

Directing Change with Bcfg2

Narayan Desai, Rick Bradshaw, Joey Hagedorn, Cory Lueninghoener

Office of Scien<u>ce</u>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERG

Narayan Desai desai@mcs.anl.gov LISA06 December 7th, 2006







Changes...

- Are unavoidable in today's networks
 - User demands
 - Security patches
 - Integration with externally controlled systems
- Network sizes continue to grow
- Service scale continues to increase
- Security continues to be an ongoing issue
- Complexity is not going away

2

Supporting Change

- Three main factors
 - Low per-change cost
 - Fine-grained deployment control
 - Representing changes over time
- Configurations aren't static, so tools should not treat them as if they are
 Goals:
 - Robust client reconfiguration workflows
 - Understanding how configurations change and propagate
 - Controlling change staging and deployment

Bcfg2

- Client/Server architecture
 - Server specification describes our desired configuration
 - Client configuration state information reflects reality
 - Comparison yields a configuration specification accuracy metric
- Group-based description mechanism
- Client-side state feedback
- Mature software
- In production use across many sites
 - Research, Academia, Corporate, Finance

Change Support Requirements for Tools

- Time as an independent variable
 - Without this, administrators can only interact with the current specification state
- Client-side state feedback
 - Needed for understanding change propagation
 - Must be time-sensitive
 - Coordinated with specification
- Not tool specific in any way
 - We have implemented this with bcfg2, but other tools can implement it just as easily

Constructing Time as an Independent Variable

- Specification Revision Control (with subversion)
 - Specification is put under revision control
 - Yields a per-repository revision unique identifier
 - Server can be pegged at a given revision
- Server-side Modifications
 - Revision identifier tracking
 - Per-client configuration tagging
- Client-side Modifications
 - Statistics tagging with source identifier
- Reporting system modifications
 - Reflecting identifier in system state summaries
- Net result is time correlated feedback, from specification to deployment

New Capabilities

- Opened up a number of exciting new possibilities
 - Fine-grained Change Management
 - Change Propagation Analysis
 - Change Orchestration
- Allowed us to think about the process differently

This approach is applicable to any tool!

Change Management

- Control change creation and deployment individually
 - Administrators can commit to the repository at any time
 - Server consumption of the repository controlled independently
- Allows reliable implementation of change windows
- Supervised change performance

Change Analysis

- Correlation of specification consumption and deployment allows observation of change propagation
- Understand change patterns
 - While systems change often and why
 - Patterns of client updates
- Detailed change reporting

Change Orchestration

- Describing a complete workflow is now possible
 - Put each of the states into the specification subversion repository
 - Describe preconditions for each state
 - Deliberately advance the state when changes are sufficiently propagated
- Workflow guidance is possible, as well as execution
 - The list of all failing predicates => tasks that remain in a step
 - The deployment process can be performed in as manual or automatic fashion as desired, with automatic bookkeeping in any case
- Enables "fire and forget" reconfiguration tasks

Results

- This technique can be applied to any configuration tool, regardless of overall architecture
- It provides an explicit representation of the overall processes we all manually track
- Everything is now observable

Questions?