## **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):							
R2PIPO	Interior Ponderosa Pine						
General Information							
Contributors (addition	al contributors may be listed under "Mod	del Evolution and Comments")					
<u>Modelers</u>		Reviewers					
Tom Dooley	tdooley@tnc.org	Stanley G. Kitchens skitchens@fs.fed.us					
Henry Bastian	henry_bastian@ios.doi.gov						
Sandy Gregory	s50grego@nv.blm.gov						
Vegetation Type	<b>General Model Sources</b>	Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Forested	<b>✓</b> Literature	California Pacific Northwest					
Dominant Species*	Local Data	✓ Great Basin South Central					
	Expert Estimate	Great Lakes Southeast					
PIPO FEID	LANDEIDE Manning Zon	Northeast S. Appalachians					
	LANDFIRE Mapping Zone	Northern Plains Southwest					
ARNO4	12 17	N-Cent.Rockies					
QUGA	13 18						
	16						

#### **Geographic Range**

Ponderosa pine is widely distributed throughout North America occupying about 38 million acres across 14 states. Interior ponderosa pine is much more restricted than the ponderosa pine/dry mixed conifer (Douglas fir) type in the Great Basin. Interior ponderosa pine is typically found in the southern and eastern Uinta Mountains in northern UT. Ponderosa pine is found along the east side of the Sierra Nevada Range, with a remnant patch around the Mount Charleston area (near Las Vegas, NV) above 6000 feet, and in the central and eastern side of Nevada along mountain ranges where mixed conifers are absent or uncommon. Ponderosa pine covers plateaus and mountains in the southern and central portion of Utah (Bradley et al. 1992).

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

This type occurs at elevations ranging from 5,500 (waterways) to 8,900 feet (FEIS), however occurrence is generally above 8,000 feet in eastern Nevada. In southern NV, interior ponderosa pine will be found above 6,000 ft, often where mixed conifers are uncommon or absent. Ponderosa pine is the climax dominant on relatively warm, dry sites. In the northern zones of the Great Basin, ponderosa pine is limited to areas with adequate moisture in the early growing season.

#### **Vegetation Description**

This PNVG is associated with several species throughout the Great Basin. Many stands have shrubdominated understories, unlike the common ponderosa pine/bunchgrass habitat types found in neighboring Arizona. Associates/phases include greenleaf manzanita, black sagebrush, elk sedge, mountain-mahogany, Idaho fescue-greenleaf manzanita, Idaho fescue-big sagebrush, Idaho fescue, mountain muhly, antelope bitterbrush, Gambel oak, and mountain snowberry (Bradley et al. 1992).

This PNVG is characterized by open, park-like stands; typically the dominant structural stage on the landscape. Understories may be grass- or shrub-dominant. Closed canopy conditions occur where fire has

not been present for many years.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Under presettlement conditions, interior ponderosa pine forests were subject to frequent, low severity, fires (Fire Regime Group 1) (Bradley et al. 1992). Mean fire return interval for this type ranged from 7-25 years. Mean FRI is 8-10 years for surface fire, with shorter intervals is more open stands. The mean FRI for mixed severity fires is 50 (closed stands) to 80 years (open stands). Replacement fire is rare, but more frequent in closed stands (FRI of 250 yrs), which is less common in the landscape, than in open stands (FRI of 800 yrs).

Dwarf-mistletoe is a serious disease agent of interior ponderosa pine. Stands that have had partial cuts or mountain pine beetle attack are most susceptible to infections (Howard 2003). Mountain pine beetle is the most serious pest in the Black Hills and the central and southern Rocky Mountains. Epidemic outbreaks are usually associated with large (>6-inch diameter), stressed trees in overcrowded stands (Howard 2003).

#### **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

Found adjacent to Gambel or shrub live oak (Quercus turbinella), pinyon-juniper, mixed conifers, interior chaparral, and blackbrush.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R0PIPOnr from the Northern and Central Rockies model zone.

#### **Scale Description**

In 1631, a fire burned an area of approximately 30 to 40 square kilometers. Golblum and Veblen (1992) reported larger fires were of similar size to those observed at Cheesman Lake (Kaufmann et al. 2000). At Zion National Park roughly 1000 acres burned every three years (West and Madany 1981).

#### Issues/Problems

This interior ponderosa pine model should be better researched for the Great Basin. Many scattered PIPO patches in the Great Basin were completely logged during the mining era of 1850-1900 (e.g., several 100 acres in the Clover Mountains on the Great Basin-Mojave Desert boundary in eastern NV). It is not well understood how ponderosa pine survived fire with chaparral understories (e.g., many areas on Mount Charleston and in the Clover Mountains), thus leading some to suggest stand replacement as the dominant fire regime with boulders and rugged topography providing fire-safe zones. It is also thought that the dominance of shrubs in understories is greater today than during pre-settlement because livestock grazing greatly reduced grasses in the southern portion of the Great Basin.

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model was initially the result of merging two PNVGs (PIPO2 and PIPO 5). The model was developed by modifying the PIPO2 VDDT model. The model was further modified using the comprehensive review from one expert. Literature shows that up to two variations of ponderosa pine occur in the Great Basin (in particular NV--var. ponderosa). However, PIPO does not appear to be a dominant cover type in NV, except in isolated locations.

This model does not take into account insect, disease, wind, weather, and/or stress. None of the modelers felt comfortable describing the historical impact of these disturbances.

Modelers also included: Lynn Bennet (Imbennet@fs.fed.us); John Foster (jfoster@tnc.org)

## Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

#### Class A 5%

Early1 PostRep

#### **Description**

Graminoid dominated community following stand replacement fire. Sprouting shrubs on more moist site can occur. Conifer seedlings are scattered throughout, but are typically found in dog-hair type thickets.

Age class: 0-15 years. D.B.H. range of 0-2". Succession to B, mid-development closed. Because of the dominance of young trees, replacement fire is surface fire. Therefore, the FRI is 10 yrs (long FRI post-fire) with succession setback by 15 years.

#### Indicator Species\* and **Canopy Position**

PIPO **FEID** ARNO4 **OUGA** 

### **Upper Layer Lifeform**

Herbaceous Shrub Tree

Fuel Model no data

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min		Max	
Cover	0%			30 %
Height	no data			no data
Tree Size Class		no data		

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

#### Class B 5%

Mid1 Closed

#### **Description**

>30% canopy cover from sapling to pole size pine. Understory species decreasing to depressed. D.B.H. range of 2-14". Age class: 15 - 100 years. Succession to E, late-development closed state, unless replacement fire (mean FRI of 250 yrs) returns vegetation to state A or mixed severity fire (FRI of 50 yrs) causes a transition to the mid-development open condition (state C). Surface fire is not assumed possible in this closed condition as any fire would at least cause mixed severity fire effects.

#### Indicator Species\* and **Canopy Position**

**PIPO OUGA** 

## Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		31 %	99 %
Height		no data	no data
Tree Size Class		no data	

#### **Upper Layer Lifeform**

⊢Herbaceous  $\square_{Shrub}$ 

 $\Box$ Tree

Fuel Model no data

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

#### Class C 10%

## Mid1 Open **Description**

<30% canopy cover of pole to saw timber size trees with diverse understory of grasses or shrub species. Open structure maintained by low intensity and mixed severity fire. D.B.H. range of 2-14". Age class: 16 - 98 years. Replacement fire is infrequent in the open condition (average FRI of 800 yrs). Surface fire (FRI of 10 yrs) and mixed severity fire (FRI of 80 yrs) maintain the open structure of the stand and prevent closure of the vegetation (transition back to B in the absence of fire for 25 yrs). Therefore, vegetation succeeds to late development open after 84 yrs with fire activity.

# Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position

PIPO QUGA

# Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min

# Min Max Cover 10 % 30 % Height no data no data Tree Size Class no data

Upper	Lave	r Lif	efo	rm

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Fuel Model no data

Upper	layer	lifeform	differs	from	dominant	lifeform
Height	and	cover of	domina	nt life	eform are	:

#### Class D 75%

### Late1 Open Description

<30% canopy cover with scattered trees saw timber size trees throughout, creating a savanna-like appearance with diverse shrub or grass species dominating the understory.

D.B.H. range of 14+". Age class: 100 + years old. Replacement fire is infrequent in this condition (every 800 yrs). Compared to other classes, surface fire is at its most frequent rate (mean FRI of 8 yrs) and mixed severity fire (mean FRI of 80 yrs) is at its least frequent rate in class D. Fire prevents the transition to a closed structure (E), which happens after 50 yrs without fire. Otherwise, the vegetation will remain in class D indefinitely.

# Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position

PIPO QUGA

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		10%	30 %
Height	no data		no data
Tree Size Class		no data	

☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☐Tree

Fuel Model no data

Upper	layer lifeform	differs from	dominant	lifeform.
Height	and cover of	dominant lif	eform are:	

#### Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 5% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed **PIPO** Cover 31% 99% **Description** PSEUD7 Height no data no data >30% canopy cover of decadent ABCO Tree Size Class no data trees. Severely suppressed to **OUGA** poorly developed understory. Age Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. class: 100+ years. D.B.H. Range of Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ⊢Herbaceous 14+". Replacement fire will return Shrub vegetation to class A (mean FRI of $\Box$ Tree 250 yrs), whereas mixed severity Fuel Model no data (FRI of 50 yrs) will open stand structure and cause a transition to class D. Without fire, the stand remains closed indefinitely. **Disturbances Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity Insects/Disease II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity ☐ Native Grazing IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Other: Other: Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of **Historical Fire Size (acres)** fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is Avg: the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Min: Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Max: estimates and not precise. Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 161 0.00621 5 Mixed 80 10 50 80 0.0125 **✓** Literature Surface 9 8 10 0.11111 86 Local Data All Fires 8 0.12982 **✓** Expert Estimate References Bradley, A. F., N. V. Noste, W. C. Fischer. 1992. Fire Ecology of Forests and Woodlands in Utah. Gen.

Tech. Rep. GTR-287. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 45-47.

Goldblum, D. and T. T. Veblen. (1992). Fire history of ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forest in the Colorado Front Range. Physical Geography. 13: 133-148.

Howard, J. L. 2003. Pinus ponderosa var. scopulorum. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 17].

Kaufmann, M. R., C. M. Regan, and P. M. Brown. 2000. Heterogeneity in ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests: agae and size structure in unlogged and logged landscapes of central Colorado. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 30: 698-711.

West, N. E. and M. H. Madany. 1981. Fire history of the Horse Pasture Plateau, Zion National Park, Final report. Utah State University - NPS Cooperative Studies Unit, Logan, UT, 225 pp.