UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	ACM 37246
Appellee)	
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
Airman First Class (E-3))	
GEORGE W. GATTO)	
USAF,)	
Appellant)	Panel No. 1

On 16 April 2010, counsel for the appellant submitted a Motion to Attach Documents.

Appellant requested that the Court allow four (4) attachments that are claimed to be relevant to the asserted issues in the appellant's Assignment of Errors be attached to the record of trial.

The appellee does not oppose the portion of the motion that requests attachment of Attachments 1 and 4, but does oppose the attaching of Attachments 2 and 3 with the argument that both documents fail to comply with Rule 23(b) of the Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the Court on this 06th day of May, 2010,

ORDERED:

- 1. Appellant's motion to attach documents **1 and 4 is <u>GRANTED</u>**; the motion to attach documents **2 and 3** is <u>DENIED</u>.
- 2. As stated in the motion, attachment 2 is pending signature by appellant and will be admitted upon submission of the version signed by appellant.
- 3. Attachment 3, an unsigned Notification of Discharge Memorandum, does not comply with Rule 23(b) of the Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure which requires such submissions be either an affidavit or an unsworn declaration under penalty of perjury. The portion of attachment 3 highlighted by appellant indicates it is submitted to show something regarding representation of the named respondent by the named counsel.

If that is the case, an affidavit of the named counsel could properly address the relevant concern.

FOR THE COURT

OFFICIAL



STEVEN LUCAS, YA-02, DAF Clerk of the Court