# County Re-entry Task Force Program Activity Report: July 2013 – June 2014

Data provided by County Re-entry Task Forces to the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, Office of Justice Research and Performance as of August 13, 2014

For the Following Counties:
Albany, Bronx, Broome, Dutchess, Erie, Kings, Manhattan, Monroe,
Nassau, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Rensselaer, Rockland,
Schenectady, Suffolk, Ulster, and Westchester

This report provides information on CRTF activity during the 2013-2014 contract year, including information on Track I clients, the moderate to high-risk prison releases served by the CRTFs.

This project was supported by Grant No. 2013-30042-NY-BJ awarded by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

# Background

In November 2005, DCJS developed a County Re-entry Task Force (CRTF) model based on a national Transition from Prison to Community (TPC) initiative. The CRTFs were initially established to build community support for re-entry as a public safety initiative and to strengthen the local service delivery system. A more effective delivery of local services was expected to better meet the needs of persons recently released from prison and ultimately reduce recidivism. In 2011, DCJS revamped the structure of the CRTFs to require co-chairs that represented the community and parole supervision staff. Contract requirements were also revised to require the use of an actuarial instrument to determine risk and needs, measure referrals to community-based services, and implement performance-based contracting. The DCJS Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives (OPCA) greatly expanded training in evidence-based cognitive-behavioral interventions and invested in training task forces to deliver the intervention to their participants. These improvements commenced with the three-year contract period beginning July 2012.

Currently, local task forces require the participation of agencies that represent law enforcement, community supervision, social services, mental health, victim advocacy and substance abuse treatment providers. Each CRTF is led by two designated co-chairs: one representing the chief elected county official and one designated by the State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS). The co-chairs oversee the work of the CRTF Coordinator, who is responsible for the day-to-day CRTF operations.

CRTFs participants include individuals recently released from prison who are at moderate- or high-risk of reoffending, based on a risk and needs assessment administered prior to release. Individuals assessed as having a low risk of reoffending, but high needs or special needs, are also eligible. For example, women, juvenile offenders, sex offenders, and offenders with mental illnesses, developmental disabilities, or severe medical impairments can be eligible for CRTF participation.

DCJS currently funds CRTFs in Albany, Bronx, Broome, Dutchess, Erie, Kings, Monroe, Nassau, New York, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Rensselaer, Rockland, Schenectady, Suffolk, Ulster, and Westchester counties. Intakes during the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 contract years are presented in the following table.

### CRTF Track I (T1) Admissions, July 2013 - June 2014

There were 4,079 moderate to high risk (Track I) clients admitted to the CRTFs during the 2013-2014 contract year (CY). Overall, the CRTFs met 100% of their Track I intake goal for the contract year, with every CRTF reaching at least 65% of their individual intake goals.


	CY 2012-2013	T1 Annu	al Intakes	CY 2013-2014	T1 Annu	al Intakes
County	Target N	Actual N	% Target Met	Target N	Actual N	% Target Met
Albany	245	238	97%	245	159	65%
Bronx	125	125	100%	125	126	101%
Broome	127	200	157%	127	181	143%
Dutchess	63	86	137%	114	181	159%
Erie	460	275	60%	460	416	90%
Kings	250	345	138%	250	347	139%
Manhattan	250	199	80%	250	295	118%
Monroe	442	235	53%	442	389	88%
Nassau	207	224	108%	207	232	112%
Niagara	125	146	117%	125	138	110%
Oneida	143	176	123%	143	153	107%
Onondaga	338	291	86%	338	293	87%
Orange	176	217	123%	176	182	103%
Rensselaer	100	97	97%	100	104	104%
Rockland*	60	39	65%	60	55	92%
Schenectady	148	137	93%	148	116	78%
Suffolk	455	283	62%	455	408	90%
Ulster	125	129	103%	125	126	101%
Westchester	175	181	103%	175	178	102%
Total	4,014	3,623	90%	4,065	4,079	100%

<sup>\*</sup> Rockland's CRTF was inactive during 2013, with no intakes or discharges during the second half of CY 2012-2013 (Jan-Jun 2013) and the first half of CY 2013-2014 (Jul-Dec 2013).


Track I admissions increased 12.6% from 3,623 participants during the 2012-2013 contract year to 4,079 during the 2013-2014 contract year.

<sup>➤ 12</sup> of the 19 counties met or exceeded their Track I intake goal for the 2013-2014 contract year. For monthly intake information, see page 13.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2013 - June 2014 (cont'd)




➤ 24% of Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs were under 25 years old. For county specific Track I client age information, see page 14.




> 7% of Track I clients were female. For county-specific Track I client sex information, see page 14.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2013 - June 2014 (cont'd)




According to their COMPAS supervision level, the majority of Track I clients were moderate to high-risk offenders (92%). An additional 5% were sex offenders. For county-level Track I population information, see page 15.




- Nearly all (98%) of Track I clients were under community supervision when admitted to the CRTFs. County-level information can be found on page 17.
- ➤ Of Track I admissions under supervision, case conferences between the CRTFs and Parole were held for 3,097 participants (77%). County-level information can be found on page 17.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2013 - June 2014 (cont'd)




The needs most commonly identified by the CRTFs for Track I clients were employment programming (82%), social services (82%), and chemical dependency treatment (79%). This is consistent with findings from the previous contract year. See pages 18-19 for additional county specific information.

CRTF Track I Discharges, July 2013 - June 2014




➤ Between July 2013 and June 2014, 3,654 clients were discharged from the CRTFs. 2,548 (70%) were discharged satisfactorily. 299 (8%) voluntarily discontinued their involvement in the program. 722 (20%) were reported by the CRTFs to have been discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation. County-level data can be found on page 20.

CRTF Track I Discharges, July 2013 - June 2014 (cont'd)



> 3,241 (89%) of the clients discharged spent at least 45 days in the CRTFs, with 2,496 (69%) enrolled more than 90 days. See page 30 for county-specific information.




> 1,070 (29%) clients discharged from the CRTFs were employed, at some point, while in the CRTFs. 310 (9%) clients were reported as unemployable. County-level employment data is available on page 29.

#### Program Participation among Track I Discharges\*, July 2013 - June 2014

County specific data regarding program participation is located on pages 21-28.


- > 3,042 clients discharged during this time had a need for social services assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 2,491 (82%) had obtained social services assistance prior to discharge.
- > 1,989 clients discharged during this time period had a need for housing assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 1,029 (52%) were in a private residence at discharge.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who either successfully completed or were still engaged in a program at discharge for the other identified needs. For clients who were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation, program participation status reported by the CRTF is prior to the client's arrest/violation.



## Program Participation among Track I Discharges, July 2013 - June 2014 (con't)


➤ In key programming areas, program participation among participants with an assessed need increased during CY 2013-2014 when compared to CY 2012-2013.



#### Service Gaps among Track I Discharges, July 2013 - June 2014

In addition to information on housing and social service utilization, needs assessment information is reported for 11 program areas. For each program area, the CRTFs record whether an assessment is conducted as well as the outcome of the assessment. The majority of Track I clients were assessed for all needs areas. Data by county is on pages 18-19.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who did not have their need met while engaged in the CRTF because an appropriate program did not exist in that county or the client was placed on a waiting list.



- The needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients who did not get their need met because there was no appropriate program in the community were Mentoring, Sex Offender Treatment, and Cognitive Behavioral Intervention. See pages 21-28 for county-specific information.
- Needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients still on the waiting list for a program at discharge were Education/Vocational Services (7%), Cognitive Behavioral Intervention, Anger Management Treatment, and Mental Health Services (6% each). See pages 21-28 for county-level data.

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Intakes: Monthly Totals

														T1 Annua	l Intakes
County	12 Month Target	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13	Jan 14	Feb 14	Mar 14	Apr 14	May 14	Jun 14	Target N	% of Target Met
Albany	245	8	11	5	9	19	8	9	14	15	17	24	20	159	65%
Bronx	125	4	5	12	6	4	6	7	15	15	17	14	21	126	101%
Broome	127	18	12	14	17	17	16	22	13	14	15	13	10	181	143%
Dutchess	114	17	13	9	10	12	10	14	20	22	15	26	13	181	159%
Erie	460	30	28	40	48	27	39	35	36	34	29	36	34	416	90%
Kings	250	43	25	27	35	24	33	26	30	28	28	21	27	347	139%
Manhattan	250	17	21	11	36	33	21	36	20	21	26	23	30	295	118%
Monroe	442	33	56	37	33	36	27	28	24	22	25	41	27	389	88%
Nassau	207	13	19	28	19	16	16	18	38	9	17	19	20	232	112%
Niagara	125	13	6	7	8	7	10	6	14	10	18	21	18	138	110%
Oneida	143	20	14	20	12	10	14	7	8	12	11	14	11	153	107%
Onondaga	338	64	20	23	21	20	26	29	21	15	24	30	0	293	87%
Orange	176	14	17	12	18	14	10	15	15	14	21	20	12	182	103%
Rensselaer	100	9	5	14	9	6	9	6	7	8	5	14	12	104	104%
Rockland	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	5	7	16	19	55	92%
Schenectady	148	7	0	4	12	7	8	7	10	16	13	20	12	116	78%
Suffolk	455	40	23	21	24	26	24	40	38	35	52	45	40	408	90%
Ulster	125	9	13	15	12	11	9	10	9	10	11	10	7	126	101%
Westchester	175	14	12	21	20	20	11	16	10	9	13	15	17	178	102%
Total	4,065	373	300	320	349	309	297	334	347	314	364	422	350	4,079	100%

## July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Intakes: Age and Sex

	17 &	under		-24 ars	25-34	years	35-49	years	50+	years	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	2	1%	41	26%	51	32%	45	28%	20	13%	159
Bronx	0	0%	37	29%	39	31%	37	29%	13	10%	126
Broome	2	1%	22	12%	85	47%	50	28%	22	12%	181
Dutchess	1	1%	34	19%	75	41%	53	29%	18	10%	181
Erie	1	0%	94	23%	180	43%	102	25%	39	9%	416
Kings	1	0%	105	30%	145	42%	69	20%	27	8%	347
Manhattan	2	1%	107	36%	103	35%	55	19%	28	9%	295
Monroe	1	0%	102	26%	151	39%	105	27%	30	8%	389
Nassau	0	0%	46	20%	105	45%	51	22%	30	13%	232
Niagara	0	0%	18	13%	56	41%	48	35%	16	12%	138
Oneida	1	1%	22	14%	59	39%	51	33%	20	13%	153
Onondaga	3	1%	109	37%	108	37%	61	21%	12	4%	293
Orange	1	1%	36	20%	69	38%	55	30%	21	12%	182
Rensselaer	0	0%	14	13%	44	42%	39	38%	7	7%	104
Rockland	0	0%	9	16%	20	36%	21	38%	5	9%	55
Schenectady	0	0%	25	22%	38	33%	35	30%	18	16%	116
Suffolk	0	0%	64	16%	168	41%	120	29%	56	14%	408
Ulster	1	1%	26	21%	48	38%	33	26%	18	14%	126
Westchester	0	0%	46	26%	60	34%	56	31%	16	9%	178
Total	16	0%	957	23%	1,604	39%	1,086	27%	416	10%	4,079

	Ma	ıle	Fer	nale	Unkr	nown	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	147	92%	9	6%	3	2%	159
Bronx	115	91%	9	7%	2	2%	126
Broome	153	85%	26	14%	2	1%	181
Dutchess	158	87%	10	6%	13	7%	181
Erie	369	89%	43	10%	4	1%	416
Kings	330	95%	10	3%	7	2%	347
Manhattan	277	94%	8	3%	10	3%	295
Monroe	366	94%	17	4%	6	2%	389
Nassau	226	97%	6	3%	0	0%	232
Niagara	118	86%	14	10%	6	4%	138
Oneida	147	96%	6	4%	0	0%	153
Onondaga	275	94%	14	5%	4	1%	293
Orange	164	90%	15	8%	3	2%	182
Rensselaer	88	85%	16	15%	0	0%	104
Rockland	49	89%	3	5%	3	5%	55
Schenectady	111	96%	4	3%	1	1%	116
Suffolk	374	92%	33	8%	1	0%	408
Ulster	107	85%	18	14%	1	1%	126
Westchester	160	90%	12	7%	6	3%	178
Total	3,734	92%	273	7%	72	2%	4,079

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Intakes: Population Composition

		e to High sk	Sex Of	fender		with High	Juve	enile		ments Medical	Maxi Expir	mum ation	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	146	92%	10	6%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	159
Bronx	124	98%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	126
Broome	143	79%	25	14%	12	7%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	181
Dutchess	165	91%	10	6%	6	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	181
Erie	378	91%	13	3%	17	4%	0	0%	7	2%	1	0%	416
Kings	347	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	347
Manhattan	292	99%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	295
Monroe	361	93%	21	5%	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	389
Nassau	230	99%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	232
Niagara	126	91%	7	5%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	3	2%	138
Oneida	118	77%	35	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	153
Onondaga	280	96%	7	2%	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	293
Orange	175	96%	5	3%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	182
Rensselaer	78	75%	10	10%	11	11%	0	0%	5	5%	0	0%	104
Rockland	53	96%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55
Schenectady	103	89%	12	10%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	116
Suffolk	353	87%	35	9%	15	4%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	408
Ulster	120	95%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	126
Westchester	170	96%	0	0%	6	3%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	178
Total	3,762	92%	190	5%	95	2%	7	0%	20	0%	5	0%	4,079

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Intakes: Time between DOCCS Release and CRTF Intake

	7days	or less	8-30	days	31-60	) days	61-90	) days	91-36	5 days		han 365 iys	Unkr	nown	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	69	43%	56	35%	9	6%	7	4%	14	9%	2	1%	2	1%	159
Bronx	28	22%	39	31%	34	27%	7	6%	14	11%	4	3%	0	0%	126
Broome	59	33%	107	59%	1	1%	1	1%	4	2%	7	4%	2	1%	181
Dutchess	71	39%	50	28%	11	6%	13	7%	21	12%	4	2%	11	6%	181
Erie	245	59%	125	30%	31	7%	5	1%	9	2%	1	0%	0	0%	416
Kings	25	7%	134	39%	41	12%	16	5%	82	24%	40	12%	9	3%	347
Manhattan	75	25%	178	60%	10	3%	6	2%	18	6%	8	3%	0	0%	295
Monroe	86	22%	135	35%	60	15%	34	9%	43	11%	29	7%	2	1%	389
Nassau	196	84%	18	8%	11	5%	2	1%	2	1%	3	1%	0	0%	232
Niagara	105	76%	10	7%	2	1%	2	1%	15	11%	3	2%	1	1%	138
Oneida	114	75%	27	18%	7	5%	3	2%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	153
Onondaga	281	96%	10	3%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	293
Orange	147	81%	21	12%	7	4%	3	2%	0	0%	3	2%	1	1%	182
Rensselaer	44	42%	31	30%	5	5%	5	5%	13	13%	6	6%	0	0%	104
Rockland	17	31%	16	29%	1	2%	2	4%	19	35%	0	0%	0	0%	55
Schenectady	42	36%	30	26%	15	13%	4	3%	20	17%	5	4%	0	0%	116
Suffolk	169	41%	120	29%	34	8%	11	3%	49	12%	23	6%	2	0%	408
Ulster	59	47%	52	41%	9	7%	0	0%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	126
Westchester	50	28%	68	38%	32	18%	13	7%	12	7%	2	1%	1	1%	178
Total	1,882	46%	1,227	30%	321	8%	134	3%	344	8%	140	3%	31	1%	4,079

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Intakes: Supervision Status and Case Conference Status

County	On	Parole	Max	г Ехр	Total
	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	159	100%	0	0%	159
Bronx	126	100%	0	0%	126
Broome	179	99%	2	1%	181
Dutchess	179	99%	2	1%	181
Erie	415	100%	1	0%	416
Kings	347	100%	0	0%	347
Manhattan	295	100%	0	0%	295
Monroe	383	98%	6	2%	389
Nassau	208	90%	24	10%	232
Niagara	135	98%	3	2%	138
Oneida	153	100%	0	0%	153
Onondaga	271	92%	22	8%	293
Orange	182	100%	0	0%	182
Rensselaer	104	100%	0	0%	104
Rockland	55	100%	0	0%	55
Schenectady	106	91%	10	9%	116
Suffolk	403	99%	5	1%	408
Ulster	123	98%	3	2%	126
Westchester	178	100%	0	0%	178
Total	4,001	98%	78	2%	4,079

	са	arole, se rence	С	role, no ase erence	С	n parole, ase erence	no	n parole, case erence	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	156	98%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	159
Bronx	57	45%	69	55%	0	0%	0	0%	126
Broome	179	99%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	181
Dutchess	33	18%	148	82%	0	0%	0	0%	181
Erie	377	91%	24	6%	0	0%	15	4%	416
Kings	347	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	347
Manhattan	257	87%	38	13%	0	0%	0	0%	295
Monroe	141	36%	242	62%	0	0%	6	2%	389
Nassau	232	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	232
Niagara	130	94%	3	2%	2	1%	3	2%	138
Oneida	124	81%	28	18%	1	1%	0	0%	153
Onondaga	293	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	293
Orange	79	43%	102	56%	1	1%	0	0%	182
Rensselaer	102	98%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	104
Rockland	55	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55
Schenectady	55	47%	60	52%	0	0%	1	1%	116
Suffolk	178	44%	228	56%	2	0%	0	0%	408
Ulster	126	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	126
Westchester	177	99%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	178
Total	3,098	76%	946	23%	10	0%	25	1%	4,079

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Intakes: Service Needs

											County	у									To	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
ng	Need	113	35	104	75	160	15	62	128	141	94	128	158	103	45	12	56	253	79	71	1,832	45%
Housing	No Need	46	90	77	106	256	332	215	261	91	44	25	135	76	59	43	60	152	47	107	2,222	54%
¥	Not Assessed	0	1	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	25	1%
oy	Need	144	98	147	157	346	280	248	195	232	133	133	275	162	94	52	87	289	109	173	3,354	82%
Employ	No Need	15	23	34	24	70	67	22	194	0	5	20	18	16	10	3	29	86	17	5	658	16%
Er	Not Assessed	0	5	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	33	0	0	67	2%
00	Need	112	101	83	120	318	330	203	83	232	104	100	238	142	30	53	60	266	70	101	2,746	67%
Ed/Voc	No Need	47	20	98	61	98	17	66	306	0	34	53	55	36	66	0	56	130	55	77	1,275	31%
Ec	Not Assessed	0	5	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	2	0	12	1	0	58	1%
al ces	Need	134	37	175	114	408	138	231	252	232	129	142	293	176	87	51	95	360	105	176	3,335	82%
Social Services	No Need	25	85	6	67	8	209	39	137	0	9	11	0	3	13	4	21	45	21	2	705	17%
Se	Not Assessed	0	4	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	3	0	0	39	1%
Ε×	Need	99	86	173	70	392	347	199	183	232	124	82	277	172	70	45	75	357	108	151	3,242	79%
Chem Dep Tx	No Need	60	36	8	111	24	0	71	205	0	14	71	16	7	31	9	39	44	18	27	791	19%
0 0	Not Assessed	0	4	0	0	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	2	7	0	0	46	1%
der	Need	13	1	27	12	25	0	1	11	18	12	36	12	2	13	1	13	33	3	0	233	6%
Sex Offender TX	No Need	146	125	153	169	391	347	254	378	214	126	117	281	174	91	54	103	371	123	178	3,795	93%
ó	Not Assessed	0	0	1	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	51	1%
cct	Need	25	15	57	1	63	4	20	77	219	132	27	138	70	17	41	5	194	61	21	1,187	29%
Off Acct Prog	No Need	134	111	124	180	352	343	244	312	13	6	126	155	108	82	7	103	198	65	157	2,820	69%
δ	Not Assessed	0	0	0	0	1	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	7	8	16	0	0	72	2%
	Total	159	126	181	181	416	347	295	389	232	138	153	293	182	104	55	116	408	126	178	4,079	100%

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Intakes: Service Needs (con't)

											County	/									To	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Renss-elaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
eh	Need	7	17	173	169	168	347	99	102	232	104	70	204	175	92	34	14	234	87	177	2,505	61%
Cog Beh	No Need	152	106	8	12	246	0	182	287	0	34	83	89	4	12	7	97	161	38	1	1,519	37%
ŭ	Not Assessed	0	3	0	0	2	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	14	5	13	1	0	55	1%
	Need	150	7	143	18	135	17	12	0	232	110	1	56	22	104	11	23	114	116	178	1,449	36%
	No Need	9	118	38	163	281	330	258	389	0	28	150	237	157	0	8	85	283	10	0	2,544	62%
	Not Assessed	0	1	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	36	8	11	0	0	86	2%
	Need	5	73	117	90	151	131	151	131	89	59	24	133	153	8	26	25	118	59	122	1,665	41%
	No Need Not Assessed	154	49	64	91	265	216	143	258	120	79	129	160	26	95	20	86	276	67	56	2,354	58%
		0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	23	0	0	0	3	1	9	5	14	0	0	60	1%
Mental	Need	48	30	57	36	85	39	48	83	22	41	55	60	43	25	28	59	114	99	72	1044	26%
Mental	No Need	111	95	124	145	331	308	221	306	210	97	98	233	133	79	23	56	286	27	106	2,989	73%
:	Not Assessed	0	1	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	1	8	0	0	46	1%
<u>&gt;</u>	Need	20	4	58	1	198	10	45	57	232	119	0	242	19	32	49	48	41	90	92	1,357	33%
Family	No Need  No Need	139	122	123	180	218	337	225	332	0	19	152	51	159	71	6	66	357	36	86	2,679	66%
	NOT ASSESSED	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	2	10	0	0	43	1%
	Need Need	2	0	10	0	10	0	5	1	17	4	1	4	1	5	0	4	7	6	2	79	2%
	No Need	157	126	171	180	406	347	265	388	215	134	151	289	178	99	55	112	395	120	176	3,964	97%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	1	0	0	25	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	6	0	0	36	1%
	Total	159	126	181	181	416	347	295	389	232	138	153	293	182	104	55	116	408	126	178	4,079	100%

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Discharge Reasons

County	Succe Disch			ntarily Itinued	New /	Arrest	Viola	ation	Dece	eased	Transfe other CF	erred to	Transferre to non-C	ed/moved RTF area	Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	93	71%	2	2%	7	5%	27	21%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	131
Bronx	133	80%	0	0%	5	3%	28	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	166
Broome	144	78%	0	0%	2	1%	37	20%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	184
Dutchess	98	90%	0	0%	0	0%	9	8%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	109
Erie	339	80%	1	0%	28	7%	49	12%	1	0%	0	0%	5	1%	423
Kings	224	55%	154	38%	0	0%	12	3%	0	0%	11	3%	3	1%	404
Manhattan	186	73%	13	5%	25	10%	14	5%	0	0%	4	2%	14	5%	256
Monroe	187	43%	87	20%	38	9%	118	27%	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%	434
Nassau	97	85%	2	2%	1	1%	13	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	114
Niagara	47	64%	3	4%	6	8%	13	18%	0	0%	1	1%	3	4%	73
Oneida	128	86%	0	0%	5	3%	13	9%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	148
Onondaga	222	78%	0	0%	15	5%	46	16%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	283
Orange	106	69%	0	0%	1	1%	42	27%	1	1%	2	1%	2	1%	154
Rensselaer	65	66%	1	1%	8	8%	16	16%	0	0%	6	6%	2	2%	98
Rockland	10	83%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	12
Schenectady	77	65%	1	1%	1	1%	33	28%	0	0%	2	2%	4	3%	118
Suffolk	189	72%	12	5%	6	2%	51	19%	0	0%	4	2%	2	1%	264
Ulster	68	62%	22	20%	4	4%	13	12%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	110
Westchester	135	78%	0	0%	15	9%	21	12%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	173
Total	2,548	70%	299	8%	167	5%	555	15%	4	0%	36	1%	45	1%	3,654

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge\*

										С	ounty	,									Tot	al
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
	No Need	36	130	40	63	125	378	174	287	57	2	6	126	41	6	9	19	58	14	94	1,665	46%
	Halfway House	1	0	2	0	5	1	15	2	23	0	0	2	15	4	0	6	38	0	2	116	3%
	Residential Treatment Program	13	1	4	1	1	0	11	1	0	2	2	6	5	7	1	6	19	0	1	81	2%
50	Parole Resid Stabilization Prog	13	16	21	4	41	0	3	16	1	0	0	32	1	0	1	19	19	0	1	188	5%
Housing	Parole Community Based Resid Prog	8	15	13	0	63	0	5	21	21	0	0	1	2	3	1	10	6	0	26	195	5%
운	Shelter- Housing Only	9	1	6	12	14	0	8	19	0	1	3	39	6	6	0	3	53	5	0	185	5%
	Shelter that includes ancillary services	4	0	2	12	3	16	12	7	8	13	11	9	1	0	0	0	13	17	29	157	4%
	Hotel/motel	2	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	8	0	13	0	38	1%
	Private Residence	45	3	86	17	170	9	28	81	4	54	125	67	83	71	0	47	58	61	20	1,029	28%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	34	28	65	1	61	65	36	190	0	0	8	63	92	9	1	29	88	16	5	791	22%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	2	0	2	0	4	5	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	4	1	2	2	27	1%
20	Not Referred, Other Reason	58	77	20	0	104	80	70	37	0	4	14	11	57	27	0	36	109	17	47	768	21%
Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	3	3	9	0	4	24	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	4	36	17	13	118	3%
甘	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	44	9	5	6	101	62	6	0	27	1	105	0	43	5	1	9	29	7	461	13%
me	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	21	1%
Employment	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	4	1	1	15	158	3	10	37	0	0	22	3	0	1	4	6	4	6	6	281	8%
E G	Referred, Currently Engaged	19	15	44	1	81	79	65	79	77	2	18	65	2	6	1	21	16	7	92	690	19%
ш	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	8	1	2	2	2	41	2	21	14	29	10	27	0	5	0	11	1	7	0	183	5%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	4	0	36	82	0	35	2	23	23	9	68	8	0	5	0	6	0	6	1	308	8%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	44	38	119	67	110	12	96	332	1	1	89	241	96	66	0	50	78	37	75	1,552	42%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	5	2	2	0	17	0%
bn	Not Referred, Other Reason	57	83	16	1	98	67	45	36	0	2	3	5	45	11	3	36	117	17	24	666	18%
Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	4	4	11	0	5	12	0	0	11	2	3	0	2	4	46	16	19	139	4%
20	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	35	4	0	12	171	61	5	0	39	17	9	1	16	3	0	10	28	14	425	12%
Ed/Voc	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0%
Б	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	5	0	2	30	137	3	7	18	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	4	4	3	4	223	6%
	Referred, Currently Engaged Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	18 7	9	29 2	5 1	52 1	112 34	38	13 10	7 17	3 26	25 1	17	4 2	4	0	14 5	6	4	36 1	396 119	11% 3%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	7	1	0	5	1	2	89	1	0	8	0	1 0	0	0	1	0	0	107	3%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0%
	Total	131	166	184	109	423	404	256	434	114	73	148	283	154	98	12	118	264	110	173	3,654	570

<sup>\*</sup>Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge (cont'd)

										C	ounty	'									Tot	:al
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
	No Need	14	96	16	18	11	98	34	208	1	0	10	4	21	19	0	17	15	18	4	604	17%
ţ	Referred, Did Not Apply	15	9	5	0	10	19	29	15	0	0	2	49	3	13	0	14	10	8	4	205	6%
Asst	Applied- Pending	20	3	2	7	17	20	14	62	0	1	7	53	2	2	0	5	38	4	13	270	7%
Serv	Denied - Not Eligible	4	0	6	0	3	0	2	8	0	0	9	5	11	2	0	1	3	5	2	61	2%
Soc S	Denied - DSS Sanction	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	15	0%
S	Receiving Services	77	58	155	83	379	266	177	134	111	72	117	172	116	61	12	80	197	75	149	2,491	68%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	64	60	22	45	26	1	66	261	0	2	74	102	4	30	1	28	25	18	18	847	23%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	12	3	3	1	4	0	25	24	0	5	0	1	9	22	0	6	11	2	2	130	4%
Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	4	0	2	9	0	0	4	13	0	0	2	1	0	4	0	2	20	16	2	79	2%
Dep l	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	11	6	1	3	0	14	1	0	7	0	17	0	2	1	2	2	4	4	75	2%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	2	0	19	0	29	0	7	6	0	2	3	1	0	2	0	0	2	2	21	96	3%
Chem	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	6	0	3	2	57	0	9	33	0	1	1	19	0	0	1	5	8	4	14	163	4%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	33	79	91	20	254	131	121	69	90	24	62	99	44	31	9	40	177	62	102	1,538	42%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	10	12	12	8	30	227	10	18	14	29	4	39	48	5	0	24	17	1	7	515	14%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	1	26	23	20	45	0	5	10	3	1	3	49	2	0	11	2	1	3	205	6%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0%
	Total	131	166	184	109	423	404	256	434	114	73	148	283	154	98	12	118	264	110	173	3,654	1

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge (cont'd)

										С	ounty	1									Tot	al
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	119	166	160	104	387	401	254	417	110	69	116	265	152	89	11	105	235	106	173	3,439	94%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	28	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	8	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	5	0	1	6	1	0	28	1%
ح ا	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	0%
\1 #JO	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
٥		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
Ú	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	3	0	0	4	7	0	1	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	0	1	24	3	0	5	4	3	24	14	0	4	1	4	20	0	0	107	3%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	6	2	0	0	17	0%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	113	157	111	109	210	399	238	400	0	0	133	192	78	76	9	113	117	64	153	2,672	73%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	5	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	37	1	0	50	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	10	1	3	0	12	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	4	19	0	1	46	5	10	116	3%
Drog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	6	20	1	37	1%
\ \tau_{\tau}	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	16	0	20	0	0	1	0	3	5	3	53	1%
Δ.	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0%
Ę,	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	0	26	0	0	8	0	0	0	10	0	1	0	1	2	2	4	55	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	2	6	18	0	151	5	7	2	66	4	8	29	21	0	2	0	48	12	2	383	10%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	8	0	11	0	0	9	14	46	1	21	23	0	0	2	5	0	0	141	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	41	0	6	0	10	9	34	6	0	8	28	0	0	1	0	1	0	144	4%
	Total	131	166	184	109	423	404	256	434	114	73	148	283	154	98	12	118	264	110	173	3,654	

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge (cont'd)

										C	ounty										Tot	al
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	122	119	12	3	310	4	152	319	0	1	85	140	3	15	5	89	73	22	1	1,475	40%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	30	0	2	134	2	0	172	5%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	6	46	2	0	24	0	11	14	0	0	1	22	9	45	0	3	28	6	8	225	6%
Int	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	27	0	3	20	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	18	136	4%
Beh I	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	3	5	2	0	11	3	0	61	0	72	0	1	1	0	1	52	9	221	6%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	5	0%
Cog	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	13	36	0	3	15	0	0	0	13	1	1	0	2	1	1	14	100	3%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	1	0	42	1	20	134	38	3	66	1	1	8	42	1	6	9	23	15	112	523	14%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	22	0	3	221	10	23	14	10	0	22	45	5	0	7	1	3	7	394	11%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	103	87	1	45	28	34	34	0	3	3	54	0	0	4	0	0	4	400	11%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	21	159	51	105	297	383	228	434	0	0	146	148	149	1	8	87	175	15	0	2,407	66%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	63	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	1	1	57	0	171	321	9%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	4	6	5	2	21	0	12	0	0	0	0	111	3	3	2	6	17	5	2	199	5%
ing	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	1	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	17	0	41	1%
Mentoring	Referred, Client Declined Services	96	0	1	0	13	2	5	0	0	13	0	0	0	67	1	0	1	36	0	235	6%
1en	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
2	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	1	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	2	1	0	73	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	4	1	17	0	11	4	6	0	13	1	2	1	1	19	0	8	7	36	0	131	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	3	0	5	1	1	8	0	0	14	38	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	78	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	2	0	40	0	0	6	0	0	87	21	0	0	0	8	0	4	0	0	0	168	5%
	Unknown	0	166	0	0	0	1	0	0	114	72	140	0	0	0	0	110	0	110	0	2.654	0%
	Total	131	166	184	109	423	404	256	434	114	73	148	283	154	98	12	118	264	110	173	3,654	

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge (cont'd)

										(	County	/									Tot	:al
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	124	87	50	52	253	256	117	369	71	42	142	226	7	97	3	100	180	74	51	2,301	63%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	5	5	2	1	4	0	9	1	0	0	1	2	8	1	3	6	15	6	4	73	2%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	2	2	3	0	5	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	21	18	12	77	2%
Mgt	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	11	4	1	2	0	9	2	0	26	1	20	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	83	2%
Anger	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
Ā	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	15	30	0	4	9	0	0	1	5	2	0	0	5	5	0	8	85	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	54	34	0	117	65	70	2	30	0	3	3	42	0	4	6	28	9	85	552	15%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	9	16	3	8	66	9	12	13	2	0	7	44	0	0	1	6	0	7	203	6%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	76	35	5	17	33	26	0	3	0	20	51	0	0	0	6	1	4	277	8%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	99	134	147	87	293	339	207	337	110	37	88	221	136	85	8	68	218	35	105	2,754	75%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	8	0%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	5	3	0	1	6	0	2	20	0	3	0	4	3	1	0	8	6	4	2	68	2%
rog	Referred, On Waiting List	1	0	0	7	0	0	4	7	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	6	4	17	1	52	1%
타	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	3	1	2	0	0	15	5	0	23	1	5	1	1	0	2	0	16	3	78	2%
eal	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	15	0%
Mental Health Prog	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	3	0	0	6	22	0	2	9	0	0	1	4	3	0	1	2	2	5	7	67	2%
ent	Referred, Currently Engaged	23	23	30	3	94	12	20	38	4	6	51	42	6	9	2	21	29	32	49	494	14%
Σ	nererrea, Bischargea, Bia ivot complete	0	2	2	1	7	40	0	13	0	2	1	6	3	1	0	11	1	0	4	94	3%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	1	2	1	0	13	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	22	1%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
	Total	131	166	184	109	423	404	256	434	114	73	148	283	154	98	12	118	264	110	173	3,654	

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge (cont'd)

										C	ounty	,									Tot	al
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Rockland	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	117	162	157	109	380	337	223	317	0	34	147	176	153	72	6	82	248	36	82	2,838	78%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	48	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	12	2	2	0	0	0	1	40	0	6	0	71	1	24	1	18	6	4	6	194	5%
<b>50</b>	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	21	1%
Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	1	1	0	3	0	5	11	0	24	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	8	28	85	2%
Supp	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	15	62	2%
Fam	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	1	4	0	9	5	24	12	66	0	0	4	0	0	5	12	6	56	30	234	6%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	0	0	1	46	1	8	14	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	84	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	2	0	0	16	1	28	34	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	86	2%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	130	166	169	108	412	404	254	427	113	73	147	280	153	97	12	116	252	109	172	3,594	98%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	10	0%
Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0%
S Pr	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0%
an	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	0%
Veter	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0%
×	Referred, Currently Engaged	1	0	6	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	6	1	1	27	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0%
	Total	131	166	184	109	423	404	256	434	114	73	148	283	154	98	12	118	264	110	173	3,654	

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs

	Program	Employment	Vocational Program	Education/	Dependency Program	Chemical	Tx Program	Sex Offender	Accountability Program		Intervention	Cog Behavioral	Program	Mentoring	Management	Anger	Tx Program	Mental Health	Program	Family Support	Program	Veterans
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Discharges	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%	3,654	100%
Clients with No Need	791	22%	1,552	42%	847	23%	3,439	94%	2,672	73%	1,475	40%	2,407	66%	2,301	63%	2,754	75%	2,838	78%	3,594	98%
Clients with Need	2,863	78%	2,102	58%	2,807	77%	215	6%	982	27%	2,179	60%	1,247	34%	1,353	37%	900	25%	816	22%	60	2%
Not Referred, No Approp Prog	27	1%	17	1%	0	0%	28	13%	50	5%	172	8%	321	26%	2	0%	8	1%	48	6%	0	0%
Not Referred, Other Reasons	768	27%	666	32%	130	5%	28	13%	116	12%	225	10%	199	16%	73	5%	68	8%	194	24%	10	17%
Referred, On Waiting List	118	4%	139	7%	79	3%	4	2%	37	4%	136	6%	41	3%	77	6%	52	6%	21	3%	3	5%
Referred, Client Declined Services	461	16%	425	20%	75	3%	0	0%	53	5%	221	10%	235	19%	83	6%	78	9%	85	10%	3	5%
Referred, Client Ineligible	21	1%	6	0%	96	3%	2	1%	3	0%	5	0%	0	0%	1	0%	15	2%	0	0%	7	12%
Referred, Other Non-Adm Reason	281	10%	223	11%	163	6%	23	11%	55	6%	100	5%	73	6%	85	6%	67	7%	62	8%	4	7%
Referred, Currently Engaged	690	24%	396	19%	1,538	55%	107	50%	383	39%	523	24%	131	11%	552	41%	494	55%	234	29%	27	45%
Referred, Did Not Complete	183	6%	119	6%	515	18%	17	8%	141	14%	394	18%	78	6%	203	15%	94	10%	84	10%	3	5%
Referred, Successful Completion	308	11%	107	5%	205	7%	4	2%	144	15%	400	18%	168	13%	277	20%	22	2%	86	11%	3	5%
Unknown	6	0%	4	0%	6	0%	2	1%	0	0%	3	0%	1	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	0	0%
Clients With Need Subtotal	2,863	100%	2,102	100%	2,807	100%	215	100%	982	100%	2,179	100%	1,247	100%	1,353	100%	900	100%	816	100%	60	100%

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs (con't)

	Program	Employment	Program	Education/	Dependency Program	Chemical	Program	Sex Offender Tx	Program	Offender	Intervention	Cog Behavioral	Program	Mentoring	Management	Anger	Tx Program	Mental Health	Program	Family Support	Program	Veterans
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Clients with Need	2,863	100%	2,102	100%	2,807	100%	215	100%	982	100%	2,179	100%	1,247	100%	1,353	100%	900	100%	816	100%	60	100%
No Appropriate Program	27	1%	17	1%	0	0%	28	13%	50	5%	172	8%	321	26%	2	0%	8	1%	48	6%	0	0%
Client on Waiting List	118	4%	139	7%	79	3%	4	2%	37	4%	136	6%	41	3%	77	6%	52	6%	21	3%	3	5%
Client Declined Services	461	16%	425	20%	75	3%	0	0%	53	5%	221	10%	235	19%	83	6%	78	9%	85	10%	3	5%
Other*	1,076	38%	899	43%	395	14%	55	26%	174	18%	333	15%	273	22%	159	12%	152	17%	258	32%	21	35%
Client Was Enrolled	1,181	41%	622	30%	2,258	80%	128	60%	668	68%	1,317	60%	377	30%	1,032	76%	610	68%	404	50%	33	55%
Successfully Completed	308	26%	107	17%	205	9%	4	3%	144	22%	400	30%	168	45%	277	27%	22	4%	86	21%	3	9%
Currently Engaged	690	58%	396	64%	1,538	68%	107	84%	383	57%	523	40%	131	35%	552	53%	494	81%	234	58%	27	82%
Did Not Complete	183	15%	119	19%	515	23%	17	13%	141	21%	394	30%	78	21%	203	20%	94	15%	84	21%	3	9%
Client Was Enrolled Subtotal	1,181	100%	622	100%	2,258	100%	128	100%	668	100%	1,317	100%	377	100%	1,032	100%	610	100%	404	100%	33	100%

<sup>\*</sup>Other includes Not Referred, Other Reason, Client Deemed Ineligible, Other Non-Admission Reason and Not Reported.

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Employment Status

	Unemp	loyable	Not Em	ployed	Emplo	yed PT	Emplo	yed FT	Not Re	ported	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	10	8%	69	53%	28	21%	24	18%	0	.0%	131
Bronx	8	5%	128	77%	24	14%	6	4%	0	0%	166
Broome	2	1%	118	64%	41	22%	23	13%	0	0%	184
Dutchess	10	9%	70	64%	9	8%	19	17%	1	1%	109
Erie	79	19%	214	51%	75	18%	55	13%	0	0%	423
Kings	4	1%	242	60%	39	10%	119	29%	0	0%	404
Manhattan	18	7%	172	67%	31	12%	34	13%	1	0%	256
Monroe	68	16%	281	65%	26	6%	57	13%	2	0%	434
Nassau	0	0%	25	22%	31	27%	49	43%	9	8%	114
Niagara	2	3%	66	90%	4	5%	1	1%	0	0%	73
Oneida	28	19%	98	66%	14	9%	8	5%	0	0%	148
Onondaga	2	1%	225	80%	20	7%	36	13%	0	0%	283
Orange	13	8%	86	56%	17	11%	38	25%	0	0%	154
Rensselaer	11	11%	62	63%	13	13%	12	12%	0	0%	98
Rockland	1	8%	8	67%	2	17%	1	8%	0	0%	12
Schenectady	19	16%	58	49%	19	16%	22	19%	0	0%	118
Suffolk	20	8%	152	58%	70	27%	22	8%	0	0%	264
Ulster	0	0%	78	71%	14	13%	18	16%	0	0%	110
Westchester	15	9%	109	63%	15	9%	34	20%	0	0%	173
Total	310	8%	2,261	62%	492	13%	578	16%	13	0%	3,654

July 2013 through June 2014 Track I Discharges: Days in CRTF

Country	< 45	days	45-89	days	90-17	9 days	180-36	64 days	365+	days	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	0	0%	0	0%	131	100%	0	0%	0	0%	131
Bronx	18	11%	15	9%	133	80%	0	0%	0	0%	166
Broome	16	9%	38	21%	130	71%	0	0%	0	0%	184
Dutchess	8	7%	18	17%	83	76%	0	0%	0	0%	109
Erie	16	4%	46	11%	359	85%	1	0%	1	0%	423
Kings	97	24%	72	18%	147	37%	75	19%	10	2%	401
Manhattan	32	13%	52	20%	171	67%	1	0%	0	0%	256
Monroe	31	7%	63	15%	206	47%	129	30%	5	1%	434
Nassau	6	5%	44	39%	53	46%	11	10%	0	0%	114
Niagara	38	52%	28	38%	3	4%	4	5%	0	0%	73
Oneida	11	7%	8	5%	129	87%	0	0%	0	0%	148
Onondaga	16	6%	158	56%	109	39%	0	0%	0	0%	283
Orange	18	12%	18	12%	50	33%	62	41%	3	2%	151
Rensselaer	17	17%	18	18%	63	64%	0	0%	0	0%	98
Rockland	2	17%	9	75%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	12
Schenectady	15	13%	36	31%	67	57%	0	0%	0	0%	118
Suffolk	42	16%	89	34%	132	50%	0	0%	0	0%	263
Ulster	5	5%	12	11%	90	82%	3	3%	0	0%	110
Westchester	18	10%	21	12%	134	77%	0	0%	0	0%	173
Total	406	11%	745	20%	2,191	60%	286	8%	19	1%	3,647*

<sup>\*7</sup> Cases were missing the data necessary to calculate participant length of stay.