Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R1CAGR **California Grassland**

General Information

Contributors *(additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")*

Modelers		Reviewers	
Peter Hujik	phujik@tnc.org	James Bartolome	jwbart@nature.berkeley.edu
Dave Schirokauer	dave_schirokauer@nps.gov	Jon Keeley	jon_keeley@usgs.gov
Alison Forrestel	alison_forrestel@nps.gov	Aimee Betts	aimee_betts@blm.gov

Vegetation Type

Grassland

Dominant Species*

NAPU4
DACA3
POSE
FERU2

General Model Sources

- [x] Literature
- [ ] Local Data
- [x] Expert Estimate

LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

3 6
4
5

Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- [x] California
- [ ] Great Basin
- [ ] Great Lakes
- [ ] Northeast
- [ ] Northern Plains
- [ ] N-Cent.Rockies
- [ ] Pacific Northwest
- [ ] South Central
- [ ] Southeast
- [ ] S. Appalachians
- [ ] Southwest

Geographic Range

Central Valley and coastal prairies from sea level to 3600' including the following subregions described by Miles and Goudy (1997): Central California Coast (261A), Southern California Coast (261B), Central Valley (262A), Northern California Coast (263A), Klamath Mountains (M261A), Northern California Coast Ranges (M261B), Northern California Interior Coastal Ranges (M261C), Sierra Nevada Foothills (M261F), Central California Coast Ranges (M262A), Southern California Mountains and Valleys (M262B) Baja California (Sawyer & Wolf in prep). Deleted , Mojave Desert (322A)from earlier version based on Bartolome comments.

Biophysical Site Description

Includes a variety of soil types, but these grasslands are edaphically constrained. Along the coast, these grasslands may occur on serpentine soils. Important finer resolution biophysical systems (serpentine, vernal pool, etc) are not distinguished here, yet may play a significant role in constraining fire behavior and effects. The importance of climate variation to vegetation composition and structure relative to grazing and fire dynamics is not captured in this model version.

Vegetation Description

Includes a diversity of dominant cover types composed of annual and perennial grass and forb species (Holstein 2001). The California grassland is extremely spatially and temporally variable - this model may not capture the full variation across the state, and thus may have low predictive reliability. The nature of the pre-Euro-American settlement grassland and fire effects are poorly known.

Disturbance Description

Includes aboriginal burning that occurred as frequently as 1-3 years. In absence of aboriginal influence, fire return intervals were 10-30 years. (Frost 1998, Greenlee & Langenheim 1990, Sugihara, N. et al 2005), but

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

can be much longer, particularly where natural and human-caused ignitions were rare.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

These grasslands often grade into areas which may have a shrubland or woodland component at some point during succession. They may also border wetlands or riparian areas. Along the coast, these grasslands are often found in conjunction with the coastal scrub type. California grasslands have been significantly altered through invasion of exotic species, livestock grazing, clearing, and seeding. Stands vary greatly in composition (Sawyer & Wolf, in prep). At least 95% are considered uncharacteristic of historic conditions.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ☑ Literature ☐ Local Data ☐ Expert Estimate

Historically, fire size probably varied widely from very small fires (10s of hectares) to very large fires (1000s of hectares). (Sugihara 2005)

Issues/Problems

This model is meant to apply only to edaphically limited systems that would NOT succeed to shrubland. Amount of fire frequency data is poor.

Model Evolution and Comments

One reviewer suggested that model needs more states, yet there is a general consensus that reference conditions by state are unknown with any reliability. In particular, there is a high level of uncertainty in the degree of perennial dominance during the reference period. Hence, the model stands as a 2-box model for Rapid Assessment purposes. There is also great uncertainty in the restorability of California annual grasslands to perennial dominance.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 20 %

Early1 Open

Description

Post-stand replacement dominated by annual grasses and forbs. This would be created by a rare extreme fire event in the mid-seral closed state that would completely kill most perennial grasses as well as many annual seeds. This state is maintained by replacement fire.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

POSE
LACA7
ESCA2
BLNA

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	40 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class B **80%**

Early2 Closed

Description

>40% perennial grass; annual grasses and forbs in interstitial spaces. Most fires would result in a return to this state during the next growing season as perennial grasses would resprout and annuals would germinate.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

NAPU4
DACA3
POSE
FERU2

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	40 %	100 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C **0%**

Mid1 Open

Description

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	%
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class D **0%**

Late1 Open

Description

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	%
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class E **0%**

Late1 Closed

Description

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	%
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

---

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Upper Layer Lifeform

- ☐ Herbaceous
- ☐ Shrub
- ☐ Tree

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Fuel Model no data

Disturbances

Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled

- ☐ Insects/Disease
- ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress
- ☑ Native Grazing
- ☐ Competition
- ☐ Other:
- ☐ Other:

Fire Regime Group: **2**

I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg:
Min:
Max:

Fire Intervals (FI):
Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

Sources of Fire Regime Data

- ☑ Literature
- ☐ Local Data
- ☑ Expert Estimate

	Avg FI	*Min FI*	*Max FI*	*Probability*	*Percent of All Fires*
Replacement	2	1	3	0.5	100
Mixed					
Surface					
All Fires	2			0.50002	

References

Frost, C.C. 1998. Presettlement fire frequency regimes of the United States: a first approximation. Pages 70-81 in T.L. Pruden and L.A. Brennan (eds). Fire in ecosystem management: shifting the paradigm from suppression to prescription. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, No. 20 Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Greenlee, J.M. and J.H. Langenheim. 1990. Historic fire regimes and their relation to vegetation patterns in the Monterey Bay Area of California. American Midland Naturalist 124:239-253.

Holstein, G. 2001. Pre-agricultural grassland in central California. Madrono 48(4): 253-264.

Keeley JE. 2002. Native American impacts on fire regimes of the California coastal ranges. Journal of Biogeography 29, 303-320.

Keeley, J.E. Fire in the South Coast region. 2005. In J. Fites-Kaufman, N. Sugihara and J. van Wangtendonk (eds), Fire Ecology of California Ecosystems. University of California Press. In press.

Miles, S.R. and C.B. Goudy. 1997. Ecological Subregions of California. USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region R5-EM-TP-005.

Sawyer, J.O. and T.K. Wolf. In preparation. Manual of California Vegetation, revised. California Native Plant

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Society.

Sugihara, N.G., J.W. Van Wagtendonk, J. Fites-Kaufman, K.E. Shaffer, A.E. Thode, editors. 2005. Fire in California Ecosystems. University of California Press, Berkeley, California. In press.