Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R0PSMEms

Warm Mesic Interior Douglas-Fir

		G	ieneral In	formation					
Contributors (addition	al contributors may	be listed	l under "Mod	el Evolution and C	Comments")				
Modelers				<u>Reviewers</u>					
Nathan Korb	nkorb@tnc.org			Steve Barrett	sbarrett@r	sbarrett@mtdig.net			
				Cathy Stewar	t cstewart@	fs.fed.us			
Vegetation Type	<u>General</u>	Model	Sources		Rapid Assessment Model Zones				
Forested	∠ Lit	terature			California	Pacific Northwest			
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data			Great Basin	South Central				
PSME	✓Expert Estimate					Great Lakes			
PICO	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones			s	Northeast	S. Appalachians			
POTR5	10	21	18		Northern Plains	Southwest			
ABLA	10	22	16		✓ N-Cent.Rockies				
	20	29	-						

Geographic Range

West of the Continental Divide in the northern Rocky Mountains, primarily western Montana and northern Idaho. Also extends into the northern Great Basin.

Biophysical Site Description

PNVG generally occurs within the forest interior on moderately dry sites at mid- to high elevations. PNVG occupies primarily north-facing slopes and drainages on both sides of the continental divide.

Vegetation Description

Douglas-fir dominated mixed conifer forests that may support lodgepole pine and subalpine fir. Western larch may be present (within its range), but its presence may also indicate a different Potential Natural Vegetation Group (see Adjacency/ Identification Concerns below). In some locations ponderosa pine is present but generally as a minor component.

Disturbance Description

Fire regime is predominantly mixed-severity with generally small severely burned areas (<400 ac) and landscape MFI's between 30 and 80 years. Although stand-replacing and mixed-severity fires are less common than low-severity fires, their influence on forest landscape structure is profound.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG corresponds with moist Douglas-fir habitat types (Pfister et al. 1977). It typically occupies sites between the lower subalpine zone (at higher elevations) and the ponderosa pine or xeric Douglas-fir zone (at lower elevations). Western larch may be present, but its presence may also indicate a different Potential Natural Vegetation Group that has larch as a dominant.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🖌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

This PNVG is usually highly heterogeneous because of micro-climate, topography, and patchy burning

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

patterns. The spatial variability results in relatively small patches and fire effects that are highly variable over fine scales.

Issues/Problems

Most fire studies have used primarily fire scar data to characterize this PVNG. Mixed-severity fire regimes have high spatial heterogeneity, which would be better captured in a spatial model.

Model Evolution and Comments

Workshop code was DFIR2.

and/or ponderosa pine. Low

variability in tree diameters or

heights. Aspen may be abundant.

This PNVG replaces the PNVG R2PSMEms from the Great Basin model zone because they are nearly identical and the extent in the Great Basin is largely adjacent to the Northern and Central Rockies.

Peer review incorporated on 03/03/2005. Review comments requested a longer overall fire return interval (from about 35 years to approximately 50 years), which resulted in more mid-development and closed conditions. There is some question about whether larch should be included in this type, as its presence may indicate a different potential natural vegetation group.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 10%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Earlad Deathan	Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Early1 PostRep	POTR5	Cover	0%	100 %		
Description	PICO	Height	no data	no data		
Grass, forbs, seedling to sapling sized aspen, Douglas-fir, western	PSME LAOC	Tree Size Class no data				
larch, and ponderosa pine.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 25%	<u>Fuel Model</u> no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (for upper	<u>layer lifeform)</u>		
Mid1 Closed	PSME		Min	Max		
Description	PICO	Cover	40 %	100 %		
	LAOC	Height	no data	no data		
Closed canopy stand with young pole-sized trees, frequently with an	POTR5	Tree Size	e Class no data			
upper age cap. Composition is pure or mixed conifer with Douglas fir, lodgepole pine western larch,	Upper Layer Lifeform - Herbaceous Shrub	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifefor Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Tree

Fuel Model no data

Class C 15%

Mid1 Open Description

Open canopy, young stands, frequently with upper age cap. Tree density is low and there is high variability in tree diameters and height. Grassy understory, often with shrubs. Overstory composition can be pure or mixed conifer with Douglas-fir, ponderosa pine, western larch, and/or lodgepole pine.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PSME PICO POTR5 LAOC

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max		
Cover	0%		40 %		
Height	no data		no data		
Tree Size Class		no data			

Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Fuel Model no data

Class D 30 %	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Da	ata (for upper layer	lifeform)
Latal Opan	PSME		Min	Max
Late1 Open	PICO	Cover	0%	40 %
Description	ABLA	Height	no data	no data
Open canopy, multi-age Douglas- fir forest with western larch,	POTR5	Tree Size Class no data		
lodgepole pine, subalpine fir, and/or ponderosa pine. Numerous size classes (including large diameters trees) and relatively open understory, often dominated by	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		r lifeform differs from cover of dominant li	

Class E 20 %	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed <u>Description</u> Closed canopy, multi-age mixed conifer forest with large diameter Douglas-fir, lodgepole pine, subalpine fir, western larch, and/or ponderosa pine. Usually, there is sparse understory vegetation and	Canopy Position PSME ABLA PICO LAOC Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Min Max Cover 40 % 100 % Height no data no data Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
high variability in tree size classes.	Fuel Model no data					

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ✓Insects/Disease ✓Wind/Weather/Stress Native Grazing Competition Other: Other:	Fire Regime Group:3I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityIII: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severityIV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max:	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	170	80	400	0.00588	28	
✓ Literature	Mixed	65	50	250	0.01538	72	
∠ Local Data	Surface						
Expert Estimate	All Fires 47 0.02128						
References							

Agee, James K. 1993. Fire Ecology of Pacific Northwest Forests. Washington, D.C.: Island Press. 493 p.

Arno, S.F. 1980. Forest fire history in the northern Rockies. Journal of Forestry (78): 460-465.

Barrett, S. W., S. F. Arno, and J. P. Menakis. 1997. Fire episodes in the inland Northwest (1540-1940) Based on Fire History Data. USDA, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. General Technical Report INT-370.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Brown, James K., Arno, Stephen F., Barrett, Stephen W., and Menakis, James P. 1994. Comparing the prescribed natureal fire program with presettlement fires in the Selway-Bitterrot Wilderness. International Journal of Wildland Fire 4(3): 157-168.

Crane, M.F. and W.C. Fischer. 1986. Fire ecology of the forest habitat types of Central Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-INT-218 Ogden, UT: U.S.Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 86 p.

Fischer; W.C. And B.D. Clayton. 1983. Fire ecology of Montana forest habitat types of east of the Continental Divide. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-INT-141 Ogden, UT: U.S.Department of Agriculture,

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 83 p.

Fischer; W.C. And A.N. Bradley. 1987. Fire ecology of western Montana forest habitat types. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-INT-223 Ogden, UT: U.S.Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 95 p.

Gallant, A.L., A.J. Hansen, J.S. Councilman, D.K. Monte, and D.W. Betz. 2003. Vegetation dynamics under fire exclusion and logging in a Rocky Mountain Watershed, 1856-1996. Ecological Applications 13(2): 385-403.

Heyerdahl, E. K., Brubaker, L. B., & Agee, J. K. 2001. Spatial controls of historical fire regimes: A multiscale example from the interior west, USA. Ecology 82(3): 660-678.

Keane, Robert E., Arno, Stephen F., and Brown, James K. 1990. Simulating cumulative fire effects in ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests. Ecology 71(1): 189-203.

Littell, J. S. 2002. Determinants of fire regime variability in lower elevation forests of the northern Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. Thesis. Montana State University-Bozeman.

Peet, R. K. 1988. Forests of the Rocky Mountains. In: M. G. Barbour and W. D. Billings, eds. Terrestrial vegetation of North America. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp. 64-102.

Pfister, R. D., B. L. Kovalchik, S. F. Arno, and R. C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-34.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Smith, J.K. and W.C. Fischer. 1997. Fire ecology of the forest habitat types of northern Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-INT-363 Ogden, UT: U.S.Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 142 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis. [Accessed 01/08/03].

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.