Impact of Dam Removal on Fish and Macroinvertebrate Populations: Pennsylvania's Observations



R. Scott Carney, Chief Division of Habitat Management

Dams in Pennsylvania





- Approximately 3,000 regulated dams in PA
- Only 1% provide hydropower
- Only 5% provide flood control
- Over 75% are small, less than 25 feet high with short hydraulic residence time
- 74% are privately owned
- 24% are publicly owned
- 2% are orphaned
- Hundreds are 75 + years old, many are 100 to 150 years old
- An estimated 4,000 unregulated dams exist

PFBC Consultation and Grant Program for Fish Passage and Habitat Restoration

Supports dam removal by.....

- Providing engineering and technical assistance
- Providing financial support and consultation
- Providing education and outreach services
- Advocating and soliciting projects throughout PA



CONSULTATION AND GRANT PROGRAM for Fish Passage and Habitat Restoration

¹⁹ The advances investion on Periodic sum Fails and hard Commission's (PPG) sum Fails and hard Commission's (PPG) much fails prompt and sum fails for instantian at sum of the structures as the hardpathama River and Chassipaide Reg The programs and Chassipaide Reg Party and Chassipaide Reg Tells are reade Reg Programs family Tells are integrated points of the American shad Regression approx manipaid by the Regression Regression Read South Composition.

In Equipment The Supersonal The SuperStrains River Statis is the larger river respects Proceedings of the second states of the Superson and States of Superson States of Superson and States of Superson States of Superson Super

that presence who sees or mucrous durin on the water of Demonstrains intern result table preserges functions on other division to employ first to accord and denored the vations as all means of the year. As alternation in fast, parsage division in control of the blackage. The control workshows of the law is an funch at 10 Pe C.S. (2), 35. III to Companying the Deriver in control of the law law game does the Program. Segme

is 1987 and administered by the Sorteron

manual Promotions Agencia, National Gallard

a principa for temperature of reignation fish

a honorical habitat within the Cheseprobe

Tributory fish passage and

habitat restoration are

integral parts of the

American shad restaration

project managed by the

Susquehanna River

Anadromous Fish

Restaration Caoperative.

for drainings

B The Chrospools Ray Program Libring Researces Indocessesties that multilided a Fold Persearce Work Compared a Hubbited Researces Work Compared a Multitel Researces of regulatory following him the transmission of regulatory following him tests habitute a The HUB compared of Compared

 The FFIS, represents the Contents multit of Propagination on the Fish Pansage Work Group and is represented on the Group Resentors Indocementmen.
 The FFIS Supportances Kinet Intel-

must have been been been utility overset of Indexposites dates on the statistic overset for Isooparlaneae Error, provided for the processor of statistical terms, at Helivered, hade Harfter and York Harver dates.

 The PTRC arressel?s applies the funding as appent anyoney field substation, formight the Chemistrice, thereagh the Chemistrice, thereagh the Chemistrice Bay Program's administration of Section 117 of the Chemi Water Act

B The FEDC has used her Program. Funding to express a property Pressaylinasis beau University to pressnanty "Inpediments to Fish Passage in the





- Lake Erie
- Ohio River Basin
- Genesee River (Lake Ontario)
- Potomac River Basin

- **Susquehanna River Basin**
- Elk & Northeast /Gunpowder Rivers
- Delaware River Basin

PFBC's Dam Removal Projects

Completed			Ongoing		
Basin	Number		Basin	Number	
Susquehanna	67		Susquehanna	41	
Delaware	13		Delaware	41	
Ohio	8		Ohio	20	
Potomac	3		Potomac	0	
Erie	0		Erie	2	
Total	91		Total	106	

Over 120 dams removed statewide

Impacts of Impounding Rivers

• Alter flow regimes and hydrologic processes

Habitat Modifications Changes in the Structure and Function of Biotic Communities

 Fragment the continuity of fivers and the connectivity between aquatic and terrestrial habitats Factor's Influencing the Rate, Magnitude, Duration, and Spatial Extent of Changes to Aquatic Communities Following Dam Removal

• Height and length of dam

Impacts to, and Recovery of, Fish and Macroinvertebrate Populations and Aquatic Ecosystems Functions are Highly Variable

- Presence of additional dams and other characteristics of the watershed
- Volume and physical characteristics of deposited sediment
- Removal approach and mitigation actions

Pennsylvania Dam Removal Studies

- Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
- The Pennsylvania State University
- The Academy of Natural Sciences, Patrick Center for Environmental Research
- ➢ U.S.G.S.
- Normandeau and Associates, Inc.
- Western Pennsylvania Conservancy
- Beran Environmental
- Mifflin County Conservation District
- Worked with non-profit partners to establish a citizen monitoring program to evaluate pre- and post-dam removal impacts

Dam Removal Monitoring Activities

Parameters Monitored	Projects	
	Monitored	
Sediment Characterization	40	
Fish	15	
Sediment Contaminants	12	
Macroinvertebrates (excluding mussels)	11	
Water Quality	8	
Sediment Transport	4	
Mussels	3	
Ground Water	2	
Algae	1	
Vegetative Succession	Multiple	

Rock Hill Dam, Lancaster Co., PA

Removed 1996



Rock Hill Dam

One year following removal



Rock Hill Dam, Lancaster Co., PA



Removed 1996



American shad return to the Conestoga River after 100 year absence.



- EPA Chesapeake Bay Program
- LandStudies, Inc.
- Donegal Chapter T.U.
- Lititz Run Watershed Assn.
- PA DEP
- PA F&BC
- Center for Chesapeake Communities
- Lancaster County
- Lancaster County
 Conservation District
- Millport Conservancy
- Octoraro Native Plant Nursery

Lititz, Run Watershed Restoration Project



Impoundment prior to dam removal

Millport Roller Mills Dam, Lititz Run, Lancaster Co.





Millport Roller Mills Dam

Removed 1999



Millport Roller Mills Dam

Summary

- Downstream water temperature decreased 12°F following removal of the dam
- Increased turbidity and mobilization of sediment occurred as a result of dam removal
- Macroinvertebrates located downstream were negatively impacted by mobilized sediment after removal, but increased in diversity and abundance in the long-term
- Habitat has improved, and Lititz Run currently supports a sustainable put-and-grow, stocked trout fishery

Source: Lancaster County Conservation District







Good Hope Dam, Conodoguinet Creek, Cumberland Co.





Good Hope Restoration

Completed 2004

Summary

- Good Hope Dam was not an effective barrier to sediment transport
- Removal of the dam had no impact on water-quality characteristics
- Downstream macroinvertebrate communities were not impacted by the removal of the dam
- EPT taxa increased in diversity and abundance in former impoundment
- No changes in fish communities were observed
- Removal of the dam reopened 12 miles of historic habitat for migratory Alosines Sources: USGS, PSU

Pennsylvania's Trout Water Classification System

			Sub-subprogram	<u>Criteria</u>
Class <u>Subprogram</u> <u>Criteria</u>		1. Wild brook trout fisheries	a. Total brook trout biomass of at least 30 kg/ha (26.7 lbs/acre)	
A B	Wild Trout Hatchery Trout-	(See Wild Trout Subprogram) a. Total brook trout biomass of at least 20 kg/ha (17.8		b. Total biomass of brook trout less than 15 cm (5.9 in.) total length of at least 0.1 kg/ha
Wild Trout	lbs/acre) and less than 30 kg/ha (26.7 lbs/ acre). b. Total brown trout or brown and brook trout combined biomass of at least 20 kg/ha (17.8 lbs/ acre) and less than 40 kg/ha (35.6 lbs/acre).		c. Brook trout biomass must comprise at least 75% of total trout biomass	
		2. Wild brown trout fisheries	a. Total brown trout biomass of at least 40 kg/ha (35.6 lbs/acre)	
C	Hatchery Trout	ry Trout Total Trout biomass of at least 10 kg/ha (8.9 lbs/ acre) and less than 20 kg/ha (17.8 lbs/acre).		b. Total biomass of brown trout less than 15 cm (5.9 in.) total length of at least 0.1 kg/ha
D	Hatchery Trout Total trout biomass less than 10 kg/ha (8.9 lbs/ acre).			c. Total brown trout biomass must comprise at least 75% of total trout biomass
			3. Mixed wild brook/brown fisheries	a. Combined brook and brown fisheries trout biomass of at least 40 kg/ha (35.6 lbs/acre)
				b. Brook trout biomass must comprise less than 75% of total trout biomass
				c. Brown trout biomass must comprise less than 75% of total trout biomass
				d. Total biomass of brook trout less than 15 cm (5.9 in.) total length of at least 0.1 kg/ha
				e. Total biomass of brown trout less than 15 cm (5.9 in.) total length of at least 0.1 kg/ha
			4. Wild rainbow trout	a. Total biomass of rainbow trout less than 15 cm (5.9 in.) total length of at least 2.0 kg/ha

Reedsville Mill Dam, Tea Creek, Mifflin Co.



Removed Fall 2004 Total Cost: \$75,000





Reedsville Mill Dam



Reedsville Mill Dam



Brown Trout Colonization in the Newly Established Channel Upstream of the Former Reedsville Mill Pond

Summary

- Trout biomass in the former impoundment area was significantly higher post removal
- Abundance of trout larger than the fingerlings (young-of-year) was limited by the present lack of adult fish habitat in former impoundment
- Overall, outstanding wild brown trout population by statewide standards especially when considering the level of disturbance associated with removal of the dams
- Fish habitat enhancement structures were installed in 2005 and riparian plantings completed in 2006 A modified Petersen population estimate of 1407 wild brown trout/km with a biomass
- Additional fishes a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior b prior to installation of fish habitat enhancement structuse a prior b prior b







Mirror Lakes, Reading **Public Museum, Wyomissing** Creek, Berks County,

Removed Summer 2004





Sediment Management and Stream Diversion at Reading Museum, Wyomissing Creek





Channel Grading at Reading Museum







Channel Grading at Reading Museum



Final Channel and Floodplain Grading at Reading Museum





Streambank Stabilization and Seeding



Reading Public Museum

Removed 2004



Reading Public Museum – Before & After



October 2004

September 2002



Brown Trout Population Assessment, Wyomissing Creek, Berks Co, PA – Control and Former Impoundment Sites

Table 1. Estimated Abundance and Biomass of brown trout from WYOMISSING CK using a Petersen estimator. Site located at river mile 0.96, survey date: 07/25/05.

Size	Population	Low	High	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
Group	Estimate	95%CI	5%CI	Number/Ha	Kg/Ha	Number/Km
50	14			95	0.28	82
75	166	103	282	1122	8.98	976
100	19			128	1.67	112
150	43	25	84	291	14.83	253
175	62	37	110	419	28.51	365
200	8	4	18	54	5.25	47
225	18	11	33	122	16.8	106
250	10	4	24	68	10.89	59
275	4			27	5.38	24
300	2			14	3.72	12
325	1			7	2.62	6
450	1			7	7.45	6
Totals:	348			2354	106.38	2048

Table 2. Estimated abundance and biomass of brown trout from WYOMISSING CK using a Petersen estimator. Site located at river mile 0.75, survey date: 07/22/05.

Size	Population	Low	High	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
Group	Estimate	95%CI	95%CI	Number/Ha	Kg/Ha	Number/Km
50	1			8	0.02	6
75	110	76	167	901	7.21	621
100	38	25	61	311	4.05	215
150	9	4	23	74	3.76	51
175	13	7	27	106	7.24	73
200	11	6	23	90	8.74	62
225	2			16	2.26	11
250	2			16	2.64	11
275	4	2	10	33	6.52	23
Totals:	190			1555	42.44	1073

- A modified Petersen population estimate of 2048 wild brown trout/km and a biomass estimate of 106.4 kg/ha (Class A – 90th percentile) was observed at the control site
- A modified Petersen population estimate of 1073 wild brown trout/km with a biomass of 42.4 kg/ha (Class A) was observed in former impoundment.

Brown Trout Population Assessment Wyomissing Creek, Berks Co, PA – Downstream of Dam

Summary

- Trout biomass in the former impoundment area was significantly higher post dam removal
- Abundance of trout larger than the fingerlings (young-of-year) was limited by the present lack of adult fish habitat in former impoundment
- Overall, outstanding wild brown trout population by statewide standards especially when considering the level of disturbance associated with removal of the dams
- Continued habitat improvement in the former impoundment would likely creates a wild brown broze supplation observed and bioowass want by equation post dam
- Impretuefielastice were align downstreagner eache stone leasing to remove align downstreagner eache stone leasing to remove align and 12 annel scouring effects from a storm sewer that serves a large geographical area may be contributing to reduced biomass.

Hellburg Dam, Conestoga River,Lancaster Co.Removed Winter 1999



Hellberg Dam

Immediately after removal



Hellburg Dam

Two years after removal



Macroinvertebrate Response to Dam Removal, Conestoga River, Lancaster County, PA



• The 1999 pre-removal samples were dominated by two major families: Corixidae and Chironomidae.

• The 2001 post-removal samples were more evenly distributed among several families: Chironomidae, Hydropsychidae, Baetidae, Elmidae, and Hydroptilidae Provided by Pennsylvania State University

Macroinvertebrate Response to Dam Removal



- In general, the 2001 post-dam removal samples had more taxa (30 families from 18 orders) represented than pre-removal samples (16 families from 10 orders). The 2001 samples had a combined 3,783 total macroinvertebrates present in 10 kick samples, while the 1999 samples only had 554 macroinvertebrates in 10 kick samples.
- Overall, the 2001 post-dam removal samples had higher numbers of individuals per taxon compared to the 1999 pre-removal samples, with the only exception being the dominance of Hemiptera in the 1999 pre-removal samples



Franklin Mill Dam, Middle Creek, Snyder Co., PA

Removed 1999



Fish Response to Dam Removal, Middle Creek, Snyder Co., PA



Figure 1. Total number of fish species collected in the upstream, impoundment, and downstream study sections of Middle Creek, Middleburg, PA.

	Middle Creek			
Attribute	1999	2000	2001	
Number of species	19	31	28	
Number of individuals	247	1935	2349	
Number of benthic species	3	11	10	

Table 1. Total number of fish species, total number of individuals, and total number of benthic species sampled in the impoundment before (1999) and in the previously impounded area after (2000 and 2001) dam removal in Middle Creek, Middleburg, PA.

Prior to dam removal (1999), 247 individuals distributed among 19 species were collected in the impoundment region. After the dam was removed (in 2000 and 2001), both the total number of species and the number of individuals sampled increased dramatically in the area that was previously impounded; 1,935 individuals distributed among 31 species, and 2,349 individuals representing 28 species in 2000 and 2001, respectively, were collected.

Fish Response to Dam Removal, Manatawny Creek, **Montgomery Co., PA** (25 m² Riffle Samples: Riffle Species)

(Etheostoma olmstedi, Hypentelium nigricans, Noturus insignis, Percina peltata, and Rhinichthys cataractae)



Acad. Natl. Sci. Phila.

Carter's Dam Mussel Survey, Conewango Creek, Warren County, PA

Report on the Freshwater Mussels in the Immediate Vicinity of Carter's Dam,

Conewango Creek, River Miles 0.3 and 0.4

Warren County, Pennsylvania



A report to the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission and American Rivers



Ryan Evans and Tamara Smith

Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program – Pittsburgh office

The Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program is a partnership between The Western Pennsylvania Conservancy (Pittsburgh office). The Nature Conservancy Pennsylvania science office (Middletown office), and The Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (Harrisburg office).

- Carter's Dam is 5 feet high and 400 feet long, orphaned and in advance disrepair
- Dam removal is being advanced to mitigate impact for a nearby bridge replacement
- Mussel survey was conducted due to suspected presence of state and federally listed species
- Survey inventoried mussel population, marked and relocated selected species, and provided recommendations to minimize project impacts

Carter's Dam Mussel Survey

Summary

- Inundation of mussel beds unlikely due to lack of fine sediment above the dam
- Dam to be removed incrementally to minimize scouring by anticipated increases water velocities and to afford opportunity to relocate individuals stranded by dewatering of impoundment
- Removal should be conducted (if possible) during periods of mussel dormancy and sparse aquatic vegetation in the impoundment (BOD concerns)
- Heavy equipment to utilize dam surface as causeway to minimize working on the stream bottom while dismantling the dam
- Net benefits (enhanced riverine habitat and passage for host fish species) thought to mitigate short-term negative impacts of dam removal

Source: PA Natural Heritage Program, PFBC, PA DEP

Impacts of Small Dam Removal on Fish and Macroinvertebrate Communities -A Summary of Observations from Pennsylvania Location Action Short-Term Impacts Long -Term Impacts Removal of **Reestablishment** of Recolonization of Upstream migratory barrier connectivity among native species habitats and biota Introduction of invasive and/or nonnative species? Dewatering of Mortality associated Change in species Impoundment impoundment with habitat diversity and desiccation and abundance Restoration of stranding of biota natural flow regime Shift from lentic to and channel form Displacement of lentic lotic assemblages in species response to changes Enhanced sediment in physical habitat, and nutrient water temperature, dissolved oxygen? transport Restoration of Increased stress. Change in species Downstream natural flow regime diversity and mortality, or and channel form displacement resulting abundance (decrease from high turbidity, then gradual to rapid habitat inundation from Enhanced sediment recovery) and nutrient mobilized sediment. abrupt changes in Potential shift in transport biotic communities in water temperature, dissolved oxygen? response to changes in water temperature, dissolved oxygen?

Additional Observations and Trends

- Change in flow regime and mobilization of sediment are the primary causative factors impacting stream biota
- Short-term impacts to fish and macroinvertebrates are unavoidable, but gradual to rapid recovery observed depending on character and volume of sediment, and periodicity of flooding/flushing events
- Recovery of fish and macroinvertebrate populations are highly variable among individual dam removal projects (months to decades)
- Impacts to biotic communities comparable to natural high flow events
- Small dams and dam removal has little impact on water quality with the exception of water temperature which could be dramatic
- Benefits of dam removal may be masked by other anthropogenic stressors
- River systems tend to be highly resilient and have great ability to recover in due time
- More log-term monitoring needed

Spring Creek, Centre Co., PA



Cabin Hill Dam, Centre Co., PA Removed Summer 1997



Cabin Hill Dam

One year after removal



Brown Trout Abundance and Biomass Post-Dam Removal, Spring Creek, Centre Co., PA

Table 1. Time series abundance data from SPRING CK at site rivermile 0.37. Species selected: brown trout

SizeGroup	NumHa 7/5/2000	KgHa 7/5/2000	NumKm 7/5/2000	NumHa 8/2/1988	KgHa 8/2/1988	NumKm 8/2/1988
50	38	0.09	86	11	0.05	23
75	68	0.54	151	495	3.47	1070
100	5	0.05	11	54	0.65	117
125	3	0.08	6			
150	11	0.56	26	11	0.5	23
175	80	7.96	180	77	5.63	167
200	226	24.16	506	145	14.51	313
225	360	51.44	806	86	11.93	187
250	472	87.78	1057	96	17.32	207
275	199	46.16	446	74	17.41	160
300	91	25.81	203	110	33.31	237
325	32	11.1	71	54	20.04	117
350	10	4.48	23	39	17.17	83
375	5	2.56	11	26	14.64	57
400	4	2.45	9	15	10.17	33
425	3	1.88	6	9	7.94	20
450	3	2.34	6			
500				2	1.85	3
Totals:	1610	269.44	3604	1304	176.59	2817

- Brown trout abundance and biomass increased post dam removal (3604 trout/ha, 269.4kg/ha from 2817 trout/ha, 176.59kg/ha)
- Not clear if dam removal impacted trout populations, changes observed may be associated with natural variability
- Dam removal did no long-term harm
- Density of common carp decreased dramatically

McCoy's Dam, Spring Creek, Centre County



Goldsboro Dam Removal, Fishing Creek, York Co.









Detter's Mill Dam Removal, W. Conewago Creek, York County

Removed 2004









Detter's Mill Dam, York County





Williamsburg Station, Fr. Br. Juniata River,Huntingdon Co.Removed 1996



Williamsburg Station

Two years after removal









Irving Mill Dam, Ridley Creek, Montgomery Co.



Removed 2004 Total Cost: \$95,000



Irving Mill Dam



Irving Mill Dam



Black Dam, Conodoguinet Creek, York Co.



Removed 2003 Total Cost: \$65,000





Black Dam





Black Dam



Trindle Spring Run Dam Removal, Cumberland County





Trindle Spring Run Dam Removal Temporary Diversion Channel



Trindle Spring Run Dam Removal



Trindle Spring Run Dam Removal Diversion Channel



Trindle Spring Run Dam Removal Impacted Sediment Management



Trindle Spring Run Dam Removal Sediment Disposal



Spring Dam Removal Before & After, Pennypack Creek





Sharpless Dam Removal Before & After, Ridley Creek





Siloam Dam Before & After, Conococheague Creek



