# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

**R8HEWP** 

# Hemlock - White Pine - Hardwood

General Information								
<u>Contributo</u>	<u>rs</u> (additional	contributors may be listed under "Mode	l Evolution and Con	nments")				
Modelers F			<u>Reviewers</u>	Reviewers				
David Taylor dtay		dtaylor02@fs.fed.us	Carl Nordman	Carl_Nordman@natures erv.org				
Vegetation Type General Model Sources		General Model Sources	Ra	pid Assessmen	t Model Zones			
Forested		✓ Literature		California	Pacific Northwest			
Dominant Species*		Local Data		Great Basin	South Central			
TSCA PIST LITU FAGR	QUVE QUAL MAGNO RHMA4	Expert Estimate          LANDFIRE Mapping Zones         53       47         57       48		Great Lakes Northeast Northern Plains N-Cent.Rockies	<ul> <li>☐ Southeast</li> <li>✓ S. Appalachians</li> <li>☐ Southwest</li> </ul>			

## **Geographic Range**

Northeast Alabama, northern Georgia, eastern Kentucky, southeastern Ohio, western North Carolina, northwestern South Carolina, eastern Tennessee, southwestern West Virginia, western Virginia. In the Appalachian Mountains and on the Cumberland Plateau. May also be on the upper Piedmont. Canada hemlock (Tsuga canadensis) extends from Maine and Nova Scotia south to northern Alabama and Georgia.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

Generally found at lower elevations under 3,000 ft in riparian areas, usually along high gradient (1-2%) streams. This type is also found on lower slopes with west and south aspect, lower to mid slope on east and north aspects, and in narrow cliff bound valleys it may occur to the base of cliffs on all slopes.

Sites are acid, generally on moist, but moderately well drained to well drained loamy or silty soils, either colluvial or alluvial. Soils are often rocky and usually deep (>40") even if only in pockets between boulders. Sites remain moist in all but most severe drought conditions.

## **Vegetation Description**

Dominant vegetation is generally in two to three layers. The canopy in well developed late seral conditions is composed of eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis) (most common) and or white pine (Pinus strobus) mixed with various hardwoods including tulip poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera), American beech (Fagus grandifolia), black and white oaks (Quercus velutina, Q. alba), black birch (Betula lenta), bigleaf and umbrella magnolias (Magnolia macrophylla, M. tripetala). In the southern Appalachians, Fraser magnolia (M. fraseri) and silverbell (Halesia carolina) may also be found. There may be gaps with a younger cohort of the same set of species. A dense, low to high shrub layer of great laurel (Rhododendron maxima) and sometimes mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia) is often present. Yellowroot (Xanthorhiza simplissima) may occur immediately adjacent to streams in sandy/silty alluvial deposits in gaps. Few if any herbs are found

and bryophyte and hepatophyte cover is generally restricted to downed wood, tree/shrub boles, and rocks/boulders.

As written, the setting and range of the FRCC model NHDW2 (Conifer Northern Hardwood Forest) and NatureServe's 202.373 (Southern and Central Appalachian Cove Forest) includes the moist, acid, lower elevation landscape position variant described here. R8HEWP differs from NHDW2 and 202.373 in composition but shares a similar successional pathway, fire and disturbance regimes. R8HEWP is based in part on NHDW2 and R7SHEM. R8HEWP may grade into NHDW2, more or less equivalent to NatureServes's 202.593 (Appalachian Northern Hardwood Forest) and R7SHEM. See Adjacency/Identification Concerns for more discussion.

## **Disturbance Description**

Naturally this system had few fires of any type. Surface fires were rare and did not much affect vegetation except in the youngest (seedling/sapling) stages. Surface fires could result in some lower branch pruning creating small gaps on the forest floor. Stand replacement fires were extremely rare, following intense, prolonged drought and or insect/disease (extent and nature of this uncertain, but see Delcourt and Delcourt 1998?) or extensive wind/ice/snow damage. The system is in Fire Regime III and most protected sites are essentially fire free.

Weather related disturbance and catastrophic wind disturbances occur more frequently than fires, but still infrequently. Usually weather related disturbance affected smaller areas than fires. Ice and snow break tops and branches out of trees providing light to the forest floor. Wind on occasion will throw trees creating 1-few tree gaps. Rarely tornados or straight line winds especially on the Cumberland Plateau) would have resulted in extensive damage. Insect/disease events were rare, but extensive (see Delcourt and Delcourt 1998). Areas of this type which are most exposed to wind are likely to maintain a higher percentage of hardwood in the type.

## Adjacency or Identification Concerns

The R8HEWP grades into several PNVGs. Upslope, especially on eastern and northern aspect slopes there is often a transition into MMHF (48). At the upper elevation limits, this PNVG may grade into R7SHEM or NHDW2. On southern and western aspect slopes, the transition may be into ASLP (48, 49) or APOK (48, 49). Downstream, the transition may be into MMHF (48) or sycamore/ash/elm/river birch forest. In the most protected sites, R8HEWP tends toward R7SHEM in older age classes.

## **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data Literature VLocal Data VExpert Estimate

Landscape adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regime. This PNVG occurs as stringers along streams ranging from 2-3 acres to >200 acres in some areas.

## Issues/Problems

This PNVG is threatened by the spread of hemlock wooly adelgid and by global warming. Both factors will reduce the range and extent of the vegetation type. Roads are occasionally found in valleys along streams in this PNVG, at least at lower elevations.

## **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model replaces the model R7SHEM from the Northeast model zone; the majority of this PNVG will occur in the Southern Appalachians model zone.

Quality control resulted in a changed Relative Age in Class A from -49 to -50 because the class had 50 time steps. This caused no visible changes to model results. No significant review comments--checked the accuracy of the RHMA4 code (looked correct).

Suggested reviewers include: Dr. Bill Martin-Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond, KY 40475, Professor Emeritus; Dr. Julian Campbell, KY Chapter TNC, Lexington; Dan Pittillo, Western Carolina Univ. - Cullowhee, NC; Cecil Frost; Also made use of C. Frost's draft R7SHEM model/description.

## Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Fuel Model 5

Fuel Model 8

## Class A 10%

# Early1 All Structures **Description**

Young stand characterized by eastern hemlock and or white pine which can replace the hemlock or share dominance with it. Hemlock is the usual conifer present. Tulip polar, American beech and usually umbrella magnolia and or bigleaf magnolia (at least on Cumberland Plateau) also present. Fraser magnolia and silverbell may be present in Southern Appalachians. A few oaks (black, white) may be present. Great laurel and mountain laurel usually present in dense patches at least in older forests; Less than 50 yrs old.

## Class B 10%

# Mid1 Closed

# **Description**

Intermediate stand dominated by eastern hemlock and or white pine which can replace the hemlock or share dominance with it. Hemlock is usually the conifer present. Tulip poplar, American beech, umbrella magnolia and or bigleaf magnolia (at least on Cumberland Plateau) also present. Fraser magnolia and silverbell may be present in Southern Appalachians. A few oaks (black, white) may be present. Great laurel is present in dense patches. Mountain laurel may be present in dense patches. 50 - 200 yrs old

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
		Min		Max			
TSCA	Upper	Cover	0%		100 %		
LITU	Upper	Height	Tree Regen <5m		Tree Medium 10-24m		
FAGR	Upper	Tree Size Class		Medium 9-21"DBH			
RHMA4	Lower						
Upper Layer Lifeform		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Shrub							
✓Tree							

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
TSCA	Upper Upper Upper	Min			Max		
		Cover	70%		100 %		
FAGR		Height	Tree Medium 10-24m		Tree Tall 25-49m		
RHMA4	Lower	Tree Size	e Class	Н			
Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub		Upper I Height	ayer lifef and cove	form differs from er of dominant lif	dominant lifeform. eform are:		

## Class C 25%

#### Mid1 Open Description

Intermediate stand dominated by eastern hemlock and or white pine which can replace the hemlock or share dominance with it. Hemlock is usually the conifer present. Tulip poplar, American beech, umbrella magnolia and or bigleaf magnolia (at least on Cumberland Plateau) also present. Fraser magnolia and silverbell may be present in Southern Appalachians. A few oaks (black, white) may be present. Great laurel is present in dense patches. Mountain laurel may be present in dense patches. 50 - 200 yrs old

## Class D 30 %

# Late1 Open **Description**

Mature stand may have eastern hemlock or white pine dominant or be a mixture of both (hemlock usually present and dominant). Tulip poplar and American beech, and possibly black birch, and umbrella and bigleaf magnolia (at least on Cumberland Plateau). Fraser magnolia and silverbell may be present in Southern Appalachians. Great laurel is present in dense patches. Mountain laurel may be present in dense patches. Oldest trees are 200 - 350yrs old, rarely as much as 400 yrs old.

Canopy closure in this class is generally close to the upper limit in the class: 70 percent. Differentiating this class from class E may be difficult, but Class D

# Indicator Species\* andCanopy PositionTSCAUpperLITUUpperFAGRUpperRHMA4Lower

## Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		60 <b>%</b>	70 %
Height Tree M		edium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m
Tree Size Class		Large 21-33"DB	Н

## Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous □ Shrub

✓ Tree

Fuel Model 8

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) **Canopy Position** Min Max TSCA Upper Cover 60% 70% LITU Upper Tree Medium 10-24m Height Tree Tall 25-49m FAGR Upper Tree Size Class Very Large >33"DBH RHMA4 Lower Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Shrub  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{Tree}}$ Fuel Model 8

tends to have more hardwood in it.

	Indicator Species	s* and	Chrushin	ro Data (for upper laver lifeform)				
Class E 25%	Canopy Position		Structur	e Data (for u	pper layer III	<u>itetorm)</u>		
Late1 Closed	TSCA Uppe	er	0	MI	n	Max		
Description	LITU Unne	r	Cover	/0	%	100 %		
Mature stand characterized by	FAGR Uppe	r	Height	Tree Mediur	n 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m		
more hemlock and or white nine	RHMA4 Low	or	Tree Size	e Class Ver	y Large >33"D	BH		
and fewer hardwoods usually tulin		form	Unnerl	over lifeform	diffora from d	ominant lifeform		
nonlar and or American beech but			Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
can include any listed in class C								
and D: great laurel may be present	□ Shrub							
in loose to dense patches. Oldest	Tree							
traes are 200 350 (400) yrs old	Fuel Model 8							
With time, this class tends to grade								
into R7SHEM in the most								
protected areas								
protected areas.								
	Dist	turban	ices					
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime G	iroup:	3					
□Insects/Disease	I: 0-35 year	frequenc	y, low and	d mixed sever	ity			
✓ Wind/Weather/Stress	II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity							
Native Grazing	IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity							
	V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
• Other: Catastrophic wind events								
	Fire Intervals	(FI):						
Historical Fire Size (acres)	Fire interval is	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of						
Aver 30 and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals if known. Probability i						wn. Probability is		
Min. 5	the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.							
Milli: J Max:200	Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are							
1v1ax.200	estimates and	not precis	se.					
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	1000	500	10000	0.001	17		

	періасеттеті	1000	500	10000	0.001	1 /
	Mixed					
Local Data	Surface	210	100	5000	0.00476	83
Expert Estimate	All Fires	174			0.00577	

## References

Delcourt, H.R. and Delcourt, P.A. 1988. Quaternary landscape ecology: relevant scales in space and time. Landscape Ecol. 2: 23-44.

Ison, Cecil R. 2000. Fire on the edge: prehistoric fire along the escarpement zone of the Cumberland Plateau. In: Yaussy, Daniel A., compiler. Proceedings: workshop on fire, people, and the central hardwoods landscape; 2000 March 12-14; Richmond, KY. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-274. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station: 36-45.

Martin, W. H. 1975. The Lilley Cornett Woods: A stable mixed mesophytic forest in Kentucky. Botanical Gazette 136:171-183.

Thompson, Ralph L.; Jones, Ronald L.; Abbott, J. Richard; Denton, W. Neal. 2000. Botanical survey of Rock Creek Research Natural Area, Kentucky. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-272. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. 23 p.