# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): **Shortgrass Prairie with Shrubs** R3PGRsws General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Galen Green galen\_green@fws.gov William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu Wayne Robbie wrobbie@fs.fed.us Anne Bradley abradley@tnc.org **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid Assessment Model Zones **✓** Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data South Central Great Basin **Dominant Species\* ✓** Expert Estimate Great Lakes Southeast Gusa Northeast S. Appalachians Chna2 **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones ✓** Southwest Northern Plains Bogr2 14 24 N-Cent.Rockies Buda 25 15 27 23

## Geographic Range

Occurs in the southern Great Plains from southeastern Colorado east through Kansas and south through western Oklahoma, eastern New Mexico and west Texas.

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

This type typically occurs on plains and draws, or on gently rolling uplands of the southern Great Plains. In New Mexico, Colorado, elevations range from 5,000-6,800 ft. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 14 inches, and occurs predominantly during the summer.

### **Vegetation Description**

Vegetation is short grass dominated with mid grass inclusions, little bluestem, blue gramma, buffalo grass, needle-and-thread, and three-awns, with intermingled forbs and scattered patches of shrubs, such as fourwing saltbush, broom snakeweed rubber rabbitbrush, several prickly pear species (Opuntia), with isolated pockets of sand sage, and winterfat occurs on calcareous soil. Western wheatgrass occurs in swales.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Fire regime dominated by frequent replacement fires associated with productive grass fuels and cycles of moisture and drought. Patchy fires (causing 25-75% top-kill) were less frequent and were modeled here as mixed severity, although there is some debate about how often this type of patchy fire might actually occur.

Drought can cause a transition from closed to open conditions (class B to class C). Return interval for fire could be extended by ungulate grazing, but is not modeled here. Concentrations of ungulates could increase the percent of the landscape dominated by shrubs and forbs compared with reference conditions. Episodic disturbance caused by insect infestation (grasshoppers, range caterpillars, mormon crickets) is also not modeled here.

# **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

Higher elevation sites of this type borders the juniper steppe type.

Scale Desc	ri	pi	ίic	วท
------------	----	----	-----	----

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.

Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

#### Issues/Problems

### **Model Evolution and Comments**

productive soils, but can be caused

seasons increasing the cover and

productivity of class C. Low to

by cumulative high moisture

medium height.

This model is based on the original FRCC model PGRA6, but adjusted to conform to Rapid Assessment modeling rules. Results changed slightly for classes B, C, and D (class B was 20%, C was 75%, and D was 5%).

Peer review suggested that that all plains grassland types be combined (R3PGm, R3PGmst, R3PGRs, R3PGRsws, R3PGRswt), mixed fire eliminated, and replacement fire interval set at 20 years. Because the workshop participants identified these separate types, they were not lumped together and fire regimes were left as-is, although descriptions were expanded to clarify use of mixed severity fire.

#### Succession Classes Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Indicator Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 PostRep Bogr2 0% Cover 5% **Description** Buda Height no data no data Dominated by resprouts and Tree Size Class no data seedlings of grasses and post-fire associated forbs. Low to medium Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. **Upper Layer Lifeform** height with variable canopy cover. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ☐Herbaceous This type typically occurs where □Shrub fires burn relatively hot in classes $\Box$ Tree B and C, where grazing has been Fuel Model no data heavy. Indicator Species\* and Class B 25% Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) **Canopy Position** Min Max Mid1 Closed Bogr2 Cover 30% 65% Buda Description Height no data no data Chna2 Greater than 35 percent herb cover. Tree Size Class no data Gusa Generally associated with more

**Upper Layer Lifeform** 

Fuel Model no data

Herbaceous

Shrub

Tree

Class C	60%	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
		Bogr2			Min	Max		
Mid1 Open Description		Buda	Cover 20 %		75 %			
Less than 35 percent herb cover. Gusa			Height no data			no data		
	sociated with less	Gusu	Tree Size Class no data					
productive co	obbly and gravelly a also be caused by lrought shifting class B	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class D	10%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Closed	1	Bogr2			Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>		Buda	Cover		5 %	15 %		
·	shrub cover of		Height		no data	no data		
-	ght. Typically located		Tree Size	e Class	no data			
•	or gravelly soil where be missed by fire.	Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data			r of dominant	om dominant lifeform. lifeform are:		
Class E	0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u> Min Max					
Late1 Closed	l		Cover		%	%		
<u>Description</u>			Height	1	no data	no data		
			Tree Size	e Class	no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
		Disturba	nces					
Non-Fire Dist	turbances Modeled	Fire Regime Group:	2					
☐ Insects/Di  ✓ Wind/Wea  ☐ Native Gr ☐ Competiti ☐ Other:	ather/Stress azing	I: 0-35 year freque II: 0-35 year freque III: 35-200 year fre IV: 35-200 year fre V: 200+ year frequ	ency, replace quency, low quency, rep	ement se and mixe lacement	verity ed severity severity			
Other:								

## **Historical Fire Size (acres)**

Avg: Min: Max: Fire Intervals (FI):
Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	15	2	35	0.06667	80
<b>✓</b> Literature	Mixed	60			0.01667	20
✓ Local Data	Surface					
Expert Estimate	All Fires	12			0.08334	

# References

Dick-Peddie, W.A. 1993. New Mexico vegetation, past, present and future. Albuquerque, NM: Univ. New Mexico Press. Xxxii, 244 p.

Ford, P. L. 1999. Response of buffalograss (Buchloe dactyloides) and blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis) to fire. Great Plains Research 9:261-276.

Miller, Greg et al. (1993) Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Santa Fe National Forest USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region