Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R0LPDFnr

Lower Subalpine Lodgepole Pine

General Information

Contributors (addition	al contributors may be listed under "Model	Evolution and Comme	ents")	
Modelers		Reviewers		
Steve Barrett	sbarrett@mtdig.net	Pat Green	pgreen@fs.fed.us	
Cathy Stewart	cstewart@fs.fed.us	Kris Hazelbaker	khazelbaker@fs.fed.us	
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones		
Forested	Literature	Cal	lifornia	Pacific Northwest
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data	Gre	eat Basin	South Central
PICO	✓ Expert Estimate		eat Lakes rtheast	Southeast S. Appalachians
PSEUD7	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones		rthern Plains	Southwest
ABLA	10 21		Cent.Rockies	Southwest
PIEN	19 22		Cont. NOCKIES	
	20 29			

Geographic Range

This PNVG spans the entire northern and central Rocky Mountains, from Montana south into Wyoming and eastern Washington east into Montana and Wyoming.

Biophysical Site Description

Lower subalpine zone on gentle to moderately steep terrain (e.g. 10-60% slope).

Vegetation Description

This PNVG corresponds to dry, lower subalpine habitat types (Pfister et al. 1977). Relatively dry sites are generally dominated by lodgepole pine and relatively moist sites are dominated by various combinations of mixed conifers (e.g., lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, Engelmann spruce, and subalpine fir).

Disturbance Description

Fire Regimes IV and II, moderately long- to long-interval (e.g., 50-300 year) stand replacement- and mixed-severity fires.

Mountain pine beetle would affect the system by both replacing patches (causing transitions to earlydevelopment, class A) and by opening up the canopy, causing transitions to mid- and late-development open classes (C and D). Blowdown and other weather-related disturbances would also affect this PNVG.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This type is generally below the upper subalpine PNVGs (e.g., R0WBLP, Whitebark Pine-Lodgepole Pine, Upper Subalpine) in elevation and just above mixed conifer types, including lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, larch, grand fir, and aspen mixes.

Note that west of the Continental Divide, western larch is also a major seral dominant, and it also occurs in

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

other lower subalpine and mesic montane PNVGs. If larch is present, the PNVG R0WLLPDF-- Western Larch, Lodgepole Pine, Douglas-Fir should be examined.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🖌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

Patch sizes are generally 100's to 1000's acres in variable mosaics.

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Workshop code was LSAL1.

Peer review incorporated on 4/11/2005. Comments note that for mapzone 10 (northern Idaho), the insect and pathogen activity may be higher and the proportion of late-development conditions may be less than in the rest of the Northern and Central Rockies Model Zone. Mixed severity fire may be as frequent as 40 MFI in some parts of the Model Zone.

· 01

Class A 20 %	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PICO PSEUD7	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 PostRep			Min	Max	
Description		Cover	0%	100 %	
		Height	no data	no data	
Shrub and tree sapling dominated early successional community after replacement and relatively severe		Tree Size Class no data			
mixed severity fires. In some early seral conditions there may be higher fine and coarse fuel loads owing to past fire-generated snags	☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☐Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data				
and downed wood, making this class burn more readily.					
class burn more readily.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Da	ata (for upper layer l		
class burn more readily.	Indicator Species* and		Min	Мах	
class burn more readily.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Cover	Min 40 %	Max 100 %	
class burn more readily. Class B 35% Mid1 Closed Description	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PICO	Cover Height	Min 40 % no data	Мах	
class burn more readily. Class B 35% Mid1 Closed	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PICO PSEUD7	Cover	Min 40 % no data	Max 100 %	
class burn more readily. Class B 35% Mid1 Closed <u>Description</u> Shade intolerant- and mixed	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PICO	Cover Height Tree Size Cla	Min 40 % no data	Max 100 % no data dominant lifeform	

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C	15%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
		<u>Canopy Position</u> PICO		Min	Max	
Mid1 Open		PICO PSEUD7	Cover	0%	40 %	
Description		PSEUD/	Height	no data	no data	
Primarily shade intolerant saplings to poles.			Tree Size	e Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D 10%		Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
		ABLA		Min	Max	
Late1 Open		PIEN	Cover	0%	40 %	
Description		PSEUD7	Height	no data	no data	
	o large-diameter, shade d mixed conifer	I SEOD/	Tree Size	e Class no data		
		☐ Shrub ☐ Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data <u>Indicator Species* and</u>				
Class E	20%	Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (for upper layer l		
Late1 Closed	1	ABLA	Cover	Min 40 %	Max 100 %	
Description		PIEN	Height	no data	no data	
Moderate- to	large-diameter shade	PSEUD7	Tree Size		110 data	
intolerant and mixed conifer species, in moderate- to large-size patches, all aspects.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
		Disturba	nces			
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: 4						
Won-Price Disturbances Modeled Price Regime Group: 4 Insects/Disease 1: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity Native Grazing IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Competition V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Other: Other:						

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max:	Fire intervals (FI): Fire intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	170	50	200	0.00588	72
	Mixed	450	40	500	0.00222	27
✓ Local Data	Surface					
Expert Estimate	All Fires	123			0.00811	
	De	foron				

References

Agee, James K. 1993. Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest forests. Island Press, Washington DC, 493 p.

Arno, Stephen F. 2000. Fire in western forest ecosystems. In: Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. Wildland fire in ecosystems: Effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 97-120.

Arno, Stephen F.; Reinhardt, Elizabeth D.; Scott, Joe H. 1993. Forest structure and landscape patterns in the subalpine lodgepole pine type: A procedure for quantifying past and present stand conditions. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-294. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 17p.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1994a. Fire regimes on andesitic mountain terrain in northeastern Yellowstone National Park. International Journal of Wildland Fire 4: 65-76.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1994b. Fire regimes on the Caribou National Forest, Southeastern Idaho. Contract final report on file, Pocatello, ID: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Caribou National Forest, Fire Management Division. 25 p.

Barrett, Stephen W. 2002. A Fire Regimes Classification for Northern Rocky Mountain Forests: Results from Three Decades of Fire History Research. Contract final report on file, Planning Division, USDA Forest Service Flathead National Forest, Kalispell MT. 61 p.

Barrett, Stephen W., Arno, Stephen F., Key, Carl H. 1991. Fire regimes of western larch-lodgepole pine forests in Glacier National Park, Montana. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 21: 1711-1720.

Brown, James K.; Arno, Stephen F.; Barrett, Stephen W.; Menakis, James P. 1994. Comparing the prescribed natural fire program with presettlement fires in the Selway-Bitterroot Wilderness. International Journal of Wildland Fire 4(3): 157-168.

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Eyre, F. H., ed. 1980. Forest cover types of the United States and Canada. Washington, DC: Society of American Foresters. 148 p.

Hawkes, Brad C. 1979. Fire history and fuel appraisal study of Kananaskis Provincial Park. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton ALTA. 173 p.

Hessburg, Paul F.; Smith, Bradley G.; Kreiter, Scott D.; Miller, Craig A.; Salter, R. Brion; McNicoll, Cecilia H.; Hann, Wendel J. Historical and current forest and range landscapes in the Interior Columbia River Basin and portions of the Klamath and Great Basins. Part I: Linking vegetation patterns and landscape vulnerability to potential insect and pathogen disturbances. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-458. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 357 p. (Quigley, Thomas, M., ed., Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project: scientific assessment).

Lesica, Peter. 1996. Using fire history models to estimate proportions of old growth forest in Northwest Montana, USA. Biological Conservation 77: 33-39.

Loope, Lloyd L.; Gruell, George E. 1973. The ecological role of fire in the Jackson Hole area, northwestern Wyoming. Quaternary Research 3(3): 425-443.

Pfister, R. D., B. L. Kovalchik, S. F. Arno, and R. C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-34.

Quigley, Thomas M.; Arbelbide, Sylvia J., tech. eds. 1997. An assessment of ecosystem components in the interior Columbia basin and portions of the Klamath and Great Basins: volume 1. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-405. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 4 vol. (Quigley, Thomas M., tech. ed.; The Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project: Scientific Assessment).

Romme, William H. 1982. Fire and landscape diversity in subalpine forests of Yellowstone National Park. Ecological Monographs 52(2): 199-221.

Romme, William H.; Dennis H. Knight. 1981. Fire frequency and subalpine forest succession along a topographic gradient in Wyoming. Ecology 62: 319-326.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Smith, Jane Kapler, and Fischer, William C. 1997. Fire Ecology of the Forest Habitat Types of Northern Idaho. General Technical Report INT-GTR-363. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 142 pp.

Steele, Robert; Cooper, Steven V.; Ondov, David M.; Roberts, David W.; Pfister, Robert D. 1983. Forest habitat types of eastern Idaho and western Wyoming. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-144. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Mountain Research Station. 122 p

Tande, Gerald F. 1979. Fire history and vegetation pattern of coniferous forests in Jasper National Park, Alberta. Canadian Journal of Botany 57: 1912-1931. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System,

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

[Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [Accessed 5/22/03].

Wadleigh, L.; Jenkins, Michael J. 1996. Fire frequency and the vegetative mosaic of a spruce-fir forest in northern Utah. Great Basin Naturalist 56: 28-37.

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.