# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

**R1ABCO** 

## Interior White Fir, Northeastern California

General Information							
Contributors (additiona	al contributors may be listed under "Model	Evolution and Comments")					
Modelers		<u>Reviewers</u>					
Sydney Smith	sesmith@fs.fed.us	2 anonymous reviewers					
Vegetation Type	<b>General Model Sources</b>	Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Forested	✓ Literature	✓ California	st				
Dominant Species*	Local Data	Great Basin South Central					
ARCO	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes Southeast					
PIPO	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	□ Northeast □ S. Appalachians					
PIMO3	3 6	N-Cent.Rockies					
	4						
	5						

# Geographic Range

Mountains of northeastern California, east of the Cascade range.

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

Generally above 5,000 feet to approximately 9,000 feet. Occurs on all aspects and slopes and a wide variety of soil types. Precipitation usually exceeds about 20 inches, and is mostly in the form of snow. Soil temperature regimes are frigid. Bedrock geology is volcanic, and the most common soil orders are Mollisols and Entisols.

#### Vegetation Description

This type has been called "depauperate mixed conifer" by Griffin and Critchfield (1972) because it occurs in bioclimatic regions that are too cold and too dry to support the other conifer species expected in the California mixed conifer. White fir is the dominant conifer. Other conifers that occur in the mix include incense cedar, ponderosa and/or Jeffrey pine, and, at higher elevations, western white pine, Washoe pine, and lodgepole pine. Aspen is a common associate, particularly at higher elevations. Sugar pine, oaks, and Douglas fir are absent. Understory vegetation in closed stands is sparse. Herbs such as long-stolon sedge, Brainards's sedge, Prince's Pine, wintergreen occur with low cover in the understory of closed stands. Understory shrubs occur in more open conditions and include Scouler willow, greenleaf manzanita, snowbrush, serviceberry, and sticky current. Bush chinquapin is somewhat uncommon. Shrubs common in the California Mixed Conifer such as dogwood, vine maple, mountain whitethorn and huckleberry oak are absent in this colder, drier Interior White Fir region. Subalpine sagebrush is a common associated shrub in openings at the higher elevations of the type.

#### **Disturbance Description**

In the historical scenario, replacement fires are estimated to occur about every 145 years, and fires of all

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

kinds (surface, replacement, mixed) are estimated to occur about every 70 years. Aboriginal burning was probably not very important in this type. Insects (e.g., bark beetle) and drought mortality are probably more important than is depicted in this model.

# Adjacency or Identification Concerns

In the Warner Mountains, this true fir type is sandwiched between a white fir-yellow pine (either PIPO or PIJE, or both) zone at lower elevations and a white fir-whitebark pine zone at higher elevations. Red fir is not present because of the cold, dry conditions.

## Scale Description Sources of Scale Data

Patches tend to be fairly large where soils and geology permit. Patches are smaller where the landscape is broken up by areas with shallow soils, or rock outcrops.

Local Data

Expert Estimate

#### **Issues/Problems**

Very little information on fire history is available. Samples from the Warner Matins., collected by Sidney Smith (with data analyzed by Carl Skinner at PSW Redding) suggests an average fire return interval of 19.6 years (range = 8.4-35.3). This would suggest that the Warner Matins. numbers are not anomalous and that until more is known, the average FRI should be less than what this model is based on. Lots of lightning ignition in this area. No information is available on the distribution of replacement/mixed/surface fire, but some reviewers expect more mixed/surface fires than replacement. Something like 200/45/45 for replacement/mixed/surface might be more representative than the existing numbers (145/210/325). This distribution would likely result in more late seral and less early seral than the model suggests.

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

Primary succession pathway after stand replacement disturbance is early to closed mid-seral. Open mid-seral can only be achieved via a mixed severity fire in closed mid-seral. If there are some places where open mid-seral conditions can occur due to other biophysical constraints (i.e., a pathway from early directly to open mid-seral), then this model does not reflect this condition. The probability of replacement fire is greater in the late-seral open than mid-seral closed state.

#### Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 30 % Early1 PostRep <u>Description</u> Early succession, after localized mortality, or mixed severity fire, comprised of grass, shrubs, and tree seedlings to saplings.		Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ABCO PIPO PIMO3	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
			-	Min	Max	
			Cover Height	0 % no data	no data	
			Tree Size Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer Height and	ι dominant lifeform. feform are:		

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
ABCO	Min			Max	
PIPO	Cover		35 %	70 %	
PIMO3	Height		no data	no data	
	Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data					
Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
			Min	Max	
ABCO PIPO PIMO3	Cover		0%	34 %	
	Height	1	10 data	no data	
1 11105	Tree Size Class no data				
Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper la Height a	ayer lifefo and cove	orm differs fror r of dominant l	n dominant lifeform. ifeform are:	
Indicator Species* and	<u>1</u> Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
ABCO			Min	Max	
PIPO	Cover		0%	34 %	
PIMO3	Height	1	no data	no data	
	Tree Size Class no data				
Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ABCO PIPO PIMO3 Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ABCO PIPO PIMO3 Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ABCO PIPO PIMO3 Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position       Structure         ABCO       Cover         PIPO       Cover         PIMO3       Tree Size         Upper Laver Lifeform       Upper I         Herbaceous       Height         Shrub       Tree         Fuel Model no data       Structure         Indicator Species* and Canopy Position       Structure         ABCO       Cover         PIPO       Cover         PIPO       Cover         Height       Tree Size         Upper Laver Lifeform       Upper Ia         PIPO       Cover         PIMO3       Cover         Height       Tree Size         Upper Laver Lifeform       Upper Ia         Herbaceous       Height a         Shrub       Tree         Fuel Model no data       Cover         Height a       Height a         Height a       Height a         Shrub       Tree Size         Upper Laver Lifeform       Upper Ia         PIPO       Cover         PINO3       Cover         Height a       Tree Size         Upper Laver Lifeform       Upper Ia         Herbaceous<	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position       Structure Data (f         ABCO       Cover       Height         PIPO       Tree Size Class         Upper Layer Lifeform       Upper layer lifef         Herbaceous       Shrub       Height and cove         Shrub       Tree       Structure Data (fe         Indicator Species* and Canopy Position       Structure Data (fe         ABCO       Cover       Height and cove         PIPO       Cover       Height and cove         PINO3       Structure Data (fe       Cover         ABCO       Cover       Height and cove         PIPO       Deper Layer Lifeform       Upper layer lifef         PIMO3       Tree Size Class       Deper Layer Lifeform         Herbaceous       Shrub       Upper layer lifef         Tree       Supper Layer Lifeform       Upper layer lifef         Herbaceous       Structure Data (fe         ABCO       Cover       Height and cove         PIPO       Shrub       Tree Size Class         Upper Layer Lifeform       Upper layer lifef         PIMO3       Tree Size Class       Meight and cove         Upper Layer Lifeform       Upper layer lifef         Herbaceous       Shrub	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position       Structure Data (for upper layer Min         ABCO       Min         PIPO       Cover       35 %         PIMO3       Tree Size Class       no data         Upper Layer Lifeform       Upper layer lifeform differs fro Height and cover of dominant         Shrub       Tree         Fuel Model       no data         Indicator Species* and Canopy Position       Structure Data (for upper layer         ABCO       Min         Cover       0 %         PIPO       Height         PIMO3       Structure Data (for upper layer         Indicator Species* and Canopy Position       Structure Data (for upper layer         ABCO       Min         Cover       0 %         PIPO       Height       no data         Upper Layer Lifeform       Upper layer lifeform differs fror         Height       no data       Min         Cover       0 %       Min         Canopy Position       Structure Data (for upper layer         ABCO       Min       Cover         PIPO       Nin       Cover         PIPO       Nin       Cover         PIPO       Nin       Cover         PIPO	

Class E 35%	Indicator Species	s* and St	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Latal Classed	Canopy Position			М	in	Max	
	ABCO	Сс	over	3	5%	85 %	
Overstern of large and yerry large	PIPO DIMO2	He	eight	no d	ata	no data	
trace with concern accurate	PIMOS	Tre	ee Size	Class no	data		
than 35%. Occurring in small to moderately-sized patches on north aspects and lower slope positions. Understory characterized by medium and smaller-sized shade- tolerant conifers	Upper Layer Life Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	<mark>form</mark> □ι s ŀ data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Disturbances							
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime G	roup:	3				
<ul> <li>Insects/Disease</li> <li>Wind/Weather/Stress</li> <li>Native Grazing</li> <li>Competition</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>	l: 0-35 year II: 0-35 year III: 35-200 y IV: 35-200 y V: 200+ yea	<ul> <li>)-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity</li> <li>0-35 year frequency, replacement severity</li> <li>35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity</li> <li>: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity</li> <li>200+ year frequency, replacement severity</li> </ul>					
<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals ( Fire interval is e fire combined ( and maximum s the inverse of fi Percent of all fin estimates and r	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of i're combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
		Avg FI N	/in FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	145			0.0069	47	
✓ Literature	Mixed	210			0.00476	32	
Local Data	Surface	325			0.00308	21	
Expert Estimate	All Fires	68			0.01474		

References

Griffin, J.R. and Critchfield, W. 1972. Distribution of forest trees in California. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station Research Paper PSW-82, 114 pp.

McKelvey, Kevin S. et al. 1996. An Overview of Fire. In: The Sierra Nevada Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress, vol. II, Assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, 1996.

Skinner, Carl M. and Chang, Chi-Ru 1996. Fire Regimes, Past and Present. In: The Sierra Nevada Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress, vol. II, Assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, 1996.

Smith, S. and Davidson, B. 2003. User's Manual, Terrestrial Ecological Unit Inventory (TEUI), Land Type Associations, Modoc National Forest. USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region. R5-TP-015, version

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

1.0. 268p.

Zouhar, Kris. 2001. Abies concolor. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, May 26][Accessed Nov 2004]

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.