

Rigorous bounds on performance of lossy interferometers and optimal probe states

Sergey Knysh, Vadim Smelyanskiy and Gabriel Durkin, MCT/NASA Ames Research Center



Beyond shot-noise limit

Measure small differences in the optical length Error: $\Delta L = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}}$ (coherent light, single photons) • Decrease wavelength • Increase photon flux • Use non-classical light



Single photon:

After the beamsplitter:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle_1|0\rangle_2+|0\rangle_1|1\rangle_2)$$

Pick up phase:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\phi}|1\rangle_1|0\rangle_2+|0\rangle_1|1\rangle_2)$$



Beyond shot-noise limit



 \sqrt{N} precision increase (same photon number)





What is measurement precision?

 $\log p(\phi) \blacktriangle$

Φ*

Φ

Estimate unknown parameter (phase) φ .

Result of measurement is $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ with probability $p_k(\phi)$

Repeat measurements v times; result k observed v_k times: $v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n = v$

$$p(\phi|v_{1,}...,v_{n}) \propto \exp\left(\sum_{k} v_{k} \log p_{k}(\phi)\right) \propto \exp\left(\frac{-(\phi-\phi_{*})^{2}}{2} \sum_{k} v_{k} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}(-\log p_{k}(\phi))\right)$$

$$\phi_{*} \text{ maximizes likelihood.}$$

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{vF}} \qquad F(\phi) = \sum_{k} p_{k}(\phi) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}(-\log p_{k}(\phi)) \text{ is Fisher information}$$

Example (single photon): $p_{0,1}(\phi) = \frac{1 \pm \cos \phi}{2}$ $F(\phi) = \frac{1 + \cos \phi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\cos^2 \phi/2} + \frac{1 - \cos \phi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sin^2 \phi/2} = 1$



Quantum Fisher Information

(Braunstein & Caves, PRL, 1994) (Braunstein, Caves & Milburn, Annals of Physics, 1996)

Fisher information in the optimal measurement basis = Quantum Fisher Information



Generalized uncertainty relations: $\Psi(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t} |\Psi_0\rangle$

$$F = 4 \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H}^2 | \Psi_0 \rangle - 4 \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Psi_0 \rangle^2$$
$$\langle (\Delta \hat{H})^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta t)^2 \rangle \ge \frac{1}{4}$$

(pure state; mixed state is more complicated)



Photon Loss: "Fixing" NOON state

Loss of a single photon destroys entanglement:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|N\rangle_1|0\rangle_2+|0\rangle_1|N\rangle_2)\rightarrow|N-1\rangle_1|0\rangle_2$$

M&M state protects against loss of up to *M* photons: (Huver, Wildfeuer, Dowling, PRA, 2008) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|N-M\rangle_1|M\rangle_2 + |M\rangle_1|N-M\rangle_2)$

Numerical optimization of the probe state:

(Dorner et al., PRL, 2009) (Demkowicz-Dobrzanski et al., PRA 2009)

Loss in one arm: $\alpha |N\rangle_1 |0\rangle_2 + \beta |M\rangle_1 |N-M\rangle_2$ is nearly optimal (not too large losses)

Loss in both arms: complicated many-component state

(approximated by HB state ?)

Quantum "improvement factor" grows slower than \sqrt{N}

(levels off for large *N*?)



Rigorous Upper Bound

Most general *N*-photon probe state:

$$\begin{split} |\Phi\rangle = \sum_{n} \phi_{n} |n\rangle_{1} |N-n\rangle_{2} & \text{decays into a mixture} \quad \hat{\rho} = \sum_{k} w_{k} |\Psi_{k}\rangle \langle \Psi_{k} | \\ |\Psi_{k}\rangle \propto \sum_{n} \sqrt{\binom{n}{k} R^{k} (1-R)^{n-k}} \phi_{n} |n-k\rangle_{1} |N-n\rangle_{2} & k = \text{number of lost photons} \\ R = \text{probability of single photon loss} \end{split}$$

$$F = \sum_{k} w_{k} F_{k}$$

Inequality

$$\left\langle \Psi_{k} \right| (\Delta \hat{n}_{1})^{2} \left| \Psi_{k} \right\rangle \leq \left\langle \Psi_{k} \left| \left(\hat{n}_{1} - \frac{k}{R} \right)^{2} \right| \Psi_{k} \right\rangle$$

Fisher information

$$F \leq \sum_{k} \sum_{n} \left(n - \frac{k}{R} \right)^{2} \cdot {\binom{n}{k}} R^{k} (1 - R)^{n - k} \cdot |\phi_{n}|^{2}$$
$$\leq \frac{4(1 - R)}{R} \sum_{n} n |\phi_{n}|^{2} \leq \frac{4(1 - R)}{R} N$$

Linear in N

Optimal Probe States



Approximate discrete set $\{\phi_n\}$ by continuous wavefunction $\phi(x)$

 $0,1,\ldots,N \rightarrow [0;r]$

10

r

 $\mathbf{5}$

200

10

N

Variational calculus: $\rho(x) = |\phi(x)^2|$

$$\Pi(x) = \frac{\delta F[\rho(x)]}{\delta \rho(x)} \le \lambda \qquad x \in [0; r]$$
$$\rho(x) \neq 0 \text{ iff } \Pi(x) = \lambda$$

 $\Pi(x)$ is entire and non-constant => finitely many maxima





Sequence of Bifurcations



1st bifurcation: component separates from the origin.

$$\sqrt{1+r_1'^2}-(1-r_1')=2e^{-r_1'/2}$$

Infinite sequence of bifurcations:

r_1'	=	0.91295692
r_2	=	2.58426584
r_2'	=	4.40600960
r_3	=	8.01095805
r_3'	=	10.3767442
r_4	=	16.06326855
r_4'	=	18.69997097
r_5	=	26.61426895
r_5'	=	29.33116503
r_6	=	39.58033810
r_6'	=	42.26049238
•••	•••	•••• ••• ••• •••



Fixed Loss, Large N

 $r \propto N$ Formally, *r* is infinite. $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi(x)$ $x \in [0; 1]$ $\sum_{w} w_{k} \langle \Psi_{k} | (\Delta \hat{n}_{1})^{2} | \Psi_{k} \rangle = \sum_{k} \langle \Psi_{k} | (\hat{n}_{1} - \frac{k}{R})^{2} | \Psi_{k} \rangle - \sum_{k} \langle \Psi_{k} | (\hat{n}_{1} - \frac{k}{R}) | \Psi_{k} \rangle^{2}$ $\sqrt{=}\frac{m_*}{2}\int |d\phi(x)/dx|^2 \mathrm{d}x$ $F_{\text{upper}} - \int U(x) |\phi(x)|^2 dx$ $\phi(x) = \frac{(r/4)^{1/6}}{\operatorname{Ai}'(\mu_1)} \operatorname{Ai} \left(\left(\frac{r}{4} \right)^{1/3} (1-x) + \mu_1 \right)$ U(x) $\phi(x)$ $\mu_1 \approx -2.338107...$ $F = \frac{4N^2}{r} \left| 1 - |\mu_1| \left(\frac{4}{r} \right)^{1/3} + \cdots \right|$ 0 x $r_c \sim 4 |\mu_1|^3 \sim 50$ $N_c \sim 50 \frac{1-R}{R}$ Estimate crossover: $\sim 0.5 F_{\text{max}}$ $4\frac{1-R}{R}$ N



Loss in Both Channels

$$|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi\rangle \rightarrow \hat{\rho} = \sum_{k_1,k_2} w_{k_1,k_2} |\Psi_{k_1,k_2}\rangle\langle\Psi_{k_1,k_2}|$$

not an eigenvalue decomposition

Upper bound, not equality $F \leq \sum_{k_1, k_2} w_{k_1, k_2} F_{k_1, k_2}$

To find QFI: diagonalize $\hat{\rho}$, apply Braunstein-Caves formula. (difficult) Warm-up exercise: optimize incorrect upper bound.



$$F = \frac{4 N^2}{\left(\sqrt{r^{(1)}} + \sqrt{r^{(2)}}\right)^2} \left[1 - \frac{2}{\left(r^{(1)} r^{(2)}\right)^{1/4}} + \cdots \right]$$

- Probe state is GaussianBinomial coefficients are asymptotically Gaussian
 - Density matrix is Gaussian: easily diagonalizable (eigenstates of harmonic oscillator)

Surprising result: upper bound is exact. (small corrections for finite *N*)



Conclusion



- "Benchmark" for families of states: QFI per photon in the limit N>>1 as a fraction of upper bound
 - Two-component state $\alpha |N\rangle_1 |0\rangle_2 + \beta |M\rangle_1 |N-M\rangle_2$ 47.95%
 - Holland-Burnett state ($|N/2\rangle_1 |N/2\rangle_2$ + beamsplitter) 50%
 - (unequal photon numbers) <54.25%
- Improvement over classical light:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} (R_1 = R_2 = R) \qquad \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - R}}{\sqrt{R}} (R_1 = R, R_2 = 0)$$