Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R6WPHEif	White Pine Hemlock							
General Information								
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")								
Modelers Reviewers								
Dave Cleland	dcleland@fs.fed.us							
Jim Merzenich	jmerzenich@fs.fed.us							
Mary Lucas	mlucas@fs.fed.us							
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones						
Forested	Literature	California	Pacific Northwest					
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data	Great Basin	South Central					
PIST	✓ Expert Estimate	✓ Great Lakes	Southeast					
TSCA	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	Northeast	S. Appalachians					
ISCA		Northern Plains	Southwest					
	50	N-Cent.Rockies						
	51							

Geographic Range

System occurs in northern Lower Michigan and northern Wisconsin.

Biophysical Site Description

Hemlock and white pine have wide ecological amplitudes, occurring with wetland conifers in poorlydrained landforms and with mesophilic northern hardwoods in marginal upland landforms. White pine and hemlock become dominant within mixed forests in upland ice-contact and glacial lakebed landforms of intermediate soil fertility. These landscape ecosystems typically have low proportions of sugar maple and associated mesophilic deciduous species due to limited soil nutrient availability or moisture holding capacity. Species adapted to frequent disturbance (e.g., jack pine, aspen) occur in low proportions.

Vegetation Description

In the mid-1800s, there were 2.2 million acres of white pine-hemlock ecosystems within the 10.6 million acres of forestlands in northern Lower Michigan (Province 212; Cleland et al. 2004, ongoing R-9/SRS/MTU study). Based on analysis of GLO line tree observations, white pine–hemlock communities were dominated by "pine" recorded to the genus level, followed by hemlock, white pine, red pine, and beech. It is likely much of the undifferentiated pine was white pine given the large diameters of this class (mean of 19.3 inches). Pine and hemlock comprised 62% of GLO line trees, mesophilic sugar maple 3%, and early successional oak, white birch, and aspen 10%.

In the mid-1800s, there were 3.2 million acres of white pine-hemlock-birch ecosystems within the 17.8 million acres of forest lands in northern Wisconsin (Cleland et al. 2004a, ongoing R-9/SRS/MTU study). These landscape ecosystems were dominated by three communities identified by Schulte et al. (2002) as hemlock, hemlock–white pine, and hemlock–yellow birch. Pine and hemlock comprised 33% of GLO line trees, mesophilic sugar maple and yellow birch about 17%, and early successional oak, white birch, and aspen about 20%. The white pine-hemlock forests of Wisconsin were more diverse than those of northern Lower Michigan, with higher proportions of both early and late successional deciduous species. This may

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

be due to the prevalence of wetlands and lakes within Wisconsin, which provided sheltered landscape positions favoring sugar maple, and poorly-drained soils favoring yellow and white birch and quaking aspen. Much of the white pine-hemlock PNVG was in an old growth state, and relatively low densities of tall, large-diameter trees dominated the landscape. Old-growth white pine-hemlock stands were often partially multi-aged (Holla and Knowles 1988) or uneven-aged due to continuous recruitment caused by local disturbances (Quinby 1991). Rogers (1978) reported only 8% of the hemlock stands sampled from Wisconsin to Nova Scotia were even-aged, indicating that very few of the hemlock stands were initiated after a catastrophic event such as a wildfire. In a study of old growth white pine in Canada (Guyette and Dey 1995), canopy dominance and tree size suggested an even-aged structure, whereas actual ages of dominant trees ranged from 267 to 486 years. White pine older than 400 years made up 20% of the dominant trees, 52% were 300

to 400 years old, and 28% were 250 to 300 years old. White pine persisted as the dominant species over a seven-century period in an old-growth white pine forest of Canada, indicating that white pine was self-replacing (Quinby 1991).

Disturbance Description

The hemlock–white pine forests of northern Lower Michigan and Wisconsin were disturbed by large-scale stand-replacing crown fires within rotations of 400–500 years (Cleland et al. 2004a) and by wind events of comparable rotations. During the centuries between catastrophic disturbances, low-intensity small surface fires, windthrown trees and the death of large individual trees through biological or other agents interacted to regulate stand-scale gap dynamics.

The complex structure and age-class distribution of this ecosystem are due to these two distinct disturbance regimes. Broad-scale crown fires occurred very infrequently, selecting for pyrophilic species capable of reproducing in full-light conditions following stand-replacing disturbance. Fine-scale single or group tree mortality and blowdown occurred continuously, and selected for shade-tolerant and mid-shade-tolerant species.

Once white pine has matured and attained larger diameters and crown height, widely spaced dominants are highly resistant to intense surface or maintenance fires (Beverly and Martell 2003). Hemlock is injured or killed by intense surface fires, and both hemlock and white pine suffer high rates of mortality following crown-fires. The successional dynamics of this ecosystem after mixed or severe crown fires may involve establishment of aspen-birch or white pine immediately following the disturbance, with subsequent succession to white and red pine and oak, followed by late successional gap-phase invasion of hemlock and yellow birch beneath white pine during long fire-free periods (Davis et al. 1992).

Successional trajectories were historically regulated by disturbance regime, as well as by landscape-level patterns in communities and environment and localized edaphic conditions. Landscape-level patterns of lakes, wetlands, deciduous species, openlands, and other fuel discontinuities determined fire-exposed versus fire-protected landscape positions (Dovciak et al. 2003). Within landforms, localized conditions of soil texture and drainage, and resulting gradients of available nutrients and moisture impeded invasion by nutrient-demanding shade-tolerant hardwoods (Rogers 1978).

Preferential recruitment of hemlock beneath white pine and development of mor-like soil organic horizons within hemlock stands that inhibited hardwood invasion (Davis et al. 1994) are examples of biologically mediated successional dynamics. All these natural processes and factors have had a strong selective effect on the age, structure, and composition of these forests.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This type can be comingled with the northern hardwood-hemlock PNV; however, white pine and hemlock will dominate in this type under natural disturbance regimes, given a seed source. The type often fringes on the red pine-white pine PNV and may be confused, particularly in Class C. Yellow birch may also be codominant in Wisconsin.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ☐Literature ☐Local Data ✔Expert Estimate

Landscape must be adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regime. Replacement fires at 400-500 years may be in the thousands of acres. Surface and mixed fires could be less than 10 acres.

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Need review of scale and adjacency concerns. Edits from FRCC description document and model are insignificant. Corrected some minor errors in model with Jim Merzenich. This model has three early-successional classes and Class A can succeed to either Class B or C. Additional modelers: Kim Brosofske, Sari Saunders, Greg Nowacki, Bill Patterson, Andi Koonce.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A5%Early1 All StructuresDescriptionStands primarily consist of early- seral aspen, birch, and other hardwood species. Surface fires in aspen-birch are replacement and set this class back to age 0. These stands vigorously resprout to aspen.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position BEPA Upper POTR5 Upper Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub ✓ Tree	Structure Data (for upper layer) Min Cover 0 % Height Shrub Short 0.5-0.9m Tree Size Class Pole 5-9" DBH Upper layer lifeform differs from Height and cover of dominant life	Max 100 % Tree Medium 10-24m dominant lifeform.
Class B 15% Early2 Closed <u>Description</u> Stands consist primarily of mixed white oak, red oak, and red maple. White pine will develop in the understory of these stands and eventually overtop them. Fires in this class are 50% replacement and 50% mixed. Replacement fires result in an early-seral aspen-birch stand (Class A: 50%), or the oak may resprout and result in a young oak stand (Class B: 50%). Stands that escape replacement fire	Fuel Model 9 Indicator Species* and Canopy Position QURU Upper QURU Upper QUAL Upper Upper Laver Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub ✓ Tree Fuel Model 9	Structure Data (for upper layer I Min Cover 50 % Height Shrub Short 0.5-0.9m Tree Size Class Large 21-33"DB Upper layer lifeform differs from Height and cover of dominant lifet	Max 100 % Tree Tall 25-49m H dominant lifeform.

develop a white pine understory. These stands succeed to mature white pine after 200 years.

Early3 Closed Description

Stands consist of red pine and young white pine, generally < 100years of age which succeed to older white pine stands. These stands may or may not contain red pine. In the absence of fire, red pine stands develop a white pine understory and succeed to mature white pine stands. An even mix of replacement and mixed fires is assumed. Replacement fires either revert the stand to early-seral (Class A) or back to a young pine stand. The result of a replacement fire is largely dependent upon the age of the stand burned and the ability of red and white pine to reseed the burned area. Mixed-severity fires may also occur, setting the stand back 25 years.

	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	<u>Structur</u>	e Data (1	for upper layer	lifeform)
	PIST Upper			Min	Max
	PIRE Upper	Cover		50 %	100 %
	ind oppor	Height	Shrub	Short 0.5-0.9m	Tree Tall 25-49m
		Tree Size	e Class	Medium 9-21"D	BH
er [n s	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☑Tree			form differs from er of dominant lif	dominant lifeform. eform are:
	Fuel Model 9				
nt					
)					
•					

Class D 55%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed Description	PIST Upper TSCA Upper Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model 9		Cover	Min 50 %		Max 100 %	
Stands consist of mature and old growth white pine. Over time, and in fire's absence, associated large hemlock may develop.			Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49n Tree Size Class Very Large >33"DBH Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Class E 0%	Indicator Species	* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late 1 All Streatures	Canopy Position			М	Max		
Late1 All Structures			Cover Height no		%	%	
<u>Description</u>					lata	no data	
			Tree Size	Class no	data		
	Upper Layer Lifef	;			differs from d dominant lifef	ominant lifeform. orm are:	
	Fuel Model no o	data					
	Dist	urban	ces				
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ☐ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	Fire Regime Group:5I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityIII: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severityIV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: 1 Max:2000	fire combined (A and maximum s the inverse of fir	expressed All Fires). show the intervatives in the second	Average relative rar I in years a percent of	FI is the ce nge of fire ir and is used	ntral tendency ntervals, if know in reference c	and for all types of modeled. Minimum wn. Probability is ondition modeling. ass. All values are	
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	370			0.00270	54	
✓ Literature	Mixed	1666			0.00060	12	
✓ Local Data	Surface	588			0.00170	34	
Expert Estimate	All Fires	200			0.00500		

References

Cleland, D.T., T.R. Crow, S.C. Saunders, D.I. Dickmann, A.L. Maclean, J.K. Jordan, R.L. Watson, A.M. Sloan, and K.D. Brosofske, 2004. Characterizing historical and modern fire regimes in Michigan (USA): a landscape ecosystem approach. Landscape Ecology 19:311-325.

Cleland, D.T., S.C. Saunders, K.D. Brosofske, A.L. Maclean, J.K. Jordan, R.L. Watson, A.M. Sloan, T.M. Scupien, T.R. Crow, D.I. Dickmann, 2004a. Ongoing project to determine historical and modern wind and fire regimes, fire risk, and historical landscape and community composition and structure in the Lake States and R-9 National Forests.

Davis, M.B., Sugita, S., Calcote, R.R., Ferrari, J.B. and Frelich, L.E., 1994. Historical development of alternate communities in a hemlock hardwood forest in northern Michigan, U.S.A. In Large Scale Ecology and Conservation Biology: The 35th Symposium of the British Ecological Society with the Society for Conservation Biology, pp. 19-39. Edited by P.J. Edwards, R.M. May and N.R. Webb. University of Southampton. Blackwell Scientific Publications: Boston, MA.

Davis, Margaret B.; Sugita, Shinya; Calcote, Randolph R., and Frelich, Lee E., 1992. Effects of invasion by Tsuga canadensis on a North American forest ecosystem. In: Teller, A.; Mathy, P.,

and Jeffers, J. N. R., Responses of forest ecosystems to environmental changes. Elsevier Applied Science, London and New York. P. 34-44.

Doviak, M., Reich, P.B., and Frelich, L.E., 2003. Seed rain, safe sites, competing vegetation, and soil resources spatially structure white pine regeneration and recruitment. Can. J. For. Res. 33: 1892–1904 (2003) Beverly, J.L. and D.L. Martell, 2003. Modeling Pinus strobus mortality following prescribed fire in Quetico Provincial Park, northwestern Ontario. Jennifer L. Beverly and David L. Martell Can. J. For. Res./Rev. Can. Rech. For. 33(4): 740-751.

Guyette, R.P. and D.C. Dey, 1995. Age, size and regeneration of old growth white pine at Dividing Lake Nature Reserve, Algonquin Park, Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Natural

Resources, Ontario Forest Research Institute, Sault Ste. Marie, Forest Research Report No. 131, 11p.

Holla, T.A. and Knowles, P., 1988. Age structure analysis of a virgin white pine, Pinus strobus,

population. Canadian Field-Naturalist. 102(2):221-226.

Quinby, P.A., 1991. Self-replacement in old-growth white pine forests of Temagami, Ontario. For. Ecol. Manage. 41: 95–109.

Rogers, R.S., 1978. Forests dominated by hemlock (Tsuga canadensis): distribution as related to site and post-settlement history. Canadian Journal of Botany 56:834-854.

Schulte, L.A., D.J. Mladenoff, and E.V. Nordheum, 2002. Quantitative classification of a historic northern Wisconsin (U.S.A.) landscape: mapping forests at regional scales. Can. J. For. Res. 32: 1616–1638.