Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R2MGCOws

Mountain Meadow---Mesic to Dry

General Information

Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")						
Modelers	Modelers Reviewers					
Cheri Howell	chowell02@fs.fed.us	Wayne Padgett	wpadgett@fs.fed.us			
Louis Provencher	lprovencher@tnc.org	Clinton K. Williams	cwilliams03/@fs.fed.us			

Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessmer	Rapid Assessment Model Zones			
Grassland	✓ Literature	California	Pacific Northwest			
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data	Great Basin	South Central			
DECA18	✓Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast S. Appalachians			
CAREX JUNCU	12 17	Northern Plains	Southwest			
ELTRT	13 18	N-Cent.Rockies				
	16					

Geographic Range

Mountainous areas of the Great Basin.

Biophysical Site Description

Elevation: 5200-10,500 feet Slope: 1-10% Landform: drainage, floodplain, springs and seeps Precipitation: 14-25+ inches Soils: deep to very deep, mostly cryic, well developed mollic horizon, generally loams, somewhat poorly to very poorly drained.

Vegetation Description

This PNVG is very broadly defined. Common grasses include slender wheatgrass (Elymus trachycaulus), Poa secunda juncifolia (Nevada bluegrass), tufted hairgrass (Deschampsia caespitosa), junegrass (Koeleria macrantha), Columbia needlegrass (Achnatherum nelsonii), oatgrass (Danthonia spp.), mountain brome (Bromus carinatus), alpine timothy (Phleum alpinum), streambank wheatgrass (Elymus lanceolatus), Fendlre's bluegrass (Poa fendleri).

Common sedges include Douglas sedge (Carex douglasii), field sedge (Carex praegracilis), Shorthair sedge (Carex exerta), Nebraska sedge (Carex nebrascensis), beaked sedge (Carex athrostachya), and smallwing sedge (Carex microptera). Rushes (Juncus spp.) are common.

Willows (Salix spp.) may occur within these communities.

Disturbance Description

Fire most often occurred in these sites when adjacent shrublands burned. Fires were typically mixed severity

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

(average FRI of 60 yrs) and stand replacement (average FRI of 30 yrs). Fires are less frequent on the more mesic sites of this PNVG. Burns in these plant community types result from fire started in adjacent upland communities. The fires tend to be less frequent and less severe than surrounding PNVGs. Most species respond favorably to fire.

These sites were prone to flooding during high precipitation, resulting in erosion of topsoil and some short term loss of vegetative cover. In cases of +500 yr flooding event, the site could downcut, thus lowering the water table, and favored woody species in an altered state.

Infrequent native grazing has occurred, which may have resulted in heavy defoliation, but was confined to small acreage and generally temporary in nature. Native grazing either maintained an open structure during mid-development or resulted in browse (reversal of woody succession) during later development. Drought cycles likely resulted in a reduction in vegetative cover, production and acreage of these sites. Drought negatively affected woody species. Native Americans likely used these sites for camping and some vegetation collection, while hunting and gathering in adjacent wetlands and upland habitats. Human's likely caused heavy impacts to soils and vegetation in small campsites, but overall impact was light and transitory in nature.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Found adjacent to wet meadows, wetlands, sagebrush uplands, conifer woodlands, aspen woodlands and broadleaf riparian. Sites adjacent to sagebrush uplands, aspen woodlands and conifer woodlands tended to burn more frequently. This system is similar to the Great basin Grassland (R2MGWAws), but with shorter FRI for replacement fire and more native grazing.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	✓ Literature	✓ Local Data	 Expert Estimate 	

These sites are generally small and often moist. Fire in these systems is usually introduced from adjacent shrublands or native burning to improve herbaceous understory.

Issues/Problems

Many of these sites were impacted by introduced grazing animals post-European settlement and have been converted to systems dominated by drought tolerant species. With soil compaction problems these systems move toward an increase in tap-rooted forb species and a decrease in overstory cover. Altered disturbance regimes with livestock grazing, changes in fire frequency, altered water flow and climate change, these sites can move toward brush or tree dominated overstory (sagebrush, mountain brush, conifer).

Model Evolution and Comments

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 5%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 PostRep <u>Description</u> Post fire, flood or drought early development community Bare	Canopy Position CAREX JUNCU POA FLYMU	Min Cover 20 % Height no data Tree Size Class no data		Max 80 % no data		
ground is 10 to 40%. Total vegetative canopy cover is 20-80%. Relative forb cover is 20-40%. Relative graminoid cover is 20- 80%. Shrub cover is minimal or	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			n dominant lifeform. feform are:	

non-existent. Replacement (FRI of 30 yrs) and mixed severity fires (FRI of 60 yrs) are active at this stage. Rare 500-yr flood events can cause downcutting during the post-fire stage, thus causing a transition to D. Primary succession to B.

Class B 80%

Mid1 Open

Description

Mostly stable and resilient system. Bare ground is less than 5%. Total canopy cover is 80-100%. Relative cover of grasses is >75%. Relative cover of forbs is 0-25%. Relative cover of willows and other shrubs is 0-5%. Fire follows the regime described in A. Weather and flooding affect this system in three different ways: 1) Recurring drought with a 100-yr return interval will thin vegetation and keep this state open; 2) The site will be scoured, but not downcut, by 100-yr flood events causing a transition to A; and 3) Rare 1000yr flooding event will cause a downcut and alteration of the site towards a more permanent woody condition (D). Native grazing on 1% of the area will maintain the open structure of the PNVG. Succession is from class B to B, however the site will transition to class C in the absence of fire for 40 yrs.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	* and <u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>					
CAREX			Min	Max		
UNCU	Cover	80 %		100 %		
ELYMU	Height	no data		no data		
	Tree Size					
IUA	_		1			
Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.					
Herbaceous	Height and cover of dominant melorin are.					

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Shrub

Tree

Fuel Model no data

Class C 13%

Late1 Open Description

This system differs from mid-open by an increase in the shrub cover component. Bare ground is <5%. Although total canopy cover is 100+%, the class's structure is determined by shrub cover. Relative cover of grasses is >65%. Relative cover of forbs is 0-25% Relative cover of shrubs (most frequently willow, but also currant, wild rose, chokecherry, conifer, broadleaf trees) is 5-10%. These sites tend toward meadows, but without disturbance will have some increase in woody vegetation. Replacement fire (FRI of 30 yrs) will cause a transition to A whereas mixed severity fire will remove the woody component, thus returning the burn area to class B. Weather and flooding function as in class B, except that drought will selectively kill woody species and cause a transition to class B. Native grazing affects more negatively woody species (browse), thus also resulting in a transition to class B. The site will succeed to itself.

Class D 2%

Late1 Closed **Description**

This class is an altered state, which is a result of prolonged drought (rare event) which dries out the site resulting in a shrub or tree cover type (10-60% relative cover) with a grassland understory. Downcutting of stream channel can also cause a conversion to this type. Therefore, this class is only included here because this PNVG is broad, but the class may be more accurately

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position CAREX

JUNCU

GRASS

SALIX

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover	5%		10 %
Height	no data		no data
Tree Size Class		no data	

Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Fuel Model no data

Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
ARTR2			Min	Max		
PIMO	Cover		10%	60 %		
POSE	Height		no data	no data		
	Tree Size	Class	no data			
Upper Layer Lifeform □ Herbaceous a □ Shrub 5 □ Tree	Upper la Height a	ayer life and cov	form differs from er of dominant	m dominant lifeform. lifeform are:		
Fuel Model and date						

placed within the basin big sagebrush PNVG if the site's potential is truly changed by downcutting. Replacement fire will return the site to class A (FRI of 30 yrs) and mixed severity fire (FRI of 60 yrs) will open the stand, but not change its woody nature (i.e., transition to class C). The site succeeds to itself without disturbance.

Class E	0%	Indicator Species	* and	- Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Latal Classed		Canopy Position			М	lin	Max	
				Cover		0%	%	
Description				Height	no d	ata	no data	
				Tree Size	Class no	data		
		Upper Layer Life Herbaceous Shrub Tree	iorm	 Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: 				
		Fuel Model no	data					
		Dist	urbar	nces				
Non-Fire Distu	urbances Modeled	Fire Regime G	roup:	2				
☐ Insects/Dis ✓ Wind/Wea ✓ Native Gra ☐ Competitio ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	ease ther/Stress zing on	I: 0-35 year 1 II: 0-35 year III: 35-200 ye IV: 35-200 ye V: 200+ year	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Historical Fire Size (acres)Fire Intervals (FI):Avg:Fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					and for all types of modeled. Minimum wn. Probability is ondition modeling. ass. All values are			
			Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fil	re Regime Data	Replacement	31	15	45	0.03226	66	
✓ Literatu	re	Mixed	59	30	90	0.01695	34	
✓ Local D	lata	Surface						
✓Expert I	Estimate	All Fires	20			0.04922		
		Rei	ferend	ces				

Arno, S. F. 1980. Forest fire history in the northern Rockies. Journal of Forestry 78(8): 460-465.

Bartos, D. L., W. F. Mueggler. 1982. Early succession following clearcutting of aspen communities in northern Utah. Journal of Range management 35(6): 764-768.

Brown, J. K., and J. K. Smith, eds. 2000 Willdand fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep RMRS-GTR-42-vol.2. Odgen, UT; US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Hansen, P., R. Pfister, and J. Joy [and others]. 1989. Classification and management of riparian sites in southwestern Montana. Missoula, MT: University of Montana, School of Forestry, Montana Riparian Association. 292 p. Draft Version 2.

Howell, C., R. Hudson, B. Glover, and K. Amy.. 2004. Resource Implementation Protocol for Rapid Assessment Matrices. USDA Forest Service, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest.

Kovalchik, B. L., W. E. Hopkins, and S. J. Brunsfeld. 1988. Major indicator shrubs and herbs in riparian zones on National Forests of central Oregon. R6-ECOL-TP-005-88. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 159 p.

Linne, J. M. 1978. BLM guidelines for prairie/plains plant communities to incorporate fire use/management into activity plans and fire use plans. In: Fire management: Prairie plant communities: Proceedings of a symposium and workshop; 1978 April 25-28: Jamestown, ND. [Place of publication unknown]: [Publisher unknown]: I-1 to IV-2. [Sponsored by: North Dakota Chapter of The Wildlife Society; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management; Fire in Multiple Use Management RD&A Program; and others].

Meinecke, E. P. 1929. Quaking aspen: A study in applied forest pathology. Tech. Bull. No. 155. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 34 p.]

Noste, N. V. and C. L. Bushey. 1987. Fire response of shrubs of dry forest habitat types in Montana and Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-239.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1991b. Range Ecological Sites, Major Land Resource Area 28B. Central Nevada.

Volland, L. A. and J. D. Dell. 1981. Fire effects on Pacific Northwest forest and range vegetation. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Range Management and Aviation and Fire Management. 23 p.

Wright, H. A., L. F. Neuenschwander, C. M. Britton. 1979. The role and use of fire in sagebrush-grass and pinyon-juniper plant communities: A state-of-the-art review. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-58. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p.

Young, J. A. and R. A. Evans. 1981. Demography and fire history of a western juniper stand. Journal of Range Management. 34(6): 501-505. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. Ogden, UT: 22 p.

Young, R. P. 1986. Fire ecology and management in plant communities of Malheur National Wildlife Refuge. Portland, OR: Oregon State University. 169 p. Thesis.

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Zouhar, Kristin L. 2000. Achnatherum nelsonii. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 14].