Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

	Potentia	al Natu	ıral Ve	getation	Groun	(PNVG):		
R3DGRA	Desert Grassland							
		G	eneral	Informat	tion			
Contributors (additional Modelers Mike Babler	al contributors may be listed under "Model mbabler@tnc.org			Revie Tim C	Evolution and Comments") Reviewers Tim Christiansen tchristiansen@tnc.org Reese Lolley rlolley@tnc.org			
Vegetation Type Grassland Dominant Species* BOGR2 PLMU3 PLEUR12	□Lo ☑Ex LANDFI 14 15	erature cal Data pert Estin IRE Mar 24 25			B [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [California California Great Basin Great Lakes Northeast Northern Plains N-Cent.Rockies	Pacific Northwest South Central Southeast S. Appalachians Southwest	
Geographic Range Southwest, AZ, NM	23 and southern G	27 reat Plai	ns.					
Biophysical Site De This type typically of		ns or on	valley b	enches belo	ow the fo	oothills in the mou	untainous areas.	
Vegetation Descrip Vegetation is grassl intermingled forbs a	and dominated b							
Fire regime group I due to drought, which grassy fuels by large This type typically I November) in association (April) rainy season	f, frequent replace the reduces fire free ungulate herds ourns during the liation with the h	equency (buffalo late spri ot, dry p	and monor also sub also sub ng (May periods th	ist periods bstantially June, earl at follow th	that incr influence y July) a he winte	rease fire frequence ed fire mosaic pat and fall (late Septe ar and late spring)	ey. Grazing of tern in this type. ember, October,	
Adjacency or Identi	fication Conce	erns						
Scale Description			ces of Sc	ale Data	Literatu	ıre Local Data	Expert Estimate	
Issues/Problems			_		_			
Model Evolution an Model based on FR		endel Ha	ann, revi	ewed by Ti	im Chris	tiansen and Reese	Lolley and	

Model based on FRCC DGRA1, Wendel Hann, reviewed by Tim Christiansen and Reese Lolley and adopted for R3DGRA. Christiansen recommended adoption of FRCC DGRA1 with edits for R3DGRA which were made by Mike Babler 5/2005.

Succession (Classes
etation Fuel Classes" as de	fined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).
licator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vege

Class A 15%	Indicator Species* and	Structure	o Data (f	or upper laver	· lifoform)	
Class A 15%	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max				
Early1 All Structures	BOGR2 All	Cover		0%	Max 40 %	
<u>Description</u>	PLEUR12 All	Height	Uarh	Short < 0.5m	Herb Short <0.5m	
Post replacement dominated by	PLMU3 All	Tree Size		no data	new short co.siii	
resprouts of desert grassland		1166 3126	Class	no data		
species and post-fire associated forbs and half-shrubs. This type typically occurs where fires burn relatively hot in classes B and C.	Upper Layer Lifeform ✓ Herbaceous ─ Shrub ─ Tree Fuel Model 1		n dominant lifeform. ifeform are:			
Class B 20%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (f	or upper layer	· lifeform)	
Mid1 Closed	BOGR2 Upper			Min	Max	
Description	PLEUR12 Upper PLMU3 Upper	Cover		40 %	90 %	
· 		Height	Herb	Short < 0.5m	Herb Medium 0.5-0.9m	
Greater than 40 percent grass and forb cover; generally associated	т 211100 Сррсі	Tree Size	e Class	no data		
gentle slopes and undulating plains.	□Shrub □Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> 1	Height a	and cove	er of dominant l	itetorm are:	
Class C 65%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Open	BOGR3 Upper			Min	Мах	
Description	PLEUR12 Upper	Cover		10 %	40 %	
Less than 40 percent grass and forb	PLMU3 Upper	Height		Short <0.5m	Herb Medium 0.5-0.9m	
cover generally associated with		Tree Size Class no data				
gentle convex slopes or gravelly and cobbly soils on the plains.	Upper Layer Lifeform ✓ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree	rbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform a rub				
	Fuel Model 1					
Class D 0%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
	Canopy Position			Min	Max	
Late1 All Structures		Cover		0%	0%	
<u>Description</u>		Height	1	NONE	NONE	
		Tree Size	Class	no data		

	Upper Layer Life Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	<u> </u>	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E 0%	Indicator Species Canopy Position	s* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max				
Late1 All Structures			Cover	10.	%		
<u>Description</u>			Height	NO		NONE	
			Tree Size	Class no	data	_	
	Upper Layer Life Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	S			differs from d dominant lifef	ominant lifeform. orm are:	
Disturbances							
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ☐ Insects/Disease ☑ Wind/Weather/Stress ☑ Native Grazing ☑ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	Fire Regime Group: 2 I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	12			0.08333	85	
✓ Literature	Mixed						
☐Local Data	Surface	67			0.01493	15	
☐ Expert Estimate	All Fires	10			0.09827		
References							

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

McPherson, G.R. 1995. The Role of Fire in the Desert Grasslands Pp.130-151 in McClaran, M. P., T.R. VanDevender (eds.), The Desert Grassland. University of Arizona Press. Tucson.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/Oct 2003.