

Exceptional service in the national interest



Automatic Ordering for Volume-of-Fluid Interface Reconstruction in Multi-material Elements

R. M. J. Kramer and S. J. Mosso

Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM

September 4, 2013




Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

SAND 2013-7145C

The XFEM in ALEGRA


- ALEGRA: multiphysics Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian simulation software developed at Sandia
- The eXtended Finite Element Method for material interfaces:
 - Enrichment of velocity field for each material
 - Effectively an adaptive refinement technique: material interfaces are resolved in multi-material elements, avoiding mixed-material models
- XFEM demands accurate interface reconstruction



Interface Reconstruction

- Youngs' Method for interface reconstruction (1982):
 - Volume-of-fluid method: discretely mass conserving
 - *Only data available for reconstruction are the volume fractions*
 - Interface normal computed from gradient of volume fraction

0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.4	0.8
0.8	1.0	1.0




$$\mathbf{n} = -\nabla V$$


- For more than two materials, an ordering is required
- ALEGRA has no infrastructure for tracking local (sub-element) material centroids

Pattern Interface Reconstruction


- Youngs' method extended to allow intersecting and terminating interfaces by selective gradient calculation (Mosso & coworkers)
- Interfaces cut from arbitrary polygons/polyhedra



Mixed Element



Tan/(Red+Blue)



Red/Blue

- Second-order accurate with smoothing
- Enables XFEM in ALEGRA, but not exclusive to it



The Ordering Problem

- A-B-C or A-C-B? Accumulate volume fractions?
 - Each interface is computed from an A | not A proposition

$$\mathbf{n}_A = -\nabla V_A$$

$$\mathbf{n}_B = -\nabla(V_A + V_B)$$

$$= \nabla V_C$$



$$\mathbf{n}_A = -\nabla V_A$$

$$\mathbf{n}_C = -\nabla(V_A + V_C)$$


$$= \nabla V_B$$

- For N materials, there are $(N-2)N!$ ordering combinations
 - Quickly becomes burdensome for users running complex problems

Manual Ordering	Automatic Ordering
Specified by user	No <i>a priori</i> input required
Global material ordering	Local material ordering
Static	Dynamic

Ordering Algorithm

- Automatic ordering: Mosso & Clancy (1994), Benson (1998)
 - Sijoy & Chaturvedi (2010) combined these for similar approach
 - Our method handles PIR interfaces, extended to 3-D
- Critical that ordering should be grid-independent
 - Given interface should yield the same ordering regardless of frame




- Requires perpendicular-distance least squares regression
- Use local material position approximation

Algorithm (2-D)


1. Calculate local material centroid approximations
 - Approximate materials as located at centroid of each neighbor
2. Fit a line to the centroids
 - Volume-fraction weighted least squares fit
 - Perpendicular distance regression for grid independence
3. Define ordering by distances along line of projected material centroids
 - Choice of ordering direction: material closest to the line determines direction
4. For certain cases, modify ordering or gradient to improve interfaces
 - Choice of gradient approximation:

$$-\nabla V_f \quad \text{or} \quad -\nabla (\sum V_f)$$




Ordering 'Fixes' (1)

- Identify candidates by regression quality indicator
- Effectively a low-order smoothing: improving gradients
- Ordering direction choice:
 - Largest volume material usually closest to the line, ordered first
 - Can be distorted by appearance of another material in neighborhood
 - Compute centroid of the complement of each candidate material and compare distances from original regression line



White/(Tan)/Blue


vs.



Blue/(Tan)/White


Ordering 'Fixes' (2)

- PIR allows for intersecting interfaces: T-intersections
 - Angle of intersection depends on gradient calculation choice
- Gradient choice at T-intersections:
 - Usually accumulation is best for the second material (e.g., layers)
 - Terminating interface may be 'better' without accumulation: when T-intersection is identified, compute both interfaces
 - Choose the interface that better suits the neighborhood



Blue/Blue+Tan


vs.




Blue/Tan

Demonstration Problem

- Low-resolution block impacting a wall, Eulerian XFEM
 - Manual ordering gives ‘reference’ solution [not converged]




Automatic Ordering





Manual Ordering

A Contrived Example

- Nested spheres striking a plate
- 4 materials + void





$$V_{\text{impact}} = 427 \text{ m/s}$$




Another Example

- Plate impact at 800 m/s



Extension to 3-D

- Need an ordering direction: start by fitting a basis
 - Error equation: normal of the plane
 - Residual equation: tangential direction
- Extreme points coincide to define the basis directions




$$\text{SSE} = \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \left[(x_m - \hat{x}_m)^2 + (y_m - \hat{y}_m)^2 + (z_m - \hat{z}_m)^2 \right] = R_n$$

$$\text{SSR} = \sum_{m=1}^M w_m \left[(\hat{x}_m - \bar{x})^2 + (\hat{y}_m - \bar{y})^2 + (\hat{z}_m - \bar{z})^2 \right] = R_t$$




Algorithm (3-D)

1. Calculate local material centroid approximations
2. Fit a basis to the centroids
 - Newton method for initial solution, then complete the basis
 - Fail-safe sequence to ensure a solution is found
3. Identify tangential direction (ordering line)
4. Define ordering by distances along line of projected material centroids
 - Same logic as 2-D, based on distance projected on the intersection plane
5. Check for ordering overrides (as in 2-D)
 - Switch ordering if necessary
 - Check gradients at T-intersections




3-D Demonstrations

- Verification tests



3-D Examples


- Whipple shield: sphere impacting plate in air



- An enabling feature for 3-D Eulerian XFEM in ALEGRA

3-D Examples

- Plate impact at 750 m/s (3-D Eulerian, without XFEM)



(Model courtesy of Scott Roberts)