Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R2SFPI	Spruce-Fir / Pine Subalpine						
	General Informat	tion					
Contributors (additiona	al contributors may be listed under "Model Evolutio	on and Comments")					
Modelers	Revie	ewers					
Mark Loewen	mloewen@fs.fed.us						
Doug Page	doug_page@blm.gov						
Jim Griffin (original)	jgriffin01@fs.fed.us						
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessmer	t Model Zones				
Forested	✓ Literature	California	Pacific Northwest				
Dominant Species*	Local Data	✔ Great Basin	South Central				
ΡΙΔΙ	Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast				
PIFL2	I ANDEIRE Manning Zones	Northeast	S. Appalachians				
DIEN		Northern Plains	Southwest				
	12 17	N-Cent.Rockies					
ABLA	13 18						
	16						

Geographic Range

This system if found throughout the Rocky Mountains, the Sierra Nevada and at higher elevations in the Basin & Range. This model specifically relates to occurrences in the Great Basin region.

Biophysical Site Description

Elevations typically range from 8500-11,000 feet in the subalpine zone on gentle to moderately steep terrain (e.g., 10-60% slope), high elevation ridgetops, and cold-air drainage basins. Drier sites may include lodgepole pine, and moister sites include Engelmann spruce and/or subalpine fir, or blue spruce.

Vegetation Description

The overstory is typically dominated by Engelmann spruce and/or subalpine fir. Other tree species may include lodgepole pine, aspen, limber pine, bristlecone pine, and Douglas-fir (not in Nevada). Common understory species include Ribes spp., Pachistima myrsinites, and Arnica spp. Growing seasons tend to be very short ~90-120 days, resulting in slow vegetative growth.

Disturbance Description

Fire Regime V: Primarily long-interval (e.g., 200-500 yr) stand replacement fires, with mixed severity fire (e.g., 150 yr) occurring in open conditions. Disturbances also include insect/disease (every 250 years) and windthrow events than thin younger closed stands.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Includes dry-mesic and mesic spruce fir forest types. Mesic spruce-fir PNVG is limited in extent and may not be mappable. If aspen is present in large patches or if conifers are not coming in after ~30 years, the PNVG is probably misclassified and one of the Aspen types (R2ASMCup or R2ASPN) should be considered. Upslope the PNVG grades into true alpine or Krumholtz systems.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVGs R0SPFI from the Northern and Central Rockies model zone and R3SPFI from the Southwest model zone.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Patch sizes vary but are mostly in the hundreds of acres, with rare very large patches (disturbances) in the thousands of acres. There may be frequent small disturbances in the 10s of acres or less.

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Original R2SPFI model was rejected by reviewers (Julia Richardson [jhrichardson@fs.fed.us], Clinton Williams [cwilliams@fs.fed.us], Michele Slaton [mslaton@fs.fed.us]) because it mixed fundamentally different species and did not represent well their ecology. Spruce-fir model was adopted from the Southwest RA. Modifications were made to R3SPFI on 2/24/05 by Pohl for LANDFIRE BPS modeling. The revised R3SFFI model was further modified in Cedar City and the late-development, open box D deleted.

Succession Classes Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 20% Canopy Position Min Max Early1 PostRep **BRMA4** 100 % Cover 0% Description PIEN Height no data no data Early succession after moderately ABLA Tree Size Class no data long- to long interval replacement fires. Within 40 years, conifers Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Upper Layer Lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: will replace herbaceous vegetation Herbaceous and shrubs, Occasionally, a lack of Shrub seed source of conifer may Tree maintain this condition (modeled as Fuel Model no data competition/maintenance). The average FRI for replacement fire is 200 years.

Class B 30%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer	lifeform)
Mid1 Closed	PIEN		Min	Max
Passoriation	ABLA	Cover	40 %	100 %
		Height	no data	no data
saplings to poles (>5% canopy		Tree Size Class	no data	
cover). Spruce and fir dominate and canopy is dense. At 130 years, this class succeeds to E (late- development closed). Replacement fire will cause a transition to class A every 200 yrs on average. Insects and disease may open up the canopy, causing a transition to class C (approximately 0.7% of the class per year). Dog-hair conditions in this state may	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer life Height and cov	form differs from er of dominant li	ו dominant lifeform. feform are:

maintain the mid-development closed condition.

<i>Class C</i> Mid1 Open <u>Description</u> Primarily mod saplings to po and <50% cat and fir. At 90 years, t succeeds to c fire (mean FF cause a transi	15% derately tolerant bles (1" - 6.9" dbh) nopy cover of spruce his condition lass D. Replacement RI of 200 years) will tion to class A.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PIEN ABLA Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Structur Cover Height Tree Siz Upper Height	e Data (e Class layer life and cov	for upper layer Min 0 % no data no data form differs from er of dominant li	Iifeform) Max 50 % no data
Mixed severit small portions (approximate maintain the r open condition Class D	ty fires may occur on s of this class ly 0.1% per year) and mid-development on. 35%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	re Data (for upper layer Min	lifeform) Max
Description Description Pole- and larg moderately to	ger diameter 9 shade tolerant	ABLA	Cover Height Tree Size	e Class	40 % no data no data	100 % no data
confer species cover), in mo patches, all as dominate.	es (>50% canopy derate to large size spects. Spruce and fir	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	L Upper Height	layer life and cov	form differs from er of dominant li	i dominant lifeform. feform are:
This class wil disturbances of Replacement transition to c on average. will replace th years on aver	Il self-perpetuate if no cause a transition. fire will cause a class A every 250 year Insects and disease he stand every 250 age.	<u>Fuel Model</u> no data				
Class E	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	<u>Structur</u>	e Data (for upper layer	<u>lifeform)</u> Max

Late1	Closed
Descr	<u>iption</u>

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
		Min	Max		
Cover	%		%		
Height	no data		no data		
Tree Size Class		no data			

	Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	s s data	Upper la Height a	yer lifeform nd cover of	differs from de dominant lifefe	ominant lifeform. orm are:	
Disturbances							
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ✓Insects/Disease ✓Wind/Weather/Stress □Native Grazing ✓Competition □Other: □Other:	Fire Regime G I: 0-35 year II: 0-35 yea III: 35-200 y IV: 35-200 y V: 200+ yea	Trequenc frequenc r frequenc year frequ year frequen	5 y, low and cy, replaced ency, low a lency, replaced cy, replaced	mixed seven nent severi and mixed s acement se ment seven	rity ty eeverity verity rity		
<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	217	75	300	0.00461	98	
✓ Literature	Mixed	10000			0.0001	2	
Local Data	Surface						
✓Expert Estimate	All Fires	212			0.00472		
References							

Arno, S. F. 1980. Forest fire history in the Northern Rockies. Journal of Forestry. 78(8): 460-465. [11990]

Arno, S. F. 1986. Whitebark pine cone crops--a diminishing source of wildlife food? Western Journal of Applied Forestry. 3: 92-94.

Bradley, A. F., N. V. Noste, and W. C. Fisher. 1992. Fire ecology of forests and woodlands in Utah. Ge. Tech. Rep. INT-287. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermoutain Research Station. 128 p.

DeVelice, Robert L. et al. 1986. A Classification of Forest Habitat Types of Northern New Mexico and Southern Colorado. USDA, Forest Service. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. GTR RM-131.

Howard, J. L. 2002. Pinus albicaulis. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 18].

Johnson, K. A. 2001. Pinus flexilis. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer).

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 18].

Keane, R. E., S. F. Arno, J. K. Brown, D. F. Tomback. 1990. Modelling stand dynamics in whitebark pine (Pinus albicaulis) forests. Ecological Modelling. 51: 73-95.

Komarkova, Vera et al. 1988. Forest Vegetation of the Gunnison and Parts of the Uncompany National Forests: A Preliminary Habitat Type Classification. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. GTR RM-163.

Lewis, M. E. 1971. Flora and major plant communities of the Ruby-East Humboldt Mountains with special emphasis on Lamoille Canyon. Elko, NV: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Region 4, Humboldt National Forest. 62 p.

Uchytil, R. J. 1991. Picea engelmannii. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 18].

Veblen, T. T., K. S. Hadley, E. M. Nel. T. Kitzberger, M. Reid,, R. Vellalba. 1994. Disturbance regime and disturbance interactions in a Rocky Mountain subalpine forest. Journal of Ecology 82:125-135.