# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

**R7NHMC** 

# Eastern White Pine Northern Hardwood

General Information								
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")								
<b>Modelers</b>				<b>Reviewers</b>				
Alison C. Di	ibble	adibble@fs.fed	.us	Erin Small	Erin Small esmall@fs.fed.us			
Nort Phillips tphillips@fs.fed.us								
Rick Vollick	κ.	rick_vollick@f	ws.gov					
Vegetation Type		Genera	<b>General Model Sources</b>		Rapid Assessmer	nt Model Zones		
Forested		∠Li	terature		California	Pacific Northwest		
Dominant S	pecies*	✓ Local Data			Great Basin	South Central		
PIST	OURU	✓ Ex	xpert Estimate		Great Lakes	Southeast		
ACSA3	QUNU	LANDF	IRE Mapping Zones	<u>3</u>	✓ Northeast Northern Plains	S. Appalachians		
BEAL2		63	66		N-Cent.Rockies			
FAGR		64						
		65						

## **Geographic Range**

New England, NY, and the northern parts of PA, Appalachian Mountains south to northern GA. Northern red oak dynamics perhaps NOT applicable in northern Maine and n. Vermont.

### **Biophysical Site Description**

Mesic to somewhat xeric sites over a broad range of topographic conditions including ravines, valley flats, sheltered low ridges, lower to mid-slopes, and steep, exposed slopes. Soils are usually acidic, tending toward sandy and gravelly soils. Species diversity tends to be low.

## **Vegetation Description**

The characteristic species are eastern white pine (Pinus strobus), sugar maple (Acer saccharum), paper, gray, black, sweet, and yellow birch (Betula papyrifera, B. cordifolia, B. nigra, B. lenta, B. alleghaniensis), beech (Fagus grandifolia), northern red oak (Quercus rubra), white oak (Q. alba). American chestnut (Castanea) would have been a co-dominant before its near-extirpation.

Other common associates include eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis), striped maple (A. pensylvanicum), red maple (A. rubrum), mountain maple (A. spicatum), white ash (Fraxinus americana), black cherry (Prunus serotina), basswood (Tilia americana), and American elm (Ulmus americana). Occasional associates might include blackgum (Nyssa sylvatica), yellow poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera), and hickory (Carya spp.). Shrub layer might include Canada yew (Taxus canadensis), shadbush (Amelanchier spp.), raspberry (Rubus idaeus and R. alleghaniensis), and spirea (Spiraea alba), low sweet blueberry (Vaccinium angustifolium), black huckleberry (Gaylussacia baccata) and viburnum (Viburnum spp.). American chestnut (Castanea dentata) was not included because it is not considered recoverable.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Fire disturbances are severe and affect large patch sizes. Surface fire is extremely rare, at 1,000 year intervals, while replacement fire is more frequent at 300 to 1,000-year intervals. Other disturbances,

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

including windthrow, insect attack, and ice storms, are more important than fire although they may predispose the forest to fire during drought conditions. They are more frequent than fire but affect a smaller percentage of the community type.

### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

To classify this model as a northern hardwoods model puts less emphasis on eastern white pine than the authors intend. See model NHDW2, upon which this model was based.

### Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate

(Hi Kelly and Ayn -- not sure how best to do this part)

#### Issues/Problems

Native American use of fire might be under-represented in the literature and in current interpretation of reference conditions. Along alluvial plains, on lower to mid slopes, and especially along the coast, anthropogenic fires might have been a disturbance factor for at least 6,000 years.

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model is based on the FRCC model NHDW2.

Suggested reviewers: Bill Patterson III, Alan S. White (University of Maine, Orono), Erin Small, Sue Gawler

Use of fire by Native Americans most likely played a prominent role in maintaining savannah like areas (Erin Small). Wind events may have had a greater influence in the Class B stands, which would send more stands to Class C (Erin Small).

Logging records may help to determine how common white pine were prior to European settlement. Also the Silvics Manual (Burns and Honkala) explains the need for light in regenerating pine (Erin Small).

Peer reviewed by Erin Small, Fire Planner USDS Forest Service White Mountain/Green Mountain/Finger Lakes National Forests, 04/22/05.

## Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 15%	Indicator S	Species* and	Structur	e Data (i	for upper layer l	<u>ifeform)</u>
Forby1 All Structures					Min	Max
Early 1 All Suluctures	BEPA	Upper	Cover		0%	100 %
Description	BEAL2	Upper	Heiaht	Shrub M	ledium 1.0-2.9m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Young stand, less than 50 yrs old,	PRSE2	Low-Mid	Tree Size	e Class	Medium 9-21"D	RH
might be initially colonized by red	ACRU	Upper		0.000	Medium y 21 D	
raspberry (Rubus idaeus), blackberry (R. alleghaniensis), gray dogwood (Cornus racemosa), or other shrubs, and possibly striped maple (Acer pennsylvanicum). Overstory spp. Include paper, gray, black, and/or yellow birch, white pine, pin cherry, shadbush, and red maple, with white ash. Northern red oak is possible on well-drained sites. See also Class E for a	Upper Lav ☐Hert ☐Shru ☑Tree Fuel Mod	yer Lifeform paceous b b e <u>lel</u> 11	Upper I Height	ayer lifel and cove	form differs from er of dominant lif	dominant lifeform. eform are:

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

scenario in which a stand replacing fire or wind storm results in an opening that eastern white pine fills and grows into a monotypic stand.

Although not dominant red spruce, eastern white pine and balsam fir are present in this early successional class.

FM 11 is a worst-case scenario Another FM that might apply is 8.

#### Class B 20%

# Mid1 Closed

### Description

Intermediate stand dominated by eastern white pine, yellow birch, and sugar maple, with northern red oak; ca. 50 - 140 yrs old. Beech is possible on ridges and slopes. Balsam fir may be abundant on mid- to upper slopes or moist soils. Minor components might include red spruce (Picea birch, gray birch and others.

Eastern white pine may exceed 21" DBH before 140 years, and the pines may be emergent by this age.

NatureServe 2004 for more details about dynamics with northern red

a rubens), paper	
, eastern hemlock,	

**Upper Layer Lifeform** 

Herbaceous Shrub

✓ Tree

Fuel Model 8

PIST

BEAL2

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position		Structure	e Data (1	or upper layer l	lifeform)
PIST BEAL2 ACSA3	Upper	Cover		Min 90 %	Max 100 %
	Upper	Height	Tree M	edium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m
		Tree Size	e Class	Medium 9-21"D	BH

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C 5%	Indicator S Canopy P	Species* and osition	<u>Structur</u>	e Data (	for upper layer l	<u>ifeform)</u>
Latal Ones	PIST	Upper			Min	Max
Late I Open		Upper	Cover		60 %	90 %
Description	BEAL2 QURU	Upper Upper Upper	Height	Tree Medium 10-24m		Tree Giant >50m
openings. Surface fire, insect			Tree Size Class Very Large >33"DBH			DBH
attack, or other patch disturbance might contribute to opening the stand. Disturbances other than surface fire are more likely to contribute to generation of gaps. Soils are likely to be extremely well-drained or with ledge. See	<u>Upper La</u> ☐Herl ☐Shru ☑Tree Fuel Mo	yer Lifeform baceous ib b del no data	<ul> <li>Upper layer lifeform differs from dom Height and cover of dominant lifeforr</li> <li>a</li> </ul>		dominant lifeform. eform are:	

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

oak. Understory might have blueberry, huckleberry, or a grass layer, with sparse pines among the hardwoods.

### Class D 55%

## Late1 Closed Description

small.

Mature stand characterized by

white pine, with beech, sugar maple, yellow birch, and hemlock, white ash, black cherry. Stand age could be as young as 140 years. White pine could be emergent, even ca. 50 feet above the other species.

Indicator Species* and								
Canopy Position								
PIST	Upper							
ACSA3	Upper							
BEAL2	Upper							
FAGR	Upper							
Upper La	ver Lifeform							
Herbaceous								
Shrub								
<b>∠</b> <sub>Tree</sub>								
Fuel Model 9								

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		75%	100 %
Height	Tree	Tall 25-49m	Tree Giant >50m
Tree Size	e Class	Very Large >33'	'DBH

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class E 5%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
	Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Early2 Closed	PIST Upper	Cover	75 %	100 %		
Description		Height	Tree Tall 25-49m	Tree Giant >50m		
Early succession dominated by		Tree Size Class Very Large >33		"DBH		
eastern white pine following a						
stand-replacing fire or catastrophic	Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper la	ayer lifeform differs from	n dominant lifeform.		
wind-throw event. Pine excludes	Herbaceous	Height a	and cover of dominant li	feform are:		
other tree species for possibly	Shrub					
hundreds of years. These pure pine	✓ Tree					
patches are in a matrix of conifer- northern hardwoods may be fairly	Fuel Model 5					

Disturbances							
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Group: 5						
<ul> <li>☐ Insects/Disease</li> <li>✓ Wind/Weather/Stress</li> <li>☐ Native Grazing</li> <li>☐ Competition</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max:	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	475			0.00211	72
✓ Literature	Mixed					
Local Data	Surface	1250			0.0008	27
Expert Estimate	All Fires	344			0.00292	

### References

Kuchler, A.W. 1964. Northern hardwoods (Acer-Betula-Fagus-Tsuga). #106 In: Manual to accompany the map Potential Natural Vegetation of the United States. New York, NY: The American Geographical Society. 156 p.

Lorimer, C. G. and A. S. White. 2003. Scale and frequency of natural disturbances in the northeastern US: implications for early successional forest habitatas and regional age distributions. Forest Ecology and Management 185: 41-64.

NatureServe. 2004. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Systems of the United States. Natural Heritage Central Databases. NatureServe, Arlington, VA.

Patterson, W. A. III, and K. E. Sassmann. 1988. Indian fires in the prehistory of New England. Holocene Human Ecology in Northeastern North America (ed. G. P. Nicholas), pp. 107-135. Plenum Press, NY.

Russell, E. W. B. 1983. Indian-set fires in the forests of the northeastern United States. Ecology 64: 78-88.

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.