# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): R3PGmst Plains Mesa Grassland with Shrubs or Trees General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers whann@fs.fed.us Wendel Hann William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu **Vegetation Type General Model Sources Rapid Assessment Model Zones** ✓ Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **Dominant Species\* ✓** Expert Estimate Great Lakes Southeast BOGR2 Northeast S. Appalachians **BOCU LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest **ACHY** 14 24 N-Cent.Rockies **STIPA** 15 25 27 23

# **Geographic Range**

Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. This PNV has 2 subtypes; 1 strongly influenced by the Sonoran and Chihuahuan climates that is generally south of 33 degrees latitude and west of 104 degrees longitude; 2 is strongly influenced by the Great Basin and Great Plains climates and is generally north of 33 degrees latitude and west of 104 degrees longitude. Southerly type is characterized by gramma grasses, yuccas and nolina. Northerly type is characterized by Great Basin grasses like Indian Ricegrass and Stipas in addition to the gramma grasses.

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

Usually has mollic grassland soils with relatively sand, gravel, or rock content that allows tree and shrub seedling establishment during the monsoon growing season if not killed by fire. The moisture regime is adequate to allow tree and shrub seedling establishment in the absence of fire, but natural fire except in fire protected microsites killed the tree and shrub seedlings. Elevations range from 1250 to 2200 meters on mesas and benches and in valleys. Elevations range from 1050 to 2000 meters on northerly aspects. Elevations range from 1450 to 2400 meters on southerly aspects. Precipiation ranging from 10 inches to 20 inches, with 50-60% occurring from May through August. Annual growing degree days ranging from 3000 to 5000 growing degree days (least sure about value of this in the rule set). REGAP types = CES304.7867 (<35% canopy may identify encroachment sites); CES305.797 (low height or open canopy); CES306.835 (<35% canopy); CES302.733; CES304.766 (l< 35% canopy); CES304.777; CES302.741 (< 35% canopy);CES304.784; CES302.741; CES306.822 (< 35% canopy); CES303.668 (< 35% canopy); CES303.671 (< 35% canopy); CES304.778 (< 35% canopy); CES304.782 (< 35%); CES304.785 (< 35%) canopy); CES304.788 (< 35% canopy); CES301.730 (< 35%). At the coarse scale this PNV was not mapped. It was included in the Desert Grassland (34), Desert Shrub (28), Southwest Shrub Steppe (27), Chaparral (26), Juniper-Pinyon (22) and Warm Sagebrush (70). A rule set based on these PNVs, current cover, precipitation, elevation, aspect, and growing days will be needed to spatially map this type.

#### **Vegetation Description**

Strongly influenced by the flora, climate, and disturbance regimes of the Sonoran desert to the southwest, Great Basin to the northwest, and Great Plains to the east. Because of fire suppression and grazing of grass fuels precluding fire the current vegetation will usually be dominated by trees and shrubs (juniper, oaks, pine, pinyon, mesquite, sagebrush, greasewood, salt desert shrubs).

#### **Disturbance Description**

Naturally this system had frequent fire dominated by replacement fires associated with productive grass fuels and cycles of moisture and drought. Patchy fires (causing 25-75% top-kill) were less frequent and were modeled here as mixed severity, although there is some debate about how often this type of patchy fire might actually occur.

Native ungulate grazing plays a small role in replacement where buffalo herds concentrated, but generally maintained systems. Drought and moist cycles play a strong role interacting with both fire and native grazing.

# **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

The Plains Mesa Grassland with Shrub-Tree (R3PGmst) usually in a mosaic below Ponderosa pine PNV, Oak-Juniper PNVs, or Mountain Shrub PNV, or these cooler/moister PNVs can occur on northerly aspects. Usually occurs above the Desert Grassland PNV and Desert Shrub PNV or on the relatively more moist aspects, and to the east of the true plains grasslands. R3PGm, R3PGmws, and R3PGmwt were not mapped at the coarse-scale. They were included in Desert Grassland (34), Desert Shrub (28), Southwest Shrub Steppe (27), Chaparral (26), Juniper-Pinyon (22) and Warm Sagebrush (70).

#### **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate
natural variation in vege	etation and dist	urbance regi	me

Landscape adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regime. Topographically complex areas can be relatively small (< 1000 acres). Uniform large mesas should be relatively large (> 10, 000 acres).

# Issues/Problems

Type was not mapped for the coarse-scale or by Kuchler (1964), yet it is an important type identified by Brown 1982, Dick-Pedie 1993, and the NRCS ecological sites. It covers a substantial amount of land in the SW and is much more productive and diverse than the desert grasslands at lower elevation zones or plains grasslands to the east. It would be very valuable to do a very intensive literature search and review on this type as well as associated field recon to assess historic/current photos, local knowledge, soils, fire scars on old trees in protected sites, species adaptations, etc.

# **Model Evolution and Comments**

Peer review suggested that that all plains grassland types be combined (R3PGm, R3PGmst, R3PGRs, R3PGRsws, R3PGRswt), mixed fire eliminated, and replacement fire interval set at 20 years. Because the workshop participants identified these separate types, they were not lumped together and fire regimes were left as-is, although descriptions were expanded to clarify use of mixed severity fire.

Quality control process found technical rule violations (using Relative Age) and eliminated them, with no change to results.

#### Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A	15%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Forly 1 Dog	tDon	Canopy Position		Min	Max			
Early1 PostRep		BOGR2	Cover	15 %	55 %			
<u>Description</u>	•	ASTER	Height	no data	no data			
	ost-fire grass regrowth,	NOLIN	Tree Siz	Tree Size Class no data				
	ings, and forbs. Blue	EREMA						
-	ster, scurfpea, mallow,	Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
primrose		Herbaceous						
		$\square_{Shrub}$						
		$\Box_{Tree}$						
		Fuel Model no data						
		Indicator Species* and	<u> </u>					
Class B 25%		Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Mid1 Close	ed	BOCU	0	Min	Max			
<u>Description</u>		BOGR2	Cover	35 %	55 %			
More productive sites and moist		NOLIN	Height	no data	no data			
	ture development of	ACHY	Tree Size Class no data					
	amma, blue gramma,	Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.  Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
	grass and stipas to the	Herbaceous						
7	eawns, hairy gramma,		Shrub					
	ma, sand sage, yucca,	Tree						
snakeweed, prickly pear								
		Fuel Model no data						
Class C	55%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (for upper layer	lifeform)			
				Min	Max			
Mid1 Open		BOGR2 STIPA	Cover	15 %	35 %			
Description		OPUNT	Height	no data	no data			
Less productive sites and drought			Tree Size	Class no data	I			
•	are development of	YUCCA						
sideoats gramma, blue gramma,		Upper Layer Lifeform		ayer lifeform differs from				
	na, black gramma, sand	Herbaceous	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
	, snakeweed, prickly	Shrub						
pear		□Tree						
		Fuel Model no data						

Class D 4%	Indicator Species Canopy Position	* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open	JUNIP		0		Min	Max	
<u>Description</u>	BOGR2		Cover		5%	15 %	
Less productive ridges and rocky	SHRUB		Height		o data	no data	
areas protected from fire with	PIED		Tree Size	Class	10 data		
scattered shrubs and/or juniper, pinyon, long needle pines, and oaks in fire protected sites. Scattered shrubs and/or trees are relatively large and some demonstrate multiple scars.	Upper Layer Lifef  Herbaceous Shrub Tree  Fuel Model no co	_	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E 1%	Indicator Species	* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed	JUNIP				Min	Max	
Description	PROSO		Cover		15 %	65 %	
Productive areas missed by fire	QUERC		Height		o data	no data	
with thick patches of shrubs and/or	SHRUB		Tree Size	Class 1	no data		
pinyon, long needle pines, and oaks in fire protected sites.	☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no o	data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		urbaı					
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gr		2				
✓ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress ✓ Native Grazing ☐ Competition ✓ Other: Wet Years ☐ Other:	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
	Fire Intervals (I Fire interval is e		ed in years	for each fi	ire severity class	and for all types of	
Historical Fire Size (acres)	fire combined (A	Alİ Fires)	). Average	FI is the	central tendency	modeled. Minimum	
Avg:						wn. Probability is	
Min:	the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.  Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are						
Max:	estimates and n	ot preci	se.		•		
		Avg FI	Min FI	Max Fi	l Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	20	14111111	IVIGA I I	0.05	76	
✓ Literature	Mixed	65			0.03	24	
Local Data	Surface	05			0.01330	<u></u>	
Local Data							

**✓** Expert Estimate

All Fires

15

0.06539

# References

Brown, David E., editor. 1982. Biotic communities of the American Southwest–United States and Mexico. Desert Plants 4(1-4): 1-342.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Dick-Peddie, W. A., 1993. New Mexico vegetation, past, present, and future. University of New Mexico Press.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.