Fusion Power Associates
33rd Annual Meeting and Symposium
Fusion Energy: Progress and Promise
December 5 - 6, 2012 – Wash D.C.

Overview – DOE FES Research at LLNL

Don Correll
Program Leader
Fusion Energy Sciences Program (FESP)

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.
LLNL-PRES-605512

LLNL places a high value on its Office of Science research portfolio with FESP being one of the leading LLNL SC programs

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Office of Science
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DOE Office of Science Programs at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Office of Science Programs at LLNL

Advanced Scientific Computing Research at LLNL

Basic Energy Science at LLNL

Biological and Environmental Research at LLNL

Fusion Energy Sciences at LLNL

High Energy Physics at LLNL

Nuclear Physics at LLNL

Fusion Energy Sciences Program (FESP) advances interdisciplinary S&T in areas central to establishing the scientific basis of fusion.

- **MFE Experiments, Theory, and Computations**
- **Fusion Technology and Materials**
- **HEDLP/IFES: Heavy Ion Fusion Science**
- **HEDLP/IFES: Fast Ignition Science**

http://fusion-energy.llnl.gov

LLNL's FES Program research benefits greatly from our collaborations, examples include . . .

- **MFE Experiments, Theory, and Modeling**
  - DIII-D collaboration with 6 LLNL researchers at GA
  - NSTX-U collaboration with 4 LLNL researchers at PPPL
  - EAST and KSTAR collaborations with up to 6 researchers visiting LLNL at any time

- **Fusion Technology & Materials**
  - U.S. ITER Project with 1 LLNL researcher at ORNL
  - Virtual Laboratory for Technology (including Materials Research)
- **HEDLP/IFES: Heavy Ion Fusion Science**
  - NDCX-II facility with 6 LLNL researchers at LBNL
  - HIFS VNL with LBNL, LLNL, and PPPL
- **HEDLP/IFES: Fast Ignition Science**
  - NIF and Jupiter Users Group
  - Omega Laser Facility Users Group

Collaborations across collaborations, e.g. Jupiter Laser Facility and NDCX-II

LLNL FESP program continues to contribute broadly to DOE FES mission research areas as represented by . . .

"ELM" BOUT++ Simulation Modeling and EAST data



T.Y. Xia, X. Xu et al., 24th IAEA FEC

Dislocation dynamics simulations of single-crystal irradiated Fe systems



Early Career Research "Fusion Materials" LLNL 2012 recipient Jaime Marian

NDCX-II ion beam (1ns, 30A, 1.2MeV) HIFS experiments are on track





Joe Kwan, 33rd FPA Annual Meeting

PIC Code calculation for Jupiter Laser Facility short pulse Titan Laser



12th International Workshop on Fast Ignition, Nov '12 (Patel, Kemp, et al.)

‘Snowflake Divertor’ NSTX and DIII-D experimental results were of great interest to our nat’l and int’l collaborators at the recent IAEA FEC



You are here: SC Home » Programs » FES Home » FES Highlights » 2012 » A Snowflake-Shaped Magnetic Field Holds Promise for Taming Harsh Fusion Plasmas

Fusion Energy Sciences (FES)

CONTACT INFORMATION
Fusion Energy Sciences (FES)

October 2012

A Snowflake-Shaped Magnetic Field Holds Promise for Taming Harsh Fusion Plasmas

Recent experiments have confirmed the great potential of a novel plasma-material interface concept.

The Science

Heat escaping from the core of a twelve-million degree nuclear fusion plasma device was successfully contained by a snowflake-shaped magnetic field to mitigate its impact on device walls.

Image courtesy of Vlad Soukhanovskii

The National Spherical Torus Experiment Device at Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (left), and a schematic of magnetic field lines in the snowflake divertor configuration (right).

Contact

V. A. Soukhanovskii
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
vlad@llnl.gov

LLNL’s Vlad Soukhanovskii . . .

Snowflake divertor – a possible power exhaust solution for magnetic fusion

V. A. Soukhanovskii

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

NSTX-U and DIII-D Research Teams

FUSION POWER ASSOCIATES
33^{rd} Annual Meeting and Symposium
Fusion Energy: Progress and Promise
December 5-6, 2012
Washington, DC 20003

LLNL-CONF-605773

Acknowledgements

- **LLNL Theory**: D. D. Ryutov, T. D. Rognlien, M. V. Umansky
- **NSTX-U Team**: D. Battaglia, R. E. Bell, A. Diallo, S. P. Gerhardt, R. Kaita, S. M. Kaye, E. Kolemen, B. P. LeBlanc, R. Maingi, McLean, E. Meier, J. E. Menard, D. Mueller, S. F. Paul, M. Podesta, R. Raman, A. L. Roquemore, F. Scotti
- **DIII-D Team**: S. L. Allen, J. Boedo, N. Brooks, M. Fenstermacher, R. Groebner, D. N. Hill, A. Hyatt, C. Lasnier, A. Leonard, M. Makowski, A. McLean, T. Osborne, T. Petrie, J. Watkins
- **DOE OFES**: This work supported in part under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344

Poloidal divertor concept enabled progress in tokamak physics studies in the last 30 years

- Divertor challenge
  - Steady-state heat flux
    - present limit $q_{peak} \leq 10$ MW/m^2
    - projected to $q_{peak} \leq 80$ MW/m^2 for future devices
  - Density and impurity control (low T_e)
  - Impulsive heat and particle loads
  - Compatibility with good core plasma performance

- NSTX (Spherical Tokamak, aspect ratio A=1.4-1.5)
  - $I_p \leq 1.4$ MA, $P_{in} \leq 7.4$ MW (NBI)
  - $q_{peak} \leq 15$ MW/m^2, $q_{||} \leq 200$ MW/m^2
  - Graphite PFCs with lithium coatings
- DIII-D (Conventional tokamak, aspect ratio A~2.7)
  - $I_p \leq 1.5$ MA, $P_{in} \leq 20$ MW NBI + 3.6 MW ECH
  - $q_{peak} \leq 10$ MW/m^2
  - Graphite PFCs



National Spherical Torus Experiment at PPPL

Snowflake divertor configuration predicted to have significant benefits over standard X-point divertor

- Snowflake divertor
  - Second-order null
    - $B_p \sim 0$ and grad $B_p \sim 0$ (Cf. first-order null: $B_p \sim 0$)
  - Obtained with existing divertor coils (min. 2)
  - Exact snowflake topologically unstable
- Predicted geometry properties (cf. standard divertor)
  - Increased edge shear: ped. stability
  - Add'l null: H-mode power threshold, ion loss
  - Larger plasma wetted-area A_{wet} : reduce q_{div}
  - Four strike points : share $q_{||}$
  - Larger X-point connection length L_x : reduce $q_{||}$
  - Larger effective divertor volume V_{div} : incr. P_{rad} , P_{CX}
- Experiments: TCV, NSTX, DIII-D

Exact snowflake divertor





snowflake-minus



snowflake-plus

D. D. Ryutov, PoP 14 (2007), 064502;
Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 54 (2012) 124050

Snowflake divertor configurations obtained with existing divertor coils in NSTX and DIII-D





Shot 141241, EFIT02,
time: 0.905 s,
normalized flux: 1.005

Shot 141240, EFIT02,
time: 0.905 s,
normalized flux: 1.005

- Significant increase in the snowflake divertor (cf. standard divertor)
  - Plasma-wetted area (flux expansion)
  - Region of low B_p field in divertor
  - Magnetic field line length
- Divertor coil currents 0.5-4 kA within safety margins
- Steady-state snowflake configurations sustained for many energy confinement times τ_E
  - NSTX: 0.5 s
  - DIII-D: 3 s



DIII-D snowflake: good H-mode confinement maintained, heat flux reduction, ELM reduction

- Core confinement (H89P > 2) and pedestal constant
- Divertor heat flux reduced 2-3X
- ΔW(ELM) reduced
- ELM heat flux reduced dramatically with deuterium puffing





S. L. Allen et al., Paper PD/1-2, IAEA FEC 2012.

NSTX studies demonstrated compatibility of snowflake divertor with H-mode confinement, heat flux reduction

- NSTX snowflake divertor experiments
  - H-mode confinement unchanged
    - W_{MHD}~250 kJ, H98(y,2)~ 1, β_N~5
  - Core impurity reduced by up to 50 %
  - Pedestal stability and ELMs affected
  - Divertor heat flux significantly reduced
    - By up to 80 % between ELMs (from 5-7 to ~1 MW/m^2)
    - By up to 70 % at peak ELM









- ELM heat transport theory
  - Reduced surface heating due to increased ELM energy deposition time
  - Convective mixing of ELM heat in null-point region -> heat flux partitioning between separatrix branches (strike points)

V. A. Soukhanovskii et al., Nucl. Fusion 51 (2011) 012001.
V. A. Soukhanovskii et al., Phys. Plasmas 19 (2012) 082504.
V. A. Soukhanovskii et al., Paper EX/P5-21, IAEA FEC 2012.
D. D. Ryutov et al., Paper TH/P4-18, IAEA FEC 2012

NSTX-U research aims at predictive understanding needed for fusion energy development facilities

- Advance ST as candidate for Fusion Nuclear Science Facility (FNSF)
- Develop solutions for plasma-material interface
- Advance toroidal confinement physics predictive capability for ITER and beyond
- Develop ST as fusion energy system



Fusion Nuclear Science Facility (FNSF)



ST Pilot Plant



ITER



NSTX-U



New center-stack **2^{nd} neutral beam**

$B_T \rightarrow$ 1 T $P_{NBI} \rightarrow$ 12 MW

$I_p \rightarrow$ 2 MA pulse $\rightarrow$ 5 s

Snowflake divertor is a leading divertor power exhaust candidate for NSTX-U, modeling projections optimistic

- NSTX-U divertor coils designed to support a variety of snowflake configurations
  - Up-down symmetric possible



- Predictions for 12 MW NBI case with UEDGE code
  - P_{SOL}=9 MW
  - Standard div. heat flux 15-20 MW/m^2
  - Snowflake 2-4 MW/m^2

NSTX-U simulation



NSTX-U standard double-null divertor



NSTX-U double-snowflake-plus



NSTX-U double-snowflake-minus





V. A. Soukhanovskii et al., Paper EX/P5-21, IAEA FEC 2012.

Experiments suggest the snowflake divertor configuration may be a viable divertor power exhaust solution

- Results from DIII-D and NSTX:
  - Steady-state snowflake configuration compatible with good H-mode confinement
  - All predicted magnetic geometry properties realized
    - Plasma-wetted area, connection length much higher than in the standard divertor
  - Effects on H-mode pedestal stability and ELM energy
  - Significant reduction of steady-state and ELM peak divertor heat flux
  - Potential to combine with radiative divertor solution

- Future plans:
  - Proposing new experiments in DIII-D in 2013-2014
  - Preparations for experiments in NSTX-U
    - Synergistic effects of snowflake and lithium plasma-facing components
  - Concept development for FNSF and DEMO
    - ST-FNSF planning activity at PPPL



Snowflake divertor concept rapidly developing into mainstream fusion research direction



- Snowflake divertor concept development by LLNL
  - Theory – D. D. Ryutov et al., 2007 - present
  - Experiment
    - NSTX tokamak, 2009 - 2011
    - DIII-D tokamak, 2012 - present
  - 6 Invited and Oral talks – IEAE FEC, PSI, APS, EPS, ICC conferences
  - R&D 100 Award 2012



- International snowflake divertor research on the rise:
  - Switzerland: TCV tokamak – ongoing experiments
  - China: modeling configurations for HL-2M and CFETR tokamak proposals
  - Italy: snowflake configurations developed for FAST satellite tokamak proposal
  - Britain: planning snowflake configurations for MAST-U tokamak (2015)
  - France: WEST tokamak – planning divertor coils





Backup slides

Various techniques developed for reduction of heat fluxes $q_{||}$ (divertor SOL) and q_{peak} (divertor target)

$$q_{peak} \simeq \frac{P_{SOL}(1-f_{rad})f_{geo}\sin\alpha}{2\pi R_{SP} f_{exp} \lambda_{q_{||}}}$$

$$A_{wet} = 2\pi R\, f_{exp}\, \lambda_{q_{||}}$$

$$f_{exp} = \frac{(B_p/B_{tot})_{MP}}{(B_p/B_{tot})_{OSP}}$$

- Promising divertor peak heat flux mitigation solutions:
  - Divertor geometry
    - poloidal flux expansion
    - divertor plate tilt
    - magnetic balance
  - Radiative divertor
- Recent ideas to improve standard divertor geometry
  - X-divertor (M. Kotschenreuther *et. al*, IC/P6-43, IAEA FEC 2004)
  - Snowflake divertor (D. D. Ryutov, PoP 14, 064502 2007)
  - Super-X divertor (M. Kotschenreuther *et. al*, IC/P4-7, IAEA FEC 2008)

Snowflake divertor configurations obtained with existing divertor coils, maintained for up to 10 τ_E







Snowflake divertor designs are studied for next-step spherical tokamak based divices

- ST-FNSF development studies are quantifying performance dependence on size
- Building on achieved/projected NSTX/NSTX-U performance and design
- Divertor PF coil configurations identified to achieve high δ while maintaining peak divertor heat flux < 10MW/m^2



Parameter	Fusion Nuclear Science Facility
Major Radius R_0 [m]	1.3
Aspect Ratio R_0 / a	≥ 1.5
Plasma Current [MA]	4 – 10
Toroidal Field [T]	2 – 3
Auxiliary Power [MW]	22 – 45
P/R [MW/m]	30 – 60
P/S [MW/m^2]	0.6 – 1.2
Fusion Gain Q	1 – 2



Snowflake

- **Flux expansion = 40-60, $\delta_x \sim 0.62$**
- **$1/\sin(\theta_{plate})$ = 1-1.5**
- **Good detachment (NSTX data) and cryo-pumping (NSTX-U modeling)**

J. Menard et. al., Paper FTP/3-4, IAEA FEC 2012