# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): Interior Highlands Dry-Mesic Forest and Woodland R5FOWOdm General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Fryar, Roger rfryar@fs.fed.us Paul Nelson pwnelson@fs.fed.us David H. Jurney djurney@fs.fed.us Doug Zollner dzollner@tnc.org **Vegetation Type Rapid Assessment Model Zones General Model Sources ✓** Literature Forested California Pacific Northwest Local Data **✓** South Central Great Basin **Dominant Species\* ✓** Expert Estimate Great Lakes Southeast **QUAL FAGR** Northeast S. Appalachians **OURU LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest ACSA3 44 N-Cent.Rockies **ACRU**

# **Geographic Range**

This PNVG primarily occurs in the Interior Low Plateau, southern Central Lowland, Ozark Plateaus, and Ouachita physiographic provinces. It includes parts of Missouri, Arkansas and Oklahoma.

### **Biophysical Site Description**

This type is found on a wide range of topographic positions, including drier sites and mixed mesophytic forests, distribution is nonetheless influenced by local conditions affecting moisture and fertility. Generally, from east to west, that distribution becomes more and more limited in extent and more dependent on very favorable habitat conditions. Drier sites (often oak dominated) represent approximately 75% of the total type while less than 25% of the type is represented as the most mesic sites in the upland landscape. Open conditions describe a single canopy structure with no developed midstory. Closed conditions are multiple canopy usually late-seral forests.

#### **Vegetation Description**

The vegetation is variable along mositure gradients, but includes (on more mesic sites) generally more fire-intolerant species such as red maple, sugar maple and other non-oak hardwood components. On drier sites, white oak, red oaks, and other fire-tolerant hardwood species are dominant. Drier sites are generally more open than mesic sites. At these sites the canopy is open enough to support mixed grasses, sedges and forbs but not warm season grasses. In Missouri, this type occupies dry-mesic conditions associated with deeper soils of leeward, north- and east- facing hill and mountain shoulders to the toe of the slope. Mesic sites in mid and late seral stages tend to be closed forest with understories (sometimes more herbaceous than woody).

# **Disturbance Description**

This PNVG is fire regime group I primarily, but with lower frequency than drier types and primarily low intensity surface fire with occasional mosaic (mixed severity) or replacement fire. Mean fire return interval

(MFI) is about 20 years with wide year-to-year and within-type variation related to moisture cycles, degree of sheltering, and proximity to more fire-prone types. Anthropogenic fire is considered and contributes to within-type MFI variation. Native ungulate grazing may have played a small role in replacement where buffalo and elk concentrated, but fire generally maintained systems. Drought and moist cycles play a strong role interacting with both fire and native grazing.

# **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This PNVG was defined using NatureServe - Central Interior and Appalachian (202), CES202.306 Ouachita Montane Oak Forest, CES202.708 Ozark-Ouachita Dry-Mesic Oak Forest, CES202.043 Ozark-Ouachita Mesic Hardwood Forest. Also identified as Ouachita Mixed Forest and Eastern Broadleaf Forest (R8 Old Growth Guidance). The dry-mesic woodland differs from the more open, drier, bluestem-dominated woodland (R5BSOW) but the two do overlap.

# **Scale Description**

| Sources of Scale Data | <b>✓</b> Literature | Local Data | ✓ Expert Estimate |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|

Landscape adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regime. Topographically complex areas can be relatively small (< 1000 acres). Larger landscapes up to several thousand acres in size.

### Issues/Problems

Type includes western mixed mesophytic as an inclusion in a much larger matrix of dry-mesic oak and other mesic hardwood. The more mesic type(s) are not mappable at LANDFIRE scales, but as a part of larger dry-mesic hardwood becomes mappable.

### **Model Evolution and Comments**

Collaboration and suggested edits from Doug Zollner, Ron Masters, Paul Nelson, Tom Foti, Susan Hooks, Steve Osborne, Bruce Davenport and others. References and site description were expanded as a result of peer review.

| class A  | 5%   | Indicator Species* and Canopy Position |   | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) |      |           |                |
|--|--|--|---|---|------|-----------|----------------|
| Contril All C  | Ctanatumas   |  |   | Min                                       |      | Max       |                |
| Early1 All S   | Structures   | ACRU                                   | Upper   | Cover                                     |      | 35 %      | 100 %          |
| <u>Description</u>   |  | QUAL                                   | Upper   | Height                                    | Tree | Regen <5m | Tree Regen <5m |
| 0-15 years. Sprouts, seedlings, saplings of major overstory species in gaps and openings created by wind, lightning, insect/disease and fire. Both fire-tolerant and | QURU Upper PRSE2 Upper Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous |  | Tree Size Class   Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH  Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: |   |      |           |                |

#### Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class B 25% **Canopy Position** Min Max ACRU Mid1 Closed Upper Cover 65% 100% ACSA3 Upper Description Heiaht Tree Short 5-9m Tree Short 5-9m **OUAL** Upper 15-64 years. Dominated by young Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH **FAGR** Lower to mid-seral mature canopy with some development of mid and **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. understory species. Closed Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Shrub conditions are more a function of mesic (or topographically **✓**Tree protected) conditions. Fuel Model 9 Understory/midstory development with at least two layers present (dependent on age) on these more mesic sites. On drier sites, forested conditions but with a relatively open understory. Indicator Species\* and Class C Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 20% **Canopy Position** Min Max **QUAL** Upper Mid1 Open Cover 35% 65 % **Description OURU** Upper Tree Short 5-9m Tree Short 5-9m Height **ACRU** Upper 15-64 years. Similar overstory Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH species as B but in a single canopy structure without well-developed Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. midstory. On drier sites generally Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous more oak-dominated. Variable Shrub herbaceous understory ranging **✓**Tree from grass to rich herb layers. The Fuel Model 9 understory is a function of moisture gradients, fire frequency and intensity. Indicator Species\* and 30% Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D **Canopy Position** Min Max **OUAL** Upper Late1 Open Cover 35% 50% **QURU** Upper **Description** Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Medium 10-24m 65-100+ years. Mature canopy Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH sometimes reaching 100 feet in height. Dominant overstory species **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. variable by location and stand Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous history. Open (woodland) ☐Shrub

**✓**Tree

Fuel Model 9

conditions dependent on fire

a common dominant.

frequency and intensity. Generally

more oak dominated with white oak

#### Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 20% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed QUAL Upper Cover 65% 100% **Description** ACSA3 Middle Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Medium 10-24m 65-100+ years. Canopy may have **FAGR** Low-Mid Tree Size Class | Medium 9-21"DBH more non-oak hardwood with well-COFL2 Low-Mid developed lower layers containing Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. many of the canopy species. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous $\square$ Shrub **✓** Tree Fuel Model 9 **Disturbances Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity Insects/Disease II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Other: Other: Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of **Historical Fire Size (acres)** fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is Avg: 500 the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Min: 10 Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Max:5000 estimates and not precise. Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 250 50 300 0.004 7 Mixed 18 90 20 150 0.01111 **✓** Literature Surface Local Data 22 5 35 0.04545 75 All Fires 17 0.06057 **✓** Expert Estimate References Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky

Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Frost, C., Presettlement Fire Frequency Regimes of the United States: A First Approximation. Pages 70-81, May 1996., Proceedings of the 20nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Ecosystem Management: Shifting the Paradigm from Suppression to Prescritpion. Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Foti, T. and S. Glenn. 1991. The Ouachita Mountains Landscape at the Time of Settlement. In D. Henderson and L. D. Hedrick, editors. Proc.: Conference on Restoring Old Growth Forest in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma. Winrock International, Morrilton, Ark.

Fryar, Roger D. 1991. Old Growth Stands of the Ouachita National Forest. In D. Henderson and L. D. Hedrick, editors, Proc. Restoration of Old Growth Forest in the Interior Highlands of

Arkansas and Oklahoma. Winrock International. Morrilton, Ark.

Glitzenstein, J. S., P. A. Harcomb, and D. R. Streng. 1986. Disturbances, succession, and maintenance of species diversity in an east Texas forest. Ecological Monographs 56:243-258.

Guyette, R.P. and B.E. Cutter. 1997. Fire history, population, and calcium cycling in the Current River Watershed. In:(Pallardy et al. eds.) Proceedings 11th Central Hardwood Forest Conference. USDA Forest Service GTR NC-188. 401 p.

Guyette, R. P and B. E. Cutter. 1991. Tree-ring analysis of fire history of a post-oak savanna in the Missouri Ozarks. Natural Areas Journal 11(2): 93-99. Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma. Conf. Proc., Winrock International. Morrilton, Ark.

Guyette, R.P. and D.C. Dey. 1997. Historic shortleaf pine (Pinus echinata) abundance and fire frequency in a mixed oak - pine forest (MOFEP site 8). In:(B. Brookshire and S. Shifley,eds.) The Proceeding of the Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project Symposium: An experimental approach to landscape research. USDA Forest Service GTR NC-193. 378 p.

Guyette, R.P. Dey, D.C, and M.C. Stambaugh. 2003. Fire history of an Indiana oak barren. American Midlands Naturalist. 149:21-34.

Guyette, R.P. and J. Kabrick. 2003. The legacy of forest disturbance, succession, and species at the MOFEP sites. In:(S. Shifley, eds.) The Proceeding of the Second Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project Symposium. USDA Forest Service GTR NC-227.

Guyette, R. P. and E. A. McGinnes, Jr. 1982. Fire History of an Ozark Glade in Missouri. Trans. Mo. Acad. Sci.16:85-93.

Guyette, R.P. R.M. Muzika, C.D. Dey. 2002. Dynamics of an anthropogenic fire regime. Ecosystems. 5(5): 472-486.

Guyette, R. P. and M. A. Spetich 2003. Fire history in oak-pine forests in the Lower Boston Mountains, Arkansas, USA. Forest and Ecology Management 180:463-474.

Jurney, D., R. Evans, J. Ippolito, John, V. Bergstrom, 2004. The role of wildland fire in portions of southeastern Mareica. Pages 95-116 in R.T. Engstrom, K.E. M. Galley, and W.J. de Groot (eds.). Proceedings of the 22nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Montane, Boreal, and Temperate Ecosystems, Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of fire and timber harvest on vegetation and cervid use on oak -pine sites in Oklahoma Ouachita Mountains. Pages 168-176. In S. C. Nodvin and T. A. Waldrop, (eds.). Fire and the environment: ecological and cultural perspectives. Proc. Of an international symposium. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-69. Southeast For. Exp. Sta., Asheville, N.C.

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of timber harvest and prescribed fire on wildlife habitat and use in the Ouachita Mountains of eastern Oklahoma. Ph.D. Thesis, Oklahoma State Univ. Stillwater. 351 pp.

Masters, R. E., and D. M. Engle. 1994. BEHAVE-evaluated for prescribed fire planning in mountainous oak-shortleaf pine habitats. Wildlife Society Bulletin 22:184-191.

- Masters, R. E., D. M. Engle, and R. Robinson. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on soil chemical properties in the Ouachita Mountains. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 17:139-145.
- Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, and D. M. Engle. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on white-tailed deer forage production. Wildlife Society Bulletin 21:401-411.
- Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, S. T. McMurry, and G. A. Bukenhofer. 1998. Small mammal response to pine-grassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers. Wildlife Society Bulletin 28:148-158.
- Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. A. Garner. 1989. Red-cockaded woodpecker in Oklahoma; an update of Wood's 1974-77 Study. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 69:27-31.
- Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. Whitehead. 1995. Preliminary fire history of McCurtain County Wilderness Area and implications for red-cockaded woodpecker management. Pages 290-302 in D. L. Kulhavy, R. G. Hooper, and R. Costa. (eds.). Red-cockaded woodpecker: Species recovery, ecology and management. Center for Applied Studies, Stephen F. Austin University, Nacogdoches, TX.
- Masters, R. E., C. W. Wilson, D. S. Cram, G. A. Bukenhofer, and R. L. Lochmiller. 2002. Influence of ecosystem restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on breeding bird and small mammal communities. Pages 73-90 in W. M. Ford, K. R. Russell, and C. E. Moorman, editors. In The role of fire in non-game wildlife management and community restoration: traditional uses and new directions: proceedings of a special workshop. Annual Meeting of The Wildlife Society, Nashville, Tenn. USDA For. Ser. Northeast Research Station. General Technical Report NE- 288.
- Masters, R. E., C. W. Wilson, G. A. Bukenhofer, and M. E. Payton. 1996. Effects of pinegrassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on white-tailed deer forage production. Wildlife Society Bulletin 24:77-84. NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatrueServe Central Databases. Arlinton, VA U.S. A. Data current as of January 13, 2005.
- Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.
- Spetich, Martin A., ed. 2004. Upland oak ecology symposium: history, current conditions, and sustainability. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS–73. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 311 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, June 1997, Guidance for Conserving and Restoring Old-Growth Forest Communities on National Forests in the Southern Region Report of the Region 8 Old-Growth Team, Forestry Report R8-FR 62.

| *Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. | То |
|--|----|
| check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.         |    |