Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): Ponderosa Pine Grassland Southwest R3PPGRsw General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Jeff Redders jredders@fs.fed.us William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu Brenda Wilmore bwilmore@fs.fed.us Patrick Medina pmedina@fs.fed.us **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid Assessment Model Zones **✓** Literature Woodland California Pacific Northwest Local Data South Central Great Basin **Dominant Species*** Expert Estimate Great Lakes Southeast PIPO Northeast S. Appalachians **FEAR LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest MUMO N-Cent.Rockies 25 15 27 23 Geographic Range Central and northern New Mexico and Arizona, Southern Colorado, possibly Southern Utah. **Biophysical Site Description** 6,500-8,500 feet in elevation on a variety of topographic features, including mountains, mesas, and canyons. Mean annual precipitation ranges from about 16-25". **Vegetation Description** Overstory canopy of ponderosa pine with a grassy understory, predominantly the bunchgrasses Arizona fescue and mountain muhly. May include sites with minor cover of Gambel oak (less than 15% cover). **Disturbance Description** Mean composite surface fire intervals have been found to be 5-15 years (Swetnam and Baisan 1996). Infrequent stand-replacement fire on the order of a few hundred years possible (300-500?). Drought and other weather events (e.g., blowdown), parasites, and disease may play a minor role, have very long rotations. Insects may be a significant, but infrequent occurrence.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

•			
Scale Description	Sources of Scale Data	✓ Literature Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate

Landscape scale (thousands to tens of thousands of acres) (Swetnam and Baisan 1996).

Issues/Problems

Replacement fire rotation uncertain, and this affects the amount of forest in each class.

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review was mixed. One reviewer suggested cutting the surface fire frequency in half from 10 years to

Succession classes are the equivalent or	Succession f "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as o			ook (www.frcc.gov).		
Class A 10 % Early1 PostRep Description Bunchgrass dominated (0-49 years). Some ponderosa pine individuals also becoming established. Min and Max cover values pertain to combined grass cover.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position FEAR2 MUMO -49 PIPO sine Upper Layer Lifeform Cover Herbaceous					
Class B 5% Mid1 Closed Description Small and medium sized ponderosa pine (50-149 years), still with high bunchgrass cover. Closed canopy defined as greater than 50%.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PIPO FEAR MUMO Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size	11,0			
Class C 25 % Mid2 Open Description Small and medium sized ponderosa pine (50-149 years), with moderate bunchgrass cover. Open canopy defined as 10-49%.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position PIPO FEAR MUMO Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size (Data (for upper layer Min 10 % no data Class no data rer lifeform differs from did cover of dominant l	Max 49 % no data n dominant lifeform.		

Class D	55%	Indicator Species Canopy Position	s* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open		PIPO				Min	Max	
Description		FEAR		Cover		25 %	49 %	
	ry large old growth	MUMO		Height		no data	no data	
•				Tree Size	e Class	no data		
ponderosa pine, with medium to high cover of bunchgrasses. Old growth attributes prominent, including down wood, snags, diseased trees.		Upper Layer Life Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	S	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	5%	Indicator Species Canopy Position	s* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late2 Closed		PIPO				Min	Max	
Description		FEAR		Cover		50 %	100 %	
	ry large old growth	MUMO		Height		no data	no data	
	ry large old growth ne, with medium cover	MOMO		Tree Size	e Class	no data		
of bunchgrasses. Old growth attributes prominent, including down wood, snags, diseased trees.		Upper Layer Life Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	S	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Dist	turbai	nces				
Non-Fire Dist Insects/Dis Wind/Wea Native Gra Competitio Other: Other:	ather/Stress azing	Fire Regime Group: 1: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Historical Fire Avg: Min: Max:	e Size (acres)	fire combined (and maximum s the inverse of fi	expresse All Fires show the ire interv res is the). Average e relative ra al in years e percent o	FI is the ange of fi and is u	central tendency re intervals, if kno sed in reference of	s and for all types of y modeled. Minimum own. Probability is condition modeling. class. All values are	
0	Denter D		Avg FI	Min FI	Max I		Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fi	re Regime Data	Replacement	300			0.00333	3	
✓ Literatu		Mixed						
☐Local □		Surface	10			0.1	97	
☐Expert 1	Estimate	All Fires	10			0.10334		

References

Swetnam, T. W. and C. H. Baisan. 1996. Historical fire regime patterns in the southwestern United States since AD 1700. Pages 11-32 In: Fire effects in southwestern forests: proceedings of the second La Mesa fire symposium, Edited by C.D. Allen. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-GTR-286, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins.