Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):							
R1WEHB	Herbaceous Wetland						
General Information							
Contributors (additional	al contributors may be listed under "Model Evolut	ion and Comments")					
<u>Modelers</u>	Reviewers						
Peter Hujik	phujik@tnc.org						
Dave Schirokauer	dave_schirokauer@nps.gov						
Alison Forrestel	alison_forrestel@nps.gov						
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessmen	t Model Zones				
Grassland	✓ Literature	✓ California	Pacific Northwest				
Dominant Species*	Local Data	Great Basin	South Central				
	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast				
LETR5		Northeast	S. Appalachians				
ELMOB	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	Northern Plains	Southwest				
TYSP	3 6	N-Cent.Rockies					
SCCA	4						
	5						

Geographic Range

California Central Valley, coastal, and montane areas. This PNVG occurs from coastal brackish marshes to interior valley fresh water marshes, to haline or saline settings adjacent to alkaline playas and seeps in the desert. In the Sierra, Cascades, and Klamath mountains stands may occur in saturated meadows and along the shores of ponds and lakes which experience drawdown throughout the growing season.

Biophysical Site Description

Herbaceous wetland occurs on flat, poorly drained sites or on valley bottom depressions.

Vegetation Description

Large (>.5km2) coastal, montane, and valley freshwater marsh and wet meadow communities dominated by Scirpus (bulrush), Typha spp (cattail) and/or other herbaceous species with saturated soil or standing water for most of the year, but which generally dry out annually. Vegetation is characterized by short to medium graminoids which typically range from .5 to 1 meter. Some stands are heavily dominated Eleocharis, Scirpus, and/or Typha spp while others have several graminoids common throughout the stand. This PNVG occurs from coastal brackish marshes to interior valley fresh water marshes, to haline or saline settings adjacent to alkaline playas and seeps in the desert. In the Sierra, Cascades, and Klamath mountains stands may occur in saturated meadows and along the shores of ponds and lakes which experience drawdown throughout the growing season. Some stands occupy the centers of vernal pools. (Sawyer & Wolf, Sugihara et al. 2005)

Disturbance Description

The fire return interval of Herbaceous wetland is 3-20 years. These sites were likely burned by native peoples along with adjacent grasslands. In the absence of Native Americans, the fire return interval probably tended toward the longer end of the above range. Native herbivory was also a source of continual background-level disturbance (FEIS). These systems will succeed to upland grasslands on very long time

frames (tens of thousands of years) (Mayer & Laudenslayer 1988).

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Adjacent systems include grasslands, coastal scrub, chaparral, oak woodland, and mountain meadows. Large portions of Herbaceous wetland are now in an uncharacteristic state as they have been drained and/or converted to agriculture/grazing.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R#WGRA for the Pacific Northwest Model Zone. R#WGRA has a more frequent fire regime.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ✓ Literature Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate

Historically, fire size probably varied widely from very small fires (10s of hectares) to very large fires (1000s of hectares). Fires in this system are tied to burning in adjacent uplands. (Sugihara et al. 2005)

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Succession classes are the equivalent of	Succession ("Vegetation Fuel Classes" as de			oook (www.frcc.gov).		
Class A 5%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position SCCA LETR5 TYSP ELMOB	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 PostRep			Min	Max		
Description		Cover	0%	100 %		
<u> </u>		Height	no data	no data		
Immediately after a stand replacing fire, this class will appear. It will		Tree Size				
be composed of Scirpus, Typha, Eleocharis and other wetland graminoids in an early life stage.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		m dominant lifeform. lifeform are:			
Class B 90%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Closed	SCCA LETR5 ELMOB	Caucar	Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>		Cover Height	60 % no data	100 % no data		
This class is composed of closed		Tree Size		no data		
canopy (>60%) wetland species including Scirpus, Typha, Eleocharis and other wetland graminoids. This type occurs several years after a stand replacing fire.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Class C	5%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	osition Structure Data (for upper layer life				
Mid1 Open		SCCA	0	I	Min	Max	
Description		LETR5	Cover		0%	59 %	
A matrix of openings and closed		TYSP	Height Tree Size	o Class	no data	no data	
		ELMOB	1166 2126				
canopy (<60%) wetland species including Scirpus, Typha, Eleocharis and other wetland graminoids. This type can occur via two pathways. A mixed severity fire in Class B creates a patchy expression of this type. Alternatively, a rare extreme stand replacing fire event (during a drought) would patchily kill rhizomes and a few years later create a patchy expression of this type.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open					Min	Max	
<u>Description</u>			Cover		0%	%	
Description			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	e Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	0%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed		Canopy Position		ı	Min	Max	
Description			Cover		0%	%	
_ 300.150011			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	e Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
		Fuel Model no data					
		Disturba	nces				

Deference						
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	10			0.09525	
☐Local Data	Surface					
✓ Literature	Mixed	35			0.02857	30
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	15			0.06667	70
0 (F: D : D :		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	Fire Regime Group: 1: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime (Froun.	2			

References

Sawyer, J.O. and T.K. Wolf. In preparation. Manual of California Vegetation, revised. California Native Plant Society.

Sugihara, N.G., J.W. Van Wagtendonk, J. Fites-Kaufman, K.E. Shaffer, A.E. Thode, editors. 2005. Fire in California Ecosystems. University of California Press, Berkeley, California. In press.

USDA, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. Fire Effects Information System (online). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/. Accessed November 3, 2004.

Mayer, K.E. and W.F. Laudenslayer. 1988. A Guide to Wildlife Habitats of California. State of California, Resources Agency. Dept of Fish and Game. Sacramento, CA. 166pp.