# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

**R3PGRswt** 

## Shorgrass Prairie with Trees

General Information							
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")							
Modelers	Reviewers						
Galen Green	galen_green@fws.gov	William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu					
Wayne Robbie	wrobbie@fs.fed.us						
Anne Bradley	abradley@tnc.org						
Vegetation Type	<b>General Model Sources</b>	Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Grassland	✓ Literature	California Pacific Northwest					
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data	Great Basin South Central					
BOGR2	Expert Estimate	Great Lakes Southeast					
BUDA	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	Northeast S. Appalachians					
SCHIZ4		$\square$ Northern Plains $\checkmark$ Southwest					
SCHILL	14 24 28	N-Cent.Rockies					
	15 25						
	23 27						

## **Geographic Range**

Occurs in the southern Great Plains from southeastern Colorado and eastern New Mexico.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

This type typically occurs on plains and draws, or on gently rolling uplands of the southern Great Plains. In New Mexico, Colorado, elevations range from 5,000-6,800 ft. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 16 inches, and occurs predominantly during the summer.

#### **Vegetation Description**

Vegetation is short grass dominated with mid grass inclusions. little bluestem, blue gramma, buffalo grass, needle-and-thread, and three-awns, with intermingled forbs, scattered patches of shrubs, and trees.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Fire regime dominated by frequent replacement fires associated with productive grass fuels and cycles of moisture and drought. Patchy fires (causing 25-75% top-kill) were less frequent and were modeled here as mixed severity, although there is some debate about how often this type of patchy fire might actually occur.

Drought can cause a transition from closed to open conditions (class B to class C). Return interval for fire could be extended by ungulate grazing, but is not modeled here. Concentrations of ungulates could increase the percent of the landscape dominated by shrubs and forbs compared with reference conditions. Episodic disturbance caused by insect infestation (grasshoppers, range caterpillars, mormon crickets) is also not modeled here.

## Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Higher elevation sites of this type borders the juniper steppe type.

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Scale Description	Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate
	A			

# **Issues/Problems**

# **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model is based on the original FRCC model PGRA5, but adjusted to conform to Rapid Assessment modeling rules. Results changed slightly for some classes (class B was 20%, C was 70%, D was 4%, and E was 1%).

Peer review suggested that that all plains grassland types be combined (R3PGm, R3PGmst, R3PGRs, R3PGRsws, R3PGRswt), mixed fire eliminated, and replacement fire interval set at 20 years. Because the workshop participants identified these separate types, they were not lumped together and fire regimes were left as-is, although descriptions were expanded to clarify use of mixed severity fire.

Class A	5%	Indicator Species* and	<ul> <li>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</li> </ul>			
Early1 PostRep <u>Description</u> Dominated by resprouts and seedlings of grasses and post-fire		<u>Canopy Position</u> Bogr2 Buda		Max		
			Cover		0%	5%
			Height		no data	no data
			Tree Size Class no data			
This type ty	variable canopy cover. pically occurs where elatively hot in classes	☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☐Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data	neight a		er of dominant lif	elonn ale.
		Indicator Species* and	_			
Class B	15%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (	for upper layer	
				e Data (	Min	Мах
Mid1 Close		Canopy Position	Cover	e Data (	Min 30 %	Max 65 %
Mid1 Close Description		Canopy Position Bogr2	Cover Height		Min 30 % no data	Мах
Mid1 Close <u>Description</u> Greater thar	d	Canopy Position Bogr2	Cover		Min 30 %	Max 65 %

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C 65%		ndicator Species* and	Structure	Data (for upper layer lif	eform)		
		Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Mid1 Open		Bogr2	Cover	10%	30 %		
Description	a	Buda Ichiz4	Height	no data	no data		
Less than 35 percent herb cover. Generally associated with less		SCHIZ4	Tree Size Class no data				
productive cobbly and soils, but can also be c cumulative drought sh to this class. Low to r height.	l gravelly <u>i</u> caused by iifting class B	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D 10%		Indicator Species* and	Structure	Data (for upper layer lif	eform)		
		Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Late1 Closed		Bogr2	Cover	0%	35 %		
Description		Buda	Height	no data	no data		
Less than 35 percent t			Tree Size (				
Savannah aspect of sc	attered trees						
productive soils where allows scattered tree s survive.	eedlings to	Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data	Structure	Data (for upper laver lif	eform)		
	<u>c</u>	Canopy Position		Min			
Late1 Closed	В	Bogr2					
		U	Cover	35%	Max		
Description		Buda	Cover Height	35 %	<u>Мах</u> 70 %		
Greater than 35 percen	B nt tree cover.	-	Cover Height Tree Size (	no data	Max		
	B nt tree cover. he ridges, ects, or other	Buda Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Height Tree Size (	no data	Max 70 % no data		
Greater than 35 percent Typically located on the more moist north aspentiate areas where patches more motions areas where the patches more patches m	B nt tree cover. he ridges, ects, or other	Buda Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub	Height Tree Size (	no data Class no data rer lifeform differs from d	Max 70 % no data		
Greater than 35 percent Typically located on the more moist north aspentiate areas where patches more motions areas where the patches more patches m	B nt tree cover. he ridges, ects, or other	Buda Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Height Tree Size ( Upper lay Height ar	no data Class no data rer lifeform differs from d	Max 70 % no data		
Greater than 35 percent Typically located on the more moist north aspentiate areas where patches more motions areas where the patches more patches m	B nt tree cover. he ridges, ects, or other hay be missed	Buda Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height Tree Size ( Upper lay Height ar	no data Class no data rer lifeform differs from d	Max 70 % no data		

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: Min: Max:	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	15	2	35	0.06667	80	
✓ Literature	Mixed	60			0.01667	20	
Local Data	Surface						
Expert Estimate	All Fires	12			0.08334		
References							

Dick-Peddie, W.A. 1993. New Mexico vegetation, past, present and future. Albuquerque, NM: Univ. New Mexico Press. Xxxii, 244 p.

Ford, P. L. 1999. Response of buffalograss (Buchloe dactyloides) and blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis) to fire. Great Plains Research 9:261-276.

Miller, Greg et al. (1993) Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Santa Fe National Forest USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region.

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.