

Quantum estimation for quantum technology Matteo G A Paris Applied Quantum Mechanics Dipartimento di Física università degli Studi di Milano



S. Olívares, M. Genoní, C. Invernízzí B. Teklu, A. Monras, V. Usenko, S. Cíaldí, D. Brívío, S. Vezzolí INRIM: M. Genovese, G. Brída, I. Degíovanní, F. Píacentíní, A. Meda, P. Gíorda, A. Shurupov

Quantum Future Technologíes Conference 1.0 NASA Ames research center, 17-21 January 2012 Quantum technology ("Schroedinger's machines")



- Quantum information (communication and computing)
- Quantum metrology
 (calibration, interferometry, nanopositioning)
- Quantum ímaging
 (ghost ímaging and díffraction, quantum litography)

Quantum characterízation for quantum technology



 It is highly desirable to have theoretical and experimental tools for the precise characterization of signal and devices at the quantum level

Quantum estimation



- The "resources" involved in quantum-enahnced metrology/technology are entanglement, nonlocality, entropy, interferometric phase-shift, etc..
 - In general they are not observable quantities in strict sense (do not correspond to a selfadjoint operator)
 - No correspondence principle
 - No uncertainty relations

Quantum estimation



 The "resources" involved in quantum-enahnced metrology/technology are entanglement, nonlocality, entropy, interferometric phase-shift, etc..







 $\{\Pi_x\}_{x\in\mathcal{X}}$ $\chi = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ \sim

Optimal measurement Ultimate bound to precision





 $\{\Pi_x\}_{x\in\mathcal{X}}$ $\chi = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ \checkmark

Optimal measurement

Ultimate bound to precision





<u>direct measurements</u> indirect measurements

choice of the measurement

choice of the estimator

Cramer - Rao bound (unbiased estimators)



$$\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\widehat{\lambda}] \ge \frac{1}{MF(\lambda)}$$

M -> number of measurements

F -> Fisher Information

$$F(\lambda) = \int dx \ p(x|\lambda) \left[\partial_{\lambda} \log p(x|\lambda) \right]^{2}$$

Optimal measurement -> maximum Fisher
 Optimal estimator -> saturation of CR inequality

(Asymptotically) optimal estimators



Bayes estimator from a posteriori distribution $p(x|\lambda)p(\lambda) = p(\lambda|x)p(x)$

Laplace von Mises Th. $p(\lambda|\{x\}) \xrightarrow{M \gg 1} G(\lambda^*, \sigma^2)$

MaxLik estimator(s) from the measurement likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \dots x_M | \lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^M p(x_k | \lambda)$$



- lacksquare probability density $p(x|\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr}\left[arrho_{\lambda} \, \Pi_x
 ight]$
- symm. log. derivative (SLD) $\frac{L_{\lambda}\varrho_{\lambda} + \varrho_{\lambda}L_{\lambda}}{2} = \frac{\partial \varrho_{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda}$

selfadjoint, zero mean ${
m Tr}\left[arrho_{\lambda}\,L_{\lambda}
ight]=0$

Fisher information $F(\lambda) = \int dx \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\varrho_{\lambda}\Pi_{x}L_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{2}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\varrho_{\lambda}\Pi_{x}\right]}$

Let's go quantum (2)

$$F(\lambda) \leq \int dx \left| \frac{\operatorname{Tr} \left[\varrho_{\lambda} \Pi_{x} L_{\lambda} \right]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Tr} \left[\varrho_{\lambda} \Pi_{x} \right]}} \right|^{2}$$
$$= \int dx \left| \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\varrho_{\lambda}} \sqrt{\Pi_{x}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Tr} \left[\varrho_{\lambda} \Pi_{x} \right]}} \sqrt{\Pi_{x}} L_{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho_{\lambda}} \right] \right|^{2}$$
$$\leq \int dx \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Pi_{x} L_{\lambda} \varrho_{\lambda} L_{\lambda} \right]$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr} \left[L_{\lambda} \varrho_{\lambda} L_{\lambda} \right] = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\varrho_{\lambda} L_{\lambda}^{2} \right]$$

(Braunstein and Caves 1994)



 $F(\lambda) \leq H(\lambda) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}[\varrho_{\lambda}L_{\lambda}^{2}] = \operatorname{Tr}[\partial_{\lambda}\varrho_{\lambda}L_{\lambda}]$

Quantum Cramer-Rao bound



Optimal quantum measurement (1)



the optimal measurement is a projective one, the spectral measure is built with the eigenstates of the SLD

$$\varrho_{\lambda} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \Pi_{x} \right\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \\
\chi = (x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots)$$

optimal quantum measurement: SLD + classical postprocessing (Bayesian, ML) General formulas (basis indepedent)



$$rac{L_\lambda arrho_\lambda + arrho_\lambda L_\lambda}{2} = rac{\partial arrho_\lambda}{\partial \lambda}$$
 Lyapunov equation

• Symmetric logarithmic derivative $L_{\lambda} = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \exp\{-\varrho_{\lambda}t\} \partial_{\lambda}\varrho_{\lambda} \exp\{-\varrho_{\lambda}t\}$

Quantum Fisher Information

$$H(\lambda) = 2 \int_0^\infty dt \operatorname{Tr} \left[\partial_\lambda \varrho_\lambda \exp\{-\varrho_\lambda t\} \partial_\lambda \varrho_\lambda \exp\{-\varrho_\lambda t\} \right]$$





Family of quantum states
$$\varrho_{\lambda} = \sum_{n} \varrho_{n} |\psi_{n}\rangle \langle \psi_{n}|$$

• Symmetric logarithmic derivative $L_{\lambda} = \sum_{p} \frac{\partial_{\lambda} \varrho_{p}}{\varrho_{p}} |\psi_{p}\rangle \langle \psi_{p}| + 2 \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{\varrho_{n} - \varrho_{m}}{\varrho_{n} + \varrho_{m}} \langle \psi_{m} |\partial_{\lambda} \psi_{n}\rangle |\psi_{m}\rangle \langle \psi_{n}|$

Quantum Fisher Information

$$H(\lambda) = \sum_{p} \frac{\left(\partial_{\lambda} \varrho_{p}\right)^{2}}{\varrho_{p}} + 2\sum_{n \neq m} \frac{\left(\varrho_{n} - \varrho_{m}\right)^{2}}{\varrho_{n} + \varrho_{m}} \left|\left\langle\psi_{m}\right|\partial_{\lambda}\psi_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{2}$$

Optimal quantum measurement (2)



ultimate bound on precision $\operatorname{Var}(\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{MH(\lambda)}$

(local quantum estimation theory)

feedback assisted/adaptive measurements

one-step adaptive procedure: rough estimate of the parameter on a small fraction of copies + measurement of SLD on the rest of the copies

unitary families of quantum states $\varrho_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda} \, \varrho_0 \, U_{\lambda}^{\dagger} \qquad \qquad \varrho_0 = \sum \varrho_n |\varphi_n\rangle \langle \varphi_n|$ $U_{\lambda} = \exp\{-i\lambda G\} \qquad \partial_{\lambda}\varrho_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda}[G,\varrho_{0}]U_{\lambda}^{\dagger}$ ullet covariance of SLD $L_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda} L_0 U_{\lambda}^{\dagger}$ $L_0 = 2\sum_{n,m} \frac{\langle \varphi_m | [G, \varrho_0] | \varphi_n \rangle}{\varrho_n + \varrho_m} | \varphi_n \rangle \langle \varphi_m |$ QFI is independent on the value of the parameter $H = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\varrho_0 L_0^2\right] = 2 \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{(\varrho_n - \varrho_m)^2}{\varrho_n + \varrho_m} \langle \varphi_m | G | \varphi_n \rangle^2$

parameter-based uncertainty relations



• pure states $H = 4\langle \psi_0 | \Delta G^2 | \psi_0 \rangle$ $Var(\lambda) \langle \Delta G^2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4M}$ parameter-based uncertainty relations



• pure states
$$H = 4\langle \psi_0 | \Delta G^2 | \psi_0 \rangle$$

 $\operatorname{Var}(\lambda) \langle \Delta G^2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4M}$

• mixed states $H = 4 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Delta G^{2} \varrho_{0} \right] + 4 \sum_{n} \varrho_{n} \langle \varphi_{n} | \langle G \rangle^{2} - 2GK^{(n)}G | \varphi_{n} \rangle$ $K^{(n)} = \sum_{m} \frac{\varrho_{m}}{\varrho_{n} + \varrho_{m}} |\varphi_{m}\rangle \langle \varphi_{m}| \stackrel{\varrho_{0} \to |\varphi_{0}\rangle \langle \varphi_{0}|}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{2} |\varphi_{0}\rangle \langle \varphi_{0}|$ $\operatorname{Var}(\lambda) \langle \Delta G^{2} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4M} \left[1 + \sum_{n} \varrho_{n} \langle \varphi_{n} | \langle G \rangle^{2} - 2GK^{(n)}G | \varphi_{n} \rangle \right]^{-1}$

estimability of a parameter



• signal-to-noise ratio (single measurement) $R_{\lambda} = rac{\lambda^2}{\operatorname{Var}(\lambda)} \leq Q_{\lambda} \equiv \lambda^2 H(\lambda)$

 relative error for a 30 confidence interval (after M measurements)

$$\delta^2 = \frac{9 \operatorname{Var}(\lambda)}{M \lambda^2} = \frac{9}{M} \frac{1}{Q}_{\lambda} = \frac{9}{M \lambda^2 H(\lambda)}$$

of meas to achieve a given relative error

$$M_{\delta} = rac{9}{\delta^2} rac{1}{Q}_{\lambda}$$

estimability of a parameter: the unitary case



$$\varrho_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda} \, \varrho_0 \, U_{\lambda}^{\dagger}$$

- QFI is independent on the value of the parameter
- (Any) estimation procedure cannot be efficient for small value of the parameter

$$Q_\lambda \propto \lambda^2 \qquad \qquad M_\delta \propto rac{1}{\delta^2 \lambda^2}$$



A nonunitary example: estimation of loss



- Master equation $\frac{d\varrho_{\phi}}{d\phi} = \tan \phi L[a]\varrho_{\phi} \exp\{-\gamma t\} = \cos^2 \phi$ $L[a]\varrho = 2a^{\dagger}\varrho a - a^{\dagger}a\varrho - \varrho a^{\dagger}a$
- absorption propagation in a noisy channel (T=0)



A nonunitary example: estimation of loss



- Master equation $\frac{d\varrho_{\phi}}{d\phi} = \tan \phi L[a] \varrho_{\phi} \exp\{-\gamma t\} = \cos^2 \phi$ $L[a] \varrho = 2a^{\dagger} \varrho a a^{\dagger} a \varrho \varrho a^{\dagger} a$
- absorption propagation in a noisy channel (T=0)
- optimal measurement: Gaussian operations + photon count.
- ultimate precision $\operatorname{Var}_{\gamma}[\hat{\gamma}] \to \frac{\gamma}{\bar{n}Mt} + \operatorname{O}(\gamma^2)$

proportional to the loss parameter itself!

PRL 98, 160401 (2007)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 20 APRIL 2002

Optimal Quantum Estimation of Loss in Bosonic Channels

Alex Monras¹ and Matteo G. A. Paris^{2,*} ¹Grup de Fisica Teòrica & IFAE, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra E-08193, Spain ²Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Milano, Milano I-20133, Italia (Received 7 February 2007; published 17 April 2007)

Quantum Interferometry







use of quantum states of light to ímprove sensítívíty

- Optimization over input states (caves 1981)
- Effects of detection noise
- Effects of losses
- Multiple interference
- Fixed number of particles, atomic interf

Estimation of phase in the presence of phase diffusion





$$U_{\phi} = \exp\{-i\,a^{\dagger}a\,\phi\}$$

$$\varrho_{\phi} = \mathcal{N}_{\Delta} [U_{\phi} \ \varrho \ U_{\phi}^{\dagger}] = U_{\phi} \ \mathcal{N}_{\Delta} [\varrho] U_{\phi}^{\dagger}$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{\Delta} [\varrho] = \sum_{nm} e^{-\Delta^2 (n-m)^2} \ \varrho_{nm} \ |n\rangle \langle m|$$

In the noiseless case the optimal probe is the squeezed vacuum and $H = 8(N^2 + N)$ (monras 2006)

Estimation of phase in the presence of phase diffusion



In the presence of noise we have (approximate) scaling laws

 $H(N,\Delta) \simeq k^2 H(N/k,k\Delta) \qquad \beta_{\rm opt}(N,\Delta) \simeq \beta_{\rm opt}(N/k,k\Delta)$

Homodyning is nearly optimal for low and high noise

PRL 106, 153603 (2011)

week ending 15 APRIL 2011

Optical Phase Estimation in the Presence of Phase Diffusion

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Marco G. Genoni,^{1,2} Stefano Olivares,³ and Matteo G. A. Paris^{2,*} [2OLS, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London SW7 2BW, United Kingdom ²Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, 1-2013 Milano, Italy ³Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Trieste, I-34151 Trieste, Italy (Received 12 December 2010), published 14 April 2011)



Estimation of phase in the presence of phase diffusion



In the presence of noise we have (approximate) scaling laws

 $H(N,\Delta) \simeq k^2 H(N/k,k\Delta) \qquad \beta_{\rm opt}(N,\Delta) \simeq \beta_{\rm opt}(N/k,k\Delta)$

Homodyning is nearly optimal for low and high noise

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Optical Phase Estimation in the Presence of Phase Diffusion

Marco G. Genoni,1,2 Stefano Olivares,3 and Matteo G. A. Paris2,*

¹QOLS, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London SW7 2BW, United Kingdom ²Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy ³Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Trieste, I-34151 Trieste, Italy

(Received 12 December 2010; published 14 April 2011)



Experiments with coherent states (large noise)

week ending 15 APRIL 201



PRL 106, 153603 (2011)

Bayes estim. is optimal for small samples



Estímation of entanglement

- pure states (Schmidt decomposition) $|\Psi_q
 angle = \sqrt{q}|0
 angle_A|0
 angle_B + \sqrt{1-q}|1
 angle_A|1
 angle_B$
- entanglement measure: function of q (negativity, linear and VN entropy)
- QSNR is vanishing for vanishing entanglement



The multiparametric case



• QFI matrix
$$H(\lambda)_{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\varrho_{\lambda} \frac{L_{\mu}L_{\nu} + L_{\nu}L_{\mu}}{2}\right]$$

 $lacksim ext{bound on covariance} \quad ext{Cov}[m{\gamma}]_{ij} = \langle \lambda_i \lambda_j
angle - \langle \lambda_i
angle \langle \lambda_j
angle \ (not achievable) \ ext{Cov}[m{\gamma}] \geq rac{1}{M} m{H}(m{\lambda})^{-1}$

single parameter (achievable) $\operatorname{Var}(\lambda_{\mu}) \geq rac{1}{M} (oldsymbol{H}^{-1})_{\mu\mu}$

reparentization
$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \{\widetilde{\lambda}_j = \widetilde{\lambda}_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$$
 $\widetilde{\lambda}_1 \equiv g(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$
 $\widetilde{L}_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} B_{\mu\nu} L_{\nu}$ $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}} = \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{B}^T$ $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial \lambda_{\nu} / \partial \widetilde{\lambda}_{\mu}$

Estimation of entanglement

- different measures (negativity, entropy, distance) and families of states (qubit and CV)
- QFI is increasing with entanglement
 QSNR diverges for maximal entanglement
- Qubit: QSNR is vanishing for vanishing entanglement Estimation of (low) entanglement is inherently inefficient
- CV: appropríate entanglement measure may achieve efficient estimation

Estímation of entanglement (@INRIM)



 $|\psi_{\phi}\rangle = \cos\phi|HH\rangle + \sin\phi|VV\rangle$ $D_{\phi} = \cos^{2}\phi|HH\rangle\langle HH| + \sin^{2}\phi|VV\rangle\langle VV|$

$$\varrho_{\epsilon} = p |\psi_{\phi}\rangle \langle \psi_{\phi}| + (1-p)D_{\phi}$$
$$\epsilon = p \sin 2\phi$$

optimal estimation by visibility measurements

Físher information is monotone with entanglement

Estímation of (low) entanglement is inherently inefficient

PRL 104, 100501 (2010)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 12 MARCH 2010



Giorgio Brida,¹ Ivo Pietro Degiovanni,¹ Angela Florio,^{1,2} Marco Genovese,¹ Paolo Giorda,³ Alice Meda,¹ Matteo G. A. Paris,^{4,5} and Alexander Shurupov^{6,1,7}



Summary



- Quantum estimation for quantum technology:
 - Optimal quantum measurement in terms of SLD and ultimate bounds to the precision of the estimation of any quantity of interest including non-observables
 - intrinsic estimability of a parameter
 - classical and quantum contributions to uncertainty
 - Quantum estimation of nonobservable quantities
 - coupling constants
 - interferometry
 - entanglement



(classical) Bayesian estimators (1)



Bayes theorem
$$p(x|\lambda)p(\lambda) = p(\lambda|x)p(x)$$

Mindipendent events: a posteriori distribution

$$p(\lambda|\{x\}) = \frac{1}{N} \prod_{k=1}^{M} p(x_k|\lambda) \qquad N = \int d\lambda \prod_{k=1}^{M} p(x_k|\lambda)$$

$$lacksim$$
 Bayesian estimator: $\lambda_B = \int d\lambda \ \lambda \ p(\lambda|\{x\})$

mean of the a posteriori distribution

(classical) Bayesian estimators (2)



Laplace - Bernstein - von Mises theorem

 $p(\lambda|\{x\}) \xrightarrow{M \gg 1} G(\lambda^*, \sigma^2)$

Bayes estimator is asymptotically efficient $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{MF(\lambda^*)}$

MaxLik estimation



- Probability distribution $p(x|\lambda)$
- lacksquare Random sample $x_1, x_2, ..., x_M$
- Joint probability of the sample

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M | \lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^M p(x_k | \lambda)$$

Maxlik estimation \rightarrow take the value of the parameters which maximize the likelihood of the observed data