MINUTES STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

9:00 a.m., Friday, October 10, 2014
Wickenburg Town Hall
Council Chambers
155 North Tegner Street, Suite A
Wickenburg, AZ 85390

Roll call by Board Secretary Mary Beckley

In attendance: Steve Christy, Kelly Anderson, Joe La Rue, Deanna Beaver, William Cuthbertson and Jack

Sellers.

Absent: None.

Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Board Member Joe La Rue.

Opening Remarks

Chairman Christy thanked the Town of Wickenburg, Wickenburg Chamber of Commerce and Rancho de los Caballeros for hosting the Board members for dinner on Thursday night. State owes gratitude to the guest ranch industry for their leadership in welcoming to Arizona visitors from US and around the world. Wickenburg has an important role in the pivotal area and instrumental in the I-11 project, and thank you for joining other areas of Arizona who want to ensure that I-11 benefits the entire region, border to border, with opportunities for trade to create economic development for the state.

Call to the Audience

The following member of the public addressed the Board:

- 1. John Cook, Mayor, Town of Wickenburg, re: welcome to Board; requested the two mile gap be widened to four lanes with the roundabout at Wickenburg Ranch at the US 93 entrance to Lonesome Mine Road, all the way past AZ 89.
- 2. Christian Price, Mayor of City of Maricopa, re: thank you for support of SR 347 overpass; working with Ak-Chin Indian community for finalization of details; supports I-11.

The following members of the public addressed the Board later in the agenda, in the second Call to Audience, before Item No. 9 related to the I-11 Corridor Profile Study Report Update (which comments will be included in the transcription):

- 3. Scott Higginson, Executive Director of the Interstate 11 Coalition, re: appreciation of the great work on this project; coalition is made up of civic/business/elected officials in support of I-11 and border to border project for economic development and commerce connectivity of intermountain west.
- 4. John Liosatos, PAG Planning Director, re: appreciates ADOT staff met with PAG and southern jurisdictions and comments were satisfactorily addressed in the current version of the study; thank you to ADOT staff, FHWA, Nevada DOT and State Transportation Board for efforts on I-11.
- 5. John Moffatt, Pima County Strategic Planning Director, re: great job well done to ADOT staff and Nevada DOT and Pima County supports this revised study and committed to this project.
- 6. Ted Maxwell, Asst. Director of the Southern Arizona Leadership Council, re: appreciates ADOT staff and leadership in addressing the issues brought regarding the report. Infrastructure is the key to economic development to bring business a network for exporting goods and services/job growth.

STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING – OCTOBER 10, 2014

INDEX	AGE
ITEM 1: DISTRICT ENGINEER'S REPORT (Paul Patane and Alvin Stump)(Additional speakers: Floyd Roehrich, Jr., Board Member Joe La Rue, John Halikowski)	3
ITEM 2: DIRECTOR'S REPORT (John Halikowski)(Additional speakers: Floyd Roehrich, Jr., Board Member Joe La Rue, Michelle Kunzman)	14
ITEM 3: CONSENT AGENDA (Additional speaker: Floyd Roehrich, Jr.)	22
ACTION TAKEN MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA	24
ITEM 4: LEGISLATIVE REPORT (Kevin Biesty)(Additional speaker: Floyd Roehrich, Jr., John Halikowski)	24
ITEM 5: FINANCIAL REPORT (Kristine Ward)	30
ITEM 6: ADOPTION OF AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION, TRANSPORTATION EXCISE TAX	
REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, 2014 SERIES (Kristine Ward)	32
ACTION TAKEN MOTION TO APPROVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION	35
ITEM 7: ADOPTION OF AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION, HIGHWAY REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, 2015 SERIES (Kristine Ward)	35
ACTION TAKEN MOTION TO APPROVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION	36
ITEM 8: MULTIMODAL PLANNING DIVISION REPORT (Scott Omer)	37
ITEM 9: I-11 CORRIDOR PROFILE STUDY REPORT (Scott Omer and Michael Kies)	38
ACTION TAKEN MOTION TO ACCEPT THE L11 COPPIDOR PROFILE STUDY REPORT	57

IND

EX	
----	--

PAGE

ITEM 10: PRIORITY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE (PPAC) (Scott Omer)58
ACTION TAKEN MOTION TO APPROVE PROJECT MODIFICATIONS ITEMS 10a through 10g58 NEW PROJECTS ITEMS 10h through 10o59 AIRPORT PROJECTS ITEMS 10p through 10u60
ITEM 11: STATE ENGINEER'S REPORT (Jennifer Toth)61
ITEM 12: CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS (Jennifer Toth)61
ACTION TAKEN
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12a62
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12b
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12c64
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12d65
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12e66
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12f67
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12g68
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12h69
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12i70
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12j72
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12k73
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12I73
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 12m74
MOTION TO REJECT ALL BIDS ITEM 12n75
ITEM 13: TRANSPORTATION BOARD DISCUSSION ON DEVELOPMENT OF THE 5-YEAR
TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (Scott Omer and
Floyd Roehrich, Jr.)76
(Additional speakers: John Halikowski, Board Members Deanna Beaver and Joe La Rue)
ITEM 14: DRAFT 2015 BOARD MEETING AND PUBLIC HEARING DATES AND LOCATIONS96
(Additional speakers: Floyd Roehrich, Jr., Board Member Deanna Beaver)
ACTION TAKEN
MOTION TO APPROVE 2015 BOARD MEETING DATES AND LOCATIONS99
(See approved dates and locations below.)

Date	2015 Board Locations	Remarks
January 9	Flagstaff	Board Meeting and Rural Transportation Summit
January 27	Phoenix-HRDC	Study Session
February 20	Clifton	
March 20	Tucson	Board Meeting and Public Hearing
April 17	Phoenix	Board Meeting and Public Hearing
May 15	Chino Valley	Board Meeting and Public Hearing
May 26	Phoenix-HRDC	Study Session
June 19	Pinetop	Board adopts 5-YR Construction Program
July 17	Payson	
August	BREAK	No Board Meeting scheduled for August
August 25	Phoenix-HRDC	Study Session
September 18	Tombstone	
October 16	Wickenburg	
October 27	Phoenix-HRDC	Study Session
November 20	Parker	
December 18	Maricopa	

1 (Beginning of excerpt.) 2 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: If not, we'll proceed with the 3 first agenda item, which is the district engineer's report, and 4 I'll ask Mr. Roehrich to give us a little background. 5 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members 6 of the board. Even though this location is in the Prescott 8 district, we are going to have an update from Mr. Paul Patane, 9 the district engineer out of Yuma district. We don't visit his 10 district. I'm going to have a district report from him. But 11 there are a few comments that Mr. Stump, Alvin Stump, the 12 district engineer out of Prescott does have. 13 So Mr. Patane will go first. He'll give an overview of the Yuma district so the board's updated on what 14 15 actions are there, and then at the end of that, Mr. Stump will 16 go over a few things related to the Prescott district, the 17 district that we're in. 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you, Mr. Roehrich. 19 We'll proceed then with the district engineer's report from 20 Mr. Patane. 21 MR. PATANE: Thank you, Chairman Christy and 22 board members. Thank you for having me. My name is Paul 23 I'm the Yuma district engineer, and today I would like Patane. 24 to --25 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I just want to remind all

1 speakers to move real close to the microphone. Thank you. 2 MR. PATANE: Thank you. 3 I just want to give you an update on what's 4 happening in Yuma, both what's currently in construction, what 5 we have upcoming as well. 6 Currently, in current construction, we have nine 7 active projects throughout the district. As you can see, they 8 capture all three counties. Yuma district consists of both 9 Yuma, La Paz and portions of Maricopa County. 10 Our first couple projects are in the Yuma area. 11 Pavement preservation is a big part of our district. 12 have two interstates. So we're constantly upgrading the 13 interstate with preservation type projects. 14 The next project is on the south frontage road. 15 It's a local project that we administer on behalf of the locals. 16 The next are two bridge projects. We have three 17 -- actually, three bridge projects in our district ongoing right 18 now. These two are on the county system that we're 19 administering for (inaudible) projects are recently completed 20 and open to travel. 21 The next big project that we just had the ribbon 2.2 cutting ceremony last week, this is over in the town of Parker, 23 the Colorado River bridge. It goes across to California, and on 24 the bridges that cross the river, there's a joint effort between 25 Caltrans and ADOT where ADOT is responsible for half the cost

for construction.

2.5

The next project is along Interstate 10 or -- in Yuma district, we have five rest areas. So the rehabilitation of rest areas is very important to us. So we have actually two under rehabilitation right now, both Burnt Well and Ehrenberg. Burnt Well will be completed later this month, and we'll begin to -- work on Ehrenberg after the first of the year.

We recently completed a -- is this a timer or something?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, that's my recorder.

MR. PATANE: Oh.

(Unintelligible crosstalk.)

MR. PATANE: Anyway, the next project we have, we just built a roundabout in Ehrenberg at Exit 1, and we've received a lot of comments on it. Folks aren't quite used to driving the roundabouts in that area, but it will be definitely a benefit to the community.

The next project, this is one of our big projects, showcase projects. This is in San Luis. Recently started construction, actually this week. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations through the port of entry as well as promoting/enhancing the downtown community business district. This project was a construction management risk project, and it's currently on its way, and we expect eight months for the construction (inaudible).

And, excuse me, projects currently advertised in the district, as you can see, there's a few in Yuma, and we have a couple up north in the Ehrenberg and Parker area. Most of these projects are small in nature, but they're important through the community because they promote multi (inaudible) through the landscaping and enhancement type projects.

The next project, the Tray (phonetic) Street, this is on the Colorado River, Cocopah Indian Reservation. It's a small project, just doing some -- paving a dirt road street, but it is important to the community there.

And again, we have another pavement preservation type project along that County 14 street, which is in Yuma county.

This is another -- this is at San Luis Port of Entry 2. Currently, there's just one lane in each direction there. We have a project that advertises later this year, and so we'll be widening both ports of entry of this -- the scope of this project here is to widen about two miles of the avenue, which connects Port of Entry 2 to the S -- State Route 185.

Then another big project we have is the I-10 Ehrenberg port of entry. The scope of this project improves an operation within the port. There is some building modifications along with expanded parking facilities and updated ITS technology to assist in (inaudible) enforcement compliance division's operations.

The teamwork projects under development

(inaudible) 15 still. That big project is the Fortuda Wash

(phonetic) bridge. This is -- this is on US-95, which serves

destinations north to I-10 and 40, but it also serves the Yuma

Proving Grounds, and this is an important project to them.

During heavy rainfall events, as you can see, US-95 is forced to

2.1

During heavy rainfall events, as you can see, US-95 is forced to get shut down. So the fourth quarter this year, we'll be advertising a project to build a bridge at this location.

Then this project, the next one, SR-195, it's a (inaudible) project, but it's a test project with FHWA. What we're doing here is we're going to look at different types of asphalt materials in order to maximize the pavement life. So the intent here is to try 14 different types of products and new test sections along State Route 195 so we can get a good idea of what the best project is for our region, but most importantly across the state as well.

Then we're -- also, the big project on Avenue 3E widening, this goes from Interstate 8 to US-95. The purpose of this project is to widen the existing two-lane facility to five lanes.

Other projects we have -- again, I -- pavement preservation on I-10, big project up in the La Paz County area. And also, we have some turn lane improvements along SR-95 up near Center Springs Road, which is a -- it's a BLM road that we see has quite a bit of traffic, so we're doing some improvements

there. And also, in the Parker port of entry, we have a rehab, rehabilitation project there to include some ITS technology to improve port operations.

We have a few more local government projects coming up. Again, the locals in our area aren't -- do not administer the projects that we see federal funds. So it's a big part of our program is administering those projects on behalf of the locals.

We have one in Parker, the Town of Parker. It's a sidewalk project to improve pedestrian mobility. (Inaudible) pavement preservation type projects along with multiuse path projects.

Then we have two gateway projects. This is the City of Yuma. It's a joint project. It's on the business (inaudible) as you come into Yuma. It's called the 4th Avenue Gateway Project. It's an enhancement project to kind of beautify the area as you come into Yuma.

In FY '16, we have four projects in the works right now. Those consist of -- we have two interchange projects in Yuma that prove operation and capacity. The purpose of those projects is to construct two roundabouts at these locations.

One is at Arrowview Road interchange along with -- it's Exit 7, and at Exit 1 we have a (inaudible) park where there's another roundabout going in that interchange as well.

We're doing some improvements in the (inaudible).

1 The purpose of that project is to install signals to improve 2 traffic operations at that interchange in Quartzsite. We have a 3 drainage improvement. It's along Interstate 8 east of Gila 4 Bend. There's been some sense of erosion problems, and the 5 purpose of this project is to improve the drainage and minimize 6 the erosion Any questions? 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Just a couple. You mentioned 9 There's a connection between I-10 and 40. It also is 95. 10 connected to I-8. 11 MR. PATANE: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Very substantially. As you're 13 going west on I-8, I think it's before you come to Doane --14 Doane Valley. There is a -- was a rest stop there. It's now 15 boarded off and not in use, and I think it's Mohave. 16 MR. PATANE: That's the Mohawk rest area. 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mohawk, Mohawk rest area. 18 is going to happen to that? 19 MR. PATANE: There's currently a project in the 20 program to rehabilitate the rest area (inaudible) --21 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Isn't that the one that 22 requires all the environmental issues that's so expensive? 23 MR. PATANE: No. I think in the program there's 24 approximately 4.2 million. The purpose is to improve the 25 infrastructure, both the water and sewer along with the

1	buildings at (inaudible) rest area (inaudible).
2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Do you have a time table on
3	that at all.
4	MR. PATANE: I believe the project is in '16,
5	'16, '17.
6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Okay. Great. Any other
7	questions of the district engineer from Yuma?
8	Thank you very much.
9	MR. PATANE: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: District Engineer Stump.
11	MR. STUMP: Good morning.
12	(Unintelligible crosstalk.)
13	MR. STUMP: Good morning, Chair, board, director.
14	(Unintelligible crosstalk.)
15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Make sure you speak into the
16	microphone, too.
17	MR. STUMP: All right. All right. Go to the
18	next slide. I'll just do a quick rundown, what we have going in
19	the area.
20	Just getting under construction, we have a little
21	retaining wall adjacent to the multiuse path here in town, and
22	we also are just getting started on a scour job on the Monarch
23	Wash bridge, which is a little east of town. And, of course, on
24	the agenda for award is the Hassayampa River pedestrian bridge,
25	and then also we have the U.S. 93 widening between 71 and 89

advertised, so that's coming up.

And then later this fiscal year, we'll be doing a pavement preservation on 89, between the 93 junction and 71, and further down the road, we'll have prevs. between basically in the north roundabout up to the 98 junction as well.

Next slide.

And this is just the -- the widening project.

It's a little over five miles. Basically, the existing

alignment will become the northbound lanes and then the project

to build the southbound lanes, and bids will open November 7th.

Still around 25 million.

Next slide. And we can actually go to the next slide.

We've been working a lot with the Wickenburg
Ranch folks here recently, getting the design of their
roundabout to their 93 entrance. It's a two-lane roundabout
with additional capacity in the future as volume's warranted to
make some adjustments. It's just getting underway. It will be
under construction for the next three months or so.

And then next slide.

We're also having -- continuing to have dialogue with them about coordinating efforts to -- basically excluding the frontage roads. We've got a plan that we could do about half of the GAP project. Outside of their -- the roundabout project that they're doing now, they have about close to \$10

1 million worth of obligations for improvements that mitigate 2 their development. You see that in the green. Our thought 3 would be they would cover the costs for redoing the junction and 4 adding lanes on 93 down to about roughly seven-tenths of a mile 5 from Vulture Mine Road. 6 In the yellow is some ADOT pavement preservation work to resurface the existing. And then we're continuing to 8 pursue a minor project that's about three-and-a-half million to 9 do the last six-tenths of a mile to get us just shy of Vulture 10 Mine Road. And again, that -- that takes care of about half of 11 the GAP project. 12 So that's -- that's all I have, if you guys have 13 got any questions. 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Questions for Engineer Stump? 15 MR. LA RUE: Yeah, yeah, I do. So what does it 16 take to take care of the rest of the GAP project? Because I 17 agree with the mayor, this all needs to be done in, you know, 18 cooperation and seamlessly, and I don't guite know where the MAG 19 boundary leaves off and the rural boundary takes off. I don't 20 know if you have anything to -- that can show us that. 2.1 MR. STUMP: Yeah, I don't --22 MR. LA RUE: Because isn't this kind of --23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chair, Mr. La Rue, I 24 think this is in the MAG region --25 MR. STUMP: Yeah, it is.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- the stretch here, so it would be part of what would have to be in the MAG's (inaudible). So the funding would have to come out of that region, not the rural or any other regions.

2.1

MR. HALIKOWSKI: So if I might, Mr. Chairman, one thing I want to try and steer away from as we begin these conversations on improving 93 and I-11, I don't -- with all due respect, I don't want to talk about boundaries so much as I do the facility, because I think what we're going to have to focus on is, again, how we bring all of our financial guns to bear working in partnerships with (inaudible). So (inaudible) I know it's important for planning purposes and things like that, but as we move forward with improvements, I think we need to start looking at the state as a system versus regionalizing some of these issues.

MR. LA RUE: (Inaudible) I absolutely agree, and so that was the reason for that is we need to bring MAG to bear, because if we're improving the -- a port at the border and this becomes the pinch point, shame on us.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, sir.

MR. LA RUE: So we need to really carry this diagram, you know, back about (inaudible) and those at MAG to say, we need to blend this in as one seamless project, and I got to believe that no bigger that this project is, MAG should be able to find the money, and with the gentleman that's sitting on

1 my left really, you know, bringing his muscle to bear, we need 2 to do an all-out discussion down there with those folks, because 3 I can see this is our pinch point in the whole border-to-border 4 situation. 5 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Well, there are other pinch 6 points, Mr. Chairman, Mr. La Rue, that again, I need to look at 7 this as a systematic vision for this to work for the corridor, 8 and this is one. There are some others we can discuss. 9 MR. LA RUE: Okay. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Okay. Any other questions of 11 Engineer Stump? 12 Thank you --13 MR. STUMP: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: -- very much for your report. 15 We'll proceed with Agenda Item 2, our director's 16 report. We'll now hear from our director, Mr. Halikowski. 17 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, 18 members. 19 It's a good segue, as we were talking about the 20 corridor, because one of the things I want to talk about is that 21 I was just at or in Mexico City for three days this week, and it 22 was part of the opening of the tradeoff, which is a partnership 23 between the Arizona Commerce Authority, the Arizona-Mexico 24 Commission, City of Phoenix, and we had folks there, myself, 25 representing the TTCA and Maricopa Association of Governments

and others. And this is my third trip in 18 months to Mexico City, and when I go there, I'm meeting not only with government officials, but also folks that represent industry there.

And you'll see some press releases coming out on this, but I wanted to brief the board very quickly, because this is very pertinent to our I-11 conversation. We met with the under secretary of transportation that specifies specifically on highways, and as you know, I -- Highway 15, Mexico 15 through the state of Sonora, is a direct link to our market and our gateway in Nogales, Arizona and the rest of Arizona, and obviously then on to the western United States.

And during that meeting, we were talking about a number of issues, and one of the issues that I was bringing up is not only the military checkpoint at Caro Bobby (phonetic) that inspects every single truck, but also the speed bumps on 15 that are almost in every little town that these trucks have to traverse. It adds not only time to the trip, but also wear and tear on the equipment. Whereas you have better links to the markets to the east of us, as the state of Mexico and others deal with the Texas market.

And so as we were discussing this, the secretary revealed to me that in 2015, they are going to put a billion dollars onto IR -- onto 15 in the state of Sonora, starting along the southern border of the state of Sonora all the way Nogales. These improvements will greatly speed up the time for

cargo to begin flowing north from Sinaloa and Culiacan and other places to the south of there that we're dealing with.

But as we were talking with him and he was revealing that, we were also meeting with the state of Mexico who has at least, if I can remember, all the logos of major manufacturers, 25 or 30 major manufacturers in the state of Mexico itself that are producing goods. And they're very proud of their link, NAFTA 51 (phonetic), but it runs right into Texas.

And I said to them, you know, the secretary just promised us a billion dollars worth of improvements on Mexico 15. See this western link over here? We would like to begin discussing with you on how we can begin to access your markets, because we have a billion -- or 16 million consumers and a trillion dollars worth of GDP in L.A., not to mention the growth in Arizona that we're going to see in the next 25 to 30 years.

And so those things were very positive for us, and as we were talking with the secretary of highways, we were explaining to him a pilot project we recently ran to ship produce from Culiacan by rail using Ferromex. We're actually trucking it up to Nogales and then using UP to ship it to Baltimore. We used to ship produce by rail, but in the 1970s, UP decided to stop because of the liability of produce and the condition of it at that point, because they were worried about spoilage. We were working with UP to ship this to prove that in

this day and age, we can ship from Arizona across the country on these produce items. And I'm pleased to say that after 23 days, because UP threw every block they could at us to ship that produce to Baltimore, those melons arrived perfectly and sold the same day.

And so we have met now with our third meeting with Ferromex, and we're going to be pushing Ferromex and UP together, because that corridor represents not only trucking interests, but it also represents the ability from what we're talking about at least 100 cars, train cars a day of produce that could come through the Nogales port of entry. This is going to involve partnerships with Ferromex, UP, and also, looking at the inspection station, a partnership with CDP to be able to handle that kind of load. But obviously these open up lots of opportunities.

The last thing I'll report on my trip from Mexico is that for several years now we've been working this military checkpoint issue at Caro Bobby, because the Mexican military wants to stop every truck and get inside and inspect it for contraband. And obviously when you're looking at -- and I've driven the route myself -- the 20 kilometer line of trucks, adding 12 hours to the trip does not make it economical. And so we've been working with the U.S. Embassy in Mexico, and I'm pleased to report that at our meeting, the U.S. Embassy has pledged that they're going to provide \$7 million worth of

equipment. They've been liasing with the Mexican military to begin speeding up the truck inspections and using technology to look inside those trailers.

And so we're going to continue that dialogue, but they were very clear that if Arizona had not been there trying to work that military checkpoint with a number of high ranking officials in Mexico, it probably wouldn't have gone anywhere.

So the bottom line, as we talked about connectivity and pinch points and boundaries, is that these relationships are critically important, because we're now starting to see some progress where the highway is going to be improved, the checkpoint's going to get some improvement. And then, of course, next week we will cut the ribbon on Mariposa port of entry, so we hope to see you there. But phase four will be complete, and we have a \$250 million investment that's ready to roll.

So we are increasing the capacity at the ports, but to your point, Mr. La Rue, we don't want pinch points either in Mexico that makes Texas a more attractive place to go; neither do we want pinch points as we build out in the future, because we want that cargo to flow through Arizona.

So that's my sort of last minute report. I got in late Wednesday night, but we can certainly explore more of these, Mr. Chairman, in the future. We have been asked now since -- I think this is a great compliment. We're not asking

1 to meet with people. We were invited by several members of the 2 House of Deputies, Mexican Congress, to come back. They'd like 3 to see me in November to discuss some more of the things that 4 we're working on in conjunction with them. So we're trying to 5 juggle schedules to get back in November and continue the work 6 there. So Mexico 15, Nogales, I-11, I mean, we start to see the international connection there. 8 I don't know if you have any other questions, 9 Mr. Chairman. 10 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: We're not allowed to ask you 11 any questions. 12 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Oh, I'm sorry. I keep 13 forgetting my -- our attorney -- there she is. But to that end, 14 Mr. Chair, if this is an item that you did want to have more 15 discussion on, we can agenda and then put together a more 16 specific briefing (inaudible). 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Absolutely. 18 MR. HALIKOWSKI: The other thing, Mr. Chairman, 19 is I have some bittersweet news for you today. ADOT has a 20

MR. HALIKOWSKI: The other thing, Mr. Chairman, is I have some bittersweet news for you today. ADOT has a tradition of producing high caliber leaders, and we have not only shaped transportation policy at the state level, but nationally as well, and it's been the privilege of this agency to identify and to grow these leaders within ADOT, but very often what we see is that being successful at producing excellent leaders is a bittersweet enterprise since they're

21

22

23

24

25

highly sought after by other folks in the transportation industry, and they often go off to seek new challenges.

2.1

So today that bittersweetness hit home again for me when Jennifer Toth has announced that she'll be leaving ADOT to assume the leadership of the Maricopa County Department of Transportation. So before that news became public, we wanted to let you, the board, know. But she has, you know, stepped up to the challenge and became state engineer in 2011.

Many of you have worked with Jennifer quite closely when she was planning director and then as state engineer and deputy director of Jennifer -- or, Jennifer, of transportation, but she's leaving ADOT with a legacy of safety, and that has been a big legacy that she has left with driving safety home. But more importantly, her reshaping of the Intermodal Transportation Division, because the mark of a good leader is that when they say, I'm going to take on a new challenge, they have left you with an extremely strong bench to draw from, and Jennifer has done that in growing and developing her team.

The last thing I want to say about Jennifer is this, is that very often when we're asked to tell something about ourselves, we talk about our job or what we do or what we have done. With Jennifer, it's a person, when you talk to her, of saying that accountability is important to me. I want to practice integrity in everything that I do, and I respect the

people and everyone that I'm working with.

So I would just like to say that in working with her these past few years, it's not so much about what she does, but it's about who she is, and she practices accountability, integrity and respect in everything that she does. So we're sorry we are losing you, but congratulations and good luck on your new endeavors.

And we want to know how much money Maricopa County (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Attorney Kuzman (phonetic), are we allowed to comment on the last part of the director's comments regarding Ms. Toth?

MS. KUZMAN: I think that's probably fine.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I don't think anything more could be said as eloquently as the director just stated. Our feelings are mirrored by what he just conveyed.

On a personal note, one area that's been an area of interest to me and concern is the dust storm problems on I-10, and you of all people have took the forefront and led the whole charge to try to address this very difficult and dangerous issue, and the work that you did and the work that you provided the state and the citizens that travel through that area, particularly around Picacho Peak, was monumental, to say the least. You draw from all sorts of resources, private enterprise, education, science and put together a terrific

1 ability to detect and to warn people about the safety regarding 2 these dust storms. 3 And that's just one example of the leadership you 4 provided the department and the State of Arizona, and for that I 5 salute you and I thank you. And on behalf of the board, I'm 6 sure I speak as one, that we wish you the very best and that we're going to miss you here as well. 8 Does any other member wish to add to that? 9 MR. LA RUE: I might say, Mr. Chair, that I'm 10 very sad for the ADOT family, but when I heard it was Maricopa 11 County, I really (inaudible). As many of you know, I spent 10 12 or 15 years with MCDOT. I love the organization, and so 13 Jennifer, I'm very glad you're with MCDOT, so... 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I'm sure Pima County could 15 have provided you a much better... But be that as it may, we 16 wish you the best. 17 Thank you, Director Halikowski, for your report, 18 as well as for your notifying us about Ms. Toth. We appreciate 19 that very much. 20 We'll move on to the consent agenda. Before we do, Mr. Roehrich, would you just give us a little briefing on 21 22 one of the items there. 23 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair, members of 24 the board. 25 On the consent agenda, there are the August

1	meeting minutes usually included in the agenda. If you look on
2	the front page of those minutes, there is a typo error on that.
3	It lists the location as Cottonwood, Arizona, but in fact, it
4	was Page, Arizona. Mary has already made the correction to the
5	official copy that will get posted and put in the historic
6	records for the board. So your copy up there is wrong. We are
7	going to ask you, though, to approve it with the acknowledgement
8	that those minutes have been corrected to reflect the location
9	as Page, Arizona.
10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I appreciate that,
11	Mr. Roehrich.
12	I just want to also express appreciation to Board
13	Member Beaver. She was the first one to notice that issue on
14	the board, and keep up that kind of interrogation is very
15	important.
16	MR. ROEHRICH: Now, we're not taking that as
17	she's the only board member who read the meeting minutes.
18	(Inaudible).
19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: We certainly wouldn't want to
20	allude to such a thing, but we do appreciate her input on that.
21	Anything else you'd like to add to that?
22	MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, that is all.
23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Okay. At this time, the Chair
24	will accept a motion, entertain a motion to approve the consent
25	agenda.
- 1	i de la companya de

1	MR. ANDERSON: So moved.
2	MR. LA RUE: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: There's a motion by Mr
4	board member Vice Chair Anderson and Board Member La Rue to
5	approve the consent agenda as presented. Discussion?
6	Hearing no discussion, all those in favor of the
7	motion, signify by saying aye.
8	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
10	The motion carries.
11	We'll go on to legislative the legislative
12	report on Item 4, and we'll hear from our director of government
13	relations, Mr. Kevin Biesty.
14	Mr. Biesty.
15	MR. BIESTY: Good morning.
16	I'll start off with a brief state update. The
17	department is currently in the process of gathering information
18	on and putting together potential legislative proposals for
19	the upcoming session. That information will be gathered, shared
20	with the governor's office and then worked into the transition
21	of the new governor.
22	So once we have some final proposals, we'll share
23	those with you. Many of the proposals we're looking at are
24	updating older statutes, trying to find deficiencies within our
25	operations and see what changes need to be done statutorily, but

1 as we get the process finalized, we'll share that with you. 2 On the federal level, Jennifer Toth and I were 3 back in D.C. a couple of weeks ago meeting with members. 4 were discussing issues such as I-11, key commerce corridors and 5 federal reauthorization and also the upcoming -- where we're at in the process of the South Mountain Freeway in Maricopa County. 6 It was productive meetings. We got to meet with many of the 8 member staff and provide some valued information. 9 One of the things we're working on right now is 10 the department -- is filling out a USDOT survey to include some 11 corridors in the programs of national/regional significance. 12 Even though that program is unfunded, we are putting in some 13 projects. So the projects we're looking at is I-10 14 improvements, the future I-11 from Wickenburg north and I-15. 15 So we're currently working on that. 16 And then also, last month Congress passed a 17 continuing resolution to fund the federal government through 18 December 11th of this year, so got a little bit more time. 19 And that's all we have right now. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer. 20 21 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Now, tell me -- (inaudible) 22 clear on these, these grants your working on, you'd mentioned 23 the I-11 from Phoenix to Las Vegas. What is that? 24 MR. BIESTY: So they're -- in the federal 25 reauthorization, there's many programs, and one of them is the

Roads of National and Regional Significance. So these are -these are highways that -- from a national standpoint, from a
regional standpoint are significant in keeping traffic and trade
moving. But again, it's never -- it hasn't been funded, but the
USDOT asked to identify some program -- some projects that we
can put in there. So we're gathering up that information right
now (inaudible). And the reason the -- the reason the 93,
future I-11 was selected is because it's an existing roadway.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: And these grants would be

2.1

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: And these grants would be awarded when?

MR. BIESTY: They're not --

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, if I could, within Map 21, as Mr. Biesty had said, they had identified -- similar to like a TIGER grant program, but it was the roads of regional -- national regional significance. It was identified as a project that they would go through selection criteria by the USDOT to grant of a half a billion dollars, like Mr. Biesty said, but Congress never funded it.

So what the USDOT is hoping to do is go to all the states and said, if Congress would have funded that, what projects would you have submitted, and they want to make a list to go back to Congress when they do their reauthorizations and say, here's why you need to fund this significant program that will allow these states to go after this grant money, because guess what, we've identified what, 200 projects and \$20 billion,

you know, like they get with the TIGER grant when they get, you know, 500 projects and \$60 billion requests.

But basically, they're trying to show that there is a need out there for these projects. It has beyond just a transportation role, the economic development role, as well as the ability to interconnect regions to the national, to the global markets, and that why when you do a reauthorization for a future highway bill, you need to make sure that you include the money in for this program. But right now there is no money. Right now there is not anticipated to be any money unless Congress puts the money in. So the USDOT is trying to show this great need so they can get Congress to put money in on a future reauthorization. So states have been asked to put together a list of if this was a criteria, what projects will you submit.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: You see where I'm going with this questioning or my concern that you've isolated this, again, Phoenix to Las Vegas area, where we in southern Arizona might say, why didn't you isolate something south of Casa Grande as a project?

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chair, (inaudible). One of the reasons why that is, Mr. Chair, is this criteria has to be a road that you can build on. I-11 has not been designated south of Wickenburg, nor has the route been selected. We're going through a process. Unfortunately, this process requires the evolution of time and development of the designation and the

establishment of a specific route for Interstate 11. You cannot put future roads or illustrative roads on this list of projects because it has to be projects that you can actually physically go out and be working on.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: So this basically is an enhancement to 93.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, that's correct.

It would finish off US-93, get it completely out of the program and have finished it. So then as other funding becomes available, we could work on those other pieces, especially as we establish the specific route and continue to move forward.

And (inaudible) there's Item 9 we're going to talk more specifically about the future of Interstate 11.

Unfortunately, we just haven't identified, nor has Congress designated the extension of Interstate 11 to where we can qualify for this money. Until we get to some level of development -- at some point in the future, it will be there. Right now, our goal is to take all these corridors that have the significant need now. We can get funding for those and get it approved. That will free up any funding for other corridors that we could put the money on, because we won't have to put it on Interstate 10 or Interstate 15 or US-93, because we'll have finished it to some level of completeness.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: And in that list, you mentioned the I-10 enhancements as well is included in that

1 list? 2 MR. HALIKOWSKI: That's correct. And I-15. 3 MR. ROEHRICH: I-15. 4 MR. HALIKOWSKI: And I would add with the 5 designation language, many of you received the letter that we 6 worked with our delegation and the Nevada delegation, and in there they did notify the transportation committee how important 8 it is to -- and that we're going to be working to get the 9 language to the Mexican border. So -- so that all is part of 10 this -- this discussion. 11 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: So I have no reason to be 12 concerned about anything. 13 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, we'll talk about the 14 letter in Item 9. That's part of the designation. As far as 15 worrying, the concern is always going to be if we never 16 identified those funding sources, we will never get these 17 facilities built to any -- to any level. 18 MR. HALIKOWSKI: And first things first, we have 19 to complete the studies to get to that point, which I think is 20 what Floyd's trying to emphasize, Mr. Chairman, is that we're 21 just -- we're not at the construction point yet, but I want to 22 assure you, please don't be worried. We're looking at this as a 23 facility that needs to run from one end of the state to the 24 other. It needs to all work together.

Okay.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY:

25

1	MR. BIESTY: And Mr. Chair, should this program
2	ever get funded and should any of these projects receive
3	funding, like was mentioned by Mr. Roehrich, that frees up money
4	in the program to do other things.
5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: But there is no money being
6	funded
7	MR. ROEHRICH: Illustrative money.
8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Illustrative money.
9	MR. ROEHRICH: (Inaudible.)
10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Didn't wish to interrupt your
11	report if you had more.
12	MR. BIESTY: No. Mr. Chairman, members, that's
13	all I have right now. Any questions?
14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Any questions of Mr. Biesty?
15	MR. BIESTY: Thank you very much.
16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you, Mr. Biesty, for
17	your report.
18	We'll move on to the financial report. We'll
19	hear from our chief financial officer, Ms. Kristine Ward.
20	Ms. Ward, good morning.
21	MS. WARD: Good morning.
22	MR. HALIKOWSKI: We heard you were driving the
23	speed limit today.
24	MS. WARD: Yes, I was, and there was something
25	went by me very quickly, kind of started to suck the doors off

1 | my car as I was going -- I didn't notice who it was though.

2 MR. HALIKOWSKI: It was Ted Maxwell and John

3 (inaudible).

1.3

MS. WARD: Oh.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Again.

MS. WARD: Mr. Chair, if I could, first of all, I would like to say that I am -- I am shocked that Jen will not be here, doesn't want to be here for the rest of the financial reports, and I mean, I am certain that you will be missing those in the future. If you'd like, we'll send you the dates so you can attend or I can send you my slides. You'll be deeply missed. Pleasure working with you.

Moving on to our stand -- the regular financial report, (inaudible) has been right on forecast, I think that much closer than .2 percent above. We're showing our standard slow growth continues in gas, our gas tax revenue. VLT, (inaudible) VLT, unfortunately we're seeing some slowing in growth there. I have asked (inaudible), but this meeting's a little early, so we haven't gotten the detailed numbers on VLT.

If we move on to RARF -- make sure I don't turn the recorder off here -- the Regional Area Road Fund, again, we are right on target, right on forecast, and we're seeing moderate growth in all of our areas. Contracting, if you'll recall last year at this time we were looking at double digit growth. That has really -- it's slowed down and, in fact, we're

seeing -- you can see this in your economic highlights section in your report. You'll see we've shed about 5,000 jobs in contracting year over year.

2.

The federal aid program, I think Kevin touched on that. We've gotten funding through December 11. This does not make us feel warm and fuzzy in the financial management services unit. We'd like to see funding go a little beyond that, maybe another couple of years would be nice, but we at least received that, and we also successfully -- just successfully closed out the federal fiscal year and utilized all of the funding available to us.

If I may, Mr. Chair, I'd like to proceed to Agenda Item 6, if I may (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Are there any questions regarding the financial report of Ms. Ward?

Please proceed to item 6, which is the adoption of a -- of an authorizing resolution.

MS. WARD: First of all, we've got a lot of exciting reading materials in front of you. I want to assure you, particularly on the resolution, that that document has been covered by numerous, numerous individuals. It's first been struck by our bond council reviews. In fact, we have a bond council here if you have any particular questions.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Are bond council -- could you raise your hands so we identify.

1 | MS. WARD: (Inaudible), Tim.

2 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you.

MS. WARD: It's also reviewed by a financial advisor. It's also reviewed, of course, by our staff internally. I spent a very exciting weekend last weekend reviewing it.

So you are welcome to review that, but what it essentially is, it is the covenants that we -- the assurances that we provide to the investors regarding the bonds (inaudible) issue.

So if you'll recall, at last meeting, I said, oh, I think we've got some -- we've been working with our financial advisor, and we had some refunding opportunities, and the first of the resolutions, the one we're discussing in the Agenda Item 6 is the RARF refunding, Regional Area Road Fund, and the transportation excise tax bonds. And what we're going to be doing is essentially refinancing about \$478 million worth of bonds, and what we anticipate is savings to the tune of around \$25 million. So we'll take it.

We will -- the amount, the specific amount of bonds that we will refund will be dependent upon what the interest rates are at the time that we (inaudible). So it may vary a little. Could go up, could go down. But it will be based on, you know, if we can receive increased savings because of decreased interest rates, then we'll -- we will refund the

bonds.

The current interest rates that we are anticipating on those are somewhere between -- two, two-and-a-quarter to a little over two-and-a-half percent interest costs.

We expect to go to market with these bonds third week of November, around the 17th, week of the 17th, and we also anticipate we'll be having meetings, calls with the rating agencies here in the next two weeks. We expect to get a double A from Moody's and a double -- a double A1 from Moody's and a double A plus from S&P. Those are very -- that's a very strong rating. There's only one grade above that.

With that, I would request the board's approval for us to -- of the resolution to move forward.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Does the board have any questions of Ms. Ward regarding the resolution before the board?

The Chair will then entertain a motion, as there are no questions, that states that the board -- that the motion before the board is a resolution authorizing the issuance of transportation excise tax revenue refunding bonds, Series 2014, prescribing the form of the bonds, prescribing certain terms and conditions and making certain covenants pertaining to the bonds, ordering the sale of the bonds, authorizing the refunding of all or a portion of the board's outstanding senior bonds,

supplementing the resolution of September 21st, 2007 as 1 2. supplemented to date and approving certain other matters relating thereto. Is there a maker of the motion? 3 MR. SELLERS: So moved. 4 5 MR. ANDERSON: Second. There's a motion by Board 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: 7 Member Sellers, and a second by Mr. -- board member -- Vice 8 Chair Anderson. Discussion? 9 Hearing no request for discussion, all those in 10 favor of the resolution before the board signify by saying aye. 11 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed? 13 Hearing no opposition, the resolution passes. Would you please, Ms. Ward, proceed to Item 7. 14 15 MS. WARD: (Inaudible.) The Item 7 pertains to 16 the refinancing of some PERC bonds that we anticipate 17 refinancing, refunding approximately \$276 million worth of 18 existing PERK bonds. This will be a senior -- this will be a 19 senior issue. So we will be refinancing senior -- the senior 20 bonds. And we anticipate savings of around \$12 million related 21 to this refinancing. 22 Current estimates of the interest rate we'll be 23 paying, about two-and-three-quarters to three percent. 24 expect to go to market on these -- we might -- this is kind 25 dependent upon what our financial advisor tells us in terms of

1 where the market is, but we expect to either go in December or 2 January to market with these bonds. 3 Again, with regards to our ratings, we'll be 4 having rating agency calls coming up here -- that will probably 5 be about a month out on that -- on this particular issue, and we expect a AAA rating from Standard & Poor's and a AA1 from 6 7 Moody's. 8 With that, I'll take any questions or 9 (inaudible) 10 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Any questions regarding Item 7 11 of Ms. Ward? 12 Hearing no questions, a move to -- an 13 entertainment by the Chair of the proposed motion, which is that there -- that the board authorize the resolution which 14 15 authorizes the issuance of Arizona Transportation Board Highway 16 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015, prescribing the form of 17 the bonds, prescribing certain terms and conditions, and making 18 certain covenants pertaining to the bonds, ordering the sale of 19 the bonds, authorizing the refunding of all or a portion of the 20 board's outstanding senior bonds, supplementing the resolution 21 of May 1st, 1980 as supplemented to date, and approving certain 22 or matters relating thereto. Is there a maker of the motion? 23 So moved. MR. SELLERS: 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Motion by Board Member 25 Sellers. Is there a second?

1	MS. BEAVER: Second, second.
2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Board Member Beaver.
3	Any discussion regarding the motion?
4	Hearing no discussion, all those in favor of the
5	motion before the board signify by saying aye.
6	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
8	Hearing no opposition, the motion carries.
9	Anything further, Ms. Ward?
10	MS. WARD: No, sir. Thank you very much.
11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you for your report.
12	We'll proceed with Item 8 from the Multimodal
13	Planning Division. We'll hear from our assistant director,
14	Mr. Scott Omer.
15	Mr. Omer.
16	MR. OMER: Good morning, Mr. Chair. So I'm going
17	to go ahead and bypass the NPD report, as you're going to hear
18	enough from us on Item 9 and Item 13. So I'll sit back down and
19	assume you're going to call up the call to the public on Item
20	9 now.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: One thing you should never do
22	is assume.
23	MR. OMER: Well, I know, especially not with this
24	Chair.
25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Yes. All right. That's fair

1 enough, Mr. Omer. Thank you. 2 I take that then that you have no report 3 regarding =-4 MR. OMER: (Inaudible.) 5 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Then we'll proceed with Item 6 9, and we will -- before going on to Item 9, we'll have our 7 second call to the audience, which are those members of the 8 audience that wish to address specifically Agenda Item 9, the 9 I-11 Corridor Profile Study Report update. 10 And our first speaker is the executive director 11 of the Interstate 11 Coalition, Mr. Scott Higginson. 12 MR. HIGGINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 I appreciate the opportunity to come before your 14 board today and express our support for the study that is before 15 for you today for final approval. I appreciate the great work 16 that has been done by many folks on this problem -- project. 17 The Interstate 11 coalition is a group of civic 18 and business and elected officials who are supportive of the 19 Interstate 11 concept being developed. Some of them are here 20 today. My thanks to ADOT and then to Mr. Halikowski as the 21 director and also Mike Kies. I know that Sondra is here from 22 Nevada as well. They've led the team and did an excellent job 23 in preparing this report, along with the consultants who worked 24 with them.

It was a very long process. It was very well

25

done. There were lots and lots and lots of public input opportunities, both via the web as well as public meetings.

Mr. Kies presented and represented the ADOT very well, and even at some times when questions were harsh and direct. He did a great job, and I appreciate the work that he and the entire team has done.

There's broad support for Interstate 11. As you've heard today, we have a letter that -- from the entire Congressional delegations of both Nevada and Arizona to the respective committee chairs in Congress seeking support and seeking to extend the designation beyond what it currently is.

The I-11 coalition sees this, as the term's been used numerous times today, and we see it as a border-to-border project. It is not a project designed specifically for one location, for one county, for one region. It is a border-to-border project to enhance the economic benefits to our state as well as to create a commerce connectivity for the benefit of the Intermountain West.

It's not going to happen all in one piece, and I think there's some people who think that, you know, this I-11 project, someday we're going to wake up and they're going to be building an interstate from border to border. That isn't going to happen. That's billions of dollars, and funding for such a project like that just doesn't happen.

I appreciate it that the study broke down the

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - 602.264.2230

entire length of the corridor into segments of independent utility, and that we can now look at different portions of it and what's needed in each piece in order to advance the process. But the most important thing that's needed now is that this study is concluded, it is done, and we just can't let it sit on a shelf. There are far too many studies that are done, in my experience of having been involved in government for my entire career, where studies get done and projects get looked at and then they sit on the shelf. And if this project doesn't receive some funding support to go forward to the next step of environmental impact statements, it will sit on the shelf.

And so we have to look at that as coming from a variety of sources. It's going to take funding from the federal, state and local governments in order to take it to the next step of getting the environmental impact statements completed, whether for the entire route or individual pieces of the independent utility districts.

So with that said, I will conclude and just let you know that we continue to be supportive. We continue to pledge the efforts of myself and our board and its members to advance the Interstate 11 so that it can be completed and at least get some border-to-border connectivity completed as soon as possible.

With that, Mr. Chairman, members of the board, I'd take any questions that you might have for me.

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Questions at this time?
2	Mr. Higginson, we appreciate you're talking to us
3	today.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You know
5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Hold on, Mr
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, Mr. Chair.
7	(Inaudible) call to the audience, they can't take questions.
8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Yeah, yeah.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You listen to the audience
10	member and then we move on. Now, if you have a question, when
11	staff gets ready to address the item, we can address it at that
12	time, but it would have to be addressed toward staff.
13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: As I was saying,
14	Mr. Higginson, we're not going to ask you any questions.
15	MR. HIGGINSON: I'll stick around afterwards and
16	we can chat.
17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you.
18	Our next speaker is from the Pima Association of
19	Governments in the Transportation Planning Division, Mr. John
20	Liosatos.
21	Mr. Liosatos, will you please address the board.
22	MR. LIOSATOS: Mr. Chair, members of the board, I
23	just want to say first off that when this came to you last month
24	at your last board meeting, our deputy director, Cherie
25	Campbell, had some comments about some maybe some revisions

that could be made. I want to let everybody know that ADOT staff did meet with not only PAG, but members of the southern Arizona business community and other southern Arizona jurisdictions. They listened to all of our comments, and those comments were more than satisfactorily addressed. So all of those are -- have been reflected in the current version of the study.

And so with that, I think the only other thing we'd like to do is take an opportunity to thank a couple people, but I will be brief. First off, Carla Petty and her staff at FHWA over the last years have been just terrific explaining the process and some of the background on how these sorts of things work, to not only us at PAG, but members of our business community. So that's very much appreciated. To Sondra and NDOT, she came to PAG two years ago and sat with us and sort of gave us an overall perspective. And then ADOT, of course, all the way from, you know, Mike Kies, Scott, Mr. Roehrich and the director.

Again, on the phone with us, coming down to

Tucson and meeting with us, it's just been very great to see the

amount of detail that they've put into making sure that we're on

board and our questions have been answered.

And then finally, the past and current members of the State Transportation Board, we certainly do appreciate all of the efforts you've both put into this. It's been great.

1 And then finally, Mr. Christy, you personally not only as your leadership of the chair, of the board over the last 2 year, but as a member of the PAG regional council, being that 3 liaison between the business community and PAG and all of the 4 5 member jurisdictions, southern Arizona owes you a great debt, and we appreciate the effort you've put into it. So thank you 6 7 very much. 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you. Thank you 9 Mr. Liosatos. 10 Our next speaker is director of strategic planning for Pima County, Mr. John Moffatt. 11 12 MR. MOFFATT: Good morning Mr. Chair and members, 13 director. 14 Again, it's -- you're kind of down the line. Most of the people have already said that we want to thank Mike 15 and Sondra and the department for a really good effort, good 16 job, well done. Thanks for all your activity and consulting. 17 18 John did say we had a very successful meeting, 19 Mike came down and incorporated the -- a number of the things that we had brought up, and we're very supportive of the report. 20 21 We think it's time to move forward, and we -- you know, our commitment is, you know, Nevada has done a good job. There was 22 23 a lot of discussion last month about the -- Nevada getting out 24 in front of this and moving it forward. 25 Pima County is certainly committed to working

with the transportation board, the department and whoever else that we need to, including Nevada and our Congressional delegation, to make sure that this funding happens. Scott was right, we do not want this to sit on the shelf, and the other thing is that -- is, you know, funding is the major hurdle, but we've got to get a broader base of people in behind this thing, and I think that's one of the things that we certainly want to commit to helping.

2.

So thank you very much for all the work, and anything we can do -- you know, we would like to see some of the interim funding for I-19 also, because that's also the major gateway to this whether -- to the first part of this I-11 piece coming out of Mexico is essentially without question I-19, which could use some effort, also. But anyway, thank you very much, and thanks for all the help.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you, Mr. Moffatt.

And our final speaker on Item 9 of the agenda is the assistant director of the Southern Arizona Leadership Council, Mr. Ted Maxwell.

MR. MAXWELL: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the board. And director, I'm not sure what you're talking about. I allotted my usual hour-and-a-half to get from Tucson to Wickenburg, and I was fine.

You're going to hear a lot of the same thing from me, so I'm going to make it real brief. We want to thank the

board, the ADOT leadership and especially the ADOT staff, and Mike Kies particularly, in addressing all the issues we have brought. For about the last year, I've had several opportunities to speak to you, and it's always with an (inaudible). So today I want to give you a gift. I want to give you the thanks for all the hard work and dedication of making this report what I believe now is a complete, finished study ready to go forward.

I fully support Mr. Higginson's comments. It can be a study that sits on the shelf so that other give -- I want to state today is that Southern Arizona Leadership Council is made up of over 120 CEOs, business leaders as well as community leaders from southern Arizona stretching from Nogales to Sierra Vista and in the Tucson vicinity, as well as a couple members from up in the Phoenix area.

We feel very strongly that infrastructure is the key to economic development, to bringing the current businesses in here and giving them the network that they need to export their goods and services which will bring money into the state. It will also bring job growth and hopefully other companies that want to come to the state of Arizona because of the facilities and the access we have to some large markets.

But we need advocacy. You need that advocacy and support from the business community and that's what we're going to be here for, whether it's the TTCA report and getting it

moving forward, whether it's the I-11 study which we don't want to just be sitting on that shelf, or whether it's even local, regional infrastructure efforts, you need the support of the business community, and we are here to pledge that support to you and to continue to work with the other business partners throughout the state in order to make those kind of things happen. So thank you for all your support, and I appreciate your time.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you, Mr. Maxwell.

Are there any other members of the audience that wish to address Item 9 on the agenda?

If not, we'll proceed with the agenda item itself, and we'll resume with Mr. Omer.

MR. OMER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

So to make this brief, what I want to do first was, you know, say this hasn't been a short two years. This two years for this study actually started over three years ago when I started working with Sondra Rosenberg and her boss at the time, Tracy, about developing the scope of work for this study. I was originally going to lead it and Jen -- Jennifer said, you can't. You find someone else to do it. So I brought Mike in.

And Jennifer was clear about we had to provide the leadership and the people that actually make it happen. And Mike and Sondra have really done a fantastic job over the last

three years. We talk about the last two, but the last three years about getting this project up off the ground, being out—
I mean, the number of meetings and the miles that they've put in literally and figuratively they've put in to get this study to where it is just phenomenal.

Those of you that have had the opportunity to look and read the study, it's really good. It's not something that I would say that has been done often. I mean, we're talking about a corridor that's just 450 miles in Arizona, and you know, I don't really care about what happens in Nevada, but the 450 miles in Arizona are very important to us and, you know, we're really happy.

So as the members are -- southern Arizona, as we've mentioned, we did go back down. Mike went and specifically and personally talked to southern Arizona about the comments that came out of the last meeting.

In addition to that, we also met with MAG, and we have -- we do think we have a study now that is ready, and I'm proud to have Mike come up and talk about the changes, and I'm very happy that he asks you guys after this is said and done to accept this study on the department's behalf. Again, we're very proud of it, and we'll ask Mike to come up, and then after that, we'll answer any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Kies.

MR. KIES: Thank you, Scott, and thank you for

all of the kind words that people said in their comments, and thank you again for asking me to come and give an update on Interstate 11.

It has been a busy month, as you have heard, and you've heard her name a few times, but do I want to officially introduce to the board Sondra Rosenberg from the Nevada

Department of Transportation. Sondra has been a partner with me for two years, and we have -- it has built a partnership between our two states, and we are looking at this not only border to bored in Arizona, but border to border from the northern border of Nevada all the way to Nogales.

So with that, I -- last month I provided you a lot of detailed information on the study and the justification. This month I just wanted to briefly give you an update on what we -- a reminder of where we are with the study and really focus on the next steps, which is what a lot of the discussion over the last month was on. So just a reminder of the recommendations that's in the study. Again, we have recommended a continuous corridor from Las Vegas to Nogales as the primary recommendation for a continuous trade corridor that will enhance our future economy. Nevada is considering extensions north of Las Vegas, as you see on the map, all the way to their northern boundary.

Next slide, please.

As Scott Higginson mentioned from the I-11

coalition, one of the elements of this study that we do want to remind the board of and focus on is that we are -- we do not see this entire corridor being tackled all at once. We have identified what we call segments of independent utility, and we worked really hard on these to see where we could compartmentalize parts of the corridor that we could look at completely independent from each other and do environmental studies, do alignment studies, make -- move forward with some recommendations. However, that doesn't mean that the corridor has to be compartmentalized in these item -- or these segments, independent utility, and so -- but I just wanted to highlight to the board that that opportunity does exist, and it is a recommendation in the report.

With that, the last slide that I have is a table in the report, Figure 21, which itemizes the critical actions that the study team has listed that would keep this idea moving forward as a border-to-border corridor and enhancing our state's economy.

A couple things, you may not be able to read this on the screen, but there are a couple lines there that talk about initiate environmental clearance process between Nogales and Casa Grande, and another one about the environmental clearance process between Wickenburg and Casa Grande. Those are two critical actions that we see that could keep this corridor moving forward, and as you may recall last month, we have

recommended a corridor which could be 5 to 50 miles wide.

There's a lot of work to study those large corridors to come up with specific solutions and specific alignments, and that's where these environmental studies could help move that forward.

One of the actions that we do have on this table under what's labeled public policy actions is to seek out and hopefully obtain the Congressional designation of I-11 through Arizona all the way to Nogales, so it is truly a border-to-border designation. And then I -- Nevada is also seeking extension of the designation in their state. So that is another item that's highlighted in this action.

And then one of the last items that I want to highlight that we have talked about as the study team is to develop an I-11 marketing and branding strategy. This is one of those ideas, Scott Higginson alluded to it, about not letting this -- the report just sit on a shelf and being forgotten about, but not only do -- does the construction of the facility move it forward, but reminding people in marketing and having people understand the philosophy behind the corridor is another component that the report recommends to move forward with that.

With that, that's the end of my update on the I-11 corridor, and I'll hand it off back to Scott.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Before I make -- just a couple of quick comments. I would invite any ADOT staff or the director, Mr. Biesty, anyone to comment on the resolution that

was put together by our delegation.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair and members of the board, I did want to point out that in front of you, Mr. Kies had identified one action moving forward. In front of you should be a letter that all of the house Congressional members from both Nevada and Arizona have sent to the Transportation Infrastructure Committee as well as the Highway Transit Committee, subcommittees, both chairs. And then you're going to see it's also ranking members of the house in regard to those committees, again, identifying and calling out the significance of Interstate 11, the extension of the designations through the border, as we've been talking about for quite awhile that identifies -- and to us, the couple important key parts of this letter, it really identifies the national and regional significance of this total route when it talks about the southwest triangle, the economic development opportunities. in the letter, it also does point out that they support funding for this in the future.

But again, as a general point, you need to have the route designated. You need to have the route identified before you can spend funding on it. So we still have to build up those layers of get the designation, get the route identified and then start moving forward with implementation. So this is a good step towards that. It's in the house. I can't tell you, though, if I know what actions are going on in the senate side

if we're having those discussions. Mr. Biesty may know something about that or maybe Mr. Kies or somebody. But you do have a copy of what went to -- what went to those transportation committees and signed by all house delegation from the states of Arizona and Nevada.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Any further comments on that letter or the Congressional delegation?

Director.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

So letters are good, and I guess I would just say they are letters, and I think that in this case relationships and face-to-face communication are probably more important, because we need to put the message not just to Congress, but there's a bigger issue, I believe, of the state aligning itself and making decisions both from a state level, but also with all of our local partners. And as Mr. Maxwell pointed out, we need to have the involvement of the business community.

So for me, the first step, and we've come a long way on this road is alignment. That's critical. However, given the issues or lack of motion on issues that I see in Congress and what's happening nationally with transportation funding, I don't want to put all my eggs in that basket, because we may get some grant money, we may not. I can't predict that future.

So going back to where we have to be on this is not just aligned as a state, but we have to be committed from

the business community, local governments, regional governments. If we want to start work and keep this study moving, we are going to have to come together and partner on this issue. We can carry that message to Congress, but we have to be in agreement and convinced ourselves that this is the right thing to do.

And I think the study points out, this first level very well, that this is not a boondoggle, as some would say that it is. The study points out not only purpose and need, but it points out economic justification for this corridor. And this corridor is extremely important to this state's future, just like the canal system was when Carl Hayden was in Congress. This is one of those big items that the state has to solidly get behind and push forward on all fronts.

And so to that end, our next steps involve meeting with our local and regional partners on the segments of independent utility, talking with our board members and pulling together folks from Tucson, Phoenix and -- that are supportive of this project saying, how do we now continue to move the ball down the road.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Very well said --

MR. HALIKOWSKI: (Inaudible.)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: -- Director Halikowski. Thank

you.

There's not much more I can add to what's already

been said as far as the thanks and appreciation and accolades to ADOT staff and NDOT staff. Particularly ADOT staff and Mr. Kies and Mr. Omer have made numerous trips down to Tucson, have engaged the Tucson community through our regional associations, and our business associations have turned over every stone to make sure that every I is dotted, every T is crossed, have listened diligently and effectively and efficiently to our concerns, our needs and our desires regarding this project.

And I know there was at some point some, shall we say, misunderstandings about the entire commitment that might be existent or not exist with ADOT as far as embracing the project as border to border, but the director and staff led by the director have really bent over backwards, have twisted themselves into pretzels, if you will, to ensure that this project is indeed a border-to-border effort.

And for that, I personally want to extend as chairman my thanks and appreciation to all of you and to the board for its support of it and to all those who have taken part in this study and in this effort. And now is -- the important thing, as has been said before, I'll say it again, it just can't sit on a shelf. So thank you.

And with that, Mr. Omer, if you want to proceed, or Mr. Kies.

Any questions from the board?

MR. OMER: Mr. Chair, I would say if there are no

other questions, we would ask the board -- I think you have a motion that we would ask that you formally accept the document today.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Vice Chairman Anderson?

MR. ANDERSON: Yes. Mike, you have been throughout the state with different board members with the respective COGs or NPOs and gleaned a lot of information. I think one -- some of the comments from the meeting from my little group was the rurals like my district have supported, but they're really worried about their funding for their projects. And in the critical actions there, it talks about changing or modifying long range transportation plans. You (inaudible) on the plan, you have other projects. I think those communities are a little worried about, you know, let's don't focus solely on I-11. They'll still become an I-11 board. Let's keep, you know, the rurals whole. So that's just a comment.

MR. KIES: (Inaudible) a comment on that, and I thank you for that comment, because I-11 is extremely important, but if you look at the department's key commerce corridors initiative, all of our interstate and state highway system is important, because you don't stand alone on one corridor to move the ball forward on economic development. It's going to take leverage in our entire system, Mr. Anderson. And what I want to assure you is that because funding is so tight regionally and at the state level, we're going to need to be working with you very

closely if we are going to identify funding to proceed with further studies on these segments of independent utility, because it will take the board and other regional councils' decision processes to decide what is important and how much as we move forward.

So I understand the concerns by the community, but we also have to realize that we will be bringing back decisions to the regional councils and to the board when it comes to 11 in light of how do you make the entire system, the key commerce corridor system work.

And so one of the things I've said to the staff as I look at this graph up here and primary partner, the State Transportation Board should be one of our primary partners in moving this forward to assure that those policy decisions are being made by this board to balance out those decisions for the state. Regional councils should be up there as primary partners, because once again, this project cannot be done by ADOT alone.

MR. OMER: Mr. Chair, Mr. Anderson, I guess the one thing I'd add to that is to be real -- very specific about your question about the long range plan. Our long range plan is really when we start that process next year. That doesn't affect our capital program, our five-year transportation program that this board approves as you do our long range plan.

But the existing projects that are in the long

1	range plan would not be affected by this unless it's brought
2	back. You know, if the board brings it up that they want to
3	take something up or take something in, that's the board's call
4	to do, but line you specifically mentioned (inaudible) you
5	know, someone being concerned about (inaudible). That's in our
6	capital program. It's not considered part of this.
7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Any further questions of ADOT
8	staff from the board?
9	The Chair at this time would entertain a motion
10	to accept the I-11 Corridor Profile Study Report dated October
11	2014.
12	MR. LA RUE: So moved.
13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: There's a motion by Board
14	Member La Rue. Is there a second?
15	MR. ANDERSON: Second
16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Vice Chair Anderson
17	to accept the I-11 Corridor Profile Study Report as presented.
18	Discussion?
19	All those in favor of accepting the profile study
20	report, signify by saying aye.
21	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
23	Hearing no opposition, we accept the profile
24	study report. Thank you, gentlemen. Again, good work. Thank
25	you.

1	Now we'll move on to we'll now move on to Item
2	10, Priority Planning Advisory Committee, the PPAC committee.
3	We will have a presentation from our assistant director again,
4	Mr. Scott Omer, and I believe our state engineer might join in
5	as well.
6	Mr. Omer.
7	MR. OMER: Yes, Mr. Chair. So we went with the
8	PPAC items, Items 10A, as in alpha, through 10G, as in golf, are
9	the project modifications this month. I would recommend we take
10	these together unless you have individual questions about
11	specific projects.
12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Are there any individual
13	questions about specific projects or any objection to taking
14	these items together as one?
15	Proceed, Mr. Omer. Oh, actually, it's my turn to
16	move.
17	MR. OMER: I didn't want to assume. I assumed.
18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Okay. Scott Omer. Okay.
19	(Unintelligible crosstalk.)
20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: The Chair will entertain a
21	motion to accept and approve product modifications Items 10A
22	through 10G as presented. Is there a motion?
23	MR. SELLERS: So moved.
24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A motion by Board Member
25	Sellers.

1	MS. BEAVER: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Board Member Beaver.
3	Discussion?
4	Hearing none, all those in favor of accepting and
5	approving project modifications Item 10A through 10G as
6	presented signify by saying aye.
7	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
9	Hearing no opposition, the modifications passed
10	as presented.
11	Mr. Omer.
12	MR. OMER: Mr. Chair, the new projects this month
13	are Items 10H, as in hotel, through 10O, as in Oscar. I would
14	recommend we take these together unless you want to speak about
15	those individually.
16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Does any board member wish to
17	speak individually regarding these projects? If not, the Chair
18	will entertain a motion to accept and approve new projects item
19	10H, as in hotel, through 10O, as in Oscar, as presented.
20	MR. SELLERS: So moved.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Motion by Mr. Sellers.
22	MR. CUTHBERTSON: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Mr. Cuthbertson.
24	Discussion?
25	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion as

1	presented signify by saying aye.
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
4	Hearing no opposition, the motion to accept and
5	approve new projects Items 10H through 100 as presented carries.
6	Mr. Omer.
7	MR. OMER: The last thing, Mr. Chair, the airport
8	projects this month are Items 10P, as in Paul, through 10U, as
9	in uniform. The same, I would ask you to take all these
10	together unless you have individual questions.
11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Do any board members have any
12	individual questions regarding these projects?
13	Hearing no questions, the Chair would entertain a
14	motion to accept and approve airport project Items 10P, as in
15	Peter, through 10U as in uniform as presented.
16	MR. ANDERSON: So moved.
17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Motion by
18	MS. BEAVER: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Vice Chair Anderson, and
20	seconded by Board Member Beaver. Discussion?
21	Hearing none, all those in favor of accepting and
22	approving airport project items 10P through 10U as presented
23	signify by saying aye.
24	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?

Hearing no opposition, the project as presented 1 carries. 2 3 We'll move on to our state engineer's report, 4 Item 11, and we'll here from our unfortunately outgoing deputy 5 director of transportation and our state engineer, Jennifer 6 Toth. 7 MS. TOTH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of Today I come to you with 122 projects that are under 8 the board. construction, currently valued at 776 million. We have 9 10 finalized 16 projects in the month of September and 29 finalized 11 here today. That concludes the state engineer's report. 12 happy to take any questions. 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I have a question. When is 14 your time here ended and your new job begin? MS. TOTH: My last day at ADOT will be October 15 16 24th, and then I start with the County on November 3rd. 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Wow, just around the corner. 18 We'll continue at this point with the 19 construction contracts, Ms. Toth. 20 MS. TOTH: Moving on to the construction 21 contracts, I thank the board for approving the five on the 22 consent agenda, and we have a quite hefty agenda with 19 to 23 justify, 10 of those being local. 24 So starting with Item 12A -- there you go: 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Use that one there.

1	MS. TOTH: 12A actually should have been on the
2	consent agenda. I apologize for its misplacement. That was
3	strictly my fault.
4	So the board the department does recommend
5	award to Standard Construction Company Incorporated.
6	MR. LA RUE: So moved.
7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: There's a motion by Board
8	Member La Rue
9	MR. ANDERSON: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: and a second by Vice Chair
11	Anderson to accept and approve staff's recommendation to award
12	the contract for Item 12A to Standard Construction Company.
13	Mr. Sellers, this is in your district. Do you
14	have any comments or questions?
15	MR. SELLERS: No, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Hearing no comments or
17	questions, discussion on the motion?
18	Hearing no discussion, all those in favor of
19	accepting and approving staff's recommendation to award the
20	contract for Item 12A to Standard Construction Company signify
21	by saying aye.
22	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
24	Hearing none, the motion to accept and approve
25	carries.

1 Ms. Toth. MS. TOTH: Thank you. 2 3 Moving on to Item 12B, this project is located in the City of Douglas and is a reconstruction of the Chino Road as 4 5 well as an extension between US-91 and the border. The site 6 work on this project is difficult and slower than the department 7 estimated. There are two concrete box culverts that are actually on an askew that require some intricate (inaudible). 8 So as such, it appears that the contractor's unit prices are 9 reasonable for this project, and we do recommend that Meadow 10 Valley Contractors, Incorporated, be awarded the project. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Cuthbertson, this is in your district. Do you have any question or comments? 13 14 MR. CUTHBERTSON: No, (inaudible). CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: The Chair would entertain a 15 16 motion to accept and approve staff's recommendation to award the 17 contract for Item 12B to Meadow Valley Contractors, 18 Incorporated. MR. CUTHBERTSON: So moved. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: There's a motion by 21 Mr. Cuthbertson. 22 MS. BEAVER: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A second by Board Member Beaver. Discussion? 24 25 All those in favor of the motion signify by

1	saying aye.
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	Opposed?
4	Hearing none, the motion carries.
5	Ms. Toth.
6	MS. TOTH: Moving to Item 12C, this project is
7	located in the City of Maricopa and consists of installing speed
8	feedback signs. The contractor received a better quote for the
9	speed feedback signs than the department did. And so based on
10	that information, the low bid appears to be reasonable, and
11	therefore, award of the contract to CS Construction,
12	Incorporated is recommended.
13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Anderson, this is in your
14	district. Do you have any questions or comments?
15	MR. ANDERSON: No, but it will slow the mayor
16	down a little bit.
17	Move for approval.
18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Anderson has moved to
19	accept and approve staff's recommendation to award the contract
20	for Item 12C to CS Construction. Is there a second to his
21	motion?
22	MR. CUTHBERTSON: Second.
23	MS. BEAVER: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Board member
25	Cuthbertson. Discussion?

All those in favor of the motion signify by
saying aye.
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
Hearing none.
Ms. Toth.
MS. TOTH: Thank you.
Moving on to Item 12D, the project is located on
various roads within Mohave County and consists of removing and
replacing sign panels. The department did estimate a per diem
for the crew and rental of the equipment. However, the
contractor is planning on using local forces and owns his own
equipment. So based on that information, the state's estimate
is higher than the low bid, and it is recommended that the
contract be awarded to Sunline Contracting, LLC.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Ms. Beaver, this is in your
district. Do you have any questions or comments?
MS. BEAVER: No.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: The Chair would entertain a
motion to accept and approve staff's recommendation to award the
contract for Item 12D to Sunline Contracting, LLC.
MS. BEAVER: So moved.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Motion by Ms. Beaver.
MR. CUTHBERTSON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Mr. Cuthbertson.

1	Discussion?
2	All those in favor of the motion, hearing all
3	those in favor of the motion, signify by saying aye.
4	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposition?
6	None. The motion carries. Ms. Toth.
7	MS. TOTH: Moving on to Item 12E, the project is
8	located in the Town of Payson, and it consists of upgrading the
9	striping on various roads within the town. The department's
10	estimate assumed rental equipment again, and the contractor does
11	own their own equipment and also received a better price for the
12	materials. So based on that information, it is recommending
13	that the contract be awarded to Road Safe Traffic Systems, Inc.
14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Anderson, this is in your
15	district. Do you have any questions or comments?
16	MR. ANDERSON: No question or comments. I move
17	to approve 12E.
18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Anderson has made the
19	motion to accept and approve the recommendation.
20	MR. SELLERS: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A second by accepted as
22	second by Mr. Sellers. Discussion?
23	All those in favor of the motion to accept and
24	approve and award the contract for Item 12E to Road Safe Traffic
25	Systems, Incorporated, signify by saying aye.

1	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposition?
3	None. Motion carries.
4	Ms. Toth.
5	MS. TOTH: Moving on to Item 12F, this contract
6	was postponed from last month in order for the City of Safford
7	to determine if additional funds were available, and they have
8	done so and have committed those funds. The justification is
9	that the decorative light poles and the control cabinet being
10	used on this project are uncommon and require special
11	production, and that's why we estimated that why the bid came
12	in higher than anticipated. So based on that information, it is
13	recommended that the contract be awarded to Show Low
14	Construction.
15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Anderson, this is your
16	district. Any questions or comments?
17	MR. ANDERSON: No, sir. Move to approve 12F.
18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Motion to approve by
19	approve 12F by Vice Chair Anderson. Is there a second?
20	MR. CUTHBERTSON: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Mr. Cuthbertson.
22	Discussion?
23	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion
24	signify by saying aye.
25	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
2	Motion to award Contract Item No. 12F to Show Low
3	Construction, Incorporated carries.
4	Ms. Toth.
5	MS. TOTH: Thank you.
6	Moving on to Item 12G. This project is located
7	in the City of Sierra Vista, and it consists of removing the
8	pedestrian signal modules and replacing them with the countdown
9	timer pin heads, and the department just received a higher quote
10	for those pin heads than the contractor did. So we the low
11	bid does appear to be reasonable, and we recommend award of the
12	contract to TLL Electric, Incorporated.
13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Cuthbertson, this is in
14	your district. Do you have any questions or comments?
15	MR. CUTHBERTSON: No, no questions. Move to
16	approve.
17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Cuthbertson has moved
18	made a motion to accept and approve staff's recommendation to
19	award the contract for Item 12G
20	MR. ANDERSON: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: to TLL Electric,
22	Incorporated. Seconded by Vice Chair Anderson. Discussion?
23	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion to
24	approve signify by saying aye.
25	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
2	Hearing none, the motion carries.
3	Ms. Toth.
4	MS. TOTH: Thank you.
5	Moving on to Item 12H, this project is located in
6	the City of Surprise, actually on Bell Avenue, and it consists
7	of constructing ADA compliant access as well as putting some
8	infrastructure in for future signal improvement.
9	As you've seen today, the smaller projects are a
10	little more difficult to estimate, and when you have a limited
11	number of bid items, there are a number of items that the
12	state's estimate was lower than the construction estimate. So
13	based on our analysis, the low bid appears to be reasonable, and
14	we recommend award of the contract to Standard Construction
15	Company, Incorporated.
16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Board Members La Rue and
17	Sellers, this is in your district. Do you have any questions or
18	comments?
19	MR. SELLERS: No question. Move to approve.
20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: That was Mr. Sellers to make
21	the motion to accept and approve the award to Standard
22	Construction Company, Incorporated. Is there a second?
23	MR. LA RUE: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Mr. La Rue.
25	Discussion?

All those in favor of the motion signify by
saying aye.
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
Hearing none, the motion to award the contract to
Standard Construction Company, Incorporated carries.
Ms. Toth.
MS. TOTH: Thank you.
Moving on to Item 12 12I, this project is
located in the Town of Wickenburg and consists of pedestrian and
bicycle enhancements on the abandoned Highway 60 bridge. The
state underestimated the specialty lights light poles
associated with these improvements, and the department believes
the contractor's bid for this work is reasonable and recommends
award to AJP Electric, Incorporated.
MR. LA RUE: Move to approve.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I take it you have no comments
or questions?
Board Member Sellers has made a motion to accept
the staff's recommendation to award the contract to AJP
MR. SELLERS: (Inaudible.)
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I'm sorry? What'd I say?
MR. LA RUE: I made the motion.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I beg your pardon?
MR. SELLERS: Mr. La Rue made the motion. I'm

1	sorry.
2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: It's hard to tell these
3	Phoenix guys apart sometimes.
4	A motion by Mr. Sellers to approve excuse me.
5	A motion by Mr. La Rue to accept the approval the staff's
6	recommendation to award the contract for Item 12I to AJP
7	Electric has been made. Is there a second?
8	MR. SELLERS: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Mr. Sellers.
10	MR. SELLERS: I'm ready to take over if you're
11	having a problem.
12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Discussion?
13	All those in favor of the motion signify by
14	saying aye.
15	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
17	Hearing none.
18	Ms. Toth.
19	MR. SELLERS: Hallelujah.
20	MS. TOTH: Thank you.
21	Moving on to Item 12J, this project consists of
22	cracked sealing on I-10 west of State Route 85. The estimate is
23	essentially one item of work that the department overestimated
24	the crew size and the material costs. So therefore, we do
25	recommend award to Cactus Transport, Incorporated.

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: This is in your guys
2	district.
3	MR. SELLERS: No, no questions. Move for
4	approval.
5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A motion and
6	MR. LA RUE: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: second have been made by
8	Mr. Sellers and Mr. La Rue to approve staff's recommendation to
9	award the contract for Item 12J to Cactus Transport,
10	Incorporated. Discussion?
11	Hearing none, all those in favorite of the motion
12	signify by saying aye.
13	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
15	Hearing none.
16	Ms. Toth.
17	MS. TOTH: Thank you.
18	Item 12K, the fencing project is located on
19	US-180 between (inaudible) and Alpine, and the state's estimate
20	was based on historical costs that were lower than the price
21	from the low bidder. Based on that, we the low bid appears
22	to be reasonable, and we recommend award to American Fence
23	Company of Arizona, Incorporated.
24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: The Chair will accept an
25	entertain a motion to approve the contract award to American

1	Fence Company of Arizona. Is there a motion made?
2	MS. BEAVER: Some (inaudible).
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A motion has been made by
4	Board Member Beaver. Is there a second?
5	MR. CUTHBERTSON: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Board Member
7	Cuthbertson. Discussion?
8	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion
9	signify by saying aye.
10	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
12	None.
13	Ms. Toth.
14	MS. TOTH: Thank you.
15	Item 12L, this project is also on US-191 south of
16	Alpine, and again, it's a fencing project using the same prices,
17	and we underestimated the material cost, and therefore recommend
18	that the contract be awarded to Western Fence Company,
19	Incorporated.
20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: All these fencing projects in
21	Mr. Rogers' district. He'd have a field day here.
22	The Chair will accept a motion to approve staff's
23	recommendation to award the contract to Western Fence of item
24	of Item 12L. Is there a motion?
25	MR. ANDERSON: So moved.

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Motion by Vice Chair Anderson.
2	MR. CUTHBERTSON: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Board Member
4	Cuthbertson. Discussion?
5	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion
6	signify by saying aye.
7	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
9	Hearing no opposition, the motion carries.
10	Ms. Toth.
11	MS. TOTH: Thank you.
12	Moving on to Item 12M, this project was postponed
13	last month to allow us more time to analyze these bids. It is
14	an erosion control project on State Route 260 near Cottonwood.
15	The low bidder received a lower quote for seeding than the
16	department estimated. It is recommending that the contract be
17	awarded to Southwest Concrete Paving Company.
18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Hang in there, everybody.
19	We're almost there.
20	This is in Ms. Beaver's district. Do you have
21	any questions or comments?
22	MS. BEAVER: No. I'd like to make a motion to
23	approve Item 12M.
24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A motion has been made by
25	Board Member Beaver to approve Item 12M to Southwest Concrete

1	Paving. Is there a second?
2	MR. ANDERSON: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Vice Chair Anderson.
4	Discussion?
5	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion
6	signify by saying aye.
7	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
9	Hearing none, the motion carries.
10	And Ms. Toth.
11	MS. TOTH: This project is located along Dove
12	Valley Road in the City of Surprise. Two bids were received for
13	this project. The low bid is 35.2 percent higher than the
14	department estimate. The City has advised that they do not have
15	the additional funds that would be needed to award this project
16	and has asked the department to recommend to the board to reject
17	all bids. The department does recommend rejecting all bids so
18	that the department and the City of Surprise can reconsider the
19	scope and the budget on this project.
20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Do the gentlemen from Maricopa
21	have any questions?
22	MR. LA RUE: No. Move to reject.
23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A motion has been made to
24	reject all bids in connection with Item 12N has been made by
25	Board Member La Rue. Is there a second?

1	MR. SELLERS: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Second by Board Member
3	Sellers. Discussion?
4	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion to
5	accept and approve staff's recommendation to reject all bids in
6	connection with Item 12N signify by saying aye.
7	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
9	Hearing none, the motion carries.
10	Thank you, Ms. Toth.
11	MS. TOTH: Thank you. And I do want to say thank
12	you to the chairman and to our director for the kind words. It
13	has truly been my honor to serve the state and also the citizens
14	of Arizona. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you, Ms. Toth.
16	We'll proceed to Item 13, which is a board
17	discussion on development of the Five-Year Transportation
18	Facilities Construction Program, which will be led by Scott Omer
19	and Floyd Roehrich.
20	MR. OMER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21	So the we were asked after the last board
22	meeting to bring this in, so I thought I would do a quick
23	overview of our existing process.
24	Go ahead to go to the next slide.
25	I'll try to make this as quick as I can, but I

don't want to skip over anything. So if you have any questions, you can ask. Just stop me at that time, or if you want to ask at the end. It's your pleasure, so...

Really, I think the first what we're going to talk about is our general programming process and the statutes and the policies that support it. Then I'd move on to the funding allocation, the project prioritization process and the time lines for how we make decisions. I think that will get to where the conversation needs to go. I want to go fast but... All right. Sorry.

Next slide please. Thank you.

So first, the State Transportation Board under Arizona Revised Statutes Title 28.304 and 305, really what these two statutes do, at least I'm not here as the board's attorney, but I would say in my opinion what it does for me on -- from the planning perspective and the programming perspective, it says that the board will adopt the state's long range transportation plan, and the board will establish policies, guidelines and procedures and direct -- that helps to develop the five-year construction program and the -- and it adopts our planning practices. So really what it does is you establish a five-year program and you adopt the long range plan. That's the board's policies as it relates to this.

And then the performance-based planning and programming practices are adopted inside of the long range plan.

That's where we include those. The PPAC or Priority Planning Advisory Committee is established under 28.65 91 B (phonetic), and that's a committee that's established by the director. I chaired that as the director of the planning division.

We have a cross-functional team that really serves a function of reviewing every single project that is either a project modification, a new project or a project that needs to come into the board for adoption into the five-year program. So that's our function. So we see it before you do.

Back up one more step. The project review board is a committee that's comprised of ADOT staff that is -- at a project level, they oversee the development of all the projects that are in the program to ensure that we can actually get the projects developed and out on a timely fashion. So that's the three committees that are really utilized to make sure that we can deliver -- develop and deliver our five-year capital program.

In addition to that, the Resource Allocation

Advisory Committee is established and is created by a board

policy (inaudible). Usually when we think about that, it's with

the Casa Grande resolve. It's about funding allocation. So

that's covered under board policy that says, this is what will

make up the Resource Allocation Advisory Committee and how

funding will be distributed accordingly.

Next slide, please.

So the direct distribution percentages that was established and -- through the RAAC itself is that we expend 50 percent of all funding in greater Arizona, 37 percent in the MAG region and 30 -- and 13 percent in the PAG region. So that's the Casa Grande resolve and the Casa Grande report or distribution percentages as we -- as we have done, and those have been in place for -- since 1998, I believe, is when they were passed. So what it really does, it helps us maintain the intention of developing -- of delivering our long range transportation plan as well.

Next slide, please.

So our long range plan -- when we adopted our long range plan in 2012, where -- by statute, we were -- federal statute, we were required to update our long range plan every five years. For years and years and years we were really at a expansion-based program. It was all about building, because Arizona was in a growth mode. In 2012 we realized -- well, we were -- had realized before that we really don't have the funding to support that type of model anymore. So we wanted to balance our portfolio, and so we started developing a process of really developing a program in the long range plan that was focused on preservation of our existing transportation system, modernization of the system, and lastly, still expanding the system, but targeting those areas where we really felt that it was the most appropriate.

Next slide, please.

That coincides with the federal transportation legislation Map 21 which says, you know, you have to have a performance-based planning and programming process. That's our PT2, linking our capital -- our long range plan with the capital program. Map 21 says that each one of these performance goals are going to be focused on -- will have to be -- have -- sorry -- federal government will establish goals in support of these individual areas. The state will set targets that we have -- that we would have to say we have to meet towards those goals, and then after that, an NPO would have to set targets to make sure that they can meet the goals as well.

It's really about making sure that we can focus our efforts on infrastructure condition, that the existing infrastructure, not just in Arizona but nationally, is still maintained and preserved at acceptable conditions. When it comes to either pavement condition, bridge condition, safety, congestion, freight and economic vitality, system reliability and air quality, those are all areas that we make sure that we address inside of our long range plan and our capital program as well.

Next slide.

It's really -- to me, it's about developing a healthy transportation system. You can't have a healthy transportation system with not only having your infrastructure

in sound condition. Also having your system perform as to reliability of your system, that individual condition of your assets. So you hear us talk a lot about asset management, about the condition of -- having the ability to reduce congestion and incorporate safety, and also having the resources in place that supports that. It's the people that go out and maintain or construct our facilities, the funds necessary to do so, and also having everything else that comes into play. So you have to have all three of those specific areas of infrastructure, system performance and the resources needed to balance your overall health -- transportation system, make it healthy. Can't have one without the other.

Next slide.

So what we brought to you last year was the first attempt at our annual system performance report. So in a minute, you'll hear me say that's really the foundation for how we move forward with developing projects and identifying future projects. It's really about system performance.

You see here I just brought the example of pavement conditions on the non-interstate and on the interstate pavement conditions. We do a pretty good job of keeping our interstates in good condition. However, you'll remember over the last three or four years, I've spoke to you every year about without investing sufficiently in preservation of our existing system, that trend will start to go the other way and we'll --

1 even our interstate condition will decline rapidly because of 2 the age of the infrastructure, the amount of funding that we 3 need to preserve it, and we don't do that. I mean, we say that 4 we should be putting \$260 million a year in preservation of our 5 system, you know, and any given year, we're usually probably 160 6 or \$180 million because that's what we have. 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Omer, would you share with the board your -- the department's estimate of the current value 8 9 of our infrastructure and the current replacement cost? 10 MR. OMER: Yes, sir. It's a great question, and when we go back to our CAFA report, which is -- really talks 11 about what the current value of the system is, it looks at 12 13 depreciation over time. And Kristine, if I'm wrong, please 14 throw something at me. (Inaudible.) 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) 16 (Unintelligible crosstalk.) 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the department's 18 Comprehensive and Annual Financial Report. 19 MR. OMER: There we go. CAFA, that's what I 2.0 said. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We completely looked at 2.2 our assets and... 23 MR. OMER: So our condition of our existing 24 assets in the CAFA report are about \$19 billion, give or take. 25 To replace those existing assets, you know, you take that

existing number and you multiply it times somewhere between 7 to 14. So realistically, you could say it could cost us somewhere in the \$200 billion range to replace our existing assets that we have in place today, and that might not even do it. It's hard to say what they will be completely. I will say I was asked this specific question earlier in the week, and it is something that we're working on. We're working on --

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Did you say 17 billion, for the asset -- current value?

MR. OMER: Current assets are about 19 billion.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: 19 billion.

MR. OMER: And probably 200 billion to replace it. But I will say, that is something we're working on, and we will come up with that number about how -- well, the cost to completely replace our infrastructure.

Next slide.

So we show our condition of our assets in three different ways, either a graph as I just showed you. We also show it on a map. So some people like to view it in different aspects. This shows the exact same information that was in the graph graphically, and the last side right here is in the dashboard. Some people would rather see a dashboard that says exactly what our condition of our infrastructure should look like. So that's something that we are working on, and again, it does drive overall system performance.

Next slide.

So the foundation of how we develop our capital program and tie it back into our long range plan is really, again, foundational on system performance. We look at our annual performance report, the individual performance measures that are dictated by the federal statute, and then we do an individual system analysis to see how the -- or see how our system is operating.

If you were to remember, this was -- last year was the first time that we talked to you guys, we talked to our board about not only having a five-year capital program, but now we also have our 10-year program, which is really the development program for the department, and all -- both of those feed up into -- or trickle down/trickle up into our long range transportation plan, which is over 20 years.

So at any given year, we're looking, you know, at the first year of our capital program as well as, you know, all the way up to the 20 years that we have to our long range plan to make sure that we're meeting the goals and objectives that, you know, we've set as a department, and the board, you know, is included in that process and adopts the long range plan.

So when we do this, we look at -- as part of this process is we actually go out and ask our technical areas, district engineers, the pavement and the bridge systems, the traffic systems about project nominations, we ask them what are

the individual projects that are necessary to make sure that we're meeting those targets and the goals and objectives that have been set.

So usually on -- well, you'll see a pavement preservation project that we bring to you on an annual basis. The recommendation from that comes from our technical areas. You know, they look -- they evaluate the condition of our system. They realize that over time this is the appropriate treatment and where it should be. So that's how we bring you that individual project. It's very similar with our modernization program. We evaluate the overall condition of the system and look at safety and identify where there are specific areas about how we should be making safety improvements. It will -- oftentimes, that's how we bring a modification project in.

And then lastly, our expansion projects. Well, they're few and far between, and what we've done in the last, I would say five years probably since we made our major cuts in our five-year program, is the only major projects that we've brought back in are the ones that we've pushed out. So that's the projects that we've been bringing back into the capital program.

Next slide, please.

These are the individual investment categories that we use. Again, preservation, modernization and expansion

of the system, and these are the types of projects that would usually be included inside of each of those.

Next slide.

So I'm going to ask Bret -- hold on a second before you -- to step through this. This is actually our process. Our process is about a 15-month process to develop our capital program.

Go ahead then. You can start through.

But where it starts at is really about identifying what our overall system performance is. So it's analyzing this system. After that, we take our system report and send it back out to our districts and technical areas and ask them to look at it and give us an -- and analyze how they see the system (inaudible) report.

From there we go out to our NPOs and COGs and regional partners and start talking about this is what our system should look like or this is how it is looking. Do you see anything different? That's also when we ask them to start identifying, are there any major (inaudible) that you would like to see, whether they're a capital project or even a funding for a study, we would ask at that time for like a DCR or a project assessment.

From there we bring that back in to the department and we analyze those individual projects based on the project selection criteria that's in our planning to program

process, and then we analyze the projects and we rank them based on the acceptable criteria.

2.0

I will say that the criteria is two different types of criteria. We have a strictly technical based criteria. For example, our pavement team will identify a segment of pavement from X to Y. This is the pavement condition, and they'll rank that accordingly to the other areas that they recommended. When we look at it from the planning side of the house, and it's really -- it's not just transportation and planning, it's planning the ITD and finance sat down together and apply risk over all these. And at this point, we've put policy, a type of umbrella over it and say, are -- is -- you know, are these corridors of significance to us. Is this on our interstate (inaudible)? Is it something that's on the national highway system? And we evaluate that policy at that type of policy level to make sure that we're, you know, again, recommending the right projects to the transportation board.

Next slide.

And then here after that, our leadership, which again is -- it's the combination of planning, engineering and finance, looks at this, and we identify what's an acceptable level of risk on a project of whether this project should be brought into the program or recommended for the program, and we also look at the current projects that are in the existing programs and start asking the questions about are we comfortable

with this project, will it actually make it, you know, and be able to deliver it in the first year. So sometimes you'll see us make recommendations about moving projects up or back. It's based on this risk based process.

Last -- yes, sir.

MR. ROEHRICH: Before you go on, Scott, and
Mr. Chair, members of the board, this is the thing that if you
remember traditionally, this is about the time where we bring
the board really more involved in this. In the past, Scott has
had one-on-one conversations. We've also had study sessions
during this time frame in order to discuss that tentative
program, to evaluate that project listing, to get the board's
input into it as we kind of finalize it so we can now take it to
the board in February. You've got the tentative. Then we start
the public hearing process. So I did want to make sure that the
-- this is a good point where the board has really gotten
involved and has started to help us look at that prioritization
and crafting that tentative program.

MR. OMER: Mr. Roehrich is exactly right. This is the point where we look at it and -- at senior, you know, level. You can't just apply, you know, some criteria to a bunch of projects and run it through some computer program and say this is good or right or wrong. We apply, you know, the professional transportation side at that. We look at it and make sure we're comfortable with the individual projects. From

there, our PPAC, you know, is required to approve it, and that starts the process of -- that's when we bring these recommendations to you.

In February we ask the board to approve the tentative program for the public, and then March, April and May is when we have our public hearings, and then we ask you to approve it lastly in June. So we call this -- I'm going to be positive today. This is our circle of life about how we develop our program, and it is very time consuming. It takes a lot of time, and there's input from a lot of people. So with that (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: And would that make you Kimba, is it, or --

MR. OMER: Well, I'm positive. I call it the -MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, his name is Pumba.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Pumba.

MR. OMER: Yeah. So yes, you're Mustafa and I'm

So lastly, Mr. Chair, the entire intent of our process is to make sure it's transparent and defensible and repeatable, and it's something that we can go back to our process every year. We've done a lot of work over the last four or five years about completely revamping the process itself.

And again, transparency is one of those things, and we feel we're at a place now where our process really does truly link

our long range plan to our capital program together. However, we're still not going to say it's ideal. The idea about two different criteria of a strictly technical-based criteria and then us looking at a policy-based, that came out this year after discussions with our technical areas who said, you know, you're looking at it, the same thing we are. We apply the same type of criteria. Can we actually skew the results? So we've changed that over time to make sure that's incorporated.

With that, I will gladly stop and ask, and I think we would have a conversation now about, you know, what's next or the direction you want to provide (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A couple of questions,

Mr. Omer. Is this a new generation that you've provided us

here? Is this -- or is this a compilation of old stuff? Or is
this a brand-new presentation?

MR. OMER: So this is a fairly -- well, I did make the same presentation last year. Last year was the first time we'd brought our planning and programming process to the transportation board. So last year was the first time that we had seen truly a system performance based look first at our recommendations to the board. If you remember, it was how we developed our years 6 through 10 for the development program.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Well, I --

MR. HALIKOWSKI: In addition, Mr. Chair, also last year, the reason why this became more formalized, every two

years the board adopts your policy. These are online and we have to review them. The board reviews them, adopts them. So last year as part of this, we started to look at the Map 21 federal requirements as well as the evolution of the board policies related to programming, planning and funding.

We've (inaudible) integrated all of that into it.

It wasn't in this format, but it was this general discussion that led to the revisions and the adoption last year (inaudible) approach them next year as a part of the board review. But that all brought that process together, and that has led to the discussion and kind of the practices that we have been implementing in the past couple of years.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Well, the reason I bring this up is because I think this is a tremendous presentation. It's kind of a tour de force, and I would in addition to requesting that it be made available to all board members individually, what would you think of the possibility of posting this on our web site? You know, I -- is this something the public should have?

MR. OMER: Mr. Chair, you're a visionary, because it is actually on our web site. We (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: As I was saying, I thought I saw it on the web site.

MR. OMER: We actually do have it on our web site. It's in the planning division side of the house, and it

talks about our entire process and how it works. I would recommend that this year when we get up closer to the public process, we'll put it maybe on the header across the board so -- CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Yeah.

MR. OMER: -- across the regular main web page, but the process has been developed. And it's not just the ADOT developing this process. We actually are in partnership with our regional partners.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: And the other -- only other comment I'd make is this last planning stage and phase, we included a study session specifically to deal with it, and I would encourage the future board and future chair to hold such study sessions, because it gives the board an opportunity to digest all of the proposals and discuss them with staff rather than rushing at the last minute to try to articulate the whole -- the whole plan for approval.

Do you have more you'd like to go with?

MR. OMER: No, sir. I'm available for questions.

MS. BEAVER: I would just like to also re-emphasize what you've said. I think this is very good for the public, particularly maybe with MAG and PAG and MERK (phonetic). You know, it -- the more urban area, there's a real emphasis put on this kind of stuff where they're kind of in the know. Some of the rural communities sometimes -- I know I was

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Any more questions?

invited up to Bullhead City, and one of their questions was how 1 2 do you -- how do you start getting in that process of the five 3 years. So I see this is something they can just go on our web site and, you know, download it, study it. 4 5 MR. OMER: Ms. Beaver, I think to help out that, 6 this year we were actually asked, and we went to the League of 7 Cities and Towns, and Director Halikowski presented on key 8 commerce corridors, and I presented on our programming process 9 for that specific reason, because we want to make sure that our 10 process is inclusive, and we don't want people to think they 11 have to come -- you know, as much as we encourage people to come 12 to transportation board meetings, that's not how -- the only way 13 you can be included in the process. 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: The nice thing is I don't have 15 to go through trying to verbally explaining it. I can just say, 16 well, go to the web site. It's all there. Everything you need 17 to know is right there. 18 Any other questions from the board or from the 19 staff? 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a question, Your 21 Honor, sir. 22 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I'm sorry, ma'am. We don't 23 take questions at this point in the board meeting.

out about your meeting until the last minute, sir.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, see, we didn't find

24

25

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Uh-huh.
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And a lot of citizens
3	wanted to come. So we didn't know.
4	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Okay.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Again, I seen the people
6	coming in this morning, and I wanted to have a chance to ask you
7	all about transportation for us as seniors and disabled people
8	in Wickenburg, but nobody gave us no date or time.
9	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Well, I apologize for that,
10	but there will be another board meeting next month in Lake
11	Havasu, and you're more than welcome to discuss it with your
12	district representative and to contact the department, too, with
13	your comments and concerns, and they will be responded to.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Can I leave my
15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: By all means.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: number here for you?
17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Uh-huh. Certainly.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Thank you, ma'am.
20	Further comments? Questions?
21	MR. LA RUE: Yes. If I can, I think I was one of
22	the ones to try to get agendize this and move forward, and I
23	really appreciate this, starting this discussion, you know,
24	because that sat on here for the last couple years, and you
25	know, kind of gone through that tension of preservation and

expansion.

Also, you know, we knew that we were going to get here to today, and that is the approval of the I-11. I agree with all the comments that we're hearing is we need to find ways to advance the I-11 and these, you know, independent segments and utility. And so you guys are the experts, but what I'd like to see back is, you know, somehow how it will be advanced on I-11 in some manner, but also, because of the tension of the --not tension, but a little anxiety in the rural areas, to make sure that projects aren't shifting. I think we need to be out ahead of this a little sooner maybe than we have been, you know, traditionally just because of what -- the decisions we've made today and decisions we're going to make, you know, in this next plan.

So I appreciate that, and I think it's going to be another cycle of planning and programming and public hearings that will be great interest from the communities and the public at large wherever we go. And so I think -- I think getting that out sooner versus later will help us come that February through June time frame.

MR. OMER: And Mr. Chair, Mr. La Rue, I guess what I would recommend, too, is we're -- you know, we're a resource for the board as well. So any time during -- during the year, it doesn't have to be, you know, you know, February through June, during the traditional cycle of the programming

1	cycle, you know, we're resources we are a resource annually.
2	Any time during the year you have specific questions about as
3	many of you have done, you've called me individually on a
4	specific project or about something that we would recommend out
5	in the future. Whether that call is made to me or it's made to
6	Deputy Director Roehrich, you know, that I think that's
7	completely appropriate, because the last thing we want the board
8	to feel like is there's a question and you guys don't have a
9	resource to answer that back. So at any time, please just let
10	us know.
11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Further questions or comments
12	to staff or Mr. Omer? If not, thank you, Mr. Omer.
13	MR. OMER: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Appreciate your report.
15	And we'll move on to Item 16, a draft of the
16	future meetings and public hearing dates of the State
17	Transportation Board.
18	Mr. Roehrich.
19	MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members
20	of the board.
21	In working with the incoming chair, Ms. Beckley
22	and myself, we have put together a listing of the dates, excuse
23	me, and the locations for next year's board meeting. In
24	addition, we've identified study sessions. So I point out a
25	couple things about which you have in front of you.
- 1	

First thing you'll notice, that in January, we are on the second Friday (inaudible) in Flagstaff. That coincides with the World Transportation Summit, which traditionally and historically the board has held their meetings right around the same time so they could attend the summit and then have the board meeting on the last Friday of the summit.

We also see that in January of that month, we're also holding a study session, and that would be the tentative five-year program we brought to the board. Any discussions that took place prior to that with board members would be consolidated, and this would be an opportunity now to look at any final adjustments within the tentative five-year program. So it could be adopted by the board in February, and then we start with the board meeting and co-board meeting/public hearing process.

You'll notice that through March, April and May, traditionally we have held -- the board has held their public hearings in the northern region, central region and the southern region, and we mixed it up within those areas. You'll see this year that we're following that same. We're starting with the southern region in Tucson, followed by the Phoenix, and then for the northern region, since the board held their January meeting in Flagstaff, we would look at the northern region, probably (inaudible) be held in Chino Valley.

From there you can see then that we do have a

study session identified late May, which would be a study session to review comments, review the tentative program and any of the final adjustments the board is recommending at that time. So staff can ensure we're in compliance with fiscal responsibility and fiscal constrain (sic), those final issues, and then you go to adopting the board meeting in June, as you traditionally do.

Then we also have tentatively scheduled a couple of other study sessions if topics come up that we do want to have. And I know we've been holding some topics, because we canceled the study session this month. So we'll look to get those pulled in over the next few months as soon as we can.

The other point I'd like to -- or the other issue I'd like to point out is in August of next year, we are looking at taking a break from an official board meeting, although as we have done in previous years when we've done that, we would probably hold a telephonic meeting so we can award the construction projects, because by statute, those have a time frame in which action needs to take place. But we've done those just telephonic meetings to just award projects as part of later on in August, even though we didn't hold a board meeting, and then we'll fill out the rest of the year. So with that, I'd ask for any comments or questions from the board.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Just two comments. One just to reiterate that the October 21st study session has been

1	canceled. And also, the meetings will be held on a different
2	part of the month now, right?
3	MR. LA RUE: Yes, sir. If you look at this after
4	January, those meetings now fall on the third Friday of the
5	month.
6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Any comments or questions to
7	Mr. Roehrich or staff regarding the upcoming schedule of board
8	meetings?
9	Ms. Beaver.
10	MS. BEAVER: Just one the Rural Transportation
11	Summit then has moved to Flagstaff this next year?
12	MR. LA RUE: Mr. Chair, Ms. Beaver, that's
13	correct.
14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: If not any further questions,
15	the Chair would entertain a motion to accept and approve the
16	2015 board meeting and public hearing dates and locations as
17	presented.
18	MR. ANDERSON: Move for approval.
19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: There's been a motion by Vice
20	Chair Anderson.
21	MR. SELLERS: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: And a second by Board Member
23	Sellers. Discussion?
24	Just to make sure that I include, that the change
25	of the board meeting date of the third Friday of the month

1	beginning in February. Any further discussion?
2	Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion of
3	approving the schedule as presented signify by saying aye.
4	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Opposed?
6	Hearing none, this is our new schedule.
7	Thank you, and we're at the final agenda item.
8	I just want to again acknowledge the community of
9	Wickenburg for their gracious hospitality. We had a terrific
10	time. It's our my well, I can't put it in the minutes,
11	but it's my favorite place to come to, and we thank you again
12	for hosting this event and for making it so welcoming.
13	We wish you all the best, Jennifer. You deserve
14	everything good that comes to you in your new career, and please
15	come back and visit us and keep in touch, and anything that I'm
16	sure the board can do to help you in your new endeavor, we
17	will.
18	And any suggestions for future topics in the next
19	couple of meetings?
20	MR. SELLERS: Sure.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Mr. Sellers.
22	MR. SELLERS: Yeah. I'd like to have an update
23	at some future meeting or study session on intelligent
24	transportation systems, what the department's doing, what the
25	plans are, because I think that's going to play a significant

1	role in traffic management in the future.
2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Isn't that an oxymoron?
3	MR. HALIKOWSKI: We'd be we'd be happy to do
4	that. I sit as a board member on ITS America as part of their
5	executive board, and we'd be happy to update you on vehicle,
6	vehicle, vehicle infrastructure and vehicle (inaudible).
7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: There was something that you
8	brought, Mr. Roehrich, that you suggested for a future board
9	agenda, to agendize something.
10	MR. ROEHRICH: Well, Mr. Chair, the director had
11	a chance to overview
12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: Yeah.
13	MR. ROEHRICH: his trip to Mexico, but because
14	it was agendaed for communication between the board and the
15	Chair, excuse me, between the director and the board, if that is
16	something you really want to delve further into is his efforts
17	there and what specifically (inaudible) taking place in those
18	discussions, we'd have to agenda that.
19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I'd like to do that in the
20	next within my tenure, the remainder of my tenure.
21	Any further suggestions for board meeting
22	board meeting items?
23	(End of excerpt.)
24	
25	

Adjournment

A motion to adjourn the public hearing was made by Bill Cuthbertson and seconded by Deanna Beaver. In a voice vote, the motion carries.

Meeting adjourned 11:20 a.m. MST.

Stephen W. Christy Chairman

State Transportation Board

John S. Halikowski, Director

Arizona Department of Transportation