7,000    |          |
| Detroit      | 5/16/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 3,000    |     | 3,000      |          |
| Detroit      | 12/7/2004 | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | 2,000    |     | 2,000      |          |
| Lamar County | 6/5/1998  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/20/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/21/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/20/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/7/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar county | 1/13/2005 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 75 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Strong Wind | N/A     |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/1998 | High Wind   | 40 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/2/1999 | High Wind   | 45 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 16          |         | 0   | 0   | \$12,000 | \$0 | \$12,000   |          |

## Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

| Location     | Date      | Туре          | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/20/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/21/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/12/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3             |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

## Lightning Strikes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

| Location     | Date      | Date Type Mag Dth Inj PrD CrD Total Cost Comments |     |   |   |     |     |     |  |  |
|--------------|-----------|---|-----|---|---|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| Lamar County | 6/13/2004 | Lightning   | N/A |   |   |     |     |     |  |  |
| TOTALS       |           | 1   |     | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |

\*There was no local input

## Hail, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location | Date       | Туре | Mag      | Dth | Inj | PrD       | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|------------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Detroit  | 5/2/2003   | Hail | 1.75 in. |     |     | \$100,000 |     | \$100,000  |          |
| Detroit  | 5/5/2003   | Hail | 1.75 in. |     |     | 14,000    |     | 14,000     |          |
| Detroit  | 5/16/2003  | Hail | 1.00 in. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Detroit  | 11/23/2004 | Hail | 1.25 in. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Detroit  | 5/13/2006  | Hail | 1.75 in. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Detroit  | 7/28/2006  | Hail | 0.88 in. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |            | 6    |          | 0   | 0   | \$114,000 | \$0 | \$114,000  |          |

\*There was no local input

## Tornados, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location | Date       | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD       | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Detroit  | 11/23/2004 | Tornado | F1  |     |     | \$300,000 |     | \$300,000  |          |
| TOTALS   |            | 1       |     | 0   | 0   | \$300,000 | \$0 | \$300,000  |          |

\*There was no local input

## Floods (including flash floods), January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/7/1998   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/5/2003   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/24/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 4/6/2005   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 8           |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Drought/Extreme Heat, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/18/2006 | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/1/2006  | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/1/2006  | Drought | D-3 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Winter Storms/ Hard Freezes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/24/2003  | Extreme Cold | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/23/1998 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/21/1999 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/27/2000  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/18/2006  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 5            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/10/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Dennis   |
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Katrina  |
| Lamar County | 9/16/2004 | High Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     |     |     |            | Ivan     |
| TOTALS       |           | 3              |         | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

## Sinkholes/Expansive Soils, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms \*There were no sinkholes or expansive soils reported during this time frame.

## Landslides, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

\*There were no landslides reported during this time frame.

#### Earthquakes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms \*There were no earthquakes reported from Geological Survey of Alabama, NOAA or local sources during this time frame.

#### Wildfires, January 1, 2000 - December 31, 2006

Source: Alabama Forestry Commission & the US Forest Service \* There were no wildfires that met the minimum criteria of 25 acres of burned area reported by any source .

## Dam/ Levee Failure, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms \*There were no dam or levee failures reported during this time frame.

| Table 5-9:<br>Town of Detroit<br>Hazard Probability Assessment |   |   |         |                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards  | Number of<br>Historical<br>Occurrences* | Probability<br>of Future<br>Occurrence* | Extent* | Area Affected      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | 19                                      | 0.5%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning  | 1                                       | 0                                       | >10%    | Town-wide          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail   | 6                                       | 0.2%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado  | 1                                       | 0                                       | >10%    | Town-wide          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood  | 8                                       | 0.2%                                    | <5%     | North of AL Hwy 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm   | 5                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm                                    | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils                                    | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide  | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake   | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire   | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A                |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Number of historical occurrences is events reported by all sources during the ten-year period of January 1, 1997 – December 31, 2006. Probability is expressed as a percentage of the chance of an event occurring on any given day by dividing the number of historical events by 3,650 (days in the ten-year period). Extent is expressed as the percentage assigned by the jurisdiction's ranking in the vulnerability summary (Table 4-9).

\*Zero denotes no data available to determine the probability, extent, or affected area.

## TABLE 5-10: Town of Detroit Critical Facilities

| TABLE 5-10: Town of Detroit Critical Facilities |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Facility  | Location                   | Use              | Value     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental Services                           |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Detroit City Hall                              | 65347 Hwy 17               | Local Government | \$120,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Detroit Fire Dept                              | 65243 Hwy 17               | Fire Protection  | 80,000    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Works                                    |                            | Detable Water    |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 241 Water Tank St          | Potable Water    | 60,000    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank 2  | Real St                    | Potable Water    | 60,000    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well 1/Treatment                                | Robinson Ave               | Potable Water    | 75,000    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sower Lagoon (Housing Authority)                | Trock Avo                  | Potable Water    | 150,000   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sewer Lagoon (Housing Authority)                | TTack Ave                  | Santary Sewer    | 150,000   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial                                      |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education                                       |                            | ·                |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous                                   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Communication Tower                            | 242 Water Tank St          | Communications   | 100,000   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Communication Tower                            | 326 Real St                | Communications   | 100,000   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Detroit Medical Center                         | 65776 Hwy 17               | Medical          | 80,000    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#2)                      | 326 Real St                | Weather warning  | 15,000    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | +                          |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Local Jurisdiction                      | *Facility shown on Map 5-2 | ΤΟΤΔΙ            | \$915 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Table 5-11:<br>Town of Detroit<br>Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards |                                       |                 |                   |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards   | Average<br>Occurrences*<br>(per year) | Total<br>Deaths | Total<br>Injuries | Average<br>Crop and<br>Property<br>Loss*<br>(per event) | Projected<br>Loss*<br>(per event) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind   | 1.9                                   | 0               | 0                 | \$632   | \$727                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning   | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail  | .6                                    | 0               | 0                 | 19,000  | 21,850                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado   | .8                                    | 0               | 0                 | 300,000   | 345,000                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat  | .5                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm/Freeze   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source:

NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Average occurrences were expressed annually by dividing the total number of occurrences by the ten-year period. Deaths and injuries were taken from the hazard event data. Average loss was calculated by dividing the total amount of all damages by the total number of occurrences during the ten-year period. Projected loss expresses an estimated damage amount per future occurrence by converting the average loss figure from a midpoint of 2001 dollars to 2007 dollars (\$1 in 2001 = \$1.15 in 2007). \* Zero denotes no data available to determine the average occurrences, average loss or projected loss per event.
## **Town of Detroit Mitigation Action Plan**

The Town of Detroit recognizes the importance of Mitigation Planning and will incorporate Mitigation planning in planning documents as they are revised or initiated.

## **Mitigation Status**

During the plan update mitigation actions were reviewed in order to identify completed, deferred, or deleted actions from the previous plan and incorporate actions added during annual updates. New actions identified during the update planning process have also been added to the plan. Some actions were identified as serving the purpose of preparedness, response, and recovery to an extent that warranted removal from the plan. The hazard planning committee agreed that such actions did not meet the intent of mitigation planning or the eligibility of mitigation grant programs. In order to track the progress of identified actions, the Town of Detroit's original 2005 Mitigation Plan list is shown below. The current status of the proposed actions is shown in Italics.

## **BENCHMARKING:**

## **Detroit Mitigation Plan (2005)**

## **Current Projects**

• There are no hazard mitigation projects currently underway

## **Future Projects**

- 1. Add outdoor warning sirens Deferred
- 2. Indoor warning systems for public buildings Deleted
- 3. Construct a community storm shelter -Deferred
- 4. Encourage individual storm shelters Deferred
- 5. Upgrade drainage system Deferred
- 6. Develop and initiate response system Deleted
- 7. Clean out rights-of-way *Deleted*
- 8. Initiate a Fire Prevention Program to educate residents on fire prevention Deleted
- 9. Upgrade fire fighting equipment -Deleted
- 10. Become a participant in the NFIP Revised and Deferred

**Table 5-12** shows the Town of Detroit's updated mitigation actions. During the plan update process new actions were identified and added to the plan.

#### **Mitigation Strategy – Town of Detroit**

## **Goal 1: Protect life**

Objective 1.1 Improve Warning and Emergency Communication Systems Action1.1.1 Install additional outdoor warning sirens

Objective 1.2 Reduce impact of hazards on vulnerable populations Action 1.2.1 Construct short-term community storm shelter Action 1.2.2 Encourage Individual Storm Shelters

Objective 1.3 Improve disaster response and recovery

## **Goal 2: Protect property**

Objective 2.1 Reduce losses to critical facilities/assets

Objective 2.2 Continue Participation in NFIP program Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirements, regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).

Objective 2.3 Provide and maintain essential public services

Objective 2.4 Reduce losses due to drainage problems Action 2.4.1 Upgrade drainage systems to enlarge ditches, add pipe, storm drains

## **Goal 3: Reduce economic impacts of disasters**

Objective 3.1 Maintain operations of critical businesses and major employers

### **Goal 4: Protect environment and natural resources**

Objective 4.1 Identify, protect, and properly manage floodplains

Objective 4.2 Enforce local codes and regulations related to NFIP

#### **Goal 5: Increase public preparedness for disasters**

Objective 5.1 Continue to train severe weather spotters

|                               | Table 5-12:   |
|-------------------------------|---|
|                               | Town of Detroit Mitigation Actions                                    |
|                               | rewr er Bettert mitigation / tellene                                  |
| Mitigation Action             | Construct short-term community storm shelter with emergency generator |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA, Town of Detroit                                     |
| Time frame for Completion     | Two years from funding availability                                   |
| Estimated Cost                | \$200,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 1   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Encourage individual storm shelters                                   |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One to two years from funding availability                            |
| Estimated Cost                |   |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 2   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Install additional outdoor warning sirens                             |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           |   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Detroit   |
| Time frame for Completion     | the year from funding availability                                    |
| Estimated Cost                | \$20,000<br>Local Counts  |
| Funding Sources               |   |
| Priority                      | 5   |
| Mitigation Action             | Upgrade drainage system to enlarge ditches, add nine and storm drains |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Detroit   |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                                    |
| Estimated Cost                | \$5,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Local, Grants   |
| Priority                      | 4   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or   |
| Milugation Action             | improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).                  |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New and Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Detroit   |
| Time frame for Completion     | Continuous  |
| Estimated Cost                |   |
| Funding Sources               | Local   |
| Priority                      | 5   |

This page left intentionally blank

## **Town of Kennedy**

This page left intentionally blank

| Risk   | Table 5-13<br>Town of Kenn<br>and Vulnerabilit               | :<br>ledy<br>y Overview |               |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| Natural Hazards  | Hazard<br>Identification                                     | Prioritized Threat      | Vulnerability |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | X  | 2                       | Н             |
| Lightning  | X  | 6                       | Н             |
| Hail   | X  | 7                       | М             |
| Tornado  | X  | 3                       | М             |
| Flood  | X  | 4                       | L             |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | Х  | 8                       | Н             |
| Winter Storm   | X  | 1                       | Μ             |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm   | Х  | 9                       | Н             |
| Sinkhole/Expansive Soils   | N/A  | N/A                     | N/A           |
| Landslide  | N/A  | N/A                     | N/A           |
| Earthquake   | X  | 10                      | L             |
| Wildfire   | X  | 5                       | Μ             |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | N/A  | N/A                     | N/A           |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions  |  |                         |               |
| KEY <b>Hazard Identification:</b><br>X Affects the Jurisdiction, N/A N<br><b>Priority:</b><br>Hazards are prioritized with the highest | fot a threat to the jurisdiction threat assigned number one. |                         |               |

Vulnerability: NA – Not Applicable; not a hazard to the jurisdiction L – Low Risk; little damage potential (damage to less than 5% of the jurisdiction) M – Medium Risk; moderate damage potential (damage to 5-10% of jurisdiction, infrequent occurrence) H – High Risk; significant risk/major damage potential (damage to over 10% of jurisdiction, regular occurrence)

## **TABLE 5-14: TOWN OF KENNEDY HAZARD EVENTS**

Thunderstorms/Wind, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре        | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Kennedy      | 11/8/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     | \$3,000  |     | \$3,000    |          |
| Kennedy      | 8/27/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 8,000    |     | 8,000      |          |
| Kennedy      | 7/4/2004  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 4,000    |     | 4,000      |          |
| Lamar County | 6/5/1998  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/20/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/21/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/20/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/7/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/13/2005 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 75 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Strong Wind | N/A     |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/1998 | High Wind   | 40 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/2/1999 | High Wind   | 45 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 16          |         | 0   | 0   | \$15,000 | \$0 | \$15,000   |          |

#### Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

| Local Input |
|-------------|
|-------------|

| Location     | Date      | Туре          | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/20/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/21/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/12/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3             |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

Lightning Strikes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре      | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 6/13/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 1         |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Hail, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location | Date     | Туре | Mag      | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|----------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Kennedy  | 6/2/2003 | Hail | 0.75 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |          | 1    |          | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Tornados, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location | Date       | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD       | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Kennedy  | 11/24/2001 | Tornado | F3  | 2   | 1   | \$600,000 |     | \$600,000  |          |
| Kennedy  | 9/25/2005  | Tornado | F1  |     |     | 70,000    |     | 70,000     |          |
| Kennedy  | 3/13/2006  | Tornado | F0  |     |     | 20,000    |     | 20,000     |          |
| TOTALS   |            | 3       |     | 2   | 1   | \$690,000 | \$0 | \$690,000  |          |

#### Tornados January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Kennedy  | 7/10/2005 | Tornado | F1  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |           | 1       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Floods (including flash floods), January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/7/1998   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/5/2003   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/24/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 4/6/2005   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 8           |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Drought/Extreme Heat, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/18/2006 | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/1/2006  | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/1/2006  | Drought | D-3 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Winter Storms/ Hard Freezes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/24/2003  | Extreme Cold | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/23/1998 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/21/1999 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/27/2000  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/18/2006  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 5            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/10/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Dennis   |
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Katrina  |
| Lamar County | 9/16/2004 | High Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     |     |     |            | Ivan     |
| TOTALS       |           | 3              |         | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location | Date      | Туре           | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD     | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|------------|----------|
| Kennedy  | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | \$3,845 |     | \$3,845    | Katrina  |
| TOTALS   |           |                |     | 0   | 0   | \$3,845 | \$0 | \$3,845    |          |

#### Sinkholes/Expansive Soils, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no sinkholes or expansive soils reported during this time frame.

#### Landslides, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no landslides reported during this time frame.

#### Earthquakes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www.gsa.state.al.us/gsa/geologichazards/eathquakes/al.html

\*There were no earthquakes reported from Geological Survey of Alabama, NOAA or local sourc

#### Wildfires, January 1, 2000 - December 31, 2006

Source: Alabama Forestry Commission & the U.S. Forest Service

\* here were no wildfires that met the minimum criteria of 25 acres of burned area reported by any source

#### Dam/ Levee Failure, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no dam or levee failures reported during this time frame.

|                             | Table 5-15:     Town of Kennedy     Hazard Probability Assessment |   |         |                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards             | Number of<br>Historical<br>Occurrences*                           | Probability<br>of Future<br>Occurrence* | Extent* | Area Affected   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind           | 19  | 0.5%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning                   | 1   | 0                                       | >10%    | Town-wide       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail                        | 1   | 0                                       | 5-10%   | Town-wide       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado                     | 4   | .01%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood                       | 8   | 0.2%                                    | <5%     | North of HWY 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat        | 3   | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm                | 5   | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm | 3   | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils | 0   | 0                                       | 0       | N/A             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide                   | 0   | 0                                       | 0       | N/A             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake                  | 0   | 0                                       | 0       | N/A             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire                    | 0   | 0                                       | 0       | N/A             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure           | 0   | 0                                       | 0       | N/A             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Number of historical occurrences is events reported by all sources during the ten-year period of January 1, 1997 – December 31, 2006. Probability is expressed as a percentage of the chance of an event occurring on any given day by dividing the number of historical events by 3,650 (days in the ten-year period). Extent is expressed as the percentage assigned by the jurisdiction's ranking in the vulnerability summary (Table 4-9).

\*Zero denotes no data available to determine the probability, extent, or affected area.

## TABLE 5- 16: Town of Kennedy Critical Facilities

L

| Facility                       | Location                    | Use                                   | Value      |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Governmental Services          |                             |                                       |            |
| *Kennedy Town Hall/ Fire Dept. | 17887 Hwy 96                | Local Government                      | \$150,000  |
|                                |                             |                                       | . ,        |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             | <u> </u>                              |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
| Public Werks                   |                             |                                       |            |
| Water Dump Station             | 100 Weterwerke Cirele       | Water System                          | 2 000 000  |
| Water Fump Station             |                             | Detable Water                         | 2,000,000  |
| Water Tank 1                   | 3135 CR 49                  | Polable Water                         | 150,000    |
|                                |                             |                                       | 150,000    |
| Water Treatment Plant          | 199 Water Works Circle      |                                       | 250,000    |
| Pumping Station                |                             | Sewage                                | 25,000     |
| Pumping Station                | 4476 CR 49                  | Sewage                                | 25,000     |
| Sewer Pumping Station #1       | 3110 CR 49                  | Sewer System                          | 90,000     |
| Sewer Pumping Station #2       | 17456 Hwy 96                | Sewer System                          | 90,000     |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                | _                           |                                       |            |
| Education                      |                             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
| Miscellaneous                  |                             | <b>.</b>                              |            |
| Communications Towers          | 3400 Mt. Pleasant Church Rd | Communications                        | 100,000    |
| *W Al Bank &Trust              | 17939 Hwy 96                | Financial                             | 80,000     |
| *Shelter                       | 17885 Hwy 96                | Severe Weather                        | 100,000    |
| *Community Center              | 208 Pike St.                | Senior Center                         | 150,000    |
| *Community Center/ Ag.         | 4465 CR 49                  | Community Programs                    | 100,000    |
| Gymnasium                      | Hwy 49                      | Utilities Storage                     | 75,000     |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#3)     | 17885 Hwy 96                | Severe Weather                        | 15,000     |
| Warehouse                      | Hwy 49                      | Utilities Storage                     | 40,000     |
|                                |                             |                                       |            |
|                                |                             | 1                                     |            |
|                                |                             | <u> </u>                              |            |
|                                |                             | <u> </u>                              |            |
|                                |                             | <u> </u>                              |            |
| Courses Local Jurisdiction     | *Essilitushawa an Man E O   |                                       | ¢2 500 000 |



| Table 5-17:<br>Town of Kennedy<br>Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards |                                       |                 |                   |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards   | Average<br>Occurrences*<br>(per year) | Total<br>Deaths | Total<br>Injuries | Average<br>Crop and<br>Property*<br>Loss<br>(per event) | Projected<br>Loss*<br>(per event) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind   | 1.9                                   | 0               | 0                 | \$789   | \$907                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning   | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail  | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado   | .4                                    | 2               | 1                 | 172,500   | 198,375                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood   | .8                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat  | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm/Freeze   | .4                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 1,282   | 1,474                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source:

NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Average occurrences were expressed annually by dividing the total number of occurrences by the ten-year period. Deaths and injuries were taken from the hazard event data. Average loss was calculated by dividing the total amount of all damages by the total number of occurrences during the ten-year period. Projected loss expresses an estimated damage amount per future occurrence by converting the average loss figure from a midpoint of 2001 dollars to 2007 dollars (\$1 in 2001 = \$1.15 in 2007). \* Zero denotes no data available to determine the average occurrences, average loss or projected loss per event.

## **Town of Kennedy Mitigation Action Plan**

The Town of Kennedy recognizes the importance of Mitigation Planning and will incorporate Mitigation planning in planning documents as they are revised or initiated.

## **Mitigation Status**

During the plan update mitigation actions were reviewed in order to identify completed, deferred, or deleted actions from the previous plan and incorporate actions added during annual updates. New actions identified during the update planning process have also been added to the plan. Some actions were identified as serving the purpose of preparedness, response, and recovery to an extent that warranted removal from the plan. The hazard planning committee agreed that such actions did not meet the intent of mitigation planning or the eligibility of mitigation grant programs. In order to track the progress of identified actions, the Town of Kennedy's original 2005 Mitigation Plan list is shown below. The current status of the proposed actions is shown in Italics.

## **BENCHMARKING:**

## Kennedy Mitigation Plan (2005)

## **Current Projects**

• NFIP participant

## **Future Projects**

- 1. Add outdoor warning sirens -Deferred
- 2. Indoor warning systems for public buildings Deleted
- 3. Construct a community storm shelter Completed
- 4. Encourage individual storm shelters Deferred
- 5. Upgrade drainage system *Deferred*
- 6. Develop and initiate response system *Deleted*
- 7. Clean out right of ways *Deleted*
- 8. Initiate a Fire Prevention Program to educate residents on fire prevention Deleted
- 9. Upgrade fire fighting equipment -Deleted

 Table 5-18 shows the Town of Kennedy's updated mitigation actions. During the plan

 update process new actions were identified and added to the plan.

#### **Mitigation Strategy – Town of Kennedy**

### **Goal 1: Protect life**

- Objective 1.1 Improve Warning and Emergency Communication Systems Action 1.1.1 Install additional outdoor warning sirens
- Objective 1.2 Reduce impact of hazards on vulnerable populations Action 1.2.1 Encourage Individual Storm Shelters

Objective 1.3 Improve disaster response and recovery

## **Goal 2: Protect property**

Objective 2.1 Reduce losses to critical facilities/assets

Objective 2.2 Continue Participation in NFIP program Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirements, regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).

Objective 2.3 Provide and maintain essential public services

Objective 2.4 Reduce losses due to drainage problems Action 2.4.1 Upgrade drainage systems, enlarge ditches, install pipe, storm drains

#### **Goal 3: Reduce economic impacts of disasters**

Objective 3.1 Maintain operations of critical businesses and major employers

### **Goal 4: Protect environment and natural resources**

Objective 4.1 Identify, protect, and properly manage floodplains

Objective 4.2 Enforce local codes and regulations related to NFIP

## **Goal 5: Increase public preparedness for disasters**

Objective 5.1 Continue to train severe weather spotters

|                               | Table 5-18:   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Т                             | own of Kennedy Mitigation Actions                                     |
|                               | , ,   |
| Mitigation Action             | Install additional outdoor warning sirens                             |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA, Town of Kennedy                                     |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availabilty                                     |
| Estimated Cost                | \$25,000 ea   |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 1   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Upgrade drainage system to enlarge ditches, add pipe and storm drains |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Kennedy, Public Works   |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                                    |
| Estimated Cost                | \$60,000  |
| Funding Sources               | Local, Grants   |
| Priority                      | 2   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Astion             | Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or   |
| Mugauon Acuon                 | improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).                  |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New and Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Kenedy  |
| Time frame for Completion     | Continuous  |
| Estimated Cost                |   |
| Funding Sources               | Local   |
| Priority                      | 3   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Encourage individual storm shelters                                   |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One to two years from funding availability                            |
| Estimated Cost                | \$4,500 each  |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 4   |

This page left intentionally blank

## **Town of Millport**

This page left intentionally blank

|  | Table 5-19:  |                    |               |  |
|--|--|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Risk   | Town of Millp  | ort<br>v Averview  |               |  |
| Natural Hazards  | Hazard<br>Identification   | Prioritized Threat | Vulnerability |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | X  | 3                  | Н             |  |
| Lightning  | X  | 6                  | Н             |  |
| Hail   | Х  | 7                  | L             |  |
| Tornado  | Х  | 2                  | Н             |  |
| Flood  | Х  | 4                  | L             |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | X  | 8                  | М             |  |
| Winter Storm   | Х  | 1                  | Н             |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm   | X  | 9                  | Н             |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive Soils   | N/A  | N/A                | NA            |  |
| Landslide  | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |  |
| Earthquake   | X  | 10                 | L             |  |
| Wildfire   | X  | 5                  | Н             |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |  |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions  |  |                    |               |  |
| KEY <b>Hazard Identification:</b><br>X Affects the Jurisdiction, N/A N<br><b>Priority:</b><br>Hazards are prioritized with the highest<br><b>Vulnerability:</b><br>NA – Not Applicable; not a hazard to t<br>L – Low Risk; little damage potential ( | fot a threat to the jurisdiction<br>t threat assigned number one.<br>he jurisdiction<br>damage to less than 5% of the ju | risdiction)        |               |  |

M – Medium Risk; moderate damage potential (damage to 5-10% of jurisdiction, infrequent occurrence) H – High Risk; significant risk/major damage potential (damage to over 10% of jurisdiction, regular occurrence)

## **TABLE 5-20: TOWN OF MILLPORT HAZARD EVENTS**

Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006 http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре        | Mag     | Dth | Inj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 6/5/1998  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/20/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/21/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/20/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/7/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/13/2005 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 75 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/1998 | High Wind   | 40 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/2/1999 | High Wind   | 45 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Strong Wind | N/A     |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Millport     | 8/19/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | \$1,000  |     | \$1,000    |          |
| Millport     | 8/20/2002 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 8,000    |     | 8,000      |          |
| Millport     | 10/2/2004 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2,000    |     | 2,000      |          |
| Millport     | 4/30/2005 | Tstm Wind   | 52 kts. |     |     | 13,000   |     | 13,000     |          |
| Millport     | 6/21/2006 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2,000    |     | 2,000      |          |
| Millport     | 7/18/2006 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 1,000    |     | 1,000      |          |
| Millport     | 7/18/2006 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     | 10,000   |     | 10,000     |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 20          |         | 0   | 0   | \$37,000 | \$0 | \$37,000   |          |

#### Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location     | Date      | Туре          | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/20/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/21/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/12/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Millport     | 6/20/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 4             |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Lightning Strikes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

|              |           |           | - J |     |     |          |     |            |          |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Location     | Date      | Туре      | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
| Lamar County | 6/13/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     | \$22,000 |     | \$22,000   |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 1         |     | 0   | 0   | \$22,000 | \$0 | \$22,000   |          |
|              |           |           |     |     |     |          |     |            |          |

\*There was no local input

## Hail, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006 ww4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent

|          |           |             | , •••••••, •, •, |           |        | ,                |         |            |          |
|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|-----------|--------|------------------|---------|------------|----------|
|          |           | http://www4 | .ncdc.noaa.gov   | //cgi-win | /wwcgi | .dll?wwevent~sto | orms    |            |          |
| Location | Date      | Туре        | Mag              | Dth       | lnj    | PrD              | CrD     | Total Cost | Comments |
| Millport | 1/24/1997 | Hail        | 0.75 in.         |           |        | \$5,000          |         | \$5,000    |          |
| Millport | 5/2/1997  | Hail        | 1.00 in.         |           |        | 5,000            |         | 5,000      |          |
| Millport | 3/6/1998  | Hail        | 1.75 in.         |           |        | 3,000            |         | 3,000      |          |
| Millport | 3/19/1998 | Hail        | 0.75 in.         |           |        |                  |         |            |          |
| Millport | 4/16/1998 | Hail        | 1.75 in.         |           |        | 5,000            | 3,000   | 8,000      |          |
| Millport | 3/10/2000 | Hail        | 1.75 in.         |           |        | 10,000           |         | 10,000     |          |
| Millport | 4/30/2002 | Hail        | 0.75 in.         |           |        |                  |         |            |          |
| Millport | 9/12/2004 | Hail        | 0.88 in.         |           |        |                  |         |            |          |
| Millport | 7/18/2006 | Hail        | 1.00 in.         |           |        |                  |         |            |          |
| TOTALS   |           |             | 9                | 0         | 0      | \$28,000         | \$3,000 | \$31,000   |          |

\* There was no local input

## 124

#### Tornados, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Millport | 12/7/2004  | Tornado      | F2  |     |     | \$30,000 |     | \$30,000   |          |
| Millport | 11/15/2005 | Funnel Cloud | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |            | 2            |     | 0   | 0   | \$30,000 | \$0 | \$30,000   |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Floods (including flash floods), January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/7/1998   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/5/2003   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/24/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 4/6/2005   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 8           |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Drought/Extreme Heat, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/18/2006 | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/1/2006  | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/1/2006  | Drought | D-3 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Winter Storms/ Hard Freezes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 12/23/1998 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/21/1999 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/27/2000  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/24/2003  | Extreme Cold | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/18/2006  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 5            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 9/16/2004 | High Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     |     |     |            | Ivan     |
| Lamar County | 7/10/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Dennis   |
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Katrina  |
| TOTALS       |           | 3              |         | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location | Date      | Туре           | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD     | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|------------|----------|
| Millport | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | \$9,867 |     | \$9,867    | Katrina  |
| TOTALS   |           | n/a            |     | 0   | 0   | \$9,867 | \$0 | \$9,867    |          |

#### Sinkholes/Expansive Soils, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no sinkholes or expansive soils reported during this time frame.

#### Landslides, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no landslides reported during this time frame.

#### Earthquakes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

#### http://www.gsa.state.al.us/gsa/geologichazards/eathquakes/al.html

| Location | Date      | Туре       | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Millport | 5/19/1999 | Earthquake | 2   | 0   | 0   | 9   |     |            | 11 mi NE |
| TOTALS   |           | 1          |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 |            |          |

#### Wildfires, January 1, 2000 - December 31, 2006

#### Source: Alabama Forestry Commission & the U.S. Forest Service

| Location | Date      | Туре     | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Millport | 8/17/2000 | Railroad | 68  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |           | 1        |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Dam/ Levee Failure, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no dam or levee failures reported during this time frame.

| Table 5-21:<br>Town of Millport<br>Hazard Probability Assessment |   |   |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards  | Number of<br>Historical<br>Occurrences* | Probability<br>of Future<br>Occurrence* | Extent* | Area Affected  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | 24                                      | 0.7%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning  | 1                                       | 0                                       | >10%    | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail   | 9                                       | 0.2%                                    | <5%     | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado  | 2                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood  | 8                                       | 0.2%                                    | <5%     | North of Railroad,<br>along Driver, Propst<br>Creeks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm   | 5                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm                                      | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils                                      | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide  | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake   | 1                                       | 0                                       | <5%     | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire   | 1                                       | 0                                       | >10%    | Town-wide  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Number of historical occurrences is events reported by all sources during the ten-year period of January 1, 1997 – December 31, 2006. Probability is expressed as a percentage of the chance of an event occurring on any given day by dividing the number of historical events by 3,650 (days in the ten-year period). Extent is expressed as the percentage assigned by the jurisdiction's ranking in the vulnerability summary (Table 4-9).

\*Zero denotes no data available to determine the probability, extent, or affected area.

## TABLE 5-22: Town of Millport Critical Facilities

| Facility                           | Location                   | Use               | Value        |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Governmental Services              |                            |                   |              |
| ^Millport City Hall                | 920 Black St               | Local Government  | \$2,000,000  |
|                                    | 175 Milliport Manor Dr     | Fire Protection   | 500,000      |
|                                    |                            |                   |              |
| Public Works                       |                            |                   |              |
| Water Tank 1 (100K gal)            | 106 Water Tenk Pd          | Potable Water     | 200.000      |
| Water Tank 7 (100K gal)            | 192 Shorny St              | Potable Water     | 300,000      |
| Water Tank 3 (300K gal)            |                            | Potable Water     | 300,000      |
| Water Treatment Facility           | 242 Margarat St            | Potable Water     | 300,000      |
| Sewage Pumping Station             | 156 Collogo Dr             | Sewer System      | 2,000,000    |
| Sewage Fullping Station            |                            | Sewer System      | 240,000      |
| Sewage Fullping Station            | 11134 Hwy 96 W             | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Pumping Station             | 241 Driver Creek Rd        | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Fumping Station             | 101 Sondr St               | Sower System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Fullping Station            | 151 Chiekesow St           | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Fumping Station             | 154 Unickasaw St           | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Fullping Station            |                            | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Fullping Station            | 204 Sally St.              | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Fullping Station            | 303 CODD St.               | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Pumping Station             | 136 Gardner St.            | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Pumping Station             | 240 Milliport Manor Drive  | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Pumping Station             | 305 S Lamar School Rd      | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Sewage Pumping Station             | 14715 HWy 96 E             | Sewer System      | 45,000       |
| Mater Deseter Duren                | Hwy 96 E                   | Sewer System      | 615,000      |
|                                    | 307 CR 27                  | Polable Water     | 12,700       |
| Weter Suster Office / Shar         | 532 Elizabeth St.          | Potable Water     | 5,300        |
| Water System Once/ Shop            | 302 Margaret St            |                   | 61,000       |
|                                    |                            |                   |              |
| Education                          |                            |                   |              |
| Education                          |                            |                   |              |
|                                    |                            |                   |              |
|                                    |                            |                   |              |
| Industrial                         |                            |                   |              |
| *Stool Dust Requeling              | Huny OG                    | Major Employer    | 25 000 000   |
|                                    |                            |                   | 35,000,000   |
|                                    |                            |                   |              |
| Missollanaous                      |                            |                   |              |
| Communications Towers              | 14026 Hway 96              | Communications    | 100.000      |
| Communications Towers              | 175 Milloort Manor Dr      | Communications    | 100,000      |
| Communications Towers              | 288 Cash Circla            | Communications    | 100,001      |
| Morehant & Farmore Bank            |                            | Financial         | 1 000 000    |
| National Guard Armony              | 30330 Hwy 17               | Military Facility | 1,000,000    |
| South Lamar Family Med Con         | 16530 Hway 06              | Health Caro       | 2,000,000    |
| West Alabama Bank                  | 11600 Hwy 96               | Financial         | 1,000,000    |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#4)         | Wolch St                   | Meather Warning   | 1,000,000    |
| Outdoor Warning Siron (#4)         | Shorp, St                  | Weather Warning   | 15,000       |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#5)         | Old Konnody Pd             | Weather Warning   | 15,000       |
| *Housing Authority Storm Shalter 4 |                            | Shaltar           | 10,000       |
| *Housing Authority Storm Shelter 2 | 11132 Hway 96              | Sheltor           | 41 100       |
| Source: Local Jurisdiction         | *Facility shown on Man 5-4 |                   | \$47,343,803 |



| Table 5-23:<br>Town of Millport<br>Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards |                                       |                 |                   |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards  | Average<br>Occurrences*<br>(per year) | Total<br>Deaths | Total<br>Injuries | Average<br>Crop and<br>Property<br>Loss*<br>(per event) | Projected<br>Loss*<br>(per event) |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | 2.4                                   | 0               | 0                 | \$1,542   | \$1,773                           |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning  | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Hail   | .9                                    | 0               | 0                 | 2,444   | 2,811                             |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado  | .2                                    | 0               | 0                 | 15,000  | 17,250                            |  |  |  |  |
| Flood  | .8                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm/Freeze  | .5                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm  | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 3,289   | 3,782                             |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake   | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire   | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |

Source:

NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Average occurrences were expressed annually by dividing the total number of occurrences by the ten-year period. Deaths and injuries were taken from the hazard event data. Average loss was calculated by dividing the total amount of all damages by the total number of occurrences during the ten-year period. Projected loss expresses an estimated damage amount per future occurrence by converting the average loss figure from a midpoint of 2001 dollars to 2007 dollars (\$1 in 2001 = \$1.15 in 2007). \* Zero denotes no data available to determine the average occurrences, average loss or projected loss per event.

## **Town of Millport Mitigation Action Plan**

The Town of Millport recognizes the importance of Mitigation Planning and will incorporate Mitigation planning in planning documents as they are revised or initiated.

## Mitigation Status

During the plan update mitigation actions were reviewed in order to identify completed, deferred, or deleted actions from the previous plan and incorporate actions added during annual updates. New actions identified during the update planning process have also been added to the plan. Some actions were identified as serving the purpose of preparedness, response, and recovery to an extent that warranted removal from the plan. The hazard planning committee agreed that such actions did not meet the intent of mitigation planning or the eligibility of mitigation grant programs. In order to track the progress of identified actions, the Town of Millport's original 2005 Mitigation Plan list is shown below. The current status of the proposed actions is shown in Italics.

## **BENCHMARKING:**

## Town of Millport Mitigation Plan (2005)

## **Current Projects**

• NFIP participant

## **Future Projects**

- 1. Add outdoor warning siren Deferred
- 2. Indoor warning systems for public buildings Deleted
- 3. Construct a community storm shelter Completed and deferred
- 4. Encourage individual storm shelters Deferred
- 5. Upgrade drainage system Deferred
- 6. Develop and initiate response system Deleted
- 7. Clean out rights-of-way Deleted
- 8. Initiate a Fire Prevention Program to educate residents on fire prevention Deleted
- 9. Upgrade fire-fighting equipment Deleted

**Table 5-24** shows the Town of Millport's updated mitigation actions. During the plan update process new actions were identified and added to the plan.

### **Mitigation Strategy – Town of Millport**

## **Goal 1: Protect life**

Objective 1.1 Improve Warning and Emergency Communication Systems Action 1.1.1 Install additional outdoor warning sirens

Objective 1.2Reduce impact of hazards on vulnerable populationsAction 1.2.1construct short-term Community Storm ShelterAction 1.2.2Encourage Individual Storm Shelters

Objective 1.3 Improve disaster response and recovery

#### **Goal 2: Protect property**

Objective 2.1 Reduce losses to critical facilities/assets

Objective 2.2 Continue Participation in NFIP program Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirements, regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).

Objective 2.3 Provide and maintain essential public services

Objective 2.4 Reduce losses due to drainage problems Action 2.4.1 Upgrade drainage system, enlarge ditches, install culverts

## **Goal 3: Reduce economic impacts of disasters**

Objective 3.1 Maintain operations of critical businesses and major employers

#### **Goal 4: Protect environment and natural resources**

Objective 4.1 Identify, protect, and properly manage floodplains

Objective 4.2 Enforce local codes and regulations related to NFIP

## **Goal 5: Increase public preparedness for disasters**

Objective 5.1 Continue to train severe weather spotters

## Table 5-24: Town of Millport Mitigation Actions

r

| Mitigation Action             | Construct short-term community storm shelter                        |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA, Town of Millport                                  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                                  |
| Estimated Cost                | \$400,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 1   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Install additional outdoor warning sirens                           |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA, Town of Millport                                  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availabilty                                   |
| Estimated Cost                | \$25,000 ea   |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 2   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Upgrade drainage system to enlarge ditches and culverts             |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Millport, Public Works                                      |
| Time frame for Completion     | One year from funding availability                                  |
| Estimated Cost                | \$2,000,000   |
| Funding Sources               | Local, Grants   |
| Priority                      | 3   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or |
|                               | improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).                |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | Flood   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New and Existing  |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Town of Millport  |
| Time frame for Completion     | Continuous  |
| Estimated Cost                |   |
| Funding Sources               | Local   |
| Priority                      | 4   |
|                               |   |
| Mitigation Action             | Encourage individual storm shelters                                 |
| Hazard(s) Addressed           | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism      | Lamar County EMA  |
| Time frame for Completion     | One to two years from funding availability                          |
| Estimated Cost                | \$4,500 each  |
| Funding Sources               | Grants, Local   |
| Priority                      | 5   |

This page left intentionally blank

# **City of Sulligent**

This page left intentionally blank

|   | Table 5-25   | :                  |               |
|---|--|--------------------|---------------|
| Risk  | City of Sullig   | ent<br>v Overview  |               |
| Natural Hazards   | Hazard<br>Identification   | Prioritized Threat | Vulnerability |
| Thunderstorm/Wind   | X  | 1                  | Н             |
| Lightning   | X  | 2                  | Н             |
| Hail  | X  | 7                  | М             |
| Tornado   | X  | 4                  | Н             |
| Flood   | X  | 6                  | Н             |
| Drought/Extreme Heat  | X  | 5                  | Н             |
| Winter Storm  | X  | 3                  | Н             |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm  | X  | 9                  | М             |
| Sinkhole/Expansive Soils  | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |
| Landslide   | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |
| Earthquake  | X  | 10                 | L             |
| Wildfire  | X  | 8                  | Н             |
| Dam/Levee Failure   | N/A  | N/A                | N/A           |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions   |  |                    |               |
| <ul> <li>KEY Hazard Identification:</li> <li>X Affects the Jurisdiction, N/A N</li> <li>Priority:</li> <li>Hazards are prioritized with the highes:</li> <li>Vulnerability:</li> <li>NA – Not Applicable; not a hazard to t</li> <li>L – Low Risk; little damage potential (</li> <li>M – Medium Risk: moderate damage t</li> </ul> | fot a threat to the jurisdiction<br>t threat assigned number one.<br>he jurisdiction<br>damage to less than 5% of the ju-<br>potential (damage to 5-10% of ju- | risdiction)        |               |

M – Medium Risk; moderate damage potential (damage to 5-10% of jurisdiction, infrequent occurrence)
 H – High Risk; significant risk/major damage potential (damage to over 10% of jurisdiction, regular occurrence)

## **TABLE 5-26: CITY OF SULLIGENT HAZARD EVENTS**

Thunderstorms/Wind, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | Inj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 6/5/1998   | Tstm Wind      | 55 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/20/2000  | Tstm Wind      | 60 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/2001  | Tstm Wind      | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/21/2001  | Tstm Wind      | 65 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/20/2001  | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/7/2003   | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003  | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003  | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/13/2005  | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006   | Tstm Wind      | 75 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006   | Strong Wind    | N/A     |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/1998  | High Wind      | 40 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/2/1999  | High Wind      | 45 kts. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Sulligent    | 8/11/1998  | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     | \$5,000  |     | \$5,000    |          |
| Sulligent    | 2/27/1999  | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     | 5,000    |     | 5,000      |          |
| Sulligent    | 6/18/2003  | Tstm Wind      | 50 kts. |     |     | 22,000   |     | 22,000     |          |
| Sulligent    | 7/20/2005  | Tstm Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     | 2,000    |     | 2,000      |          |
| Sulligent    | 11/15/2006 | Tstm Wind      | N/A     |     |     | 1,000    |     | 1,000      |          |
| Sulligent    | 5/2/1997   | Tstm Wind/hail | 0 kts.  |     |     | 6,000    |     | 6,000      |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 19             |         | 0   | 0   | \$41,000 | \$0 | \$41,000   |          |

#### Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location     | Date      | Туре          | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/20/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/21/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/12/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent    | 2/22/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent    | 6/13/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent    | 12/7/2004 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Sulligent    | 4/30/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 7             |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

Lightning Strikes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре      | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 6/13/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Sulligent    | 8/27/2002 | Lightning | N/A |     |     | \$90,000 |     | \$90,000   |          |
| Sulligent    | 7/20/2005 | Lightning | N/A |     | 1   |          |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3         |     | 0   | 1   | \$90,000 | \$0 | \$90,000   |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Hail, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location  | Date      | Туре           | Mag      | Dth | Inj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Sulligent | 5/2/1997  | Tstm Wind/hail | 0 kts.   |     |     | \$6,000  |     | \$6,000    |          |
| Sulligent | 3/19/1998 | Hail           | 0.75 in. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Sulligent | 3/3/2000  | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | 10,000   |     | 10,000     |          |
| Sulligent | 4/21/2005 | Hail           | 1.25 in. |     |     | 1,000    |     | 1,000      |          |
| Sulligent | 4/21/2005 | Hail           | 1.75 in. |     |     | 9,000    |     | 9,000      |          |
| Sulligent | 5/10/2006 | Hail           | 0.75 in. |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| TOTALS    |           | 6              |          | 0   | 0   | \$26,000 | \$0 | \$26,000   |          |

\*There was no local input
#### Tornados, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location  | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD     | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|------------|----------|
| Sulligent | 3/13/2006 | Tornado | F0  |     |     | \$6,000 |     | \$6,000    |          |
| TOTALS    |           | 1       |     | 0   | 0   | \$6,000 | \$0 | \$6,000    |          |

\*There was no local input

## Floods (including flash floods), January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD     | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/7/1998   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/5/2003   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/24/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 4/6/2005   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |         |     |            |          |
| Sulligent    | 7/20/2005  | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | \$1,000 |     | \$1,000    |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 9           |     | 0   | 0   | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$1,000    |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Drought/Extreme Heat, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/18/2006 | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/1/2006  | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/1/2006  | Drought | D-3 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Winter Storms/ Hard Freezes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/24/2003  | Extreme Cold | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/23/1998 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/21/1999 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/27/2000  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/18/2006  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 5            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
|              | 9/16/2004 | High Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     |     |     |            | Ivan     |
| Lamar County | 7/10/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Dennis   |
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Katrina  |
| TOTALS       |           | 3              |         | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location  | Date      | Туре           | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Sulligent | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | \$11,817 |     | \$11,817   | Katrina  |
| TOTALS    |           | n/a            |     | 0   | 0   | \$11,817 | \$0 | \$11,817   |          |

#### Sinkholes/Expansive Soils, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no sinkholes or expansive soils reported during this time frame.

#### Landslides, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no landslides reported during this time frame.

#### Earthquakes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no earthquakes reported from Geological Survey of Alabama, NOAA or local sources during this time frame.

#### Wildfires, January 1, 2000 - December 31, 2006

Source: Alabama Forestry Commission & the U.S. Forest Service \* There were no wildfires that met the minimum criteria of 25 acres of burned area reported by any source .

#### Dam/ Levee Failure, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no dam or levee failures reported during this time frame.

|                             | Cit                                     | Table 5-27:<br>ty of Sulligent          | t       |                |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---------|----------------|
|                             | Hazard Pr                               | obability Ass                           | essment |                |
| Natural Hazards             | Number of<br>Historical<br>Occurrences* | Probability<br>of Future<br>Occurrence* | Extent* | Area Affected  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind           | 26                                      | 0.7%                                    | >10%    | City-wide      |
| Lightning                   | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | City-wide      |
| Hail                        | 6                                       | 0.2%                                    | 5-10%   | City-wide      |
| Tornado                     | 1                                       | 0                                       | >10%    | City-wide      |
| Flood                       | 9                                       | 0.2%                                    | >10%    | Northern areas |
| Drought/Extreme Heat        | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | City-wide      |
| Winter Storm                | 5                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | City-wide      |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | City-wide      |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A            |
| Landslide                   | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A            |
| Earthquake                  | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A            |
| Wildfire                    | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A            |
| Dam/Levee Failure           | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A            |

Source: NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Number of historical occurrences is events reported by all sources during the ten-year period of January 1, 1997 – December 31, 2006. Probability is expressed as a percentage of the chance of an event occurring on any given day by dividing the number of historical events by 3,650 (days in the ten-year period). Extent is expressed as the percentage assigned by the jurisdiction's ranking in the vulnerability summary (Table 4-9).

\*Zero denotes no data available to determine the probability, extent, or affected area.

## TABLE 5-28: City of Sulligent Critical Facilities

| Facility                           | Location                    | lise                    | Value        |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Governmental Services              | Loodion                     |                         | Value        |
| *Sulligent City Hall/ Fire/ Police | 5795 Hwy 278                | Gov/ Emergency Services | \$1 300 000  |
|                                    | 01001100210                 |                         | ψ1,000,000   |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
| Public Works                       |                             |                         | <u>I</u>     |
| Ogden Hill Water Tank              | 155 Lollar St               | Potable Water           | 300,000      |
| Wadsworth Water Tank               | 2105 Wadsworth Rd           | Potable Water           | 250,000      |
| Ogden Hill Well                    | 155 Lollar St               | Potable Water           | <u></u>      |
| Brown Street Pump House            | 664 Brown St                | Water Supply            | 200,000      |
| Sewer Treatment Plant              | 210 Treatment Plant Rd      | Sewer Treatment         | 750,000      |
| Sewer Pump Station #1              | Hwy 278 W                   | Sewer Treatment         | 125,000      |
| Sewer Pump Station #2              | Northern States             | Sewer Treatment         | 60,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #3              | Wolf Run                    | Sewer Treatment         | 50,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #4              | Metcalf St                  | Sewer Treatment         | 50,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #5              | Trims Trailer Park Circle   | Sewer Treatment         | 40,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #6              | Mulberry Berry Rd           | Sewer Treatment         | 50,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #7              | Mulberry Berry Rd           | Sewer Treatment         | 40,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #8              | Hwy 278 E Omni              | Sewer Treatment         | 50,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #9              | Hwy 278 E Allen White Plant | Sewer Treatment         | 60,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #10             | Hwy 278 E Nacco Plant       | Sewer Treatment         | 80,000       |
| Sewer Pump Station #11             | Waterworks Rd               | Sewer Treatment         | 4,000        |
| Sewer Pump Station #12             | Mulberry Rd                 | Sewer Treatment         | 4,000        |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
| Education                          |                             |                         |              |
| Sulligent K-12                     | 661 Elm St                  | Education               | 9,000,000    |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
| Industrial                         |                             |                         | <u>т</u>     |
| *Nacco Materials                   |                             | Major Employer          | <b> </b>     |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
|                                    |                             |                         |              |
|                                    |                             | Communications          | 400.000      |
| *Communications Tower              | 1975 Wadsworth Rd           | Communications          | 100,000      |
| *Communications Tower              | 2105 Wadsworth Rd           | Communications          | 100,000      |
| *First National Bank               | 55220 Hwy 17                | Financial               | 500,000      |
| *National Quard Armany             | 5856 HWy 278                |                         | 500,000      |
| *Resource Squad Puilding           | 4743 HWy 278                |                         | 1,000,000    |
| Outdoor Warning Siron (#7)         | S795 Hwy 276                | EMS<br>Weather warping  | 15 000       |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#7)         |                             | Weather warning         | 15,000       |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#0)         |                             | Weather warning         | 15,000       |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (10)         |                             | Weather warning         | 15,000       |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#14)        | Miss Anne Dr                | Weather warning         | 15,000       |
|                                    |                             |                         | 15,000       |
|                                    |                             |                         | +            |
|                                    |                             |                         | †            |
| Source: Local Jurisdiction         | *Facility shown on Map 5-5  | TOTAL                   | \$14,988.000 |



| Table 5-29:<br>City of Sulligent<br>Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards |                                       |                 |                   |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards   | Average<br>Occurrences*<br>(per year) | Total<br>Deaths | Total<br>Injuries | Average<br>Crop and<br>Property<br>Loss*<br>(per event) | Projected<br>Loss*<br>(per event) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind   | 2.6                                   | 0               | 0                 | \$1,577   | \$1,814                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 30,000  | 34,500                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail  | .6                                    | 0               | 0                 | 4,333   | 4,983                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado   | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 6,000   | 6,900                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood   | .9                                    | 0               | 0                 | 111   | 128                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat  | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm/Freeze   | .5                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 3,939   | 4,530                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source:

NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Average occurrences were expressed annually by dividing the total number of occurrences by the ten-year period. Deaths and injuries were taken from the hazard event data. Average loss was calculated by dividing the total amount of all damages by the total number of occurrences during the ten-year period. Projected loss expresses an estimated damage amount per future occurrence by converting the average loss figure from a midpoint of 2001 dollars to 2007 dollars (\$1 in 2001 = \$1.15 in 2007). \* Zero denotes no data available to determine the average occurrences, average loss or projected loss per event.

## **City of Sulligent Mitigation Action Plan**

The City of Sulligent recognizes the importance of Mitigation Planning and will incorporate Mitigation planning in planning documents as they are revised or initiated.

## Mitigation Status

During the plan update mitigation actions were reviewed in order to identify completed, deferred, or deleted actions from the previous plan and incorporate actions added during annual updates. New actions identified during the update planning process have also been added to the plan. Some actions were identified as serving the purpose of preparedness, response, and recovery to an extent that warranted removal from the plan. The hazard planning committee agreed that such actions did not meet the intent of mitigation planning or the eligibility of mitigation grant programs. In order to track the progress of identified actions, the City of Sulligent's original 2005 Mitigation Plan list is shown below. The current status of the proposed actions is shown in Italics.

## **BENCHMARKING:**

## Sulligent Mitigation Plan (2005)

## **Current Projects**

1. NFIP participant

## **Future Projects**

- 1. Add outdoor warning sirens -Deferred
- 2. Indoor warning systems for public buildings Deleted
- 3. Construct a community storm shelter *Deleted*
- 4. Encourage individual storm shelters Deferred
- 5. Upgrade drainage system Deferred
- 6. Develop and initiate response system Deleted
- 7. Clean out rights-of-ways Deleted
- 8. Initiate a Fire Prevention Program to educate residents on fire prevention *Deleted*
- 9. Upgrade fire-fighting equipment Deleted

**Table 5-30** shows the City of Sulligent's updated mitigation actions. During the plan update process new actions were identified and added to the plan.

## **Mitigation Strategy - City of Sulligent**

#### **Goal 1: Protect life**

Objective 1.2 Reduce impact of hazards on vulnerable populations Action 1.2.1 Encourage Individual Storm Shelters

Objective 1.3 Improve disaster response and recovery

## **Goal 2: Protect property**

Objective 2.1 Reduce losses to critical facilities/assets

Objective 2.2 Continue Participation in NFIP program Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirements, regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).

Objective 2.3 Provide and maintain essential public services

Objective 2.4 Reduce losses due to drainage problems Action 2.4.1 Upgrade drainage system

#### **Goal 3: Reduce economic impacts of disasters**

Objective 3.1 Maintain operations of critical businesses and major employers

### **Goal 4: Protect environment and natural resources**

Objective 4.1 Identify, protect, and properly manage floodplains

Objective 4.2 Enforce local codes and regulations related to NFIP

#### **Goal 5: Increase public preparedness for disasters**

Objective 5.1 Continue to train severe weather spotters

Objective 1.1 Improve Warning and Emergency Communication Systems Action 1.1.1 Install additional outdoor warning sirens

|   | Table 5-30:   |
|---|---|
|   | City of Sulligent Mitigation Actions  |
|   |   |
| Mitigation Action   | Install additional outdoor warning sirens   |
| Hazard(s) Addressed   | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset   | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism  | Lamar County EMA, City of Sulligent   |
| Time frame for Completion   | One year from funding availabilty   |
| Estimated Cost  | \$15,000 ea   |
| Funding Sources   | Grants, Local   |
| Priority  | 1   |
|   |   |
| Mitigation Action   | Upgrade drainage system   |
| Hazard(s) Addressed   | Flooding  |
| Applies to new/existing asset   | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism  | City of Sulligent, Public Works   |
| Time frame for Completion   | One year from funding availability  |
| Estimated Cost  |   |
| Funding Sources   | Local, Grants   |
| Priority  | 2   |
|   |   |
| Mitigation Action   | Encourage individual storm shelters   |
| Hazard(s) Addressed   | All   |
| Applies to new/existing asset   | New   |
| Local Planning Mechanism  | Lamor County EMA City of Sulligent  |
|   | Lamar County EWA, City of Sungent   |
| Time frame for Completion   | One to two years from funding availability  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost   | One to two years from funding availability  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources  | One to two years from funding availability Grants, Local  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority  | One to two years from funding availability Grants, Local 3  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority  | One to two years from funding availability Grants, Local 3  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority  | One to two years from funding availability Grants, Local 3 Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action   | Cone to two years from funding availability         Grants, Local         3         Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed  | Cone to two years from funding availability<br>Grants, Local<br>3<br>Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or<br>improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).<br>Flood   |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset   | Cone to two years from funding availability         Grants, Local         3         Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).         Flood         New and Existing   |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism   | Cone to two years from funding availability         Grants, Local         3         Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).         Flood         New and Existing         City of Sulligent   |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism<br>Time frame for Completion                                      | Cone to two years from funding availability         Grants, Local         3         Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).         Flood         New and Existing         City of Sulligent         Continuous  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism<br>Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost                    | Cone to two years from funding availability         Grants, Local         3         Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).         Flood         New and Existing         City of Sulligent         Continuous  |
| Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism<br>Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources | Definition       Construction         One to two years from funding availability         Grants, Local         3         Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's).         Flood         New and Existing         City of Sulligent         Continuous         Local |

This page left intentionally blank

# **City of Vernon**

This page left intentionally blank

|  | Table 5-31<br>City of Vern   | :<br>on  |               |  |
|--|--|--|---------------|--|
| Risk   | and Vulnerabilit   | y Overview   |               |  |
| Natural Hazards  | Hazard<br>Identification   | Prioritized Threat   | Vulnerability |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | X  | 2  | Н             |  |
| Lightning  | X  | 3  | Н             |  |
| Hail   | X  | 8  | М             |  |
| Tornado  | X  | 6  | Н             |  |
| Flood  | X  | 5  | Μ             |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | X  | 4  | Н             |  |
| Winter Storm   | X  | 1  | Н             |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm   | X  | 9  | М             |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive Soils   | N/A  | N/A  | N/A           |  |
| Landslide  | N/A  | N/A  | N/A           |  |
| Earthquake   | X  | 10   | L             |  |
| Wildfire   | X  | 7  | Н             |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | N/A  | N/A  | N/A           |  |
| Source: Participating Jurisdictions  |  |  |               |  |
| <ul> <li>KEY Hazard Identification:<br/>X Affects the Jurisdiction, N/A N<br/>Priority:<br/>Hazards are prioritized with the highes<br/>Vulnerability:<br/>NA – Not Applicable; not a hazard to t<br/>L – Low Risk; little damage potential (<br/>M – Medium Risk; moderate damage p<br/>H – High Risk; significant risk/major of</li> </ul> | Jot a threat to the jurisdiction<br>t threat assigned number one.<br>the jurisdiction<br>(damage to less than 5% of the jurisdiction<br>potential (damage to 5-10% of jurisdiction)<br>lamage potential (damage to ove | risdiction)<br>risdiction, infrequent occurrence)<br>r 10% of jurisdiction, regular occurr | rence)        |  |

## **TABLE 5-32: CITY OF VERNON HAZARD EVENTS**

Thunderstorm/Wind, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре        | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD       | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 6/5/1998  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/20/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/21/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 65 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/20/2001 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 5/7/2003  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 6/11/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/13/2005 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 75 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 3/9/2006  | Strong Wind | N/A     |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/16/1998 | High Wind   | 40 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/2/1999 | High Wind   | 45 kts. |     |     |           |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 3/5/1997  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | \$7,000   |     | \$7,000    |          |
| Vernon       | 5/2/1997  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 6,000     |     | 6,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 5/2/1997  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 7,000     |     | 7,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 5/3/1997  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 15,000    |     | 15,000     |          |
| Vernon       | 6/13/1998 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 20,000    |     | 20,000     |          |
| Vernon       | 9/5/1999  | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | 10,000    |     | 10,000     |          |
| Vernon       | 2/13/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     | 5,000     |     | 5,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 3/30/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 55 kts. |     |     | 2,000     |     | 2,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 11/8/2000 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2,000     |     | 2,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 6/24/2002 | Tstm Wind   | 60 kts. |     |     | 60,000    |     | 60,000     |          |
| Vernon       | 9/16/2002 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 3,000     |     | 3,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 7/13/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 8,000     |     | 8,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 7/21/2003 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 5,000     |     | 5,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 7/7/2004  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 8,000     |     | 8,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 4/7/2006  | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2,000     |     | 2,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 5/10/2006 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 3,000     |     | 3,000      |          |
| Vernon       | 7/21/2006 | Tstm Wind   | 50 kts. |     |     | 2,000     |     | 2,000      |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 30          |         | 0   | 0   | \$165,000 | \$0 | \$165,000  |          |

#### Thunderstorm/Wind January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location     | Date      | Туре          | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/20/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 7/21/2005 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/12/2006 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 2/22/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 3/19/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 7/13/2003 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 12/7/2004 | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 4/6/2005  | Straight line |     |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 8             | 8   | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

## Lightning Strikes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

| http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms |           |           |     |     |     |          |     |            |          |  |  |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|--|--|
| Location   | Date      | Туре      | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |  |  |
| Lamar County   | 6/13/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |  |  |
| Vernon   | 9/6/2001  | Lightning | N/A | 1   | 6   |          |     |            |          |  |  |
| Vernon   | 7/31/2004 | Lightning | N/A |     |     | 10,000   |     | 10,000     |          |  |  |
| TOTALS   |           | 3         |     | 1   | 6   | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$10,000   |          |  |  |

\*There was no local input

#### Hail, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location | Date      | Туре | Mag      | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Vernon   | 5/28/1998 | Hail | 0.75 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 3/10/2000 | Hail | 0.88 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 4/30/2002 | Hail | 0.75 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 6/2/2003  | Hail | 0.88 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 7/21/2003 | Hail | 0.75 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 4/20/2006 | Hail | 0.75 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 6/2/2006  | Hail | 0.75 in. |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |           | 7    |          | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Tornados, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Vernon   | 5/7/2003   | Tornado      | F1  |     |     | \$5,000  |     | \$5,000    |          |
| Vernon   | 11/15/2005 | Funnel Cloud | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 11/15/2005 | Tornado      | F0  |     |     | 20,000   |     | 20,000     |          |
| TOTALS   |            | 3            |     | 0   | 0   | \$25,000 | \$0 | \$25,000   |          |

#### Tornados January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Vernon   | 3/00/1997 | Tornado | F2  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 12/7/2004 | Tornado | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Vernon   | 3/9/2006  | Tornado | F1  |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Floods (including flash floods), January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре        | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/7/1998   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/5/2003   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/5/2004   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 11/24/2004 | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 4/6/2005   | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     |          |     |            |          |
| Vernon       | 2/21/2003  | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | \$10,000 |     | \$10,000   |          |
| Vernon       | 5/17/2003  | Flash Flood | N/A |     |     | 3,000    |     | 3,000      |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 10          |     | 0   | 0   | \$13,000 | \$0 | \$13,000   |          |

\*There was no local input

## Drought/Extreme Heat, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре    | Mag | Dth | Inj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 7/18/2006 | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 8/1/2006  | Drought | D-2 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 9/1/2006  | Drought | D-3 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |           | 3       |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Winter Storms/ Hard Freezes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date       | Туре         | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 1/24/2003  | Extreme Cold | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/23/1998 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 12/21/1999 | Ice Storm    | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 1/27/2000  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| Lamar County | 2/18/2006  | Winter Storm | N/A |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS       |            | 5            |     | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

| Location     | Date      | Туре           | Mag     | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Lamar County | 9/16/2004 | High Wind      | 52 kts. |     |     |     |     |            | Ivan     |
| Lamar County | 7/10/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Dennis   |
| Lamar County | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A     |     |     |     |     |            | Katrina  |
| TOTALS       |           | 3              |         | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

#### Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

Local Input

| Location | Date      | Туре           | Mag | Dth | lnj | PrD      | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|------------|----------|
| Vernon   | 8/29/2005 | Tropical Storm | N/A |     |     | \$17,342 |     | \$17,342   | Katrina  |
| TOTALS   |           |                |     | 0   | 0   | \$17,342 | \$0 | \$17,342   |          |

#### Sinkholes/Expansive Soils, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no sinkholes or expansive soils reported during this time frame.

#### Landslides, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no landslides reported during this time frame.

#### Earthquakes, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no earthquakes reported from Geological Survey of Alabama, NOAA or local sources during this time frame.

#### Wildfires, January 1, 2000 - December 31, 2006

Source: Alabama Forestry Commission & the U.S. Forest Service

| Location | Date      | Туре          | Mag  | Dth | lnj | PrD | CrD | Total Cost | Comments |
|----------|-----------|---------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|----------|
| Vernon   | 1/25/2005 | Miscellaneous | 28.6 |     |     |     |     |            |          |
| TOTALS   |           | 1             |      | 0   | 0   | \$0 | \$0 | \$0        |          |

\*There was no local input

#### Dam/ Levee Failure, January 1, 1997- December 31, 2006

http://www4.ncdc.noaa.gov/cgi-win/wwcgi.dll?wwevent~storms

\*There were no dam or levee failures reported during this time frame.

| Table 5-33:<br>City of Vernon<br>Hazard Probability Assessment |   |   |         |               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Natural Hazards  | Number of<br>Historical<br>Occurrences* | Probability<br>of Future<br>Occurrence* | Extent* | Area Affected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | 38                                      | 1.0%                                    | >10%    | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lightning  | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hail   | 7                                       | 0.2%                                    | 5-10%   | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tornado  | 6                                       | 0.2%                                    | >10%    | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood  | 10                                      | 0.3%                                    | 5-10%   | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter Storm   | 5                                       | 0.1%                                    | >10%    | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm                                    | 3                                       | 0.1%                                    | 5-10%   | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils                                    | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landslide  | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthquake   | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildfire   | 1                                       | 0                                       | >10%    | City-wide     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | 0                                       | 0                                       | 0       | N/A           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Number of historical occurrences is events reported by all sources during the ten-year period of January 1, 1997 – December 31, 2006. Probability is expressed as a percentage of the chance of an event occurring on any given day by dividing the number of historical events by 3,650 (days in the ten-year period). Extent is expressed as the percentage assigned by the jurisdiction's ranking in the vulnerability summary (Table 4-9).

\*Zero denotes no data available to determine the probability, extent, or affected area.

## TABLE 5-34: Town of Vernon Critical Facilities

| Facility                           | Location                   | Use                    | Value         |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Governmental Services              |                            |                        |               |
| *Vernon City Hall                  | 44425 Hwy 17               | Local Government       | \$500,000     |
| *Lamar Co. Courthouse/ Annex       | 44690 Hwy 17               | County Government      | 15,000,000    |
| *Lamar County Judicial Center      | 44690 Hwy 17               | County Government      | 1,000,000     |
| *Lamar Co. Emergency Center/Jail   | 11180 CR 9                 | Jail/ Emerg. Operation | 10,000,000    |
| *Vernon Fire & Rescue              | 152 1st Ave NW             | Fire Protection        | 1,000,000     |
| Public Works                       |                            | • •                    |               |
| Well /Treatment plant              | CR 9                       | Potable Water          | 1,688,000     |
| Water Tank                         | 14th Cir NE                | Potable Water          | 300,000       |
| Water Tank                         | Columbus Ave NW            | Potable Water          | 300,000       |
| Water Tank                         | Reaves St NW               | Potable Water          | 300,000       |
| Water Booster Pump                 | Morton Chapel Rd           | Potable Water          | 2,500         |
| Water Booster Pump                 | Hwy 17 N                   | Potable Water          | 2,500         |
| Water Booster Pump                 | Beaver Creek Rd            | Potable Water          | 2,500         |
| Vernon Sewer Lagoon & lift station | 1052 CR 9                  | Sewer Treatment        | 1,250,000     |
| Sewer Lift Station - Mcneese       | CR 9                       | Sewer Treatment        | 100,000       |
| Sewer Lift Station - Harrison Ct   | Hwy 17S/CR9                | Sewer Treatment        | 200,000       |
| Sewer Lift Station - Western Auto  |                            | Sewer Treatment        | 30,000        |
| Sewer Lift Station - Greene Acres  |                            | Sewer Treatment        | 30,000        |
| Sewer Lift Station - Hillview Sub  |                            | Sewer Treatment        | 40.000        |
| Education                          |                            |                        |               |
| Lamar County Vocation/Tech & Alt   | 8990 Hwy 17                | Education              | 6,000,000     |
| *Vernon Elementary School          | 9700 Hwy 18                | K-3 School             | 3.000.000     |
| *Vernon High/Intermediate School   | 480 7th St                 | Education              | 4,000,000     |
| Industrial                         |                            |                        |               |
| Marathon                           | CR 49                      | Manufacturing          | 2,000,000     |
| *OMNI                              | Omni Rd                    | Manufacturing          | 750,000       |
| Chromalox                          | CR 9                       | Manufacturing          |               |
| Alabama Automation                 | CR 9                       | Manufacturing          |               |
| Trucking Industry                  | Vernon                     | Trucking               | 10,000,000    |
| Miscellaneous                      |                            | • •                    |               |
| *Communication Tower               | 1116 CR 9                  | Communications         | 100,000       |
| *Communication Tower               | 160 Tower Dr NW            | Communications         | 100,000       |
| *Communication Tower               | 3031 Old Hwy 18            | Communications         | 100,000       |
| Communication Tower                | 40315 Hwy 17               | Communications         | 100,000       |
| Communication Tower                | 4499 CR 49                 | Communications         | 100,000       |
| *Communication Tower               | 47654 Hwy 17               | Communications         | 100,000       |
| Communication Tower                | 627 CR 20                  | Communications         | 100,000       |
| Communication Tower                | 690 Columbus Ave NW        | Communications         | 100,000       |
| *Communication Tower               | 989 Aberdeen Rd            | Communications         | 100,000       |
| Communication Tower                | Behind Old Loop            | Communications         | 100,000       |
| *Verizon Telephone System          | 44732 Hwy 17               | Local Phone Service    | 10,000,000    |
| Alabama Power                      | Across Co inside cities    | Electricity            | 22,330,187    |
| Gas Lines                          | Across County              | Heating/ Fuel          | 6,125,000     |
| Tombigbee Electric                 | Across Co inside cities    | Electricity            | 22,330,187    |
| National Guard Armory              | Hwy 17 S                   | Military               | 250,000       |
| Health Department                  | Springfield Rd             | Health Care            | 750,000       |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#11)        | 44745 Hwy 17               | Weather Alert          | 20,000        |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#12)        | 690 Colubus Ave NW         | Weather Alert          | 20,000        |
| Outdoor Warning Siren (#13)        | 1118 CR 9                  | Weather Alert          | 20,000        |
| Source: Local Jurisdiction         | *Facility shown on Map 5-6 | TOTAL                  | \$120,340,874 |



| Table 5-35:         City of Vernon         Estimated Loss Projections from Specified Hazards |                                       |                 |                   |   |                                   |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Natural Hazards  | Average<br>Occurrences*<br>(per year) | Total<br>Deaths | Total<br>Injuries | Average<br>Crop and<br>Property<br>Loss*<br>(per event) | Projected<br>Loss*<br>(per event) |
| Thunderstorm/Wind  | 3.8                                   | 0               | 0                 | \$4,342   | \$4,993                           |
| Lightning  | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 333   | 383                               |
| Hail   | .7                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |
| Tornado  | .6                                    | 0               | 0                 | 4,167   | 4,792                             |
| Flood  | 1                                     | 0               | 0                 | 1,300   | 1,495                             |
| Drought/Extreme Heat   | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 5,781   | 6,648                             |
| Winter Storm/Freeze  | .5                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |
| Hurricane/Tropical<br>Storm  | .3                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |
| Sinkhole/Expansive<br>Soils  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |
| Landslide  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |
| Earthquake   | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |
| Wildfire   | .1                                    | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |
| Dam/Levee Failure  | 0                                     | 0               | 0                 | 0   | 0                                 |

Source:

NOAA; Alabama Forestry Commission and National Forestry Service; Participating Jurisdictions

Methodology: Average occurrences were expressed annually by dividing the total number of occurrences by the ten-year period. Deaths and injuries were taken from the hazard event data. Average loss was calculated by dividing the total amount of all damages by the total number of occurrences during the ten-year period. Projected loss expresses an estimated damage amount per future occurrence by converting the average loss figure from a midpoint of 2001 dollars to 2007 dollars (\$1 in 2001 = \$1.15 in 2007). \* Zero denotes no data available to determine the average occurrences, average loss or projected loss per event.

## **City of Vernon Mitigation Action Plan**

The City of Vernon recognizes the importance of Mitigation Planning and will incorporate Mitigation planning in planning documents as they are revised or initiated.

## **Mitigation Status**

During the plan update mitigation actions were reviewed in order to identify completed, deferred, or deleted actions from the previous plan and incorporate actions added during annual updates. New actions identified during the update planning process have also been added to the plan. Some actions were identified as serving the purpose of preparedness, response, and recovery to an extent that warranted removal from the plan. The hazard planning committee agreed that such actions did not meet the intent of mitigation planning or the eligibility of mitigation grant programs. In order to track the progress of identified actions, the City of Vernon's original 2005 Mitigation Plan list is shown below. The current status of the proposed actions is shown in Italics.

## **BENCHMARKING:**

## Vernon Mitigation Plan (2005)

## **Current Projects**

• There are no hazard mitigation projects currently underway

## **Future Projects**

- 1. Add outdoor warning sirens Deferred
- 2. Indoor warning systems for public buildings Deferred
- 3. Construct a community storm shelter Deferred
- 4. Encourage individual storm shelters Deferred
- 5. Upgrade drainage system Deferred
- 6. Develop and initiate response system -Deleted
- 7. Clean out rights-of-way -Deleted
- 8. Initiate a Fire Prevention Program to educate residents on fire prevention -Deleted
- 9. Initiate an education program for National Flood Insurance Program -Deleted
- 10. Upgrade fire-fighting equipment -Deleted
- 11. Become a participant in the NFIP Revised and deferred

**Table 5-36** shows the City of Vernon's updated mitigation actions. During the plan update process new actions were identified and added to the plan.

## **Mitigation Strategy – City of Vernon**

## **Goal 1: Protect life**

Objective 1.1 Improve Warning and Emergency Communication SystemsAction 1.1.1 Install additional outdoor warning sirensAction 1.1.2 Install indoor warning systems at critical facilities.

Objective 1.2Reduce impact of hazards on vulnerable populationsAction 1.2.1Construct Community Storm ShelterAction 1.2.2Encourage Individual Storm Shelters

Objective 1.3 Improve disaster response and recovery

## **Goal 2: Protect property**

Objective 2.1 Reduce losses to critical facilities/assets

## Objective 2.2 Continue Participation in NFIP program Action 2.2.1 Enforce floodplain management requirements, regulate construction or improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).

Objective 2.3 Provide and maintain essential public services

Objective 2.4 Reduce losses due to drainage problems Action 2.4.1 Upgrade drainage system

## **Goal 3: Reduce economic impacts of disasters**

Objective 3.1 Maintain operations of critical businesses and major employers

## **Goal 4: Protect environment and natural resources**

Objective 4.1 Identify, protect, and properly manage floodplains

Objective 4.2 Enforce local codes and regulations related to NFIP

## **Goal 5: Increase public preparedness for disasters**

Objective 5.1 Continue to train severe weather spotters

|  | Table 5-36:  |  |
|--|--|--|
| City of Vornon Mitigation Actions  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Mitigation Action  | Upgrade drainage system; enlarge ditches and culverts, add pipe, storm drains  |  |
| Hazard(s) Addressed  | Flooding   |  |
| Applies to new/existing asset  | Existing   |  |
| Local Planning Mechanism   | City of Vernon   |  |
| Time frame for Completion  | One year from funding availability   |  |
| Estimated Cost   | \$1,000,000  |  |
| Funding Sources  | Local, Grants  |  |
| Priority   | 1  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Mitigation Action  | Construct short-term community storm shelte:   |  |
| Hazard(s) Addressed  | All  |  |
| Applies to new/existing asset  | New  |  |
| Local Plaining Mechanism   | Two years from funding availability  |  |
| Estimated Cost   |  |  |
| Funding Sources  | Grants Local   |  |
| Priority   | 2  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Encourage individual storm shelters by providing \$500 incentive to approved   |  |
| Mitigation Action  | applicant. Limit: 40 shelters.   |  |
| Hazard(s) Addressed  | All  |  |
| Applies to new/existing asset  | New  |  |
| Local Planning Mechanism   | Lamar County EMA   |  |
| Time frame for Completion  | One to two years from funding availability   |  |
| Estimated Cost   | \$20,000 maximum   |  |
| Funding Sources  | Grants, Local  |  |
| Priority   | 3  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Mitigation Action  | Enforce floodplain management requirement; regulate construction of  |  |
|  | improvements in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's)  |  |
| Hazard(s) Addressed  | Flood  |  |
| Applies to new/existing asset  | New and Existing   |  |
| Local Planning Mechanism   | City of Vernon   |  |
| Time trame for Completion  | Continuous   |  |
| Estimated Cost   | Logal  |  |
| Funding Sources  |  |  |
| Thomy  | <u>ר</u>   |  |
| Mitigation Action  | Install additional outdoor warning sirens  |  |
| Hazard(s) Addressed  | All  |  |
| Applies to new/existing asset  | New  |  |
| Local Planning Mechanism   | Lamar County EMA, Cityof Vernor  |  |
| Time frame for Completion  |  |  |
|  | One year from funding availability   |  |
| Estimated Cost   | \$15,000 ea  |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources  | \$15,000 ea<br>Grants, Local   |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority  | Signal Si |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority  | Signal Strengthered Strengthere |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action   | Since the second |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed  | Since the second |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset   | Install indoor warning systems at critical facilities All New  |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism   | One year from funding availability         \$15,000 ea         Grants, Local         5         Install indoor warning systems at critical facilities         All         New         Lamar County EMA, City of Vernor         Output         Output <t< th=""></t<>   |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism<br>Time frame for Completion                                      | One year from funding availability         \$15,000 ea         Grants, Local         5         Install indoor warning systems at critical facilities         All         New         Lamar County EMA, City of Vernor         One year from funding availability         \$1000  |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism<br>Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost                    | One year from funding availability         \$15,000 ea         Grants, Local         5         Install indoor warning systems at critical facilities         All         New         Lamar County EMA, City of Vernor         One year from funding availability         \$10,000 ea         County Lamar County EMA   |  |
| Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources<br>Priority<br>Mitigation Action<br>Hazard(s) Addressed<br>Applies to new/existing asset<br>Local Planning Mechanism<br>Time frame for Completion<br>Estimated Cost<br>Funding Sources | Install indoor warning systems at critical facilities<br>All<br>New<br>Lamar County EMA, City of Vernor<br>One year from funding availabilty<br>\$10,000 ea<br>Grants, Local   |  |

## Section Six: Mitigation Plan Maintenance

The plan may be reviewed at any time at the request of any jurisdiction, by the Chairman of the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee, or at the discretion of the Lamar County EMA Director. Jurisdictions may submit a formal letter to the Lamar County EMA Director or the Chairman of the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee requesting a review of the plan. The public may also request review of the plan by submitting a formal letter to the Lamar County EMA Director or the Chairman of the Chairman of the Chairman of the plan. The public may also request review of the plan by submitting a formal letter to the Lamar County EMA Director or the Chairman of the Lamar County EMA Director or the Chairman of the plan by submitting a formal letter to the Lamar County EMA Director or the Chairman of the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee requesting a review of the plan.

Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee may re-evaluate the plan after a disaster has occurred to make sure that mitigation of the hazard was addressed properly. At the minimum, the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee will meet on an annual basis to monitor, evaluate, and amend this plan. The meetings will be publicized well in advance so the public can attend. Public participation is encouraged to allow the public an opportunity to participate in the process. The Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee will review a variety of resources and examine conditions, which may affect mitigation activities for natural and technological hazards. The committee will review existing plans, policies, maps, and other documentation such as, but not limited to:

- NFIP flood panels
- Post-disaster redevelopment models
- Critical facilities lists and maps
- Existing land-use maps
- Future land-use maps
- Current zoning maps
- Land development codes
- Governing body codes and resolutions
- Comprehensive plans, including drainage studies
- Emergency Operations Plan
- Standard Operating Guidelines
- Various other plans and/or studies related to hazard mitigation

The EMA Director will serve as the point of contact for all amendments to the plan and will coordinate all additions, deletions or amendments of actions to the plan, as needed. The EMA Director will be responsible for informing the local governing bodies of any amendments made to the plan. Any local government seeking to add an action(s) to the plan will be responsible for providing support for the action in the form of a resolution if, and only if, the funding source(s) requires so. The entire plan will be updated on a five-year planning cycle.

The method and schedule for the five-year update of the plan will be determined by the Lamar County EMA Director. The EMA Director will elect to either contract out the update of the plan or utilize Lamar County staff to perform the update. The plan update will be scheduled well in advance of the plan expiration date in order to allow adequate time for the planning process to be completed.

## Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms

The Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan has been incorporated as an annex into the current Lamar County Emergency Operations plan administered by the Lamar County Emergency Management Agency. The Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan update has also been incorporated into the District II Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS). District II covers the West Alabama counties of Bibb, Fayette, Greene, Hale, Lamar, Pickens, and Tuscaloosa.

Incorporation of the hazard mitigation plan will vary for each jurisdiction based an existing planning methods and processes. Jurisdictions with planning commissions and respective zoning ordinances and building codes will incorporate mitigation plan elements as appropriate into their review of new developments.

Many jurisdictions have no zoning or existing plans of any type other than this mitigation plan (see Table 1-1) and do not have the resources or funding to prepare them. In these cases, where applicable, the mitigation plan elements will be incorporated into local development decisions by the appropriate local coordinating body in order to determine funding, prioritization, and review of new development activities. At such time as the jurisdiction does adopt zoning and building codes they will reflect the goals and objectives set forth in this plan. Further, any jurisdiction preparing or updating a comprehensive plan will reflect their hazard mitigation goals and objectives in their plan. These updates will occur as budget and time allow.

## **Continued Public Participation**

The plan will be available for the public to view at the Lamar County Emergency Operations Center, all City and Town Halls, the Lamar County Courthouse, and the West Alabama Regional Commission.

The Lamar County EMA will hold public meetings annually that coincide with the Mitigation Planning Committee meetings to keep the public involved in the planning process. The notification of meetings will include, but not be limited to, advertisement in a paper of local circulation. Meeting advertisements will include contact information for those wishing to submit comments.

This page left intentionally blank



Mitigation Ideas: Possible Mitigation Measures by Hazard Type

From FEMA Mitigation Planning Workshop for Preparing and Reviewing Local Plans Student Manual Emergency Management Institute, Course Code: G-318

This page left intentionally blank

## **MITIGATION IDEAS:**

POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES BY HAZARD TYPE

#### A Mitigation Planning Tool For Communities

Hazard mitigation refers to any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to human life and property from hazardous conditions. The following list of possible hazard mitigation measures for communities is compiled from experience and discussion within the states of FEMA Region 5: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio and Wisconsin. The list of hazard types and ideas starts generally with coverage of natural hazards, such as flood or earthquake. These are followed by types of man-made and technological hazards. As extensive as this list is, it does not preclude other ideas for activities to save lives and prevent or reduce damages in the future. Many of the ideas are developed in other FEMA publications, including <u>www.fema.gov</u>, as well as in publications of other federal and state agencies.

| A Word About Planning  | 2  |
|--|----|
| Preparedness For All Types of Hazards                          | 2  |
| Flood  | 4  |
| Landslide and Debris Flow                                      | 9  |
| Thunderstorms / Lightning                                      | 10 |
| Tornado  | 11 |
| Severe Wind  | 11 |
| Extreme Temperature  | 12 |
| Winter Weather / Snowstorms                                    | 12 |
| Snow Load  | 13 |
| Subsidence   | 14 |
| Earthquake   | 14 |
| Drought  | 17 |
| Wildfire   | 18 |
| Structure Fires  | 21 |
| Scrap Tire Fires   | 22 |
| Hazardous Materials  | 23 |
| Transportation Accidents                                       | 25 |
| Utility Failure  | 26 |
| Oil and Natural Gas Wells; Petroleum and Natural Gas Pipelines | 27 |
| Radiological Emergencies                                       | 27 |
| Sabotage / Terrorism / Weapons of Mass Destruction             | 28 |
| Civil Disturbance  | 29 |
| Public Health Emergencies                                      | 30 |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## A WORD ABOUT PLANNING

Mitigation planning is best accomplished from a multi-hazard perspective. Reducing the level of risk involving one natural or technological hazard may increase the risk of damage from another hazard. Consequently, it is important to consider that some mitigation alternatives may not be viable given a particular set of hazard conditions. For example, elevating a home on stilts to allow for water flow in a floodplain can be a good thing, but it becomes a problem if the home is in an earthquake zone and the ground starts shaking.

## PREPAREDNESS FOR ALL TYPES OF HAZARDS

Some mitigation ideas fit easily into many or all hazard types. These also tend to fall under a type of planning generally referred to as "preparedness." A selection of mitigation/preparedness ideas is included here at the beginning; these ideas can be considered relevant to all sections of the mitigation ideas list.

| Public Education and<br>Awareness    | State and local governments can provide information<br>describing all types of hazards, methods for preventing<br>damages resulting from hazardous conditions, and how to<br>respond when a hazard threatens. Either directly or by<br>lobbying elected officials, citizens can also get involved in<br>comprehensive planning activities that identify and alleviate<br>their communities' hazards.   |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Mutual Aid/Interagency<br>Agreements | Local governments should establish mutual aid agreements<br>for utility and communications systems, including 9-1-1.<br>Mutual aid or interagency agreements have value for<br>preventing or responding to other hazard or emergency<br>situations, as fire and police departments often do.   |
| 9-1-1 and 3-1-1                      | Some communities have expanded their basic 9-1-1 location identification telephone service to include features such as "enhanced 9-1-1" that registers name, address, and a description of the building/site. It has become more common to use a "reverse 9-1-1" system with which a community can send out a mass telephone announcement to every number in the 9-1-1 system. Additionally, non-emergency 3-1-1 service can be used to have people call to get information, such as locations of cooling shelters during a heat wave. |
| NOAA Weather Radio                   | Communities can encourage the use of National Oceanic<br>and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) weather radios<br>among their residents. At least one set of counties<br>surrounding a chemical stockpile has provided NOAA<br>weather radios to all homes and businesses within the area.  |
|                                      | NOAA Weather Radio continuously broadcasts National<br>Weather Service forecasts, warnings and other crucial<br>weather information. NOAA Weather Radio also provides<br>direct warnings to the public for natural, man-made, or<br>technological hazards, and it is the primary trigger for<br>activating our country's Emergency Alert System (EAS) on<br>commercial radio, television, and cable systems.   |

| Emergency Alert System                | Using digital technology to distribute messages to radio,<br>television and cable systems, the EAS provides state and<br>local officials with the ability to send out emergency<br>information targeted to a specific area. The information can<br>be sent electronically through broadcast stations and cable<br>systems even if those facilities are unattended.  |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Continuity of Operations<br>Planning  | The goal of Continuity of Operations (COOP) planning is to<br>ensure that the essential functions of an organization,<br>including government, can continue to operate during and<br>after an emergency incident which may prevent access to<br>normally operating systems, such as physical plant, data or<br>communication networks, or transportation.   |
|                                       | Communities can encourage businesses, other<br>organizations, and families to prepare themselves by<br>regularly backing up computer drives, copying essential files<br>and/or important family information, and storing these items<br>in a separate location. A larger organization may coordinate<br>with another office from the organization in a different part of<br>the country to take over operations when necessary. |
| Land Use Planning                     | <ul> <li>Once a community is familiar with the location of its hazardous areas; it may adopt a land use plan, or modify an existing land use plan to:</li> <li>Guide development away from hazardous areas;</li> <li>Reduce density in the hazardous areas; or</li> <li>Encourage greater development restrictions on the property.</li> </ul>  |
| Site Emergency Plans                  | Communities can encourage development and testing of internal emergency plans and procedures, including COOP planning, by businesses and other organizations.   |
|                                       | Communities should develop and test site emergency plans<br>for schools, factories, office buildings, shopping malls,<br>hospitals, correctional facilities, stadiums, recreation areas,<br>and other similar facilities.   |
| Emergency Response<br>Personnel       | Emergency response personnel need to be trained and plan<br>for various contingencies and response activities, such as<br>evacuation, traffic control, search, and rescue.  |
| Community Emergency<br>Response Teams | A community may consider sponsoring a Community<br>Emergency Response Team (CERT). A CERT is a volunteer<br>group of citizens who are trained and equipped to respond if<br>emergency services are unable to meet all of the immediate<br>needs of the community following a major disaster,<br>especially if there is no warning as in an earthquake.  |
| Insurance                             | Insurance should not be considered an alternative to<br>reducing damages for any type of hazard, but it does have<br>the value of protecting oneself from financial devastation if<br>damage were to occur.   |

| Real Estate Disclosure                   | Real estate disclosure laws are important because they force<br>a seller to advise a potential buyer about pre-existing<br>conditions. This allows buyers to make more informed<br>decisions about the potential risks involved in owning<br>property, such as whether a property is located in a<br>floodplain or if it had been previously damaged from flood<br>water or any other type of hazard condition. |
|--|---|
| Family Disaster Plans and<br>Supply Kits | Communities can encourage residents to prepare<br>themselves by stocking up with necessary items and<br>planning for how family members should respond if any of a<br>number of possible emergency or disaster events strike.   |

## **FLOOD**

Ninety percent of federal disaster declarations are for flood events. Response and recovery costs can be extremely high, so where risks are apparent it makes sense to take actions that prevent damage from occurring. If flood damage cannot be fully prevented, there may be mitigation techniques that lessen the damage. Flooding addressed in this section can be from high ground water, overland flooding from rivers or streams, or from a dam failure.

| Acquisition       | Land with structures may be purchased by and titled in the<br>name of a local governing body that can remove structures<br>and enforce permanent restrictions on development.   |
|-------------------|---|
| Relocation        | A structure may be moved to a less hazardous location.  |
| Elevation         | A structure may be mechanically lifted so that the lowest<br>floor, including the basement, is raised above the base flood<br>elevation. Utilities or other mechanical devices should also<br>be raised above expected flood levels.  |
| Dry-Floodproofing | It may be possible to keep water out by strengthening walls,<br>sealing openings, or using waterproof compounds or plastic<br>sheeting on walls. Dry-floodproofing is not recommended for<br>residential construction but may be a reasonable alternative<br>for non-residential structures—either in new construction,<br>while making a substantial improvement, or while repairing a<br>substantially damaged structure.   |
| Wet-Floodproofing | Using water resistant paints or other materials can allow for<br>easy cleanup after floodwater exposure in accessory<br>structures or in a garage area below an elevated residential<br>structure. In a basement, wet-floodproofing may be<br>preferable to attempting to keep water out completely,<br>because it allows for controlled flooding to balance exterior<br>and interior water forces and discourage structural collapse.<br>Wet-floodproofing may not be used for basements in cases<br>of new construction, substantial improvement, or substantial<br>damage. |

| Floodplain/Coastal Zone<br>Management             | Determining and enforcing acceptable land uses through<br>planning and regulation may not prevent inevitable flooding<br>in flood-prone areas, but planning and regulation can<br>alleviate the risk of damage by limiting exposure in such<br>hazard areas. Floodplain and coastal zone management can<br>be included in comprehensive planning.   |
|---|---|
| Capital Improvement Plans                         | Infrastructure planning decisions can affect flood hazard<br>mitigation. For example, decisions to extend roads or utilities<br>to an area may increase exposure. Some communities may<br>consider structural flood protection such as levees or<br>floodwalls.   |
| Zoning Ordinance Adoption or<br>Amendments        | Examples of zoning methods that affect flood hazard<br>mitigation include: 1) adopting ordinances that limit<br>development in the floodplain; 2) limiting the density of<br>developments in the floodplain; and 3) requiring that<br>floodplains be kept as open space.  |
| Subdivision Ordinances or<br>Amendments           | Subdivision design standards can require elevation data collection during the platting process. Lots may be required to have buildable space above the base flood elevation.  |
| Building Code Adoption or<br>Amendments           | Requirements for building design standards and<br>enforcement include the following possibilities: 1) that a<br>residential structure be elevated; and 2) that a non-<br>residential structure be elevated or floodproofed.   |
| Conservation Easements                            | Conservation easements may be used to protect<br>environmentally significant portions of parcels from<br>development. They do not restrict all use of the land.<br>Rather, they direct development to areas of land that are not<br>environmentally significant.  |
| Transfer of Development<br>Rights                 | In return for keeping floodplain areas in open space, a<br>community may agree to allow a developer to increase<br>densities on another parcel that is not at risk. This allows a<br>developer to recoup potential losses from non-use of a<br>floodplain site with gains from development of a<br>non-floodplain site.   |
| Purchase of<br>Easement/Development Rights        | Compensating an owner for partial rights, such as easement<br>or development rights, can prevent a property from being<br>developed contrary to a community's plan to maintain open<br>space. This may apply to undeveloped land generally or to<br>farmland in particular.   |
| Stormwater Management<br>Ordinances or Amendments | Stormwater ordinances may regulate development in upland<br>areas in order to reduce stormwater run-off. Examples of<br>erosion control techniques that may be employed within a<br>watershed area include proper bank stabilization with sloping<br>or grading techniques, planting vegetation on slopes,<br>terracing hillsides, or installing riprap boulders or geotextile<br>fabric. |

| Multi-Jurisdiction Cooperation<br>Within Watershed | Forming a regional watershed council helps bring together resources for comprehensive analysis, planning, decision-making, and cooperation.   |
|--|---|
| Comprehensive Watershed Tax                        | A tax can be used as a mitigation action in several ways: 1) tax funds may be used to finance maintenance of drainage systems or to construct reservoirs; 2) tax assessments may discourage builders from constructing in a given area; or 3) taxes may be used to support a regulatory system.   |
| Post-Disaster Recovery<br>Ordinance                | A post-disaster recovery ordinance regulates repair activity,<br>generally depending on property location. It prepares a<br>community to respond to a disaster event in an orderly<br>fashion by requiring citizens to: 1) obtain permits for repairs,<br>2) refrain from making repairs, or 3) make repairs using<br>standard methods.                     |
| Flood Insurance                                    | Purchasing flood insurance does not prevent a flood from<br>occurring, but it does mitigate a property owner's financial<br>exposure to loss from flood damage. National Flood<br>Insurance Program (NFIP) policies are only available in<br>communities that participate in the program, which is<br>administered by FEMA.                                 |
| Floodplain Ordinances or<br>Amendments             | Communities that choose to participate in the NFIP must<br>adopt ordinances that meet minimum federal and state<br>requirements. Communities may pass more stringent<br>ordinances to reduce risk even further.   |
| Community Rating System                            | Also administered by FEMA, the Community Rating System (CRS) is a companion program to the NFIP. It rewards a community for taking actions over and above minimum NFIP requirements with the goal of further reducing flood damages in the community. The more actions a community takes, the lower the premiums for flood insurance within that community. |
| Updated Floodplain Mapping                         | By taking the initiative locally to more accurately map<br>problem areas with information not already on FEMA maps,<br>a community can warn residents about potential risks that<br>may not have been anticipated. Upgrading maps provides a<br>truer measure of risks to a community.  |
| Storm Drainage Systems                             | Flood mitigation can involve installing, re-routing, or<br>increasing the capacity of a storm drainage system that may<br>involve detention and retention ponds, drainage easements,<br>or creeks and streams. It can include separation of storm<br>and sanitary sewerage systems as well as higher<br>engineering standards for drain and sewer capacity. |
| Drainage System Maintenance                        | At most times, a drainage system will do its job and move<br>water to intended areas. However, if a system is not<br>maintained, erosion, material dumping, or deterioration of<br>man-made reinforcement materials may reduce the carrying<br>capacity of a stream. Therefore, regular maintenance, such   |

|                                      | as sediment and debris clearance, is needed so that the<br>stream may carry out its design function. Also important is<br>detection and prevention/discouragement of discharges into<br>storm-water/sewer systems from home footing drains,<br>downspouts or sump pumps.   |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Drainage Easements                   | Communities may consider obtaining easements for planned<br>and regulated public use of privately owned land for<br>temporary water retention and drainage.  |
| Wetland Protection                   | With special soils and hydrology, wetlands serve as natural collection basins for floodwaters. Acting like sponges, wetlands collect water, filter it, and release it slowly into rivers and streams. Protecting and preserving wetlands can go a long way toward preventing flooding in other areas.  |
| Roads                                | Roads are needed to get people and goods from place to<br>place. In addition to planning for traffic control during floods,<br>there are various construction and placement factors to<br>consider when building roads. To maintain dry access, roads<br>should be elevated above the base flood elevation. However,<br>if a road creates a barrier it can cause water to pond. Where<br>ponding is problematic, drainage and flow may be addressed<br>by making changes to culvert size and placement. In<br>situations where flood waters tend to wash roads out,<br>construction, reconstruction, or repair can include not only<br>attention to drainage but also stabilization or armoring of<br>vulnerable shoulders or embankments. |
| Structural Flood Control<br>Measures | Structural flood control measures (e.g., levees, dams, or<br>floodwalls) channel water away from people and property.<br>Structural measures may also increase drainage or<br>absorption capacities (e.g., detention and retention basins,<br>relief drains, spillways, drain widening/dredging or rerouting,<br>logjam and debris removal, extra culverts, bridge<br>modification, dike setbacks, flood gates and pumps, or<br>channel redirection). However, structural measures may<br>cause an increase in the base flood elevation. History has<br>shown that structures that channel water may create a false<br>sense of security and result in greater damage to nearby<br>properties if the structures fail.                      |
| Minor Structural Projects            | A minor structural project is similar but smaller and more<br>localized than a structural project, in that the measures used<br>to reduce flooding may include levees, floodwalls, dams or<br>other activities that channel water away from people or<br>property. However, a minor structural project should only be<br>constructed in areas that cannot be mitigated through non-<br>structural activities, or where structural activities are not<br>feasible due to low densities.   |
| Dam and Levee Maintenance            | Although dams and levees may have been constructed<br>properly, failure to maintain them can lead to significant loss<br>of life and property if they are stressed and broken or   |

|  | breached during a flood event. An inspection, maintenance<br>and enforcement program helps to ensure continued<br>structural integrity. Dams or levees need to be kept in good<br>repair. Unnecessary or old and structurally unsound dams<br>should be removed. Planning for dam breaks can include<br>constructing emergency access roads as well as automating<br>pump and flood gate operation. And it never hurts to regulate<br>development in a dam's hydraulic shadow, where flooding<br>would occur if there were a severe dam failure.  |
|--|---|
| Community Outreach and<br>Education          | Communities may use outreach programs to: 1) advise<br>homeowners of risks to life, health and safety; 2) facilitate<br>technical assistance programs that address measures that<br>citizens can take; or 3) facilitate funding for mitigation<br>measures. Driver safety strategies for flooded areas can be<br>addressed through driver safety/education classes and by<br>the media. Local officials can be trained on flood fighting,<br>floodplain management, flood proofing, traffic control during<br>flooding, and other measures.   |
| Debris Control                               | Community members can participate in debris control by<br>securing debris, yard items, or stored objects that may<br>otherwise be swept away, damaged, or pose a hazard if<br>floodwaters would pick them up and carry them away.<br>Additionally, a community can pass and enforce an<br>ordinance that regulates dumping.   |
| Hazardous and Buoyant<br>Material Protection | Containers of hazardous materials such as petroleum or<br>chemicals should not be located in a flood hazard area. If<br>such a location is necessary, hazardous material containers<br>need to be anchored, because the contents can contaminate<br>water and multiply the damaging effects of flooding by<br>causing fires or explosions, or by otherwise making<br>structures unusable. Also, buoyant materials should be<br>anchored, because if they float downstream, they may cause<br>additional damage to buildings or bridges or may plug a<br>stream resulting in higher flood heights. |
| Manufactured Homes                           | Manufactured or mobile homes should be elevated above<br>the base flood elevation and anchored, or more preferably,<br>kept out of the floodplain.  |
| Flood Warning                                | In addition to a communication strategy, a flood warning<br>system may consist of people or machines monitoring water<br>level with stream gauges. Although a flood warning system<br>generally does not provide long-term damage reduction, it<br>can alleviate health and safety risk by providing citizens time<br>to escape and possibly remove belongings that could be<br>damaged. NOAA weather radio and EAS broadcasts can be<br>incorporated into a community's flood warning system.  |
| Back-up Generators           | A community may consider back-up generators for pumping<br>and lift stations in sanitary sewer systems, along with other<br>measures (e.g., alarms, meters, remote controls, and<br>switchgear upgrades). |
|------------------------------|---|
| Basement Backflow Prevention | Depending on its infrastructure capabilities, a community may encourage the use of check valves, sump pumps, and backflow prevention devices in homes and buildings.                                      |

#### LANDSLIDE AND DEBRIS FLOW

Landslides or debris flow can be caused by the same high water levels or rain that result in flooding. Landslides can also be caused by earthquakes. Although many mitigation measures resemble those for flooding, landslides pose unique considerations.

| Mapping                            | Local governments, developers, and residents can make<br>better decisions using maps. Soil types, slope percentage,<br>drainage, or other critical factors are used to identify<br>landslide prone areas.                     |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Building Codes                     | Building codes can set construction standards, including minimum foundation requirements, in landslide-prone areas.   |
| Zoning Ordinances                  | Zoning ordinances may be used to create buffers between structures and high-risk areas.   |
| Slide-Prone Area Ordinance         | A special purpose ordinance for slide-prone areas may be<br>used to limit fill or dumping, as well as address drainage and<br>other landslide related problems.   |
| Code Enforcement                   | A strong community commitment to code enforcement is necessary to ensure compliance with building codes and zoning ordinances.  |
| Drainage Control Regulations       | Drainage regulations are similar to storm water management<br>regulations. By controlling drainage, a community can<br>reduce the risk of landslides resulting from saturated soils.  |
| Grading Ordinances                 | Grading ordinances require developers and landowners to<br>obtain permits prior to filling or regrading. Such ordinances<br>may also provide specific design standards.   |
| Hillside Development<br>Ordinances | Hillside development ordinances are special purpose ordinances that set specific standards for construction on hillsides.   |
| Subdivision Ordinances             | Subdivision ordinances set guidelines on how land will be<br>divided, the placement and size of roads, and the location of<br>infrastructure. Such ordinances can also be used to regulate<br>open space and buildable areas. |
| Sanitary System Codes              | Sanitary system codes can reduce the effect of drainage on landslides by limiting the type and location of sanitary systems.  |

| Geological Hazard Overlay<br>Zones           | A geological hazard overlay zone requires a detailed geo-<br>technical analysis prior to any construction activity. Used in<br>association with building codes, this may reduce damage<br>potential by providing clear information about risk. |
|--|--|
| Open Space Designations                      | Open space designations keep landslide prone areas undeveloped.  |
| Relocation                                   | Structures may be moved to less hazardous locations.   |
| Acquisition                                  | Land and structures may be purchased by and titled in the<br>name of a local governing body that can remove structures<br>and enforce permanent restrictions on development.   |
| Restraining Structures                       | Restraining structures may be designed and used to hold soil in place.   |
| Debris-Flow Measures                         | Debris-flow measures may include stabilization, energy dissipation, and flow control measures, all of which may reduce damage in sloping areas.  |
| Grading                                      | Grading can be used to increase slope stability, depending<br>on types of soils, height of fill or cut, and compaction.  |
| Vegetation Placement and<br>Management Plans | Various types of vegetation increase soil stability through<br>root length and strength and by absorbing precipitation.<br>Management plans are aimed at ensuring long-term<br>maintenance of vegetation appropriate for an area.              |
| Utility Location                             | Placing utilities outside of landslide areas decreases the risk of service disruption.   |
| Abatement Districts                          | A special taxing district, such as an abatement district, can be used to pool resources to mitigate common hazards.  |
| Restrictive Covenants                        | A legally binding agreement in a private development can be<br>used to impose restrictions on land use.  |

# THUNDERSTORMS / LIGHTNING

Damage from thunderstorms and lightning is often underestimated. Everyone should have an appreciation for the dangers of lightning. Although not entirely preventable, damage and life safety risk from these events can be minimized.

| Community Outreach and Education | Communities may use outreach programs to promote<br>awareness of thunderstorm dangers. Driver safety strategies<br>for severe weather events can be addressed by driver<br>safety/education classes and by the media. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Early Warning Systems            | Local and state governments can invest in public early warning systems/networks, as well as train people to serve as weather spotters.  |
| Building Construction            | Public and private buildings can be designed with structural bracing, shutters, laminated glass in window panes, and hail-resistant roof shingles or flashing to minimize damage.                                     |

| Surge Protectors and Lightning<br>Protection | Surge protection can be installed on critical electronic<br>equipment. Lightning protection devices and methods, such<br>as lightning rods and grounding, can be installed on a<br>community's communications infrastructure and other critical<br>facilities. |
|--|--|
| Burying Power Lines                          | Buried power lines offer the security of uninterrupted power<br>during and after storms. However, consideration needs to be<br>made for maintenance and repair, particularly in cold<br>climates where soil freezes more readily.                              |

# TORNADO

Tornadoes can strike anywhere and cause extensive damage. Damage and life safety risk may not be entirely preventable, but it can be minimized.

| Construction Standards and<br>Techniques | To strengthen public and private structures against severe<br>wind damage, communities can require or encourage wind<br>engineering measures and construction techniques that may<br>include structural bracing, straps and clips, anchor bolts,<br>laminated or impact-resistant glass, reinforced pedestrian<br>and garage doors, window shutters, waterproof adhesive<br>sealing strips, or interlocking roof shingles. Also,<br>architectural design can make roofs less susceptible to uplift. |
|--|---|
| Safe Rooms                               | Risk to lives can be improved through construction and use<br>of concrete safe rooms in homes and shelter areas of mobile<br>home parks, fairgrounds, shopping malls, or other vulnerable<br>public areas.  |
| Manufactured Homes                       | Damage and injury can be prevented by anchoring<br>manufactured homes and exterior attachments such as<br>carports and porches.   |
| Loose Items                              | Loose items like yard and patio furniture should be secured.  |
| Temporary Debris Disposal                | Temporary debris disposal sites can be protected by fencing and/or located away from populated areas.   |

# SEVERE WIND

Severe wind can be as destructive as tornadoes. Damage and life safety risk may not be entirely preventable, but it can be minimized.

| Roofing Shingles      | Requiring the use of special roofing shingles designed to<br>interlock and resist uplift forces in extreme wind conditions<br>can reduce damage to a roof or to other structures. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Building Construction | Engineered construction can accommodate foundation design, braced elevated platforms, and the ability of a structure to withstand the lateral forces of winds and waves.          |

| Manufactured Home Tie-Downs | The risk of manufactured home damage can be reduced by using tie-downs with anchors and ground anchors appropriate for the soil type.  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Burying Power Lines         | Buried power lines offer the security of uninterrupted power<br>after severe winds, but consideration needs to be made for<br>maintenance and repair.                                      |
| Designed-Failure Mode       | Designed-failure mode refers to power line design that<br>allows for lines to fall or fail in small sections rather than as a<br>complete system, so restoration can be done more quickly. |
| Backup Power                | Backup power resources can enable critical facilities to continue basic services and can be used by businesses to ensure security and protect refrigerated goods.                          |
| Tree Management             | Tree pruning near power lines can reduce the potential for trees falling on and breaking power lines.  |

# EXTREME TEMPERATURE

When temperatures reach levels that are extremely high or extremely low, they pose dangers that can be alleviated by planning for how to handle such situations.

| Outreach/Public Awareness   | A local government can organize outreach to vulnerable<br>populations during periods of extreme temperature, including<br>establishing and promoting accessible heating or cooling<br>centers in the community. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Heating Requirements        | Housing/landlord codes can require minimum temperatures.  |
| Heating Bills               | If not already required by state law, communities can<br>encourage utility companies to offer special arrangements<br>for paying heating bills.   |
| Heating and Cooling Centers | A community can establish heating and/or cooling centers for vulnerable populations. Center operations should be linked to outreach projects that encourage at-risk populations to use the centers.             |

# WINTER WEATHER/SNOWSTORMS

Proper preparation can decrease the risks of injury that can occur during cold weather, and snowstorms in particular.

| Family and Traveler Emergency<br>Preparedness | A local or state government can produce and distribute family and traveler emergency preparedness information relating to severe winter weather hazards. |
|---|--|
| Driver Safety                                 | Safety strategies for severe weather events can be included<br>in driver education classes and materials.  |
| Power Lines                                   | Burying or otherwise protecting electric and other utility lines can prevent utility disruption by protecting lines from ice,                            |

|   | wind or snow damage. Nevertheless, lines buried in frozen soil may be difficult to reach if repair is necessary.   |
|---|--|
| Code Enforcement and Building Maintenance                         | Local governments can impact building/site design through<br>building code enforcement of snow-related ordinances such<br>as snow loads, roof slope, snow removal, and storage.<br>Communities can also monitor snow amounts to provide site-<br>specific snow load data.  |
|   | Home and public building maintenance should be<br>encouraged in order to prevent roof and wall damage from<br>"ice dams," particularly resulting from ice and sleet storms.  |
| Shelters  | A community can establish heating centers or shelters for vulnerable populations, not only for residents, but also for stranded motorists/travelers.   |
| Outreach  | A community can plan to systematically contact isolated, vulnerable, or special-needs populations.   |
| Animal Protection   | Farmers and other animal custodians should plan for addressing livestock or other animal needs.  |
| Roads   | Local governments need to always plan for and maintain adequate road and debris clearing capabilities.   |
| Snow Fences   | Using snow fences or "living snow fences" (rows of trees or other vegetation) can limit blowing and drifting of snow over critical roadway segments.   |
| Shelters<br>Outreach<br>Animal Protection<br>Roads<br>Snow Fences | <ul> <li>specific snow load data.</li> <li>Home and public building maintenance should be encouraged in order to prevent roof and wall damage from "ice dams," particularly resulting from ice and sleet storms:</li> <li>A community can establish heating centers or shelters for vulnerable populations, not only for residents, but also for stranded motorists/travelers.</li> <li>A community can plan to systematically contact isolated, vulnerable, or special-needs populations.</li> <li>Farmers and other animal custodians should plan for addressing livestock or other animal needs.</li> <li>Local governments need to always plan for and maintain adequate road and debris clearing capabilities.</li> <li>Using snow fences or "living snow fences" (rows of trees of other vegetation) can limit blowing and drifting of snow ov critical roadway segments.</li> </ul> |

# SNOW LOAD

Buildings can only hold so much snow before they collapse. Paying attention to snow load weight capacities can prevent damage and injury.

| Snow Load Design Standards  | A single snow load weight capacity standard may not be<br>adequate for all areas within a community. Local building<br>departments should determine the snow load limits for their<br>communities based on local data. A community's building<br>code can include snow load limits or weight capacity<br>standards in an appendix. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Snow Weight Data Collection | Establishing a program of systematic snow weight data collection will enable a community's building department to better establish realistic snow load design standards.   |
| Maintenance                 | Building owners should be educated and encouraged to inspect older buildings for deterioration and make subsequent repairs.  |
| Modifications               | As buildings are modified, new technology may be used to create or increase structural stability.  |

Analysis and Repair or Replacement of Structural Systems Existing support systems may be vulnerable to load stress. A community may wish to set up an inspection system and recommend repairs to building owners.

#### **SUBSIDENCE**

Some areas of land are susceptible to collapse. Risks of collapse can be determined and managed.

| Community Awareness     | Local and state governments can promote community awareness of subsidence risks and effects.  |
|-------------------------|---|
| Mapping                 | Old mining areas or geologically unstable terrain should be<br>identified and mapped so that development can be<br>prevented or limited.        |
| Open Space              | Areas susceptible to collapse can be maintained as public open space.   |
| Acquisition             | Land or structures may be purchased by and titled in the name of a local governing body that can enforce permanent restrictions on development. |
| Filling or Buttressing  | Filling or buttressing subterranean open spaces, as with abandoned mines, can prevent or alleviate collapse.                                    |
| Relocation              | A structure may be moved to a less hazardous location.  |
| Hydrological Monitoring | Groundwater levels can be monitored in subsidence-prone areas.  |

# EARTHQUAKE

Some regions are particularly susceptible to earthquake damage. Risks of injury and damage from earthquake events can be determined and managed.

| Seismic Hazard Mapping | Information gained from seismic hazard mapping can be<br>used to assess risk. The first step is collection of geologic<br>information on seismic sources, soil conditions, and related<br>potential hazards. The second step is to prepare a map<br>showing the approximate locations of various hazards.                     |
|------------------------|---|
| Related Hazard Mapping | Other earthquake related hazards include liquefaction and<br>land slides. Maps of these related hazards may be used for<br>vulnerability analysis and risk assessment.  |
| Map Education          | Map users should be educated in the appropriate uses and limitations of maps.   |
| Rapid Visual Screening | Rapid visual screening is a technique used to quickly inspect<br>a building and identify disaster damage or potential seismic<br>structural and non-structural weaknesses. This method may<br>be used to screen and prioritize retrofitting efforts, or<br>inventory high-risk structures and critical facilities. In a post- |

|                                    | disaster setting, rapid visual screening can be used to assess risk during response and recovery efforts and determine if buildings are safe to re-occupy.   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Loss Estimation Studies            | After seismic hazards have been identified, planners can<br>create an earthquake scenario to estimate potential loss of<br>life and injuries, the types of potential damage, and existing<br>vulnerabilities within a community. Scenarios can be<br>particularly useful in predicting lifeline performance, i.e., the<br>sustainability of critical public services or systems such as<br>electricity, water, or roadways. This knowledge can be used<br>to develop earthquake mitigation priorities.   |
| HAZUS                              | FEMA's HAZUS is a computer-based tool that can be used to quantitatively estimate losses from an earthquake.   |
| Seismic Safety Committees          | Duties of a local or state seismic safety committee can<br>include providing policy recommendations, evaluating and<br>recommending changes in state and local seismic safety<br>standards, and an annual assessment of local and statewide<br>implementation of seismic safety improvements.  |
| School Survey Procedures           | Schools are critical facilities not only because of the special<br>population they accommodate, but also because they are<br>often identified as shelter sites for a community. Due to this<br>sheltering role, it is essential that these buildings function<br>after a seismic event. A community can develop a survey<br>procedure and guidance document to inventory structural<br>and non-structural hazards in and near school buildings.<br>Survey results can be used to determine mitigation priorities<br>that can be incorporated into capital improvement plans. |
| Capital Improvement Planning       | School districts, local governments, corporations, and others<br>have developed capital improvement plans to ensure that<br>facilities remain operational for years down the road. It is<br>more efficient and cost effective to incorporate structural and<br>non-structural seismic strengthening actions into on-going<br>building plans and activities, rather than to rehab later.  |
| Guidelines and Model<br>Ordinances | Earthquake hazards can be mitigated through land use<br>planning. Communities can develop and distribute guidelines<br>or pass ordinances that require developers/building owners<br>to locate lifelines, buildings, critical facilities, and hazardous<br>materials out of areas subject to significant seismic hazards.<br>Particular consideration should be given to enforcing such<br>ordinances in areas with steep slopes or subject to ground<br>displacement, severe ground shaking, or liquefaction.   |
| Building Codes                     | Although land use management that avoids building on<br>hazardous sites is an effective way to reduce earthquake<br>risk, there may be times when it is necessary to build on<br>such sites. Engineers and architects have designed buildings<br>in ways that reduce the impact of ground shaking.<br>Encouraging all local governments to adopt and enforce   |

|  | updated building code provisions is one effective way to reduce earthquake damage risk.  |
|--|--|
| Seismic Code Training                  | Legislators often enact seismic building provisions that do<br>not get enforced because architects, engineers, and building<br>departments are unaware of the provisions. Conducting<br>information sessions or other forms of outreach on seismic<br>code provisions for new and existing buildings can enhance<br>code use and enforcement by local architects, engineers,<br>contractors and code enforcement personnel.  |
| Buildings as Structural Hazards        | Homeowners and businesses can take simple measures to<br>strengthen their buildings before the next earthquake.<br>Bracing walls and bolting sill plates to the foundation are<br>examples. Non-reinforced masonry buildings and non-ductile<br>concrete facilities are particularly vulnerable to ground<br>shaking. These buildings should be strengthened and<br>retrofitted against future seismic events.   |
| Non-Structural Hazards                 | Many injuries in earthquakes are caused by nonstructural<br>hazards, such as attachments to buildings. These include<br>lighting fixtures, windows (glass), pictures, tall bookcases,<br>computers, ornamental decorations on the outside of the<br>buildings (like parapets), gas lines, etc. Activities that can<br>reduce the risk of injury and damage include: anchoring tall<br>bookcases and file cabinets, installing latches on drawers<br>and cabinet doors, restraining desktop computers and<br>appliances, using flexible connections on gas and water<br>lines, mounting framed pictures and mirrors securely, and<br>anchoring and bracing propane tanks and gas cylinders. |
| Technical Assistance for<br>Homeowners | Developing a technical assistance information program for<br>homeowners and teaching them how to seismically<br>strengthen their houses can be an effective mitigation<br>activity. The program could include providing local<br>government building departments with copies of existing<br>strengthening and repair information for distribution to<br>homeowners. Other potential distribution sources include<br>insurance companies, realtors and libraries.   |
| Infrastructure Hardening               | Identification and hardening of critical lifeline systems, i.e.,<br>critical public services such as utilities and roads, to meet<br>"Seismic Design Guidelines and Standards for Lifelines," or<br>equivalent standards, may distinguish a manageable<br>earthquake from a social and economic catastrophe.   |
| Bridge Strengthening                   | State and local highway departments should review construction plans for all bridges to determine their susceptibility to collapse. Problem bridges should be retrofitted.   |
| Hazard Mitigation Awareness            | Local or state governments can use community outreach activities to foster an awareness of earthquake mitigation activities in homes, schools and businesses.  |

| Financial Incentives | Local or state governments can support financial incentives<br>like low interest loans or tax breaks for home and business<br>owners who seismically retrofit their structures.   |
|----------------------|---|
| Insurance            | Local or state governments can work with insurance industry<br>representatives to increase public awareness of the<br>importance of earthquake insurance. Home structural<br>improvements can be factored into the process of obtaining<br>insurance coverage or reduced deductibles. |
| Reference Library    | A local or state government can establish a library consisting<br>of technical documents on structural and nonstructural<br>mitigation options, as well as model ordinances and<br>procedures that have been used by other jurisdictions to<br>reduce earthquake risk.                |

# **DROUGHT**

Periods of time with little or no precipitation can pose risks that can be managed with conservation and preparation.

| Water-Saving           | Citizens can be encouraged to take water-saving measures,<br>especially when extra water is needed for irrigation and<br>farming. Possibilities include installing low-flow water saving<br>showerheads and toilets, and turning water flow off while<br>brushing teeth or during other cleaning activities. |
|------------------------|--|
| Water Storage          | Human consumption is the primary reason to maintain a storage of water. People cannot live without consuming water regularly.  |
| Water Use Ordinances   | Communities can pass ordinances to prioritize or control water use, particularly for emergency situations like fire fighting.  |
| Contingency Plans      | Drought contingency plans can help anticipate needs and actions to take during a drought.  |
| Water Delivery Systems | Designs or plans for water delivery systems can include consideration of drought events.   |
| Crop Insurance         | Crop insurance can preserve economic stability for farmers during a drought.   |

#### **WILDFIRE**

Wildfires typically start in woodland or prairie areas. They can occur naturally though they are often exacerbated by human activities. Wildfires can be hard to control as they threaten homes and communities located nearby. Although preventing or controlling wildfires is preferable, there are many mitigation efforts we can take to prevent or alleviate damage to our homes and communities when fires inevitably occur.

| Public Education             | Outreach efforts can promote such items as non-combustible<br>roof covering, fire safe construction, and the importance of<br>clearing brush and grass away from buildings. It is important<br>to promote public education on smoking hazards and the<br>risks of recreational fires.  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Neighborhood Groups          | Citizens may organize neighborhood wildfire safety coalitions<br>to plan how their neighborhoods can work together to<br>prevent a wildfire.   |
| Zoning                       | Zoning can be used to cluster development into defensible<br>areas and keep development away from fire hazards such as<br>steep slopes, where fires are difficult to contain.  |
| Defensible Space             | Damage potential can be reduced by ensuring that<br>structures are surrounded by defensible space or buffer<br>zones. Buffer zones are manageable areas, generally 30 to<br>100 feet and cleared of combustible materials.   |
| GIS Mapping                  | GIS mapping of vegetative coverage can facilitate analysis<br>and planning decisions through comparison with topography,<br>zoning, developments, infrastructure, or other markers.  |
| Power Line Maintenance       | Local power companies can help prevent or alleviate<br>wildfires by proper maintenance and separation of power<br>lines, as well as efficient response to fallen power lines.  |
| Insurance Company Promotions | Insurance companies can include wildfire safety information in materials provided to area residents.   |
| Property Maintenance         | Maintenance of property in or near wildfire prone areas can<br>go a long way toward preventing or reducing the spread of<br>fire. Maintenance includes fuel management techniques<br>such as pruning and clearing dead vegetation, selective<br>logging, keeping grass short, planting fire-resistant<br>vegetation, and creating fuel/fire breaks, i.e., areas where<br>the spread of wildfires will be slowed or stopped by the<br>removal of fuels. Other helpful techniques include use of fire<br>resistant roofing and building materials; use of functional<br>shutters on windows; keeping flammables such as curtains<br>secured away from windows, or using heavy fire-resistant<br>drapes; taking advantage of the fire department's home<br>safety inspections; sweeping/cleaning dead or dry leaves,<br>needles, twigs, and combustibles from roofs, decks, eaves,<br>porches and yards; keeping woodpiles and other<br>combustibles away from structures; use of boxed or<br>enclosed eaves on a house; thorough clean-up of spilled |

|                                      | flammable fluids; and keeping garage areas protected from<br>blowing embers, whether from a chimney or outdoor fire<br>place.  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Fireplace and Chimney<br>Maintenance | Residents should be encouraged to inspect chimneys at<br>least twice a year and clean them at least once a year. Safe<br>fireplace/chimney use and maintenance includes spark<br>arrestors and emphasis on proper storage of flammable<br>items.   |
| Building Codes                       | Building codes can be used to require upgrades to existing as well as new structures.  |
| Waste Disposal                       | Wildfire risk can be reduced by safe disposal of yard and household waste rather than through open burning.  |
| Arson Prevention                     | Wildfires can be prevented by arson prevention clean up<br>activities in areas of abandoned or collapsed structures,<br>accumulated junk or debris, and in areas with a history of<br>storing flammable materials where spills or dumping may<br>have occurred.  |
| Burning Restriction                  | Local ordinances can require burn permits and restrict campfires and outdoor burning.  |
| Road and Driveway Clearance          | Roads and driveways should be kept accessible to<br>emergency vehicles and fire equipment. Driveways should<br>be relatively straight and flat, with at least some open spaces<br>to turn. Bridges should be strong enough to support<br>emergency vehicles, with clearance wide and high enough<br>for two-way traffic and emergency vehicle access.<br>Addresses should be visible from the road, and keys to gates<br>around property should be provided to the local fire<br>department. |
| Hillside Construction                | It is important to note that hillsides facing south or west are<br>more vulnerable to increased dryness and heat from sun<br>exposure. Structures should be set back from slopes outside<br>of the "convection cone" of intense heat that is projected up<br>the slope of a hill as a wildfire "climbs" it.  |
| Building Foundations                 | In wildfire prone areas, risk may be decreased by enclosing<br>the foundations of homes and other buildings, rather than<br>leaving them open where undersides can be exposed to<br>blown embers or other materials.   |
| Motorized Equipment                  | Proper maintenance and storage of motorized equipment can decrease wildfire risk.  |
| Flammable Materials                  | Wildfire risk can be alleviated by safely using and storing<br>necessary flammable materials, including machine fuels.<br>Approved safety cans should be used for storing gasoline,<br>oily rags and other flammable materials. Firewood should be<br>stacked at least 100 feet away and uphill from homes.  |
| Smoke/Fire Detectors and Sprinklers  | Citizens can install and maintain smoke detectors and fire extinguishers on each floor of their homes or other buildings.  |

|                     | This equipment should be tested and/or inspected regularly,<br>and smoke detector batteries should be changed twice a<br>year. Everyone in a household or building can be taught how<br>to use a fire extinguisher. Other valuable fire mitigation<br>systems include interior and exterior sprinkler systems.   |
|---------------------|--|
| Spotters            | Early detection of wildfires, while fires are smaller, can help<br>make fire fighting more successful. Detection can be<br>accomplished by fire spotters who work from either towers or<br>planes.   |
| Media               | Media can broadcast information about fire watches and fire warnings.  |
| Response Personnel  | Response personnel should have regular training and exercise experience.   |
| Water Supplies      | Water supplies for emergency fire fighting should be<br>maintained in accordance with National Fire Protection<br>Association (NFPA) standards. Residents should identify and<br>maintain any number of outside water sources such as small<br>ponds, cisterns, wells, swimming pools or hydrants. It is a<br>good idea to have a garden hose that is long enough to<br>reach any area of a home or other structures on a property.<br>Freeze-proof exterior water outlets are recommended for at<br>least two sides of a home or other structures. Additional<br>outlets can be installed at least 50 feet from a home. It may<br>be a good idea to obtain a portable gasoline powered pump<br>in case electrical power is cut off. |
| Evacuation          | Residents should be instructed on proper evacuation<br>procedures, such as wearing protective clothing (e.g., sturdy<br>shoes, cotton or woolen clothing, long pants, a long-sleeved<br>shirt, gloves and a handkerchief to protect the face); taking a<br>Disaster Supplies Kit; and choosing a route away from fire<br>hazards.  |
| Individual Response | Fire emergency telephone numbers should be posted at<br>every telephone. Residents should plan several escape<br>routes away from their homes, by car and foot.  |
|                     | It is a good idea to keep a set of hand tools that can be used<br>as fire tools, such as a rake, axe, hand/chainsaw, bucket and<br>shovel.   |
|                     | When wildfire threatens, residents should be instructed to<br>carry and listen to battery-operated radios for reports and<br>evacuation information, and follow instructions from local<br>officials. Cars should be backed into garages or parked in<br>open space facing the direction of escape, with doors and<br>windows closed and the key in the ignition. Garage windows<br>and doors should be closed but left unlocked. If residents<br>have time, they can take steps to protect their homes by<br>closing windows, vent doors, venetian blinds and heavy<br>drapes; removing lightweight curtains; shutting off natural  |

gas at the meter; turning off pilot lights; closing fireplace screens; and moving flammable furniture into the center of the home away from windows and sliding-glass doors. Outside, residents can seal attic and ground vents with precut plywood or commercial seals; turn off propane tanks; place combustible patio furniture inside; connect garden hose to outside taps; set up a portable gasoline-powered pump; place lawn sprinklers on the roof and near aboveground fuel tanks; wet the roof, wet or remove shrubs within 15 feet of the home; and gather fire tools.

# STRUCTURE FIRES

The risk of structure fires varies by location and demographics. Studies commissioned by the U.S. Fire Administration find that certain populations are more at risk of death or injury from structure fires. These groups include people who are economically disadvantaged, very young or very old.

| Codes and Enforcement                   | Building codes and enforcement are the first measure for<br>preventing structure fires.   |
|---|---|
| Building Design                         | Building designs can include firewalls and fire doors, as well<br>as alarm and sprinkler systems, especially in tall buildings,<br>dormitories, and attached structures.  |
| Public Education and School<br>Programs | Communities can encourage public education and school<br>programs, especially regarding stoves, heaters, fireworks,<br>matches/lighters, smoke detectors, and evacuation. Public<br>education can particularly focus on safe handling and<br>disposal of cigarettes, cigars, pipes, and matches, as<br>careless smoking and children playing with matches and<br>lighters are significant hazards in some neighborhoods.<br>Alcohol and other drug use can exacerbate the risks.  |
| Personal Preparation                    | Citizens can install and maintain fire extinguishers and<br>smoke detectors. Everyone in a household or workplace can<br>be taught how to use a fire extinguisher. Residential<br>standards established by the National Fire Protection<br>Association (NFPA) require a smoke detector in each<br>bedroom, or adjacent to all sleeping areas. All equipment<br>should be tested and/or inspected regularly, and smoke<br>detector batteries should be changed twice a year. Installing<br>a sprinkler system is another valuable mitigation measure.<br>Also, fire emergency telephone numbers should be posted at<br>every telephone, and residents or building occupants should<br>plan escape routes and assembly points away from their<br>homes or workplaces. |
| Heating Systems                         | Fire risk can be controlled through proper installation and maintenance of heating systems.   |

| Space Heaters                        | If electric space heaters are necessary, they should be<br>placed at least 3 feet from objects, particularly combustible<br>objects. Kerosene heaters pose additional risks relating to<br>flammable liquids and carbon monoxide.  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Fireplace and Chimney<br>Maintenance | Residents should be encouraged to inspect chimneys at<br>least twice a year and clean them at least once a year. Safe<br>fireplace/chimney use and maintenance includes installation<br>of spark arrestors and emphasis on proper storage of<br>flammable items.   |
| Electrical Outlets                   | Fire risk can be controlled through safe installation,<br>maintenance and use of electrical wiring, outlets and fault<br>interrupters.   |
| Arson Prevention                     | Structure fires can be prevented by clean up activities in<br>areas of abandoned or collapsed structures, accumulated<br>junk or debris, and in areas that have a history of storing<br>flammable materials where spills or dumping may have<br>occurred. Older communities in particular should consider<br>establishing a quick process to secure and/or demolish<br>abandoned structures. |
| Flammable Materials                  | Fire risk can be controlled by using proper procedures, from training and exercising to safe handling of explosive and flammable materials.  |
| Power Line Maintenance               | Local power companies can help prevent or alleviate fires by<br>proper maintenance and separation of power lines, as well<br>as efficient response to fallen power lines.  |
| Fire Departments                     | Fire departments should be deployed, equipped and trained per NFPA standards and ISO recommendations.  |
| Transportation Planning              | Transportation planning is important for assessing roads,<br>overpasses, etc., in order to maximize access and improve<br>emergency response times to all inhabited or developed<br>areas of a community. Subdivisions should include more<br>than one entrance to allow access if one of the entrances<br>becomes blocked.  |
| Civil Disturbances                   | It is important to gain control of civil disturbances and criminal activities that could lead to arson.  |
| Fireworks                            | It is important to enforce fireworks regulations.  |
| Illegal Drug Laboratories            | Fire risk can be improved by elimination of clandestine<br>methamphetamine, or other illegal drug, laboratories through<br>law enforcement and public education.   |

# SCRAP TIRE FIRES

Burning tires emit toxins into the surrounding air. Scrap tire fires not only are difficult and dangerous for fire fighters, they also pose health hazards for the surrounding community.

| Tire Disposal Policies  | A sample of policies for regulating safe disposal and<br>management of scrap tires includes the following: separation<br>of stored scrap tires from other materials; limits on the size of<br>each pile; minimum distances between piles and property<br>lines; covering, chemically treating, or shredding tires to limit<br>mosquito breeding; providing for fire vehicle access to scrap<br>tire piles; training employees in emergency response<br>operations; installation of earthen berms around storage<br>areas; prevention of pools of standing water in the area;<br>control of nearby vegetation; an emergency plan posted on<br>the property; and storing only the permitted volume of tires<br>authorized for a particular site. |
|-------------------------|--|
| Facility Siting         | Land use planning should recognize that scrap tire storage<br>and processing facilities can pose a real environmental and<br>health threat to a community.   |
| Law Enforcement         | Law enforcement agencies can be alerted to watch for illegal tire dumping.   |
| Alternate Use/Recycling | Promoting technologies that recycle tires can be an asset.<br>Examples include using whole tires in roadbeds, for culvert<br>wingwalls, or as slope protection, or using shredded tires for<br>a playground surface.   |
| Pest Control            | Pest-control measures for mosquitoes and other nuisances<br>around scrap tire yards will not prevent fires, but controlling<br>pests can decrease the risk of disease to people in the<br>vicinity.  |

# HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Various government agencies regulate the use, storage, release, and disposal of hazardous substances, because exposure to these substances can result in imminent injury, illness, or damage to property. Mitigation begins with regulatory compliance.

| Safety Procedures and Policies           | Regulations require training in and compliance with all safety procedures and systems related to the manufacture, storage, transport, use, and disposal of hazardous materials.  |
|--|--|
| Public Awareness and Worker<br>Education | The Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), also known as SARA Title III, provides an infrastructure at the state and local levels to plan for chemical emergencies. Facilities that store, use, or release certain chemicals may be subject to reporting requirements. Reported information is publicly available so that interested parties may become informed about potentially dangerous chemicals in their community. Employers must also communicate the hazards of workplace chemicals and ensure that workers receive education and training. |
| Local Emergency Planning<br>Committee    | To address the possibility of hazardous material incidents, communities are required under Federal law (SARA Title III)  |

|                           | to maintain an active and viable Local Emergency Planning<br>Committee (LEPC) to develop an emergency plan for<br>preparing for and responding to chemical emergencies, such<br>as spills, leaks, explosions, or other releases. The LEPC is<br>required to review, test, and update the plan each year.  |
|---------------------------|---|
| Emergency Plans           | The community's emergency plan must include the following:<br>identification of local facilities and transportation routes<br>where hazardous materials are present; procedures for<br>immediate response in case of an accident, including a<br>community-wide evacuation plan; a plan for notifying the<br>public that an incident has occurred; names of response<br>coordinators at local facilities; and a plan for conducting<br>simulation exercises that test the plan.   |
| Risk Management Plans     | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations<br>require development of Risk Management Plans for sites<br>that manufacture, store, or handle hazardous materials. The<br>details of Chemical Accident Prevention and Risk<br>Management Programs are managed by EPA's Chemical<br>Emergency Preparedness and Prevention Office (CEPPO).   |
| Transportation            | The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT)<br>administers a labeling and placarding system for identifying<br>the types of hazardous materials that are transported along<br>the nation's highways, railways, and waterways. This system<br>enables local emergency officials to identify the nature and<br>potential health threat of chemicals being transported. If an<br>accident were to occur, local emergency officials would be<br>able to determine the proper emergency response<br>procedures for the situation. Local law enforcement and<br>other emergency officials should be well-versed in<br>compliance with and enforcement of USDOT and state<br>regulations regarding hazardous material and hazardous<br>waste transportation. |
| Disposal                  | The U.S. EPA's Office of Solid Waste regulates disposal of hazardous waste, as required by the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). RCRA's goals are to: 1) protect us from the hazards of waste disposal; 2) conserve energy and natural resources by recycling and recovery; 3) reduce or eliminate waste; and 4) clean up waste that may have spilled, leaked, or been disposed of improperly.   |
| Emergency Response Teams  | Regulations require trained, equipped, and prepared<br>emergency response teams, for hazardous material sites<br>and for the community in general.  |
| Search and Rescue         | Search and rescue teams need to be trained, equipped, and prepared to work among hazardous materials.   |
| Industrial Site Buffering | Hazardous material exposure can be prevented or reduced by separation and buffering between industrial areas and  |

|                         | other land uses. Industrial areas should be located away<br>from schools, nursing homes, hospitals, and other facilities<br>with large or vulnerable populations.  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Radioactivity and Radon | Radioactive soils and high-radon areas can pose risks that<br>should not be ignored. Mitigation actions may include<br>avoiding development, removing soils, and capping openings<br>in basements.   |
| Cleanup of Brownfields  | A brownfield site is real property, the expansion,<br>redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the<br>presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance,<br>pollutant, or contaminant. Cleanup activities are expensive,<br>but they are recommended for preventing exposure to<br>harmful substances. Grants, low interest loans, or tax relief<br>may be available to assist in the cost of mitigating these<br>sites. Cleaned sites may then be redeveloped and added<br>back to a community's tax roles. |
| Security                | Security considerations should include preparedness for terrorism, sabotage, or civil disturbance.   |

# TRANSPORTATION ACCIDENTS

Ground, air, and water transportation issues can pose risks to transportation users and to the general public.

| Driver Education          | The risk of transportation accidents can be reduced through<br>improvements in driver education, traffic law enforcement,<br>and transportation planning that balances needs of public<br>transportation conveyers with safety of the general public.<br>Commercial operators also need training and skill<br>enhancement programs.                             |
|---------------------------|---|
| Road Design               | Improved design, routing, and traffic control at problem<br>roadway areas can reduce risk of transportation accidents.<br>Designated truck routes, as well as enforcement of weight<br>and truck travel restrictions, can help. In long-term planning,<br>communities can consider establishing more connector<br>roads to reduce congestion on arterial roads. |
| Railroads                 | Accidents can be reduced through railroad inspections and improved designs at problem railway/roadway intersections.  |
| Airports                  | Airport maintenance, security, and safety programs are essential for reducing accident risk.  |
| Marine Safety             | Accident risk can be reduced through programs that address marine safety and general boater awareness.  |
| Mass Casualty Preparation | It is important to consider training, planning, and preparedness for mass-casualty incidents involving all modes of transportation.   |

**Traffic Control** 

Road closures and traffic control in accident areas becomes especially critical during a hazardous material incident response.

# **UTILITY FAILURE**

Public utilities are critical infrastructure for any community. The potential for failure needs to be reviewed, and inadequacies need to be addressed.

| Water and Sewer                 | Consideration is needed for proper location, design, and<br>maintenance of water and sewer systems, including<br>insulation of critical components to prevent damage from<br>ground freeze. Sewer and storm water systems should be<br>separated or expanded to handle anticipated storm water<br>volumes. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Electrical Lines                | A community may consider burying electric and telephone<br>lines, where possible, to resist damage from severe winds,<br>lightning, ice, and other hazards.  |
| System Redundancies             | One place where redundancies are recommended is in utility<br>and communications systems, especially lifeline systems,<br>e.g., essential public utilities. The intention is that if one<br>system fails, the other shadow system can take over.   |
| Backup Power                    | Generators can be used for backup power at critical facilities.  |
| Maintenance                     | Regular maintenance and equipment checks are important,<br>along with replacement or renovation of aging structures and<br>equipment, which should be made as hazard-resistant as<br>economically possible.  |
| Rolling Blackouts and Brownouts | Sometimes it is a good idea to implement "rolling blackouts" in electrical systems that will otherwise fail completely due to overloading.   |
| Lightning Protection            | Electrical and communications systems should be protected from lightning strikes.  |
| Tree Trimming                   | Tree trimming and maintenance is important for preventing<br>limb breakage and for safeguarding nearby utility lines. A<br>model measure would be to establish a community forestry<br>program with a main goal of creating and maintaining a<br>disaster-resistant landscape in public right-of-ways.     |
| Digging Hotlines                | Most, if not all, states have a utility damage prevention hotline that people can call before digging.   |
| Vulnerable Populations          | Communities can develop programs/networks for contacting<br>and assisting elderly or homebound persons during periods<br>of infrastructure failure.  |

# OIL AND NATURAL GAS WELLS; PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS PIPELINES

Regions with oil and natural gas wells and/or petroleum and natural gas pipelines need to consider the risks of release.

| Safety Regulations           | The first step in oil and natural gas well accident prevention<br>is community and operator compliance with industry safety<br>regulations and standards.  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Contingency Plans            | Companies and surrounding communities need to address contingency planning for worker and public protection, including rescue and evacuation procedures.   |
| Well Segregation             | Accidents can be prevented by using buffer strips of land to<br>segregate wells, storage tanks, and other production<br>facilities from transportation routes and adjacent land uses,<br>in accordance with state regulations, and consistent with the<br>level of risk.   |
| Pipeline Location and Design | Pipelines should be well-marked and located away from<br>dense development, critical facilities, special needs<br>populations, and environmentally vulnerable areas whenever<br>possible. Proper pipeline design, construction, maintenance<br>and inspection are essential, especially in high hazard<br>seismic zones. |
| Digging Hotlines             | Most, if not all, states have a utility damage prevention hotline that people can call before digging.   |

# RADIOLOGICAL EMERGENCIES

People receive radiation exposure each day from the sun, radioactive elements in soil and rocks, household appliances like television sets and microwave ovens, and medical and dental x-rays. These exposures may prompt controversy, but they do not pose the risk of imminent danger from radiation release that might occur if a nuclear power plant had a meltdown. Serious radiological accidents can occur anywhere radioactive materials are used, stored, or transported. A nuclear power plant, hospital, university, research laboratory, industrial plant, major highway, railroad line, or shipping yard could be the site of a radiological emergency.

| Users of Radiological Materials                           | Users, transporters, and disposers of radiological materials<br>are required to follow strict procedures that prevent or<br>minimize radiation release.   |
|---|---|
| Emergency Planning For<br>Transportation Routes           | Communities located along major transportation routes<br>should develop and practice an emergency plan for handling<br>transportation accidents involving radiological materials.   |
| Radiological Emergency<br>Preparedness for Nuclear Plants | Radiological Emergency Preparedness (REP) for<br>communities surrounding nuclear power plants requires<br>proper awareness of, training on, and implementation of<br>radiological emergency procedures. Specific planning<br>requirements for communities within primary and secondary<br>Emergency Planning Zones are found in the Code of Federal |

|                                    | Regulations (44 CFR § 350, 351, 352) and in a Nuclear<br>Regulatory Commission guidance document (NUREG-0654).   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Three Ways To Minimize<br>Exposure | A community can promote the following three ways to<br>minimize radiation exposure: 1) distance; 2) shielding; and<br>3) time. The more distance between a person and the source<br>of the radiation, the less radiation received. Like distance,<br>the more heavy, dense materials between a person and the<br>source of the radiation, the better. Finally, most radioactivity<br>loses its strength fairly quickly. Limiting the time spent near<br>the source of radiation reduces the amount of radiation<br>received. |
| Shelters and Warning Systems       | Communities can promote awareness of designated fallout<br>shelters and accident warning systems. They also may<br>develop and promote workable population protection plans,<br>i.e., evacuation and in-place sheltering plans.  |
| Safe Rooms                         | Concrete safe rooms or shelters can be constructed in houses, trailer parks, community facilities, and business districts.   |
| Building Materials                 | Public buildings and critical facilities can be constructed<br>using laminated glass, metal shutters, structural bracing, and<br>other hazard-resistant, durable construction techniques.  |
|                                    |  |

# SABOTAGE / TERRORISM / WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION

Sabotage, terrorism, and the potential for exposure to weapons of mass destruction (WMD) have become part of our social conscious and should be considered in mitigation planning.

| Assessment                         | Local governments can start with development of a thorough<br>community risk and threat assessment that identifies<br>potential vulnerabilities and targets for a<br>sabotage/terrorism/WMD attack.   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Critical Infrastructure Protection | Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) is extremely important.<br>The federal government has begun a systematic effort to<br>define, prioritize, and develop effective strategies for<br>protecting the Nation's critical infrastructure. Local<br>governments are an integral part of the effort with regard to<br>critical local services, such as water, electricity, telephones,<br>roads and bridges. CIP should be a prominent part of<br>community risk and threat assessment. |
| Computers                          | Every person and institution with computers that interface<br>with other computers should consistently use computer data<br>back-up systems and anti-virus software.  |
| Building Materials                 | Public buildings and critical facilities can be constructed or<br>retrofitted using laminated glass, metal shutters, structural<br>bracing, and other hazard-resistant, durable construction<br>techniques.   |

| Monitoring and Reporting            | Prevention can be addressed through alertness, awareness,<br>and monitoring of organizations and activities that may<br>threaten a community. A community can establish a system<br>for reporting information that can be used to prevent terrorist<br>incidents or sabotage. One model may be the U.S.<br>Department of Justice's Operation TIPS, the Terrorism<br>Information and Prevention System, piloted in select cities<br>beginning in August 2002. |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Emergency Responder<br>Preparedness | Communities can establish programs for law<br>enforcement/emergency responder training, planning, and<br>preparedness for terrorist/sabotage/WMD attacks.  |
| School Violence                     | School safety and violence prevention programs are valuable, particularly since school violence is unfortunately becoming more common.   |
| Public Gatherings                   | Communities may consider heightening security at public gatherings, special events, and critical community facilities and industries.  |
| Mental Health Services              | Communities can develop a greater awareness of, and provision for, mental health services in schools, workplaces, and other institutional settings.  |
| Private Emergency Plans             | Communities can encourage private sector development and testing of internal emergency plans and procedures, including Continuity of Operations (COOP) planning.   |

# CIVIL DISTURBANCE

The potential for civil disturbance and resulting problems should be addressed in mitigation planning. Civil disturbances can include prison or institutional rebellions, disruptive political gatherings, violent labor disputes, urban protests or riots, or problems at large-scale events.

| Law Enforcement            | Local and state governments can provide law enforcement agencies with training, staffing, and resources.   |
|----------------------------|--|
| Planning and Documentation | Local governments or other organizations can anticipate and<br>plan for incidents. When a civil disturbance occurs, it may be<br>a good idea to record the event on videotape for later study<br>and use in prosecutions.  |
| Facility Design            | Emergency and security provisions can be included in design requirements for schools, factories, office buildings, shopping malls, hospitals, correctional facilities, stadiums, recreation areas, and other similar facilities.                                 |
| Environmental Design       | Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)<br>is a field of planning that examines design, management,<br>integration, and lowered density of poor or blighted areas<br>with the goal of reducing vandalism, crime, and some types<br>of riot events. |

# PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES

If left unchecked, various diseases or environmental conditions can result in widespread illness and threats to life.

| Immunization                                  | Immunization against communicable diseases can be encouraged among residents of a community.   |
|---|--|
| Ventilation                                   | The spread of communicable diseases can be thwarted by compartmentalizing ventilation systems in areas/facilities prone to crowding, or areas that may involve exposure to contagions or noxious atmospheres.  |
| Radon   | Communities can increase public awareness of radon dangers and the prevention efforts that can be taken to reduce concentrations of radon in homes and buildings.  |
| Water and Sewer                               | Communities need to maintain water and sewer<br>infrastructure at acceptable operating standards. Back-up<br>generators for water and wastewater treatment facilities can<br>help maintain acceptable operating levels during power<br>failures. Separation of storm and sanitary sewer systems can<br>also prevent release of untreated sanitary waste when<br>stormwater might otherwise overfill a sewer system.              |
| Vacant Structures                             | Demolition and clearance of vacant condemned structures can prevent rodent infestations.   |
| Public Health Systems and<br>Public Awareness | Communities can maintain public health systems with<br>sufficient disease monitoring and surveillance capabilities to<br>protect the population from large-scale outbreaks; they can<br>also support free or reduced-cost clinics and school health<br>services. Public awareness campaigns can emphasize the<br>causes, symptoms, and protective actions for disease<br>outbreaks or other potential public health emergencies. |
| Contamination Containment                     | Public contact with contaminated sites or waters, including floodwaters, should be prevented as much as possible.  |
| Waste Disposal                                | Communities need to address pollution control, enforcement,<br>and cleanup. Particular procedures need to be followed for<br>disposing of chemicals, including hazardous waste and<br>scrap materials.   |
| Septic Tanks                                  | Septic tanks need to be properly located, installed, cleaned, monitored, and maintained.   |

# **APPENDIX II** Adopting Resolutions

This page left intentionally blank

Resolution Number \_\_\_\_ / C

# **Concerning the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan**

Whereas, the Town of Beaverton is vulnerable to the human and economic costs of natural, and man-made disasters, and

Whereas, the Town of Beaverton recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating those vulnerabilities for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

Whereas, the Town of Beaverton has been an active participant in the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multijurisdictional, coordinated planning process to eliminate or decrease these vulnerabilities, and

Whereas, the Town of Beaverton's representatives have identified and prioritized a number of proposed actions needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Town of Beaverton to the impacts of future disasters, and

Whereas, these mitigation actions have been incorporated into the initial Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan that has been prepared and issued for consideration and implementation by the communities of Lamar County,

#### Now therefore, be it resolved that,

1] The Town of Beaverton hereby adopts the Lamar County 2009 Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

2] The Town of Beaverton will continue to participate in the updating and expansion of the Lamar County Hazard Mitigation Plan in the years ahead.

Approved and adopted this the 13th day of October 2009.