Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):						
R6PIOK		Pine Oak				
		General Inform	ation			
Contributor Modelers Eric Hender	_	I contributors may be listed under "Model Evolu Re ehenderson@fs.fed.us	ution and Comments") viewers			
Vegetation Type		General Model Sources	Rapid Assessmen	Rapid Assessment Model Zones		
Forested		✓ Literature	California	Pacific Northwest		
Dominant S PIST PIRE ACRU QURU	pecies* PIBA2	☐ Local Data ☐ Expert Estimate LANDFIRE Mapping Zones 41	☐ Great Basin ✓ Great Lakes ☐ Northeast ☐ Northern Plains ☐ N-Cent.Rockies	South Central Southeast S. Appalachians Southwest		

Geographic Range

This PNVG was developed directly from a description found in the Chippewa National Forest Final EIS published 2004. Therefore, it is most applicable in the drift and lakes plains in central Minnesota.

Biophysical Site Description

This PNVG is developed specific to north central Minnesota outwash plains in the proximity of the Chippewa National Forest. Landscape is level to rolling. Soil structure is comprised mostly of loamy sand. Local water table is generally more than 100 cm below the soil surface. Soils are moderately to excessively drained. Soil moisture is moderately dry.

Vegetation Description

Historically, this PNVG had a jack pine, red pine, and white pine supercanopy either alone or as mixed pines. Deciduous trees usually occurred as a subcanopy comprised of quaking aspen, paper birch, northern red oak, bur oak, red maple, and bigtooth aspen. These deciduous trees grow to merchantable size and, in the absence of pines, the deciduous trees would form a cover type.

The mature condition was typically a mix of pines and deciduous trees, frequently with two pine species and a subordinate canopy of three deciduous species. The pine coverage would be 50% to 75%, with the deciduous species making up the balance.

Vertical diversity is definitely a part of these mature and older forests in this system. Oak species and red maple are present at higher levels than aspen and birch in the seedling/sapling size class. This suggests the oak and maple will replace the shorter-lived aspen-birch as the stand ages. Pine species are only a minor part of the understory stocking.

Beaked hazel is the dominant shrub. Large leaved aster is the most commonly found forb.

Disturbance Description

Fire was the most common natural disturbance factor in this ecosystem, with an estimated stand replacement return interval of 250-500 years. Usually, stand replacement fire events ranged from tens to hundreds of acres. Surface fires are estimated to have occurred at 5 to 40 year intervals. Wind events leveled stands at an estimated interval of 1,000-2,000 years.

Surface fires appear to be the most common process affecting species composition and structure. These fires removed the understory species, setting mature and older seral classes back one class.

Eventually, a stand replacement event would reinitiate an even-aged stand dominated by aspen, jack pine, red pine, paper birch, northern red oak, bur oak or white pine. These stands were usually a mix of species, comprised of two or more pine species and three or more deciduous species.

As the stands approach 60 years of age, the canopy separation between red pine/white pine and the other species becomes apparent. Surface fires may also create canopy separation, by removing the thinner-barked hardwoods and jack pine, while retaining the thicker-barked red pine and white pine. Pine species would regenerate in patches where surface fires burned hotter.

Aspen and jack pine cover types would succeed to longer-lived species by 75 to 100 years of age. Paper birch and northern red oak would succeed slightly later. Oak species would experience mortality at earlier ages when exposed to drought conditions. Surface fires allowed these species to remain in the stands as regenerating trees.

In the absence of stand-replacement events and surface fires, red maple appears to be the dominant climax species in this ecosystem.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

None have been identified.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	✓ Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate

Patch sizes of similar tree species and age composition varied from less than an acre to hundreds of acres. Multi-aged conditions ranged from less than an acre to thousands of acres.

Issues/Problems

Results of this model are highly sensitive to the surface fire dynamic resetting the seral class to the next younger seral class in classes C,D, and E. Sensitivity tests using time since disturbance to control the recurrence of a fire showed significant effects, although these are not included in the final 1/24/2005 model. Additional modification could be made in varying the fire probability based on age/size class, i.e., later seral stages are likely less prone to either surface or replacement fires.

Model Evolution and Comments

This model was built directly from Frelich's Dry Mesic Pine Oak Landscape Ecosystem identified for the Chippewa National Forest for plan revision. Suggested review by Lee Frelich, University of Minnesota, John Almendinger, Ecological Services - Minnesota DNR, Jim Barott, Chippewa National Forest, Jim Gallagher, Chippewa National Forest.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Indicator Species* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 All Structures POTR5 Upper Cover 0% 100% **Description BEPA** Upper Height Shrub Short 0.5-0.9m Tree Short 5-9m This class is a regeneration phase PIBA2 Upper Tree Size Class | Pole 5-9" DBH from either fire or wind. It consists **PIRE** Upper mainly of aspen, paper birch, jack Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. **Upper Layer Lifeform** pine, red pine, white pine, and oak. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous It is typically a mixture of two pine Shrub species and three or more **✓**Tree deciduous species, 0-35 years old. Fuel Model 8 Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class B 40% **Canopy Position** Min Max Mid1 Closed POTR5 Upper Cover 50% 100 % **BEPA** Upper **Description** Height Tree Short 5-9m Tree Medium 10-24m PIBA2 Upper This stage also includes aspen, Tree Size Class Pole 5-9" DBH PIRE Upper paper birch, jack pine, red pine, white pine and oak. A supercanopy **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: and subcanopy would develop as Herbaceous the red pine and white pine ∟Shrub **✓**Tree continued to grow, while the deciduous species slow in growth Fuel Model 8 as they approach their mature canopy height. Age range is 36-75 years old. Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 30% **Canopy Position** Min Max **PIRE** Upper Late1 Closed Cover 50% 100% **Description PIST** Upper Height Tree Short 5-9m Tree Medium 10-24m **ACRU** Middle Red pine and white pine dominate Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH **OURU** Middle the supercanopy as the aspen and jack pine component reached its Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.

Herbaceous

 \sqcup Shrub

Fuel Model 9

✓Tree

Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

normal life span; red maple,

northern red oak, and bur oak form

the subcanopy. Surface fires would

allow aspen and paper birch to be

present at reduced levels compared to the stand replacement fire events.

Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 15% Canopy Position Min Max **PIRE** Late2 Closed Upper Cover 50% 100% **PIST** Upper Description Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m **ACRU** Middle Red pine and white pine Tree Size Class Large 21-33"DBH **OURU** Middle supercanopy trees with a subcanopy of oak species and red **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. maple characterize these stages. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ⊢Herbaceous Shrub **✓**Tree Fuel Model 9 Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 5% **Canopy Position** Min Мах Late3 Closed **ACRU** Upper Cover 50% 100% Description **QURU** Upper Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m Lifespan of stand is beyond the Tree Size Class | Large 21-33"DBH normal fire rotation. Red maple and red oak replace the pine **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. canopy in the extreme long term. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous ∐Shrub **✓**Tree Fuel Model 9 **Disturbances Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity Insects/Disease II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Other: Other: Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of **Historical Fire Size (acres)** fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is Avg: the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Min: Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Max: estimates and not precise. Percent of All Fires Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 357 0.00280 19 Mixed **✓** Literature Surface 85 0.01176 81 Local Data

69

✓ Expert Estimate

All Fires

0.01458

References

Field Guide to the Native Plant Communities of Minnesota: the Laurentian mixed forest province. State of Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. 2003.

Chippewa National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan Environmental Impact Statement Appendix G: Landscape Ecosystems. 2004.