Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R#PICOpu

Lodgepole Pine - Pumice Soils

General Information								
Contributors (additiona	al contributors may be listed under "Model	Evolution and Comments")						
Modelers	<u>Reviewers</u>							
Keith Hadley	hadley@pdx.edu	Jim Merzenich jmerzenich@fs.fed.us						
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones						
Forested	✓ Literature	California Pacific Northwest						
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data	Great Basin South Central						
Pico	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes Southeast						
Pico Putr	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	Northeast S. Appalachians						
		Northern Plains Southwest						
Feid	1 8	N-Cent.Rockies						
Aruv	2 9							

Geographic Range

Lodgepole pine forest of south central Oregon occupy areas deeply buried by Mazama ash and pumice east of the central and southern Cascades of Oregon. This area is dominated by self-replacing stands of nonsertotinous lodgepole pine making it a distinctive forest type for the seral lodgepole pine stands occurring under different regional environmental conditions.

Biophysical Site Description

This forest type is generally considered to consist of two climax stages: 1) an "edaphic climax" occurring on nutrient poor, low thermal capacity soils derived primarily from Mazama ash and pumice deposited ca. 6700 YBP, and 2) a less common tope-edaphic stand type associated with topographic depressions and river valleys. Both forest types generally occur between 1200 to 1600 m elevation. This forest type is generally restricted to the "pumice plateau" region characterized by internally drained topographic depressions, low angle slopes and isolated cinder cones. Similar conditions can also be present in other areas of central and eastern Oregon but are generally a minor component of the local forests. Aspect in the plateau area varies primarily due to drainage systems and slopes associated with cinder cones. Soils for the pumice lodgepole pine forests are poorly structured Andisols (A/C horizon) with fine-textured, low bulk density and low nutrient status. The edaphic forest type is associated with well-drained, low soil moisture capacity soils. Poor drainage can characterize depression soils where understory species include Festuca idahoensis and Carex rossi.

Vegetation Description

Generally single-layer forest canopy dominated by lodgepole pine. Multi-canopy stand types can occur locally where disturbance, moderate to light fires, windthrow or other canopy disturbance create open conditions. Ponderosa pine, white fir, western white pine, and aspen can be associated with these forests under specific habitat conditions related to soil moisture. Franklin and Dyrness (1988) recognize 8 plant communities where lodgepole pine is the dominant tree species. Understory species characterizing these

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

7

communities follow a moisture gradient from dry (dominated by the shrub Purshia tridentada) to wet (dominated by grasses and sedges).

Disturbance Description

Moderate to high severity (stand replacing) fires with a MFRI of 60 to 100+ years. Fire-scarred trees tend to be more susceptible to beetle attack and blue stain fungi-induced mortality leading to cyclic-succession that includes these three disturbance agents. Windthrow can also be both locally important (creating canopy gaps), and regionally important (leading to the "unraveling" of the forest canopy). Both conditions promote the self-replacement of lodgepole pine in this forest type. Windthrow may also contribute to local regeneration by promoting favorable micro-climate and local soils conditions. Self-thinning is an important process during the early successional stage of this forest type.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

The pumice lodgepole pine forests are adjacent to the following forest types: dry ponderosa pine (mesic), mixed ponderosa pine, and juniper steppe.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ✓ Literature ✓ Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate

The lodgepole pine pumice ecosystem is dominated by large-scale fire and insect outbreaks. Windthrow can be an important factor modifying canopy conditions and regeneration success in the absence of fire and variable depending on local topography. Microsite conditions are important to successful regeneration where soil moisture is low. Topographic depressions may be important to the separation of lodgepole pine and ponderosa pine near the transition of these forest types as a result of cold air drainage favoring lodgepole pine.

Issues/Problems

Fire history is poorly described in the literature but can be more accurately determined by age structure than most forest types.

Jim Merzenich brought up the discussion on the historic vs. present extent of Ponderosa grasslands. This discussion includes other pine models (R#PIPOm, R#PIPOxe). He suggests that one of these models should include large extent of Ponderosa grassland. It was suggested that the current area in this type may be a significant extension of the historic extent due to fire suppression and grazing (Munger, 1914) - that, according to GLO records, much of this area was more of a ponderosa savannah.

Model Evolution and Comments

In Classes C and D, the alternative succession represents the in-filling to a closed canopy state as a result of regeneration.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

	20 %		Structure I	Data (for upper layer l	<u>litetorm)</u>	
Cortul All	Structures	Canopy Position		Min	Max	
Early1 All Structures <u>Description</u> Dense post-fire stands (may exceed 10,000 stems/ha). Tree size is small (< 10 cm dbh) and ages vary from (< 20 to > 40 years) depending on environmental conditions. Regeneration and understory plants are rare. Self- thinning is the predominant process leading to changes in stand structure and leads to high levels of fine to 10 hour fuels. However, these stands rarely burn and can act as fire barriers. [Succession to class B after 40 years. Replacement fire resets to time zero (MFRI 1000 years). A small percentage of this PNVG is so dry that it only ever develops open canopy class D (probability 0.01).]		Pico	Cover	10%	85 %	
			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size (Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
•						
•		Indicator Species* and	Structure I	Data (for upper layer l	lifeform)	
canopy cla	ss D (probability 0.01).] 15%	Canopy Position	Structure I	Data (for upper layer l Min	l <mark>ifeform)</mark> Max	
canopy cla Class B Mid1 Close	ss D (probability 0.01).] 15% ed		Structure I			
canopy cla Class B Mid1 Close Description	ss D (probability 0.01).] 15% ed	Canopy Position		Min	Max	
canopy cla Class B Mid1 Close Description Lodgepole	ss D (probability 0.01).] 15% ed	Canopy Position	Cover	Min 40 % no data	Max 85 %	

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C	50 %	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	<u>Structur</u>				
conditions of resulting fro mortality an regeneration years, and a pattern of su post-fire sta second burn outbreaks an [Succession years. Repl years. Alter	s show open canopy of mature lodgepole om insect-induced tree d/or windthrow or low n. They can be 40 to 80 re often part of a cyclic accession involving nds experiencing a a followed by insect nd windthrow. to class C after 110 acement fire MFRI 100 mate succession 0.01 allows the stand to	Pico Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		<i>e Class</i> layer lifet		rom dominant l nt lifeform are:	Max 40 % b data ifeform.
Class D Latel Open	10%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position Pico	Structur	re Data (1	for upper la Min	yer lifeform)	Max

10%

no data

no data

Pico Late1 Open Cover **Description** Height Open mature stand conditions Tree Size Class reflecting low establishment rates under dry environmental Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Herbaceous conditions. Lupine and some wax Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub current are common species under these 80 to 150 year old stands. Tree [Maintains in class D. Fuel Model no data Replacement fire MFRI 100 years. A small portion may eventually close in to class E (probability 0.01).]

Class E	5%	Indicator Species* and	Structure	<u>er lifeform)</u>		
		Canopy Position			Min	Max
Late1 Closed		Pico	Cover	40 %		80 %
Description	1 (10 50		Height		no data	no data
Mature lodgepole (>10 cm - < 50 cm dbh) average 30 cm, and are			Tree Size Class no data		no data	
generally 120-	-150 years.	Upper Layer Lifeform				om dominant lifeform.
Regeneration patterns reflect canopy structure, (i.e., gap size and		└─ Herbaceous └─ Shrub	Height a	t lifeform are:		
density) but is	generally low. At					
0	stands may show the outbreaks that	Fuel Model no data				

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

40%

no data

impact the older trees and open up the stand. [Maintains in class E. Replacement fire MFRI 100 years. Insect/Disease may open up the stand to class C (prob. 0.025).]

Disturbances								
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime G	iroup:	4					
✓ Insects/Disease I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity Wind/Weather/Stress II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing IV: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity Competition V: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Other: Other factors: windthrow, insect/disease, low regeneration Other:								
Listorical Fire Size (acres)Fire Intervals (FI):Avg:Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.								
		Avg Fl	Min Fl	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	125	65	200	0.008	78		
✓ Literature	Mixed	450			0.00222	22		
✓ Local Data	Surface							
Expert Estimate	All Fires	98			0.01023			
References								

Agee, J.K. 1993. Fire Ecology of Pacfic Northwest Forests. Island Press, Washington, D.C.

Franklin, J.F. and Dyrness, C.T. 1988. Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington. Second Edition. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, Oregon.

Geiszler, D.R., Gara, R.I., Driver, C.H., Gallucci, B.F., and Martin, R.E. 1980. Fire, fungi, and beetle influences on a lodgepole pine ecosystem in south-Central Oegon. Oecologia 46:239-243.

Munger, Thornton T. 1914. Replacement of yellow pine by lodgepole pine on the pumice soils of central Oregon. Proceedings of the Society of American Foresters. 9(3): 396-406.

Stuart, J.D., Agee, J.K., and Gara, R.I. 1989. Lodgepole pine regeneration in an old, self-perpetuating forest in south-central Oregon. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 19:1096-1104.

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.