****CORRECTED COPY****

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	ACM S32160
Appellee)	
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
Senior Airman (E-4))	
LUIS A. SOLIS,)	
USAF,)	
Appellant)	Panel No. 2

Having reviewed the briefs of the parties pertaining to the second allegation of error, the Court believes oral argument on this issue would be helpful. Specifically, the Court desires that the parties address the following issue:

I. WHETHER THE RESULTS OF SCIENTIFIC TESTING ADMITTED AS A BUSINESS RECORD UNDER MILITARY RULE OF EVIDENCE 803(6) FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSE OF CORROBORATING AN ACCUSED'S CONFESSION UNDER MILITARY RULE OF EVIDENCE 304(g) MUST THE **CONFRONTATION COMPLY WITH** REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED **SUBSTANTIVE** ON THE **ISSUE** OF WRONGFUL INVOLVEMENT WITH DRUGS, AND, IF SO, WHETHER THE ADMISSION OF THE TEST RESULTS IN THIS CASE COMPLIED WITH THOSE REQUIREMENTS. WILLIAMS v. ILLINOIS, 132 S. Ct. 2221 (2012); BULLCOMING v. NEW MEXICO, 131 S. Ct. 2705 (2011); MELENDEZ-DIAZ v. MASSACHUSETTS, 129 S. Ct. 2527 (2009); DAVIS v. WASHINGTON, 126 S. Ct. 2266 (2006); CRAWFORD v. WASHINGTON, 124 S. Ct. 1354 (2004); UNITED STATES v. TEARMAN, 72 M.J. 54 (C.A.A.F. 2013); UNITED STATES v. BLAZIER, 69 M.J. 218 (C.A.A.F. 2010); UNITED STATES v. GRANT, 56 M.J. 410 (C.A.A.F. 2002); UNITED STATES v. KELLY, 45 M.J. 259 (C.A.A.F. 1996).

****CORRECTED COPY****

Accordingly, it is by the Court on this 21st day of January 2015,

ORDERED:

Oral argument is hereby directed to take place at 1205 hours on Monday, the 23rd day of February 2015, at The George Washington University Law Center, located at 2000 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20052.

FOR THE COURT

LAQUITTA J. SMITH

Appellate Paralegal Specialist