Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

| R1CHAP | Chaparral | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| General Information | | | | | | | |
| Contributors (additiona | al contributors may be listed under "Model a | Evolution and | Comments") | | | | |
| Modelers | | Reviewers | | | | | |
| Mark Borchert | mborchert@fs.fed.us | Jon Keeley | jon_keeley@usgs.gov | | | | |
| | | 4 anonymous reviewers | S | | | | |
| Vegetation Type | General Model Sources | General Model Sources Rapid | | | | | |
| Shrubland | ✓ Literature | | ✓ California | Pacific Northwest | | | |
| Dominant Species* | Local Data | | Great Basin | South Central | | | |
| ADFA | Expert Estimate | | Great Lakes | Southeast | | | |
| HEAR5 | LANDFIRE Mapping Zones | | Northeast | S. Appalachians | | | |
| CECU2 | | | Northern Plains | Southwest | | | |
| QUBE5 | 3 6 | | N-Cent.Rockies | | | | |
| QUDED | 4 | | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | | | |

Geographic Range

Beginning as far north as Yreka and ending south of Bakersfield, chaparral forms a narrow, linear band along the foothills of the western Sierra Nevada Mountains. It is more diffusely distributed in the Coast Ranges from Ukiah to Salinas. From Big Sur in the northern Santa Lucia Mountains to Lompoc, it is primarily coastal. South of Santa Barbara chaparral is the dominant vegetation type covering several million of acres of the Transverse and Peninsular Ranges well into northern Mexico. Chaparral is widespread in southern California and can occur in the coastal mountains, foothills and plains.

Biophysical Site Description

Dry slopes and ridges below 5,000 feet on rocky, gravelly or fairly heavy soils. Average rainfall 14-25 inches.

Vegetation Description

Chaparral is composed of woody, sclerophyllous shrubs that generally vary from 3 to 15 feet in height. Shrub cover is usually dense and continuous, covering vast areas of land. In central and southern California xeric, high-insolation aspects typically support species such as chamise, redshank, obligate-seeding manzanitas, chaparral yucca, redberry, sugar bush and Ceanothus spp. In more mesic, low solar insolation settings, common dominants are scrub oak, toyon, poison oak, coffeeberry, and Prunus spp. Scrub oak readily sprouts after fire. At elevations above 4000 feet, resprouting manzanitas, shrub interior live oak, birchleaf mountain mahogany and canyon live oak are common associates.

Disturbance Description

Chaparral burns in high-intensity, stand-replacing crown fires that burn thousands of acres in a single event. However, there is a considerable range in the flammability of shrub species (e.g., chamise is "flashier" than manzanita). Large, stand replacement events can interact with seed availability and, hence, influence post-

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

fire successional pathways differently than for smaller, less severe fires. Mean fire return intervals are highly variable across the state depending on species composition and other factors. Sediment cores taken from the Santa Barbara Channel in central California dating from the 16th and 17th centuries indicate that large fires burned the Santa Ynez and Santa Lucia Mountain every 40-60 years. Season of burning plays a large part in species composition. Occasionally, frost affects mortality and increases fuel buildup. In the last century the high frequency of human ignitions have reduced the mean fire interval to 30-35 years in southern California.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Below ponderosa and sugar pine forests on the western slopes of the Sierra Nevada and more southern mountains.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Wildfires typically burn 1,000's and 10,000's of acres; a small percentage burn more than 100,000 acres.

Issues/Problems

In this model, chaparral cover closes after 8 years. Of course, it could be faster or slower depending on the site. One reviewer suggested adding another state to reflect a mid-closed state (B) following an early seral ephemeral state (A). Due to the coarse nature of the Rapid Assessment and difficulty mapping a mid- versus late-closed state for the Rapid Assessment, we are maintaining the existing 2-box model, but will consider a 3-box model for future LANDFIRE modeling by mapping zone.

Model Evolution and Comments

This model uses a 50-year fire return interval. This is the mid-point between 40 and 60 given by Byrne et al. This represents the average interval between large fires that appeared in the sediment cores. The interval may have been somewhat shorter if smaller fires (I.e., those that did not show up in the cores) had been included.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

| Class A 20 % | Indicator Species* and | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------|--|
| Earlast On an | <u>Canopy Position</u> LOSC2 PHACE CRYPT EMMEN | Min | | Max | |
| Early1 Open | | Cover | 0% | 70 % | |
| Description | | Height | no data | no data | |
| Shrub seedlings, fire annuals, perennial geophytes, short-lived | | Tree Size Class | ze Class no data | | |
| perennials. 0-8 years of age. | Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub | Upper layer li Height and co | dominant lifeform. feform are: | | |
| | | | | | |

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

| Class B | 80% | Indicator Species* and Canopy Position | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | |
|--|-----|---|---|--|---|--|
| Late3 Closed <u>Description</u> Resprouting shrubs, shrubs growing from seedlings. Herbs only in openings. Greater than 8 years of age. | | ADFA | | Min | Max | |
| | | QUBE5 | Cover | 71 % | 100 % | |
| | | CEBE2 | Height | no data | no data | |
| | | | Tree Size | Class no data | | |
| | | Upper Layer Lifeform | Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | |
| | | Fuel Model no data | | | | |
| Class C | | Indicator Species* and Canopy Position | Structure I | feform) | | |
| Mid1 Open | | | | Min | Max | |
| Description | | | Cover | 0% | % | |
| | | | Height | no data | no data | |
| | | | Tree Size C | Class no data | | |
| | | Upper Layer Lifeform | | ver lifeform differs from o nd cover of dominant life | | |
| | | Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | | | | |
| Class D | 0% | Herbaceous Shrub Tree | Height an | nd cover of dominant life Data (for upper layer li | form are: feform) | |
| | 0% | Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | Height an | nd cover of dominant life Data (for upper layer li Min | form are: <u>feform)</u> Max | |
| Late1 Open | 0% | Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | Height an Structure I | nd cover of dominant life Data (for upper layer li Min 0 % | form are: feform) Max % | |
| Late1 Open | 0% | Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | Height an <u>Structure I</u> <u>Cover</u> <u>Height</u> | Data (for upper layer li Min 0 % no data | form are: <u>feform)</u> Max | |
| <i>Class D</i> Late1 Open Description | 0% | Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | Height an Structure I | Data (for upper layer li Min 0 % no data | form are: feform) Max % | |
| Late1 Open | 0% | Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | Height an Structure I Cover Height Tree Size C Upper lay | Data (for upper layer li Min 0 % no data | feform) <u>Max</u> no data dominant lifeform. | |
| Late1 Open Description | 0% | ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and | Height an Structure I Cover Height Tree Size C Upper lay Height an | Data (for upper layer li <u>Min</u> 0% no data Class no data ver lifeform differs from c | feform) Max % no data dominant lifeform. form are: | |
| Late1 Open Description | | □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position Upper Layer Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data | Height an Structure I Cover Height Tree Size C Upper lay Height an | Data (for upper layer li Min 0 % no data Class no data ver lifeform differs from o nd cover of dominant life Data (for upper layer li Min | feform) Max % no data dominant lifeform. form are: feform) Max | |
| Late1 Open Description | | ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and | Height an Structure I Cover Height Tree Size C Upper lay Height an | Data (for upper layer li Min 0 % no data Class no data ver lifeform differs from o nd cover of dominant life | feform) Max % no data dominant lifeform. form are: | |
| Late1 Open | | ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and | Height an Structure I Cover Height Tree Size C Upper lay Height an Structure I | Data (for upper layer li Min 0% no data Class no data class no data ver lifeform differs from o nd cover of dominant life Data (for upper layer li Min 0% no data | feform) Max % no data dominant lifeform. form are: feform) Max | |

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

| | Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no | is | | | differs from d dominant lifef | ominant lifeform. form are: | |
|--|---|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Disturbances | | | | | | | |
| Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled Insects/Disease Wind/Weather/Stress Native Grazing Competition Other: Other: | Fire Regime Group:4I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityIII: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severityIV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity | | | | | | |
| <u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max: | <i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise. | | | | | | |
| | | Avg Fl | Min Fl | Max FI | Probability | Percent of All Fires | |
| Sources of Fire Regime Data | Replacement | 50 | 30 | 125 | 0.02 | 100 | |
| ✓ Literature | Mixed | | | | | | |
| Local Data | Surface | | | | | | |
| Expert Estimate | All Fires | 50 | | | 0.02002 | | |
| References | | | | | | | |

Byrne, R.I., J. Michaelsen and A. Soutar. 1977. Fossil charcoal as a measure of wildfire frequency in southern California: a preliminary analysis, pp. 361-361. In H.A. Mooney and C.E. Conrad (eds.). Proceedings of the symposium on environmental consequences of fire and fuel management in Mediterranean ecosystems. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-3.

Keeley JE. 2002. Native American impacts on fire regimes of the California coastal ranges. Journal of Biogeography 29, 303-320.

Keeley, J.E. Fire in the South Coast region. 2005. In J. Fites-Kaufman, N. Sugihara and J. van Wangtendonk (eds), Fire Ecology of California Ecosystems. University of California Press. In press.

Keeley, J.E., C.J. Fotheringham, and M. Morais. 1999. Reexamining fire suppression impacts on brushland fire regimes. Science 284:1829-1832.

Keeley, J.E. and C.J. Fotheringham. 2001. The historical role of fire in California shrublands. Conservation Biology 15:1536-1548.

Keeley, J.E. and C.J. Fotheringham. 2001. History and management of crown-fire ecosystems: A summary and response. Conservation Biology 15:1561-1567.

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Keeley, J.E. and C.J. Fotheringham. 2003. Impact of past, present, and future fire regimes on North American Mediterranean shrublands, pp. 218-262. In T.T. Veblen, W.L. Baker, G. Montenegro, and T.W. Swetnam (eds), Fire and Climatic Change in Temperate Ecosystems of the Western Americas. Springer, New York.

Moritz, M.A., J.E. Keeley, E.A. Johnson, and A.A. Schaffner. 2004. Testing a basic assumption of shrubland fire management: Does the hazard of burning increase with the age of fuels? Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2:67-72.

Wells PV. 1962. Vegetation in relation to geological substratum and fire in the San Luis Obispo quadrangle, California. Ecological Monographs 32, 79 103.

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.