# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

RORIPA	RiparianWyoming									
General Information										
Contributors (addition	onal contributors may be listed under "Model	Evolution and Comme	ents")							
<b>Modelers</b>		<u>Reviewers</u>								
Jim Cagney	Jim_Cagney	Dennis Knight	dhknight@uwyo.edu							
		Bill Baker	bakerwl@uwyo.edu							
		Thor Stephenson	thor_steph	thor_stephenson@blm.g						
			OV							
Vegetation Type	<b>General Model Sources</b>	Rapid Assessment Model Zones								
Shrubland	✓ Literature	Cal	lifornia	Pacific Northwest						
Dominant Species*	Local Data	Gre	eat Basin	South Central						
ABILD	✓ Expert Estimate	Gre	eat Lakes	Southeast						
SALIX	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	No	ortheast	S. Appalachians						
BETUL		No	rthern Plains	Southwest						
POPUL	10 21	✓ N-0	Cent.Rockies							
PUPUL	19 22									
	20 29									

## **Geographic Range**

Riparian zones in Wyoming, including plains, intermountain basins, and montane zones. As riparian areas become smaller in width (usually with elevation), the importance of the riparian vegetation in the fire regime will decrease relative to the surrounding PNVGs.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

This model is a summary of dozens of riparian types combined because they are relatively unimportant stringers in the fire management landscape. They do not comprise a large proportion of the landscape, but are included here because of their ecological importance. They may occur on steep to gentle terrain, on all aspects and soils, in plains and in mountains.

## **Vegetation Description**

There are three basic riparian types in Wyoming. 1) Tree types, usually featuring cottonwoods, occur when the hydrologic regime allows for a combination of moist soil, but with opportunities for tree seedling germination on bare ground. This type is common on large rivers where the stream course moves laterally across the floodplain, and along stream courses where flows are highly variable.

2) A sedge meadow type occurs (generally) in low gradient environments where stable - high water tables provide an advantage to herbaceous vegetation.

3)The willow sedge type splits the difference between the meadow herbaceous type and the forest type and is most common in Wyoming. It is the general type modeled here.

## **Disturbance Description**

Fire regimes in the riparian zone will vary considerably, from less than 35 years to more than 300 years, and

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

are often determined by surrounding PNVGs. The moisture associated with riparian areas promotes lower fire frequency compared with adjacent uplands, though riparian areas often have more abundant and continuous fine fuels and can be very flammable in drought or late growing season. Riparian areas generally recover rapidly from fire events. The big river floodplains are most likely to burn. The wet meadow types seldom burn, and when they do the preburn herbaceous plant community is not permanently destroyed, and rapidly regrows. Recovery of preburn conditions is possible within a single growing season. In the willow sedge type, woody vegetation is set back by fire, but preburn conditions return within a short period.

Flooding would have caused replacement of riparian vegetation rarely (modeled here at 100 year intervals as "Wind/Weather/Stress"). More frequent floods (e.g., 10 years) would have had little effect on the vegetation, and are included in this model as maintenance disturbances with minimal affect on model results (modeled as "Optional1").

Grazing by native ungulates and weather stress may affect this type, but are not modeled here.

Sources of Scale Data

### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Alteration of these systems today is often related to grazing pressures.

#### **Scale Description**

These are long narrow stringers in the fire management systems.

#### **Issues/Problems**

Combining multiple riparian systems into one model was problematic because it required generalizing fire regimes and vegetation across diverse riparian systems, from narrow, steep mountain streams to broad river valleys. However, this generalization was necessary to meet the constraints of the Rapid Assessment and include riparian types. Due in part to the generality of this model, there was disagreement about the frequency of fire in this system, ranging from less than 35 years to more than 300 years.

Literature

Local Data

Expert Estimate

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

Workshop code was RIPA.

Additional reviewers included Curt Yanish (curt\_yanish@blm.gov) and Gavin Lovell (gavin\_lovell@blm.gov).

Peer review incorporated 4/18/05. Peer review had conflicting input about the frequency of fire in these systems, and ranged from <35 years to >300 years. The model was left at its original MFI of 100 years and descriptive information was added to note the widely ranging fire regimes in riparian systems. Flooding was added as a disturbance type at 100- and 10-year intervals. 100-year floods cause replacement of vegetation (to class A) and 10-year floods do not cause a transition between vegetation classes. Adding flooding reduced the amount of class D (from 90% to 78%) and increased the other vegetation classes slightly.

# Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 2%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Fortul DoctDon	Canopy Position	Min			Max	
Early1 PostRep	ABILD JUNCU POA	Cover	0%		30 %	
Description		Height	no data		no data	
Post-replacement disturbance conditions (fire or flooding). Fire		Tree Size Class no data				
in riparian zones is often patchy. These areas are seldom subject to widespread cover changes and recover quickly (usually within one growing season) from disturbance.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 10%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Open	ABILD			Min	Max	
Description	JUNCU	Cover		0%	70 %	
	POA	Height		no data	no data	
Key vegetation for up to 4 years following the burn might include		Tree Size Class no data				
	linner Laver Lifeform			a man all ff a man f	- development life for	
sedge species, with Juncus, and scirpis. Grass types include bluegrass, and tufted hairgrass.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data			form differs fron er of dominant l	n dominant lifeform. ifeform are:	
sedge species, with Juncus, and scirpis. Grass types include bluegrass, and tufted hairgrass.	Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data <u>Indicator Species* and</u> <u>Canopy Position</u>	Height	and cove		ifeform are:	
sedge species, with Juncus, and scirpis. Grass types include bluegrass, and tufted hairgrass.	Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data <u>Indicator Species* and</u> <u>Canopy Position</u> SALIX	Height	and cove	er of dominant l	ifeform are:	
sedge species, with Juncus, and scirpis. Grass types include bluegrass, and tufted hairgrass. Class C 10% Mid2 Open Description	Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Indicator Species* and Canopy Position SALIX RIBES	Height	and cove	er of dominant l Dr upper laver Min	ifeform are: lifeform) Max	
sedge species, with Juncus, and scirpis. Grass types include bluegrass, and tufted hairgrass.	Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data <u>Indicator Species* and</u> <u>Canopy Position</u> SALIX	Height Structure	e Data (fo	er of dominant l Dr upper laver Min 0 %	ifeform are: lifeform) Max 70 %	

Class D	Class D 78% Indicator Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Open <u>Description</u> Woody vegetation structure is reestablished within 10 years. Species include Salix (willows), Betulus (birch), and Populus (cottonwood).		SALIX	Min		Max			
		BETUL	Cover		0%	90 %		
		POPUL	Height	no	data	no data		
		TOTOL	Tree Siz	e Class no	o data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class E	0%	Indicator Species* and	Structur	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Class E 0%		Canopy Position	Min		Max			
Late1 Closed	[		Cover		%	%		
<b>Description</b>			Height	no	data	no data		
			Tree Siz	1	o data			
		☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☐Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data	Height	and cover c	f dominant lifef	orm are:		
		Disturba	nces					
Non-Fire Dist	urbances Modeled	Fire Regime Group:	3					
□ Insects/Disease I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity   ☑ Wind/Weather/Stress II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity   □ II: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity III: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity								
Native Gr	-	IV: 35-200 year free V: 200+ year freque						
Competiti			ency, replac	Sement Seve	enty			
are	year floods (100-year f modeled as nd/Weather/Stress)	loods						
Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
_	<u>e Size (acres)</u>	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		A -			Duele - h !!!t	Democrat of All Elec		
Sources of F	ire Regime Data	Avg Fi Replacement	I Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
			25	500	0.01	100		
		Mixed 100 Surface	25	500	0.01	100		
□Local Data ✓Expert Estimate		All Fires 100			0.01002			
<b>▼</b> Expert	Louinate	100			0.01002			

## References

Baker, William L. 1987. Recent changes in the riparian vegetation of the montane and subalpine zones of western Colorado, U.S.A. PhD Dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Johnson, Roy; Jones, Dale A., technical coordinators. 1977. Importance, preservation and management of riparian habitat: a symposium; 1977 July 9; Tucson, AZ. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-43. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 217 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, VA 22151; PB-274 582.

Knight, Dennis. 1994. Mountains and Plains: The Eoclogy of Wyoming Landscapes. New Haven: Yale University Press. 338 p.

Koehler, David A.; Thomas, Allan E. 2000. Managing for enhancement of riparian and wetland areas of the western United States: an annotated bibliography. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-54. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 369 p.