Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):							
R#MCONdy	Mixed Conifer - Eastside Dry						
General Information							
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")							
Modelers		Reviewers					
Miles Hemstrom	mhemstrom@fs.fed.us	Dave Swanson	dkswanson	@fs.fed.us			
Ed Uebler	euebler@fs.fed.us	Rex Crawford	rex.crawfor v	rex.crawford@wadnr.go v			
Bill McArthur	wmcarthur@fs.fed.us	Jim Merzenich jmerzenich@fs.fed		@fs.fed.us			
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Forested	Literature		California	✓ Pacific Northwest			
Dominant Species*	Local Data		Great Basin	South Central			
PIPO	✓ Expert Estimate		Great Lakes	Southeast			
ABGR	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	☐ Northeast ☐ Northern Plain		S. Appalachians Southwest			
PSME ABCO	1 8 2 9 7		N-Cent.Rockies				

Geographic Range

Eastside Cascades Oregon and Washington, Blue Mountains Oregon and Washington, Ochoco Mountains Oregon, Wallowa-Snake province in Oregon/Washington

Biophysical Site Description

Elevation range in eastside Oregon about 2400 feet to about 6500 feet, but most stands occur between 3500 and 5000 feet. Elevation range in Washington Cascades somewhat lower, typically ranging 1000 to 4000 feet.

This forest type occurs just above ponderosa types on a moisture gradient.

Vegetation Description

Ponderosa pine overstory is typical in fire-maintained stands. Older stands tend to be of large, widely spaced ponderosa pine. Some areas have more Douglas fir on these dry sites, especially to the north, where grand fir drops out and PIPO becomes less dominant. Early seral forests are often open stands of mostly ponderosa pine. Lack of wildfire causes fill in of understory conifers, mainly ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir, and grand fir. Western larch is locally important.

Disturbance Description

Typical disturbance regimes under natural conditions include frequent, low-intensity under- burns that maintain open stands of fire resistant trees. Much more infrequent mixed-severity and stand replacement wildfire occurred and tended to generate mosaics of older, larger trees and younger regeneration. Endemic bark beetles produced patch mortality. Rarer epidemic bark beetle outbreaks caused larger-scale overstory mortality and released understory trees. Defoliator outbreaks also caused fir mortality in some areas. Root diseases may play a significant role in later seral forests in this environment.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG occurs below the mesic MCON (fir dominated) forest types, and often occurs above mesic ponderosa forests.

This PNVG includes the following plant association groups: PIPO/elk sedge, PIPO/pinegrass, PIPO/snowberry, PIPO/ninebark and similar types, PSME with the same associated species list, grand fir with similar associated species, white fir with similar associated species. It does not include more mesic PSME (e.g. PSME/oceanspray, PSME/ACGL, PSME/CLUN, PSME/huckleberry, and similar moist types). White fir occurs in this type south of about Bend in Oregon.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate
-----------------------	------------	------------	-------------------

Dry mixed conifer forests that often occur in large areas (hundreds to thousands of acres) that, due to fire and insect disturbances, often contained mosaics of older, larger trees and smaller trees.

Issues/Problems

Landfire should map a more PSME dominated dry forest to the north, esp. north of Wenatchee.

There are differing opinions on this type. Dave Swanson proposed an extended shrub dominated stage. Jim Merzenich observed that the current model does not explain why the mid-open condition has one-fourth the probability of replacement fires than the late stages. This model is recommended for further refinement. One anonymous reviewer commented that the model shows a northern bias, and has overlooked how the type changes species to the south end of its range (Abco replacing Abgr, etc.)

Model Evolution and Comments

Beth Willhite (bwillhite @fs.fed.us) also helped build the model. This type is similar to PPDF1 in the RA book. Our size breaks are based on dominant and co-dominant trees.

Class A	15%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 PostRep Description Open stand of ponderosa pine seedlings mixed with grasses and shrubs. Early seral dominant species include, ceanothus, scouler willow, bull thistle, Bromus, some sedges and grasses.		Canopy Position PIPO PSME	Min		Min	Max
			Cover		5%	20 %
			Height		no data	no data
		LAOC CAGE2	Tree Size Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			

Class B 1%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Closed	PIPO PSME LAOC ABGR	Min			Max	
Description		Cover		40 %	80 %	
Closed stands of 5" to 20" DBH		Height		no data	no data	
early seral tree species. Forests in		Tree Size Class no data				
this PNVG rarely if ever exceed 80% canopy closure even in closed dense conditions.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data					
Class C 30 % Indicator Species* and Canopy Position			Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Open	PIPO			Min	Max	
Description	PSME	Cover		10 %	40 %	
Open stands of 5" to 20" DBH	LAOC ABGR	Height		10 data	no data	
early seral tree species. Dominant		Tree Size	Class	no data		
understory plants include elk sedge, pinegrass, common snowberry, rose, mountain mahogany (wetter), heartleaf arnica, lupines.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D 40%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (fo	or upper layer l		
Late1 Open	PIPO			Min	Max	
Description	PSME	Cover		10%	40 %	
Open stands of 20+" DBH early	LAOC	Height		no data	no data	
seral tree species. Dominant	ABGR	Tree Size Class no data				
understory plants include elk sedge, pinegrass, common snowberry, rose, mountain mahogany (wetter), heartleaf arnica, lupines.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
	Fuel Model no data					

Indicator Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 14% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed **PIPO** Cover 40% 80% **Description PSME** Height no data no data Closed stands of 20+" DBH early **ABGR** Tree Size Class no data seral tree species. Forests in this LAOC PNVG rarely if ever exceed 80% Upper Laver Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. canopy closure even in closed, Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous dense conditions. Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data **Disturbances Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity ✓ Insects/Disease II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity **✓** Competition Other: Other: Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Historical Fire Size (acres) fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is Avg: the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Min: Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Max: estimates and not precise. Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 115 70 200 0.0087 14 Mixed 75 21 70 175 0.01333 **✓** Literature Surface

16 References

25

20

35

0.04

0.06203

64

Crowe, E.; Clausnitzer, R. 1997. Mid-montane wetland plant associations of the Malheur, Umatilla and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests. R6-NR-ECOL-TP-22-97. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 299 p.

All Fires

Heyerdahl, Emily K. and James K. Agee. 1996. Historical fire regimes of four sites in the Blue Mountains, Oregon and Washington. Final Report, University of Washington, Seattle. 173 p

Johnson, C.G. and Clausnitzer, R.R. 1992. Plant associations of the Blue and Ochoco Mountains. P6-ERW-TP-036-92. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 164 pp + appendices.

Johnson, C.G. and Simon, S.A. 1986. Plant associations of the Wallowa-Snake province. R6-ECOL-TP-255b-86. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 272 pp + appendices.

Hopkins, W.E. 1979a. Plant associations of the Fremont National Forest. USDA Forest Service R6 Ecol 79-

✓ Local Data

✓ Expert Estimate

004. Pacific Northwest Region, Portland Oergon. 106 p., illus.

Hopkins, W.E. 1979b. Plant associations of the south Chiloquin and Klamath Ranger Districts, Winema National Forest. USDA Forest ServiceR6 Ecol 79-005. Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, Oregon. 96 p., illus.

Mauroka, K.R. 1994. Fire history of Pseudotsuga menziesii and Abies grandis stands in the Blue Mountains of Oregon and Washington. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 73 p.

Volland, L.A. 1988. Plant communities of the central Oregon pumice zone. R-6 Area Guide 4-2. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 113 pp + appendices.