Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):							
R3SDSH	Salt Desert Scrubland						
General Information							
<u>Contributors</u> (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")							
Modelers Reese Lolley Wendel Hann	rlolley@fs.fed.us whann@fs.fed.us	Reviewers William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu					
Tim Christiansen Vegetation Type	tchristiansen@tnc.org General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Shrubland Dominant Species* ATRIP	✓ Literature ☐ Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate	☐ California ✓ Pacific Northwest ✓ Great Basin ☐ South Central ☐ Great Lakes ☐ Southeast					
	LANDFIRE Mapping Zone 14 24 28 15 25 23 27	Northeast S. Appalachians ☐ Northern Plains ☐ N-Cent.Rockies					

Geographic Range

Inter-mountain west with limited distribution to the east into southern Great Plains, and south into Chihuahuan and Sonoran regions. Distribution by states include AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, TX, UT, WA, WY (NatureServe 2004).

Biophysical Site Description

This type typically occurs on poorly drained lands, such as flats, borders of playas, undrained catchment areas, and at the base of draws or fans where salts tend to accumulate and substrates are typically fine-textured.

Vegetation Description

Vegetation is shrubland with satlbush (Atriplex spp.) occurring throughout this types range. Other dominant species can include greasewood (Sarcobatus vermiculatus), bud sagebrush (Picrothamnus desertorum), winterfat (Krascheninnikovia lanata), spiny hopsage (Grayia spinosa), and saltgrass (Distichlis spp.) with intermingled forbs. Floristic differences occur between the inter-mountain west and Chihuahuan and Sonoran distribution. This type correlates with Kuchler's (1964) type 40. Associated with existing vegetation Terrestrial Ecological Classifications: Chihuahuan Mixed Salt Desert Scrub (S116 or CES302.017), Inter-Mountain Basins Mixed Salt Desert Scrub (CES304.78 or S065), Intermountain Basins Greasewood Flat (S096 or CES304.780) but may not be limited to these divisions (NatureServe. 2004).

Disturbance Description

Fire regime group III, infrequent mixed. The mean fire interval is about 30 years with high variation due to periods of drought and poorly drained and fine textured soils that can range from wet to extremely droughty. Saltgrass production is highly variable in relation to moisture availability. Flammability of shrubs varies depending on drought. This complex interaction tends to reduce fire frequency during both moist and

drought periods, with increase frequency during intermediate conditions. Grazing of the grassy fuels by large ungulates can substantially influenced fire mosaic patterns in this type. In moist years these areas may produce green forage when upland forage has cured out.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Likely less than three percent of total SW area. Likely adjacent to playas, barren land, and desert shrub (R3DESH).

This PNVG may be very similar to the PNVG R2SDSH from the Great Basin model zone, but fire regimes differ significantly due to changes in dominant species, climatic patterns, and geographic variability.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ☐ Literature ☐ Local Data ☑ Expert Estimate

This type is often ecotonal to catchment areas, it often can occur in patches that are linear; however, patches can also have low amount of edge and large interior.

Issues/Problems

Information concerning fire regime is largely anecdotal.

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review suggested a much longer fire return interval (e.g., 1000 year MFI, Fire Regime Group V) and adopting other salt desert shrub models from other regions. However, based potential geographic variability and the local southwestern data that contributed to this model, it was left unchanged. It should, however, be compared to similar models from the Northern and Central Rockies (R0SDSH) or Great Basin (R2SDSH) if local fire regimes are considered longer.

Success	ion classes are the equivalent o	Succession (f"Vegetation Fuel Classes" as de		erageno	cy FRCC Guideboo	ok (www.frcc.gov).
Class A	5%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 PostRep Description Dominated by resprouts of shrubs and saltgrass and post-fire associated forbs. This type typically occurs where fires burn relatively hot in classes B and C.		Canopy Position ATRIP	Min		Min	Max
			Cover	5%		20 %
			Height	no data		no data
			Tree Size C			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
		Fuel Model no data				

Class B 65%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open	ATRIP	-	Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>		Cover	30 %	50 %		
Total cover up to 50 percent with		Height no data Tree Size Class no data		no data		
shrub comprising more than 15		Tree Size (
percent and grasses 20-30 percent grass and forb cover. Generally associated with flats and transition to upland slopes and benches that have less accumulated salts and clay.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	ver lifeform differs from				
Class C 30%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	d Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Lata? Opan	ATRIP		Min	Max		
Late2 Open Description		Cover	15 %	35 %		
Less than 15 percent shrub cover,		Height	no data	no data		
and less than 20 percent grass and		Tree Size C	Class no data			
forb cover.	Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.				
Generally associated with very	Herbaceous	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
poorly drained areas with high	Shrub					
accumulated salts and clays or very						
dry areas. This state generally	Fuel Model no data					
does not obtain the cover of state B	. — Ho data					
Class D 0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open			Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>		Cover	0%	%		
		Height	no data	no data		
		Tree Size C	class no data			
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E 0%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for apper layer merorin)				
Late1 Closed		Cover	Min 0 %			
Description		JUVUI	U /0	/0		
		Height	no data	no data		

	Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	is			differs from de dominant lifef	ominant lifeform. orm are:	
Disturbances							
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled Insects/Disease Wind/Weather/Stress Native Grazing Competition Other: Other:	Fire Regime Group: 1: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: Max:	Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	200	100	300	0.005	13	
✓ Literature	Mixed	31	20	100	0.03226	87	
 Local Data	Surface						
Expert Estimate	All Fires	27			0.03727		
References							

Wildland fine in accounts

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

NatureServ. 2004. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Data current as of October 12, 2004.

Simonin, Kevin A. 2001. Atriplex confertifolia. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, October 29].