# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):								
ROSBDW	Low Sagebrush Shrubland							
General Information								
Contributors (additiona	al contributors may	be liste	d under "Mode	el Evolution and Com	nments")			
Modelers				<b>Reviewers</b>				
Diane Abendroth Diane_Abendroth			Bill Baker	bakerwl@u	bakerwl@uwyo.edu			
				Don Bedunah	bedunah@: ud	forestry.umt.e		
		Karen Clause	karen.claus	karen.clause@wy.usda.g				
					ov			
Vegetation Type	<u>General</u>	Model	Sources	Raj	pid Assessmer	nt Model Zones		
Shrubland	<b>✓</b> Lit	terature			California	Pacific Northwest		
Dominant Species*	Local Data			Great Basin	South Central			
ARAR8	<b>✓</b> Ex	<b>✓</b> Expert Estimate			Great Lakes	Southeast		
ARNO4	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones			Northeast	S. Appalachians			
FEID	'			<u></u>	Northern Plains	<b>✓</b> Southwest		
PSSP6	10	21	23	✓]	N-Cent.Rockies			
1 331 0	19	22	28					
	20	29						
<b>Geographic Range</b>								

Patchy and discontinuous distribution throughout other sagebrush communities in eastern Montana, Wyoming, the Great Basin, and the Southwest.

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

This type is dominated by low growing sagebrushes found on poor, shallow soils in desert areas, dry mountain valleys, and shallow soils in foothills. Soils are often saturated during part of the year. An impermeable clay or rock layer is often present. Elevations range from 4000 - 7000.

## **Vegetation Description**

This type includes communities dominated by black Sagebrush (Artemisia nova), low sagebrush (also called early sagebrush; (Artemisia arbuscula). Although these types do not usually grow in combination, they do share similar fire regimes. Low sagebrushes have very sparse fuels with low growing and cushion forbs and widely scattered bunch grasses.

Grasses in areas with higher precipitation include Idaho fescue (Festuca idahoensis), bluebunch wheatgrass (Pseudoroegneria spicata), and Letterman's needlegrass (Achnatherum lettermanii). In areas with less precipitation, common grasses include bottlebrush squirreltail (Elymus elymoides), and rhizomatous wheatgrasses, including bluebunch wheatgrass (Pseudoroegneria spicata).

#### **Disturbance Description**

Due to sparse and discontinuous fuels, this type experiences very infrequent fire and exhibits few fire adaptations (Fire Regime Group IV or V). Fire history information is limited for low sagebrush communities. Average fire return intervals reported for little sagebrush (Artemisia arbuscula) range from about 40 years (Steinberg 2002) to more than 400 (Baker in press), and is probably strongly related to the fire regimes of surrounding vegetation communities. There was disagreement among reviewers about the frequency of fire for this type (see also the Comments field); the original fire regime of 125 years was retained, but one review suggested using a MFI of 400 years.

Weather events such as drought and high precipitation cycles probably play a role in successional changes, and are modeled here as affecting 0.1% of the landscape each year and cause a transition from the late-development class (B) to the early-development stage (A).

#### **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This type is found in patches within the mountain big sage, Wyoming big sage, and desert shrub vegetation types.

## **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data	<b>✓</b> Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate

Little information exists about historical disturbances in this system. Patch sizes were probably less than 500 acres and interspersed in other vegetation communities.

#### Issues/Problems

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

Workshop code was DSAG.

This PNVG replaces the PNVG R3SBBL for the Southwest model zone because the two types are very similar.

Peer review was incorporated on 4/29/2005. Additional reviewer was Dennis Knight (dhknight@uwyo.edu). Peer reviewers disagreed about the frequency of fire in this system. The majority agreed with the original 125 year average; one review suggested using a 400 year average. The original MFI of 125 years was retained, but descriptive information was added to the Disturbance Description section. Note that changing the fire frequency from 125 years to 400 years results in just 5% change in the model results for the percent of the landscape in each class (class A would be 10% instead of 15%; B would be 90% in stead of 85%.)

#### Succession Classes Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Indicator Species\* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 15% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 PostRep PSSP6 Cover 0% 5% Description **FEID** Height no data no data Dominated by grasses. Less than Tree Size Class no data 5% cover of shrubs. This class lasts approximately 20 years post Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Upper Layer Lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: disturbance and then succeeds to ∐Herbaceous late-development conditions (class B). ∐Tree Fuel Model no data

Class B	85%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open  Description		ARAR8			Max		
		ARNO4	Cover 5%			100 %	
		PSSP6	Height		no data	no data	
	by shrub species with	FEID	Tree Size	e Class			
	Fire or weather events						
cause a transition to early- development conditions (class A), but otherwise this class persists indefinitely.		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class C	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data (for upper layer lifefor				r lifeform) Max	
Mid1 Open			Cover		%	%	
<u>Description</u>			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size		no data	no data	
			1700 0120 01400 Ino data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous  Shrub  Tree		m dominant lifeform. lifeform are:			
		<u>Fuel Model</u> no data					
Class D	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	nd Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open					Min	Max	
Description			Cover		%	%	
<u>Description</u>			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree  Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		no data					
Class E	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer melorin)				
Late1 Open			Course	Max o/			
Description			Cover		%	%	
			Height Troo Size		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	Ulass	no data		

	Herbaceou Shrub Tree	is			differs from de dominant lifef	ominant litetorm. orm are:	
	Fuel Model no	data					
	Dis	turbar	ices				
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled  ☐ Insects/Disease  ☑ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	Fire Regime Group: 4  I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Historical Fire Size (acres)  Avg:  Min:  Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
Sources of Fire Regime Data  ✓ Literature	Replacement Mixed	Avg FI 125	Min FI 60	Max FI 150	Probability 0.008	Percent of All Fires 100	
<ul><li>Local Data</li><li>✓Expert Estimate</li></ul>	Surface All Fires	125			0.00802		
	Re	ferenc	ces				

Baker, W. L. In press. Fire and restoration of sagebrush ecosystems. Wildlife Society Bulletin, in press.

Steinberg, Peter D. 2002. Artemisia arbuscula. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2005, April 29].

Wyoming Interagency Vegetation Committee. 2002. Wyoming Guidelines for Managing Sagebrush Communities with Emphasis on Fire Management. Wyoming game and Fish Department and Wyoming BLM. Cheyenne, WY. 53 pp.