2008 OPERATION IMPACT ANNUAL REPORT

New York State
Division of Criminal Justice Services

Denise E. O'Donnell
Deputy Secretary for Public Safety and Commissioner, DCJS

OPERATION IMPACT 2008 ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ATTACHMENTS

V. Index Crime Report

VI. Violent Crime Involving a Firearm Report

VII. Index Crime Trend Tables

VIII. Violent Crime Involving a Firearm Trend Tables

IX. Firearm Activity Trends Tables

X. Annual Arrest Trend Tables

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

Operation IMPACT, in its fifth year, provides direct support to the 17 counties upstate and on Long Island that account for 80% of the crime in the State outside of New York City. Operation IMPACT enables these counties and select jurisdictions to fight violent crime through innovative, intelligence-based policing strategies. The partnerships among agencies within all areas of law enforcement, enhanced information sharing, and the timely use of accurate crime data has transformed policing within the IMPACT jurisdictions. Operation IMPACT is more than just a crime reduction program: it has led to a paradigm shift in the way law enforcement works.

Operation IMPACT has four key critical components:

- **Active Partnerships**
- **Use of Timely and Accurate Crime Data**
- **Intelligence Development and Information Sharing**
- **Effective Strategies**

The effective combination of these four components has transformed Operation IMPACT from a crime reduction *program* into an innovative policing *philosophy* that has brought a variety of successes to the counties and jurisdictions that have embraced its full implementation during the last five years.

This section of the report reviews the four main components of Operation IMPACT, and describes the progression of each since the program's inception. In addition, IMPACT partners provided DCJS with year-end reports, and those reports are summarized in Section II, "IMPACT County Highlights", to illustrate how implementing these key components has led to successes within the IMPACT counties and jurisdictions.

Active Partnerships

When Operation IMPACT was first introduced to the counties and jurisdictions selected to participate in early 2004, District Attorneys and Police Chiefs in the 17 IMPACT counties and primary law enforcement jurisdictions[1] were asked to create formal partnerships consisting of local, county, State, and federal law enforcement agencies. These partnerships, or "consortiums" as they were first known, were asked to work closely together to develop an IMPACT strategy that would target the reduction of Part 1 crime[2] in the primary jurisdiction. Each participating agency offered its own unique area of expertise, with an eye toward enhancing the effectiveness of operations and reducing duplication of efforts and wasted resources. The partnerships were asked to meet regularly to ensure that all agencies would remain focused on the crime-reduction goal.

---

[1] The Primary IMPACT jurisdictions are the jurisdictions that account for the largest volume of crime within their respective counties (e.g., Rochester in Monroe County).

[2] Part 1 Crime is the crime that is reported by all law enforcement agencies under the Uniform Crime Reporting statute. The Part 1 Crimes also referred to as Index Crimes, are the Violent Crimes of Murder, Rape, Robbery, and Assault; and the Property Crimes of Burglary, Larceny, and Motor Vehicle Theft.

Early on, there was a certain amount of trepidation on the part of the Chiefs and District Attorneys. After all, they had worked with other law enforcement agencies before, but not with the purpose and formality that was expected through IMPACT, and there were doubts about the level of commitment that could be counted on by "outside" agencies.

Five years later, many IMPACT police chiefs tout the formal, active partnerships as the single-most effective component of Operation IMPACT.   Enhanced collaboration between police and prosecution has led to stronger cases and tougher plea policies; the New York State Police partner with local departments for "blue and gray" patrols, warrant sweeps, and narcotics operations; IMPACT law enforcement agencies regularly conduct ride-alongs with probation and parole to enhance the supervision of chronic offenders and reduce recidivism; and, in 2008, a half-dozen IMPACT partnerships conducted Operation Rolling Thunder with the United States Marshal's Services apprehending hundreds of fugitives from justice. IMPACT has sharpened the focus of its partner agencies, allowing them to concentrate on the same goal: ridding their communities of gun and violent crime.

Use of Timely and Accurate Crime Data

When Operation IMPACT began in 2004, crime analysis was an up-and-coming tool that was being used by very few IMPACT jurisdictions. DCJS wanted to change this. The partnerships were asked to make a concerted effort to submit their crime statistics on time each month, a requirement that had been problematic for some law enforcement agencies, and to make sure that the information that was being reported was complete and accurate. They were asked to look at crime reporting as more than simply a mandate; rather, to see it as the basis for a tremendous amount of information that, when analyzed and used properly, could completely change the way they made tactical and resource deployment decisions. The Operation IMPACT law enforcement agencies worked hard to accomplish this and in some instances, DCJS provided funding through the program to enhance their agency's ability to report timely and accurate crime data.   The chiefs of police started to see the benefit of this component of IMPACT as the reporting and review of accurate crime data began to enhance their knowledge of the crime within their jurisdiction.

By the second year of IMPACT, most of the primary jurisdictions had hired crime analysts and by the third year, IMPACT funding supported crime analysts in all 17 jurisdictions who work hand-in-hand with command staff to identify and analyze patterns and trends within their jurisdictions. Law enforcement administrators came to realize that the information provided to them by the crime analysts was not only eye-opening, it was allowing them to make more informed decisions about the tactical responses that should be used to address the crime trends.   Currently, IMPACT supports thirty crime analyst positions. In approximately a half-dozen IMPACT jurisdictions, a research partner also conducts assessments of the effectiveness of certain strategies and provides the partnership with information on best practices pertinent to the unique crime problems being experienced within particular sites. IMPACT partnerships that do not have a research partner conduct their own assessment of the effectiveness of their strategies.

In 2008, the use of crime analysis reached a whole new level when DCJS, in partnership with 3 select IMPACT jurisdictions, created three Crime Analysis Centers in

Erie, Monroe and Onondaga counties. Each Crime Analysis Center (CAC) is run by a center director employed by DCJS and staffed by crime analysts supported by DCJS and the local IMPACT partner agencies. These three facilities provide law enforcement within the IMPACT jurisdictions and beyond with a centrally located unit responsible for conducting in-depth analysis of all of the county crime data. The CACs build upon the important partnerships developed through Operation IMPACT and expand the key philosophies of the initiative to all of the law enforcement agencies within those counties, further expanding the use of intelligence-led policing throughout the state.

In 2009, a fourth Crime Analysis Center will be established in Albany County. The Crime Analysis Centers will be discussed in more detail in Section III "State Agency Participation" of this report.

Intelligence Development and Information Sharing

In the third year of IMPACT, partnerships were encouraged to embrace intelligence gathering by creating the position of field intelligence officer to further enhance their intelligence-led policing strategies. The Field Intelligence Officer (FIO) is a non-traditional role within the police department who, under ideal circumstances, is dedicated solely to the task of intelligence development and dissemination. The FIOs conduct targeted debriefings of arrestees and other persons of interest, acting as the focal point for intelligence flow within the department and for information being received from sources outside the department. The most sophisticated Field Intelligence Programs direct their FIOs to work closely with the agency crime analyst and debrief based on current crime trends; this allows the FIO to be proactive, rather than reactive, in developing information about crime within their jurisdiction.

By 2008, IMPACT funding had enabled nearly all partner agencies to have a full time field intelligence officer, with forty-five full-time FIOs assigned in police departments, sheriff's offices, probation departments and even district attorney's offices. The IMPACT funded FIOs conduct debriefings of arrestees, jail inmates, gang members, probationers, parolees and various other individuals whom they determine may be able to provide valuable information that will assist their department in their crime-fighting efforts. The Field Intelligence Programs that have been developed during the last 3 years have become invaluable to the IMPACT partnerships as they continue to implement their crime reduction strategies.

Effective Strategies

Through the development and nurturing of active law enforcement partnerships, the use and analysis of timely, accurate crime data, and the cultivation and distribution of intelligence, the 17 IMPACT counties and primary jurisdictions have created effective strategies to address the crime problems that are unique to their jurisdictions.

IMPACT strategies are developed based on what an in-depth analysis of the crime within the primary IMPACT jurisdiction reveals. IMPACT strategies must incorporate the resources and contributions of all partner agencies and they must be multi-faceted, including

the following mandatory components: enforcement, prosecution, and community involvement. Other components that may be used in developing the IMPACT strategy include offender-based strategies, such as a focus on chronic offenders; environmental design strategies, such as improved lighting in high crime areas and addressing abandoned or vacant buildings; technology-based strategies such as the use of license plate readers or closed-circuit cameras in high crime areas; and victim-based strategies such as witness protection programs, outreach and education. Strategies should be fluid and able to be adjusted as crime conditions change. The partnership is required to assess their strategies to determine if they are effective or if they should be altered to address unmet needs.

Strategies are developed each year during the application process for IMPACT funding and are assessed throughout the contract period. All partner agencies are expected to contribute to the strategy development and offer resources to the effort, and they sign a Memorandum of Understanding outlining that commitment. The strategies that are submitted with the applications each year make clear that the 17 IMPACT partnerships now embrace the innovative components of the program as standard operating procedure within their departments.

Operation IMPACT – Funded Partners

The 2008 IMPACT counties and funded partner agencies are:

Albany County: Albany Police Department*; Albany County District Attorney's Office*; Albany County Sheriff's Office; Albany County Probation Department

Broome County: Binghamton Police Department*; Broome County District Attorney's Office*; Port Dickinson Police Department; Broome County Sheriff's Office

Chautauqua County: Jamestown Police Department*; Chautauqua County District Attorney's Office; Chautauqua County Sheriff's Office; Chautauqua County Probation Department

Dutchess County: Poughkeepsie City Police Department; Dutchess County District Attorney's Office*; Poughkeepsie Town Police Department; Dutchess County Sheriff's Office; Dutchess County Probation Department.

Erie County: Buffalo Police Department*; Erie County District Attorney's Office*; Erie County Sheriff's Office; Erie County Probation Department; Erie County Central Police Services

Monroe County: Rochester Police Department*; Monroe County District Attorney's Office*; Monroe County Sheriff's Office; Monroe County Probation Department; Pathways to Peace

Nassau County: Nassau County Police Department*; Nassau County District Attorney's Office*; Nassau County Probation Department; Hempstead Police Department; Freeport Police Department

Niagara County: Niagara Falls Police Department*; Niagara County District Attorney's Office*; Niagara Falls Sheriff's Office; Niagara County Probation Department

Oneida County: Utica Police Department*; New Hartford Police Department; Oneida County District Attorney's Office*; Oneida County Probation Department; Oneida County Sheriff's Office; JCTOD Outreach, Inc.

Onondaga County: Syracuse City Police Department*; Onondaga County District Attorney's Office*; Onondaga County Sheriff's Office; Onondaga County Probation Department; Onondaga County Department of Emergency Communications

Orange County: Newburgh City Police Department*; Newburgh Town Police Department; New Windsor Police Department; Orange County District Attorney's Office*; Orange County Probation Department; Orange County Sheriff's Office

Rensselaer County: Troy City Police Department*; Rensselaer County District Attorney's Office*; Rensselaer County Probation Department; Rensselaer County Sheriff's Office

Rockland County: Spring Valley Village Police Department*; Clarkstown Town Police Department; Haverstraw Police Department; Rockland County District Attorney's Office*; Rockland County Probation Department; Rockland County Sheriff's Office (Rockland County Intelligence Center)

Schenectady County: Schenectady City Police Department*; Schenectady County District Attorney's Office*; Schenectady County Probation Department; Schenectady County Sheriff's Office

Suffolk County: Suffolk County Police Department*; Suffolk County District Attorney's Office*; Suffolk County Probation Department; Suffolk County Sheriff's Office

Ulster County: Kingston City Police Department*; Ellenville Police Department; Ulster County District Attorney's Office*; Ulster County Sheriff's Office; Ulster County Probation Department

Westchester County: Yonkers City Police Department*; Mt. Vernon Police Department; White Plains Police Department; New Rochelle Police Department; Town of Greenburgh Police Department; Westchester County District Attorney's Office*; Westchester County Department of Public Safety; Westchester County Probation Department

(Agencies with an asterisk denote the IMPACT Co-Chair agencies in each Partnership. The IMPACT partnership Co-Chairs in each of the 17 counties are the Chief of Police in the Primary IMPACT jurisdiction and the District Attorney of the county.)

SECTION II

IMPACT COUNTY HIGHLIGHTS

The following is a sampling, taken from the IMPACT county year-end reports, of the successes that various IMPACT partnerships have had with regard to adjusting their policing methods to embrace the key components of Operation IMPACT and in implementing the focused strategies developed by their respective partnerships. All 17 IMPACT Counties and primary law enforcement jurisdictions (noted in parenthesis) have had successes in 2008; this report highlights accomplishments in 12 of the 17 counties. Each of these highlights centers on one or more of the IMPACT components outlined in Section I of this report.

ALBANY COUNTY (Albany Police Department)

Juvenile crime and youth-related violence began to rise in the City of Albany in 2008. Crimes such as burglaries, vehicle break-ins, and assaults were becoming problematic, particularly in the hours immediately following dismissal from school. The Albany Police Department Information Coordination Unit, which is staffed by crime analysts and a field intelligence officer, analyzed the juvenile crime problem in order to develop a strategy to address it. This analysis determined that much of the violence was being committed by non-students, absentee students, and/or problematic students arriving at or near the school at dismissal time. The Albany Police Department command staff determined that the deliberate use of patrols in the areas surrounding the high school for several hours immediately following school dismissal was appropriate and warranted. Shortly after police implemented this strategy, the incidents of juvenile violence began to decline.

Crime analysis also showed that juvenile crime was problematic in other parts of the city. To address this problem, the Albany Police Department requested, and received, IMPACT funding for a full time youth aide to review cases of juveniles who have contacts with the Albany Police Department, identify the needs of juveniles and their families, and then provide appropriate referrals. The youth aide coordinates services and provides outreach to juveniles and their family, assisting them in enrolling in community-based programs, and conducts home visits to ensure that the needed services are being received. It is the goal of the Albany Police Department that these services and opportunities will give these juveniles alternative choices and deter them from criminal activity.

DUTCHESS COUNTY (City of Poughkeepsie Police Department)

In Dutchess County, the development of a field intelligence program was embraced by all partner agencies, and the program is now a thriving, integral component of the county's IMPACT strategy. The Dutchess Field Intelligence Group (DFIG) began in 2006 with FIOs from the City of Poughkeepsie Police Department, the Town of Poughkeepsie Police Department, the Dutchess County Sheriff's Office and the Dutchess County Probation Department. The assigned FIOs participated in the DCJS training "Tactical Debriefing for Field Intelligence Officers" and began meeting regularly to plot the course that their group would take toward becoming an essential component of the IMPACT strategy. Today, IMPACT crime analysts are formal members of the group, participate in all weekly meetings and interact with the FIOs every day.

Once the FIOs began to conduct targeted debriefings and distribute the information obtained from those debriefings, they began to see the results of their efforts. They aided

investigations and identified information on criminal activity that they had never had before within their jurisdictions. They became so committed to the ideal that they approached non-IMPACT agencies within Dutchess County to encourage them to join the group, and the DFIG now includes members from the Beacon, East Fishkill, Hyde Park police departments as well as other Dutchess County law enforcement agencies. The DFIG now works with law enforcement agencies outside the county, including several of the Orange, Ulster, and Westchester IMPACT jurisdictions; it is their hope to host a regional seminar in spring 2009 for all of the mid-Hudson IMPACT agencies in an effort to further broaden the interaction between the intelligence officers within this region of the state.

In 2008, targeted debriefings by the members of the DFIG and the broad dissemination of that information led to the identification and apprehension of five key members of a violent gang associated with the Cripps. The gang was responsible for numerous larcenies, burglaries, assaults and robberies in the City of Poughkeepsie and throughout the county. The investigation and subsequent arrests led to the recovery of more than a dozen firearms, a bulletproof vest, narcotics, stolen property and gang paraphernalia. The DFIG has been utilized to conduct targeted debriefings following several shooting incidents involving uncooperative victims. The intelligence that they were able to obtain led to investigators making arrests in those cases.

ERIE COUNTY (Buffalo Police Department)

The Erie County IMPACT partnership implemented a well-rounded, multi-faceted strategy, consisting of a variety of different initiatives to address crime within the city. One initiative, "Operation Triple Play", involved an extensive drug investigation in South Buffalo that was spearheaded by the Buffalo Police Department Narcotics Intelligence and Vice Enforcement Bureau, with critical assistance from the other Erie County IMPACT partner agencies. An undercover decoy was used, informants were cultivated and debriefed, undercover narcotics purchases were made, and during the course of many months the cases were built. The execution of search warrants during a three-day period resulted in the apprehension of 31 suspects on 39 total indictment warrants, 13 arrests on other charges, and one juvenile arrest. In addition to the individuals apprehended, police seized a large amount of cash, six vehicles, recovered 15 firearms and guns, and confiscated 35 pounds of marijuana and 3 ¾ pounds of cocaine during the initiative.

The intelligence obtained through this initiative was used to develop a strategy for conducting a second initiative to continue to put pressure on the drug and gun trade within Buffalo. "Operation Third Strike", which added the Drug Enforcement Administration's Buffalo Task Force as a second lead agency, obtained information about the inner workings of a local drug network through a variety of electronic surveillances. This operation resulted in 29 indictments handed down and the arrest of 23 suspects when numerous IMPACT law enforcement agencies converged on locations to execute search warrants. Seven suspects now face life sentences under federal prosecution. This operation resulted in the seizure of more than $100,000, six vehicles, 17 handguns, shotguns, and assault rifles, and various amounts of narcotics, including cocaine, marijuana, heroin, and prescription drugs. These two initiatives helped disable a notable portion of the drug trade in Buffalo and reduced the violent crime that goes hand-in-hand with illegal narcotics operations and guns.

MONROE COUNTY (Rochester Police Department)

The centerpiece of Monroe County's Operation IMPACT strategy is joint police patrol details in the City of Rochester by the Rochester Police Department, Monroe County Sheriff's Office, and New York State Police, which aim to reduce violent crime by deploying patrols focusing on when that crime occurs, where it occurs, and who is involved.

Through the Monroe Crime Analysis Center, crime analysts analyze trends to identify the peak hours, days of week, and weeks of the year in which violent crime is historically the highest. Command staff then deploys the joint patrols when violent crime is at its worst. In addition to understanding when violence is occurring, analysts work daily with command staff to identify geographic hotspots of violence and deploy the IMPACT patrols to the areas of the city with the highest historical concentrations of violence. Finally, officers that conduct the joint patrols are given specific information about the crime problems and conditions in the areas to which they are assigned allowing the patrols to address the unique violent crime problems in each area. The Monroe County Probation Department supplements the joint patrol efforts, which greatly enhances the ability of the patrols to access individuals on probation suspected of participating in targeted criminal activities.

In 2008, the Rochester Police Department conducted joint patrol details five times from May through October 2008. In a comparison of the time periods in 2008 when details were conducted and the same time periods in 2007, a notable reduction in violent crime was seen in three of the five detail periods. An overall reduction of 11.8% in violent crime was realized during the five separate periods combined. This data suggests that the joint patrols enabled through IMPACT funding are associated with some notable year-to-year decreases in violent crime.

In addition to successful police enforcement initiatives, the Monroe County IMPACT partnership employs a successful prosecution component to their strategy. In order to send a clear message to the criminal element being targeted, the District Attorney's Office has put several internal policies in place: the office severely restricts charge reductions for violent felony defendants; has expanded the use of grand juries to swiftly indict defendants charged with serious crimes; and has consistently advocated that violent offenders receive the maximum sentences allowable under New York's laws. The Monroe County District Attorney's Office also works closely with the United States Attorney's Office to refer for federal prosecution those individuals that, when prosecuted federally, might face stiffer penalties for their crimes than they might receive in state court. Thirty-seven defendants were referred to the U.S. Attorney's Office for prosecution during the first nine months of 2008.

NASSAU COUNTY (Nassau County Police Department)

In Nassau County, the IMPACT funded Lead Development Center (LDC) has played a pivotal role in nearly every Nassau County criminal investigation. The LDC provides the Nassau County Police Department, as well as the approximately 16 additional law enforcement agencies within the county, with a central location for intelligence to be gathered and distributed. The LDC draws intelligence from a variety of different law

enforcement databases in addition to intelligence provided to the center directly from the law enforcement agencies in Nassau County.

Numerous cases have been enhanced by the sharing of information and intelligence housed at the LDC and the dissemination of that information during the monthly analyst meeting. At one such meeting, the Third Precinct representative communicated a series of incidents where an unknown subject was approaching delicatessens and restaurants purporting to be from a local church. He would tell the business owners that he was organizing a charity event and inquired if the business would be interested in catering the event. When the business expressed the desire to prepare the food, the subject would then place an order and ask for a cash donation up front for operating expenses in lieu of a discount for the charity event. The scam was uncovered when one business owner became aware that the subject had no connection to the church and the church informed the business owner that there was no such charity event scheduled. Through the information gathered at this meeting, it was determined that this subject had been involved in multiple scams in numerous locations. The Port Washington Police Department, which was not aware of any incidents at the time of the meeting, discovered that this individual was involved in their jurisdiction and uncovered a video of the subject that was given to the LDC. The LDC then disseminated an intelligence bulletin with the subject's photograph from the video to agencies within Nassau County. Through the Nassau County Police Department's Public Information Office, the actual video of the subject was distributed to the news media which resulted in many new reports from businesses that had been approached or scammed out of money. In addition, prior to the news release, the Port Washington Police had been notified (within hours of the distribution of the intelligence bulletin) that the fifth precinct had conducted a field intelligence report on this subject. It was then that they were able to identify the individual wanted for these charity scams.

Through the widespread distribution of this intelligence, and the information links that it created, the offender was arrested on the felony charge of scheme to defraud in the 1st degree, instead of the misdemeanor (scheme to defraud in the 2nd degree) he had originally been charged with.

ONEIDA COUNTY (Utica Police Department)

Operation Rolling Thunder, an enhanced, targeted warrant initiative, was implemented in several of the IMPACT jurisdictions in 2008 and the Oneida County partnership had a particularly successful operation. During two days in May 2008, the Utica Police Department, the secondary IMPACT jurisdiction[3] of the New Hartford Police Department, the Oneida County Sheriff's Office, the Oneida County Probation Department, the New York State Police, and New York State Division of Parole worked closely with the United States Marshal's Service to serve some of their most serious warrants and apprehend some of their most wanted criminals. Operation Rolling Thunder resulted in the apprehension of 106 individuals in the city of Utica on a variety of warrants. The warrant

---

[3] Secondary IMPACT jurisdictions are jurisdictions within an IMPACT county that are determined by the partnership, led by the District Attorney and the Chief of Police of the primary jurisdiction as Co-Chairs, as being in need of IMPACT resources. They are full partners in the IMPACT strategy.

team made 13 felony arrests; 52 misdemeanor arrests; 13 probation-warrant arrests; 14 violation arrests; and 2 sex offender arrests. Six arrest warrants were also issued out of Oneida County Family Court.

ONONDAGA COUNTY (Syracuse Police Department)

In Onondaga County, a variety of strategies are employed to meet the IMPACT goal of reducing Part 1 Crime. The Onondaga County partnership is fluid in its use of, and adjustments to, existing strategies and in the development of new strategies.

One new strategy, Chronic Offender Recognition and Enforcement (CORE), was born as a result of the DCJS-hosted Operation IMPACT Conference in Saratoga Springs in January 2008. Members of the Rochester Police Department conducted a presentation on their chronic offender program, known as VEST (Violent Enforcement and Suppression Team) and described the success that they have had with this offender-based strategy. Impressed by the concept, members of the Syracuse Police Department that attended the conference approached the Onondaga IMPACT Executive Committee (made up of representatives from all of the county IMPACT partner agencies) about mirroring the initiative in their jurisdiction. After four months and numerous meetings, the Onondaga IMPACT partnership began to implement CORE.

Through CORE, Onondaga County law enforcement executives seek to reduce the number of violent crimes committed in the city of Syracuse by ensuring that chronic offenders, who often commit a disproportionate amount of violent crime in a jurisdiction, are held accountable in the criminal justice system. The IMPACT partners used specific and objective, data-led criteria to identify individuals who should be targeted through the CORE strategy and brought together all of their available resources in order to enhance the investigation, prosecution and supervision of these chronic offenders. The Syracuse Police Department has assumed a leadership role in this initiative, but CORE's success depends on a collaborative effort among many agencies including the Onondaga County District Attorney's Office, Sheriff's Office and Probation Department, the New York State Police, the New York State Division of Parole, the United States Marshals Service, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms, the United States Attorney's Office, and the John F. Finn Institute for Public Safety which has provided analytical services for this initiative.

All of the original twenty-six chronic offenders who were on the CORE list have been arrested (some multiple times) as part of the initiative. Ten received state prison sentences ranging from one to seven years; 8 were sentenced to the local county correctional facility; and 8 still have charges pending. In addition, the United States Attorney's Office has indicted one of the 10 defendants serving state prison time on federal charges. CORE is a fluid law enforcement strategy, so a new list was compiled last month using the same criteria that was established by the partnership for the first. Twenty-one individuals are currently being targeted through this chronic offender strategy.

ORANGE COUNTY (City of Newburgh Police Department)

Prosecution is an important piece of the overall effectiveness of the IMPACT strategy. In Orange County, the District Attorney's Office is working to reduce the prevalence of illegal guns on the streets and reduce the open-air drug trade in the primary IMPACT jurisdiction of the City of Newburgh. IMPACT funds a full-time investigator with the District Attorney's Office who meets daily with the senior assistant district attorney assigned to the Newburgh office. The two review all of the Newburgh cases, ensure that victims and witnesses keep their appearances in court, and conduct enhanced investigations when a case needs strengthening. The investigator also meets daily with the field intelligence officers from the Orange County IMPACT partner agencies (including the city of Newburgh Police Department and the two secondary jurisdictions of the towns of Newburgh and New Windsor police departments, as well as the Orange County Sheriff's Office and Orange County Probation Department) and is responsible for coordinating the distribution of intelligence and information gathered by the team.

To further strengthen the prosecution strategy, the Orange County District Attorney enforces a "plea to the charge" policy on all narcotics sale cases and cases in which an undercover officer purchases narcotics. The office also flags specific IMPACT-related cases for enhanced prosecution. Cases are reviewed daily, and those that are gun related or involve "chronic offenders" (as determined by set, objective criteria) are referred for enhanced prosecution. The Orange County District Attorney's Office enhanced prosecution designation leads to very limited plea bargaining and a request for the maximum sentence allowed by law.

Finally, in response to the increase in juvenile crime in the City of Newburgh and in other Orange County jurisdictions, the Orange County District Attorney's Office works closely with the County Attorney's Office which is responsible for handling all juvenile criminal cases, to hold parents responsible for appropriately supervising the juvenile offenders and ensuring that they obey all of the conditions of their probation or release. Parents who do not provide appropriate supervision to their minor children involved in the Family Court system face endangering the welfare of a child charges. There also are harsh penalties pursued against any adult offender who solicits juveniles to commit criminal activities.

RENSSELAER COUNTY (Troy Police Department)

In the city of Troy, analysis conducted in the early stages of the IMPACT initiative uncovered that at least half of all of the violent crime committed in the city is either directly or indirectly related to the drug trade. An example of direct involvement is a shooting that occurred as the result of a drug deal that resulted in a violent dispute. An example of indirect involvement is property stolen during a larceny and/or burglary that is later discovered at a drug sale location, leaving officers to conclude that the stolen items were traded for narcotics. As a result of this analysis, the Special Operations Section (SOS) of the Troy Police Department, a unit dedicated to narcotics, has always taken a lead role in implementing the Rensselaer County IMPACT strategy.

In 2008, multi-disciplinary teams of officers from a variety of law enforcement agencies including the Troy Police Department SOS, Community Policing Unit, and Traffic Unit; the Rensselaer County Sheriff's and District Attorney's Offices and Probation Department; New York State Police and Parole; and federal Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and Drug Enforcement Administration met each week to work on directed anti-crime initiatives in Troy. At least once a week, 20-member details conduct proactive operations in the city of Troy's highest crime areas to address violent crime and quality of life issues that erode the confidence that residents have in their communities. These teams made approximately 800 arrests in 2008, accounting for about 20% of all of the Troy Police Department arrests. These multi-agency teams are directly responsible for the seizure of three-quarters of a pound of crack cocaine; 65 pounds of marijuana; $52,000; 3 vehicles; 10 firearms; and executing 45 search warrants. The chief of the Troy Police Department characterizes Operation IMPACT as "a force multiplier for our department" because IMPACT funding allowed these operations to be conducted without a burden on the local taxpayer.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY (Schenectady Police Department)

The Schenectady Office of Field Intelligence (OFI), and the partnership aspect of IMPACT, played a large part in the takedown of individuals that were responsible for as many as one hundred burglaries in a three-county region, including the IMPACT jurisdictions of Schenectady and Albany counties.

During the period of time when a notable spike in burglaries was occurring in Schenectady and surrounding jurisdictions, a Schenectady Police Department field intelligence officer obtained information, through a debriefing in an unrelated case, about an Xbox 360 that had been taken during a burglary and sold. The FIO identified the man who had sold it and flagged the individual as a person of interest. The FIO used the Schenectady OFI to distribute that information to the rest of the police department and other IMPACT agencies. The Schenectady Police Department then joined with five other police agencies that had an interest in the case, formed a task force and began the burglary investigation. Within a matter of weeks, the Schenectady Police Department announced that three men, who had been burglarizing homes in order to get money to feed their drug habits, had been arrested for the crimes. This case is an excellent illustration of the benefit of assigning Field Intelligence Officers to conduct debriefings of all arrestees to increase the chances that the department might be given information about criminal activity that is completely unrelated to the arrest at hand, providing opportunities to solve other unrelated cases.

SUFFOLK COUNTY (Suffolk County Police Department)

In Suffolk County, the IMPACT partnership has worked to reduce the level of gun violence within the county. IMPACT V funding allowed the Suffolk County partnership to enhance their ability to conduct gun investigations and improve their intelligence efforts. As a result, numerous intelligence-driven investigations in 2008 have led to the recovery of more than 850 crime guns, including a .357 caliber revolver that was used in the August, 2007 execution-style murders of three college-bound teenagers and the shooting of a fourth on a playground in Newark, N.J.

The investigation unfolded when the Suffolk County District Attorney's Gun Suppression Unit conducted an undercover sting operation at a tattoo parlor. IMPACT funding was used to purchase guns and drugs from various gang members and eventually an electronic surveillance operation was set up at the tattoo parlor. Upon execution of the sting operation, an IMPACT team of undercover investigators, district attorney investigators, Suffolk County probation officers, and Suffolk County police officers recovered more than 30 guns and obtained a warrant to search the residence of the owner of the tattoo shop, who is a known member of the Salvadorian street gang MS-13. There, authorities found 10 additional guns, a loaded, sawed-off 12-gauge shotgun, and the loaded .357 caliber revolver that was used in the Newark murders. The tattoo shop owner was indicted on multiple felonies by a Suffolk County grand jury.

WESTCHESTER COUNTY (Yonkers Police Department)

In 2008, the Westchester County District Attorney's Office held a Grand Opening of the Westchester Intelligence Center (WIC). The WIC, supported in part through Operation IMPACT funds, provides critical information coordination for the 43 local law enforcement agencies within Westchester County, as well as the state and federal law enforcement agencies that work in many of those jurisdictions. Information coordination and de-confliction services provided by the WIC ensure officer safety and avoid duplication of effort, both of which are crucial given the participation of multiple law enforcement agencies.

The WIC mirrors the IMPACT model of collaboration and information sharing among law enforcement and acts as the central hub of that coordination for Westchester County. The Westchester Intelligence Center illustrates the level at which the Westchester IMPACT partners have embraced and expanded the essential components of the IMPACT philosophy. The WIC houses crime analysts and field intelligence officers, some of whom are supported through IMPACT, who provide assistance and information to all Westchester County law enforcement agencies, IMPACT and otherwise. The WIC is currently working to create countywide connectivity among law enforcement agencies so that all Westchester County agencies will have access to a much broader base of data than currently available.

Under IMPACT V, the Westchester County District Attorney's Office requested, and was awarded, funding to allow the WIC to create a database that would contain important information from all of the Domestic Incident Reports (DIR) that are filed by Westchester County law enforcement agencies each year. The DIR database will replicate the coordination that the WIC currently provides on index crime data to include domestic violence data. Through the DIR database, a law enforcement agency in Westchester County responding to a call for service on a domestic case will have the ability to immediately determine if the perpetrator in the incident has had any other domestic incidents documented in the county in the past, providing important information that may lead to a clearer picture of the current situation. This database will enhance and improve the response to domestic violence among Westchester County law enforcement agencies and it will allow domestic violence data to be collected for analysis as well.

The number of police agencies that have requested information from the WIC is beginning to increase dramatically and the requests have extended far beyond the immediate

Westchester County area to include requests from as far south as Florida and as far west as Arizona.

Operation IMPACT – Key to our Communities

For the past five years, Operation IMPACT has provided critical funding for public safety in the 17 urban areas that need it the most. But the best IMPACT strategies also take into account that police presence, arrests, and successful prosecution alone won't make a community safe: police and communities must work together, employing effective strategies and embracing programs that provide young men and women with alternatives to gangs and crime, allowing residents to reclaim their neighborhoods.

The Request for Applications for IMPACT V funding mandated that a community component be incorporated into each IMPACT strategy. Community policing, which can include assigning officers to attend community meetings to enhance communication with residents, is employed by many IMPACT jurisdictions. This interaction improves the relationship between law enforcement and the community and it allows members of the police department to obtain critical information about criminal activity within their communities through the relationships that they develop. Several of the IMPACT jurisdictions also have community alert systems in place, such as Citizen Observer. These systems, which are web-based, allow residents to receive e-mails or text messages providing them with information about wanted people, crime patterns within various parts of their city, tips on how citizens can protect themselves from crime, and more. These systems also generally have a method for the subscribers to provide anonymous tips on crime or criminal activity to encourage the assistance of the community in crime fighting efforts. Other IMPACT strategies focus on reducing the "no snitch" mentality within their communities, while others have community components that involve outreach and education to the community – typically youth – on topics such as gangs.

Community components are important to a well-rounded, complete crime reduction strategy. Some of the Operation IMPACT jurisdictions are provided partial funding for a community component that compliments their overall crime reduction strategy. Some examples include:

- Oneida County IMPACT: JCTOD Outreach, Inc. is a community service organization located in the heart of Utica's Corn Hill neighborhood which is the main focus of the IMPACT strategy. This group works directly with the IMPACT partner agencies and provides numerous activities geared toward providing at-risk youth with alternatives to criminal behavior. Job fairs, youth olympics, basketball tournaments, and peace marches are just some of the activities that are hosted by JCTOD in an attempt to engage young people in their community.

- Monroe County IMPACT: Pathways to Peace, a program run by the city of Rochester, provides a variety of outreach services aimed at young people indentified as at risk for involvement in street-level violence and gang activity. Through its support of the Strong Trauma Physicians Program, Pathways has referred victims of violent crime to support services, and has been a central contact for the Cease Fire program, which seeks to divert

gang members from participating in criminal activities. In addition, Pathways reaches out to at-risk youth to steer them toward job training, education, and other services.

- Albany County IMPACT: The Albany Police Department hired a youth aide through IMPACT V to review cases of juveniles who are arrested and to determine the needs of those individuals and their families in an effort to provide services designed to reduce the risk of recidivism of these young offenders. The Albany IMPACT partnership recognizes that there is also a need to address the social and economic nuances that prompt criminal activity.

Operation IMPACT – Critical to Continued Success in Jurisdictions

As stated earlier, during the past five years, Operation IMPACT has become much more than a crime reduction program in the counties that have implemented it: it has led to a change in the overall approach to policing. IMPACT funding provides agencies with the ability to purchase needed equipment; hire staff to enhance their ability to conduct crime analysis and develop intelligence; and provide "boots on the ground" for IMPACT-related details and operations, heightening the police presence in many jurisdictions.

Below is a sampling of some of the comments made by IMPACT partners in the 2008 year-end reports that were submitted to DCJS:

Many of the successes that our partnership has had "can only be achieved with the support of DCJS under Operation IMPACT……The leadership the State provides to the local partners has given us insight into other initiatives that occur statewide and often we review these efforts for implementation on a similar level in Dutchess County. Funding for equipment and operations is key to our success……."

- Chief Ron Knapp, Poughkeepsie Police Department (Dutchess County)

"We realized many accomplishments in 2008 as a direct result of Operation IMPACT………Clearly, IMPACT funding has made a dent in the drug trade and overall crime in the City of Troy. Without it, the Troy Police Department would be severely handicapped in fighting crime in the city of Troy."

- Chief Nick Kaiser, Troy Police Department (Rensselaer County)

"Although many of the partnerships existed for many years in Orange County….Operation IMPACT has brought agency personnel closer, enhancing the communication between the different agencies like never before.

- Orange County District Attorney's Office year-end report for 2008

"It is feared that without IMPACT funding, the ability to conduct intelligence-led policing could be compromised"……the Lead Development Center would "lose its effectiveness."
- Nassau County year-end report for 2008

Continued funding of Operation IMPACT will ensure that counties and cities can continue to support critical public safety priorities in the face of economic uncertainty.

SECTION III

STATE AGENCY PARTICIPATION

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

The New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) is responsible for the management and administration of the Operation IMPACT initiative. The Division has assigned staff to work closely with the 17 IMPACT partnerships to assist them in implementing their strategies and to provide the IMPACT agencies with a single point of contact for all information and services provided to law enforcement by the Division. These IMPACT "ambassadors" attend all monthly IMPACT partnership meetings and provide follow-up in areas where a need is determined to exist; make referrals on training and other resources available through DCJS; and coordinate access to other New York State agency resources as needed. In addition, the IMPACT Unit staff closely monitors the performance measures that IMPACT agencies must meet as part of their participation in the program, including the timely submission of crime reports, the proper processing of recovered crime guns, use of SAFETNet[4], proper reporting on sex offenders, and proper designation of arrests that have a Domestic Incident Report on file in conjunction with the arrest.

In January 2008, IMPACT Unit staff organized a two-day Operation IMPACT Conference hosted by the DCJS Commissioner that was attended by partners within all 17 IMPACT counties. The conference was designed to provide information on best practices in crime reduction methods and to provide a forum for our IMPACT partners to learn about successful crime-fighting strategies created by their colleagues in other jurisdictions. In keeping with past conferences, last year's event was an overwhelming success, with many of the partnerships leaving with a broader range of knowledge about different initiatives that they could embrace in an effort to reduce their crime. In fact, some partnerships returned home and actually adopted strategies they learned at the conference (see the Onondaga County overview in Section II of this report as an example).

The DCJS Commissioner also created a Technical Assistance Team – the members of which have particular areas of expertise - to provide an intensive level of one-on-one assistance to IMPACT partner agencies. Two members of this team are retired law enforcement officials, one with an extensive investigative background, including serving as the commanding officer of a homicide squad in Queens; and the other with an impressive background in police administration, including as the deputy chief of the Rochester Police Department. Two other team members have expertise in crime analysis and information technology. In 2008, this team provided 298 days of on-site technical assistance to the 17 IMPACT counties and primary jurisdictions. In addition, they have developed and delivered specialized training classes pertinent to the needs of IMPACT jurisdictions, including:

- Major Crimes Series One – designed to help investigators and detectives hone their skills in conducting effective investigations on robberies; also includes role-playing to teach identification procedures and how to conduct effective line-ups.
- Major Crime Series Two – provides practical information to improve investigators and detectives skills on homicide cases, and includes information on shaken baby syndrome.

---

[4] SAFETNet is New York State's De-confliction System that is maintained by the New York State Intelligence Center (NYSIC). All IMPACT law enforcement agencies are required to enter all of their targets of open-active investigation into the SAFETNet system for both officer safety and efficiency.

- Officer Safety and Street Encounters – provided to uniformed officers to hone their tactical skills and legal knowledge of conducting stop and frisk activities.
- Tactical Debriefing for Field Intelligence Officers – focuses on best tactics to use to obtain valuable information from persons of interest and includes a day of role-playing during which class attendees conduct debriefings.
- Tactical and Operational Analysis using GIS (CMAP) – provided to crime analysts to enhance their crime mapping skills.
- Report Writing – designed to help officers hone their abilities to write effective police reports that will benefit both themselves and others.

In 2008, the commissioner's Technical Assistance Team conducted 47 different trainings in the IMPACT jurisdictions and provided instruction to 721 law enforcement officers and crime analysts. In addition to this training, the DCJS Office of Public Safety (OPS) provided free training to all law enforcement agencies throughout the State that is specifically geared toward identified needs within IMPACT jurisdictions.

Local Crime Analysis Centers

In 2008, DCJS established three Crime Analysis Centers (CACs) in collaboration with Operation IMPACT partners in Erie County (Buffalo), Monroe County (Rochester) and Onondaga County (Syracuse). A fourth CAC will be established and operational in Albany County (Albany) in 2009. These four locations were chosen for the CACs because of the high volume of crime reported in each IMPACT jurisdiction. A Board of Directors, which includes the district attorney, the chief of police in the city where the Centers are located, and other law enforcement officials in the jurisdiction, oversees each Crime Analysis Center. The board establishes the direction of the CAC based on the tactical, strategic, and administrative needs of the agencies that benefit from it.

The use of daily, accurate crime analysis is essential to effectively address crime, and the CACs take that ideal one step further by conducting analysis at a regional level. The CACs provide a centrally located unit for conducting in-depth analysis of all county crime data – often for the first time ever - allowing county law enforcement agencies to benefit from the information as never before. The centers' analysts use software programs that allow them to create linkages amongst all available data sources from the various agencies within the county. Crime incidents, people, telephone numbers, etc. from these various jurisdictions can be linked to determine criminal associations that can enhance investigations and solve cases. They also use mapping software that allows them to see a regional picture of where crime is being committed. These tools, combined with data drawn from numerous databases and records management systems from police departments in each of the respective CAC counties, is providing Center staff with a wealth of information to analyze in their quest to provide valuable data that will assist local law enforcement agencies in their crime reduction efforts.

The Crime Analysis Center initiative increases crime analyst staffing and provides state-of-the-art technology and training in order to provide more timely and accurate information to both executive and ground level personnel for the purpose of crime reduction and heightened officer safety.

The commanding officer of each of the three main CAC jurisdictions – Buffalo, Rochester, and Syracuse - and their administrative staff meet daily with their respective CAC director in order to determine any crime patterns that occurred in the previous 24 hours or more and make determinations about the deployment of resources in response to those patterns. This daily interaction allows these IMPACT jurisdictions to address crime patterns before they become crime trends.

According to Rochester Chief of Police David Moore, "The implementation of the Monroe Crime Analysis Center has greatly enhanced what the Rochester Police Department started in 2007 with our daily crime briefings. The ability to have information regarding crime trends and patterns within minutes allows us the ability to deploy personnel in a more productive and efficient manner."

NEW YORK STATE POLICE

The New York State Police (NYSP) has played an invaluable role in providing resources and support to the 17 Operation IMPACT jurisdictions since the initiative began in 2004. Some of the NYSP activities within the IMPACT jurisdictions in 2008 are:

Troop A

The State Police assigned to this troop assist the City of Buffalo (Erie County IMPACT partnership) with IMPACT details. Generally, six uniformed troopers and a State Police sergeant are assigned to work in conjunction with the Buffalo Police Department Mobile Response Unit (MRU), a tactical response unit that uses daily crime analysis to determine where and when they will patrol. The team of troopers and Buffalo police officers worked four days a week through the summer, and Friday, Saturdays and Sundays during the colder winter months, as street crime tends to show a decline at that time. Since these details began in March 2008, troopers have made 455 arrests (106 felonies, 233 misdemeanors and 116 violations); executed 166 arrest warrants, recovered 8 guns, 4 other weapons and made 188 drug interdiction arrests.

Consider this significant investigation conducted by IMPACT troopers working with the Buffalo MRU: On Nov.11, 2008, troopers initiated a traffic stop as a part of an MRU IMPACT detail in Buffalo. Through their investigation at the scene, they arrested three individuals for illegally possessing two handguns. Ballistics tests later revealed that one of the guns was involved in two shootings and a robbery that had occurred in Buffalo.

Troop D

On August 2, 2008, Trooper Nathaniel Phelps was working an Operation IMPACT detail in Syracuse. During the tour, 9-1-1 issued an officer safety bulletin regarding a white Chevy Malibu that possibly contained burglary proceeds, including a .38 caliber revolver. Trooper Phelps entered the vehicle information in his patrol vehicle's license plate reader, which later identified the vehicle. Trooper Phelps stopped the car with its five occupants. With the assistance of backup units, the vehicle was searched and Trooper Phelps found a loaded Browning .22 caliber semi-automatic pistol hidden in the engine compartment next to the battery. The 20-year-old female owner of the vehicle admitted that she had put the

handgun there, saying that she was trying to sell it on the street and had hid it under the hood because she had heard police never search there. She was arrested for second-degree criminal possession of a weapon, and also confessed to stealing a small safe containing jewelry from her mother's house. Although the suspect did not disclose what happened to her mother's .38 caliber revolver, this incident illustrates the value of sharing information and also how license plate readers have become an important piece of technology regularly used in IMPACT details.

Troop E

On Oct. 11, 2008, Troopers Oliver Gorevski and Dave Feeney were working an Operation IMPACT detail in Rochester. The troopers stopped a vehicle for a seat belt violation and a broken tail light on Rocket Street. Upon stopping the car, the center, rear-seat passenger was seen moving around and reaching under the front seat, while two other rear seat passengers attempted to exit the vehicle.

Troopers detained all five individuals and observed a gun sticking out from under the driver's seat. In addition to the loaded, .40 caliber semi-automatic handgun, troopers found jewelry in the car, along with hoods and masks. As a result of the traffic stop by Troopers Gorevski and Feeney, two of the vehicle's occupants were positively identified during a show up as the individuals who committed an armed robbery on 7th Street, minutes before the traffic stop. The jewelry in the car also was identified by the victims of the robbery. The two subjects were each charged with first-degree robbery, third-degree grand larceny, second-degree criminal possession of a weapon and third degree criminal possession of a weapon.

Troop F

On May 6, 2008 at approximately 10:25 p.m., the City of Newburgh Police Department contacted the Troop F Major Crimes Unit for assistance with a drive-by shooting that killed 15-year-old Jeffrey Zachary.

Zachary was standing in front of 27 Dubois Street in Newburgh with several of his friends when a car approached. Several shots rang out and Zachary was struck once in the back; he was pronounced dead at the scene. The City of Newburgh detectives joined with members of the Major Crimes Unit and the suspect vehicle was quickly identified, located unattended in a nearby apartment complex. A search of the surrounding area resulted in members of the Major Crimes Unit locating the .38 caliber handgun that was used to kill Zachary. The owner of the vehicle was interviewed and stated that 16-year-old Joshua Torres of Beacon, and 21-year-old Daniel Correa of Newburgh were in possession of the vehicle at the time of the homicide. Following an all-night surveillance detail, Torres and Correa were located and brought to the City of Newburgh Police station where they were each interviewed by teams of City of Newburgh detectives and State Police investigators. Both Torres and Correa subsequently provided statements admitting to their involvement in the murder of Zachary, including their respective roles as driver and rear seat shooter. The defendants also stated that Zachary was not the intended target of the shooting, but was simply standing in front of the target's residence when the drive-by occurred. Both

defendants were remanded to the Orange County Jail, with Correa's bail set at $1 million cash and Torres' set at $500,000.

Troop G

On Dec. 17, 2008, Trooper Jeremy Shultis was assigned to a blue/gray patrol with Schenectady Police Officer McCracken as part of the Operation IMPACT strategy in the city of Schenectady. At 6:05 p.m. they were dispatched to the Mr. Discount convenience store at 1054 Crane St., advised two males had just robbed the clerk at gunpoint, one with a pistol, the other with a shotgun, taking an unknown amount of currency and cigarettes. Trooper Shultis and Officer McCracken arrived in the area and obtained information that one of the suspects was on a rooftop nearby. State Police Aviation was called and had a helicopter searched the area, while another officer in the area advised that one suspect was running down Fourth Avenue. Trooper Shultis and Officer McCracken exited their patrol vehicle and began to search the area on foot, locating one of the suspects running down Cutler Street. They were able to apprehend him a short time later. The second suspect also was apprehended. The money and weapons were located and the men were arrested and charged with armed robbery.

Troop K

On June 26, 2008, an IMPACT Team, conducting blue/gray patrols in the City of Mt. Vernon, responded to a shots-fired call at the intersection of Union Street and 3rd Avenue. Witnesses stated that two men were engaged in an altercation when both men began to shoot at each other. Trooper David Turner and his Mount Vernon PD partner arrived on scene and observed one subject running from the area. The subject was detained and it was later determined that the subject was one of two participants in the altercation and had been shot once in the ankle. A total of nine casings from both a .22 caliber and 9mm handgun were located at the scene. The second subject fled on foot.

C-NET

One of the numerous operations conducted by the New York State Police C-NET (Community Narcotics Enforcement Team) Unit in 2008 was centered on the City of Newburgh drug trade. In late 2007 and early 2008, members of the C-NET Unit joined with the City of Newburgh Police Department and the Orange County District Attorney's Office to target drug sales in open-air drug markets within drug-free school zones[5] through "Operation Protect Our Children". When this initiative came to a conclusion – with a high-profile arrests sweep involving the participation of all the Orange County IMPACT partners – the State Police and the Newburgh Police Department had successfully obtained 115 indictments for third-degree criminal sale of a controlled substance and third-degree criminal possession of a controlled substance with intent to sell, both felonies. Police also charged six juveniles; obtained and executed 13 search warrants; recovered four firearms (two handguns, one rifle, and one shotgun); and identified 17 gang members and 13 parolees. The initiative was so successful and the evidence against the offenders was so strong that the

---

[5] Selling drugs within school drug zones carries harsher penalties than other narcotics sale charges.

majority of those arrested pleaded guilty to felony charges and are currently serving prison sentences. This initiative was the second phase of the effort to address open-air drug dealing in the City of Newburgh and illustrates beautifully the partnership aspect of Operation IMPACT.

Violent Felony Warrant (VFW) Squad

The State Police's Violent Warrant Felony Squad participated in numerous individual IMPACT operations throughout the state, assisting IMPACT jurisdictions with advance work-ups on all the active warrants to be addressed. During each operation, teams were formed from various agencies in that particular area and given their assignments. The length of operations varied from location: some lasted one to three days, others were week-long and two, in Troops and E, ran for once a week for about half the calendar year. The chart below details the arrest numbers, warrants closed and work-hours for each VFW squad for the calendar year 2008. It should be noted that the difference in arrests and warrants closed is due to the fact that some defendants may have had more than one active warrant for them, the warrant may have been vacated or the subject was deceased.

Troop	Work-hours	Warrant Arrests	Warrants closed
A/VFW	199	254	863
C/VFW	22	22	155.5
D/VFW	136	170	503.5
E/VFW	290	411	2,723
F/VFW	58	58	184
G/VFW	61	61	240
L/VFW	33	39	198
VFW/HQ (K)	43	45	165
Totals	**842**	**1060**	**4,169**

NYS DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

The New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives (DPCA) was an early supporter of Operation IMPACT and continues to be a primary partner in the IMPACT Initiative. DPCA has worked closely with Probation Departments in the 17 IMPACT counties, and has extended the lessons learned there to Probation Departments statewide.

Under direct guidance from DPCA, Probation Departments have developed probation field intelligence officers to maximize collaboration and data-sharing with other law enforcement agencies. In doing so, probation departments have worked closely with the New York/New Jersey High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (HIDTA) Regional Intelligence Center (RIC) and the New York State Intelligence Center (NYSIC), and participated in specific IMPACT strategies and operations with regard to cultivating and distributing intelligence.

The county summaries in Section II of this report describe the collaborative nature of IMPACT, and Probation departments are valuable partners in every one of the IMPACT jurisdictions. Probation departments provide valuable probationer information to law enforcement; conduct joint warrant and curfew enforcement initiatives, bar checks, and "ride-alongs" with police officers; identify offenders that have a high risk of reoffending; and more. DPCA, working in collaboration with DCJS and the New York State Office of Cyber Security and Critical Infrastructure Coordination, recently obtained training for IMPACT probation departments in the Critical Infrastructure Response Information System (CIRIS) Mapping. Probation field intelligence officers also have joined the staff at the state's Crime Analysis Centers in Buffalo, Rochester and Syracuse.

DCPA encourages its departments in non-IMPACT jurisdictions to embrace these strategies as well to enhance public safety throughout the state. Additionally, DPCA has promoted several initiatives statewide that provide benefits to the IMPACT partnerships. These initiatives include the following:

- Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) and Youth Assessment Screening Instrument (YASI)– COMPAS (for adults) and YASI (for juveniles) are risk/need assessment tools that assist probation departments in identifying probationers with the highest risk and need levels and assessing the "criminogenic needs" of probationers that must be addressed to prevent re-offending. All 58 probation departments utilize the state's web-based COMPAS and 56 utilize YASI.

- DNA Collection - Probation departments are required by law to collect DNA samples on certain offenders. With the assistance of DCJS, DPCA tracks the percentage of required DNA collected by probation departments. Since the inception of DNA collection, probation departments have collected more than 82,000 samples of DNA from probationers in New York State and helped identify offenders responsible for 684 crimes, including 65 homicides.

- Probation Department Automation - DPCA is working with DCJS, county probation departments and state contract vendor AutoMon to automate probation departments. This automation will provide uniform data that can be utilized for crime analysis to support IMPACT strategies. Currently, 39 counties are either implementing or in production with the case management system, Caseload Explorer.

- Operation Return - DPCA has embarked on a cooperative initiative with the United States Marshal's Service, DCJS, local probation departments and the NY/NJ HIDTA Center to apprehend Sex Offender Registry Act (SORA) Level 2 and 3 probation absconders. Operation Return provides a package of guidance, resources and funding to facilitate the location and arrest of SORA Level 2 and 3 probation absconders. Probation absconders from 11 IMPACT counties are included in this initiative.

DPCA has provided continued support to the IMPACT initiative through direction and guidance provided to county probation directors and through their participation and input at both agency Crime Trends Meetings[6] and monthly IMPACT state partner meetings.

NYS DIVISION OF PAROLE

The New York State Division of Parole has been an important partner in the Operation IMPACT initiative since its inception. Parole officers have a wealth of information that is not readily available to law enforcement and their willingness to share that information with the IMPACT law enforcement agencies has been invaluable. IMPACT law enforcement agencies have partnered with parole officers to conduct operations in all 17 IMPACT primary jurisdictions and the operations have been extremely successful. The ability to partner with parole officers and tap into their information is a critical component to each of the IMPACT crime reduction strategies.

During 2008, Parole offices joined with law enforcement in the 17 IMPACT jurisdictions for ride alongs, home visits, curfew checks, bar checks and warrant sweeps. One excellent example of the benefit of Parole as an IMPACT partner is in Oneida County and Utica, the primary IMPACT jurisdiction. Each month, parole officers provide the Utica Police Department with an up-to-date list of the people who are currently under parole supervision living in Utica. In return, the Utica Police Department provides parole with a list each month of all of the parolees living within the city that have had any kind of police contact during that month. This sharing of information has allowed law enforcement to closely monitor the parolee population, and as a result, parolee arrests in Utica increased in 2008 by 5.4 percent overall.

NYS OFFICE FOR THE PREVENTION OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

In 2008, the New York State Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence (OPDV) took an active role in IMPACT. As part of the IMPACT V Request for Applications, applicants were asked to conduct a thorough analysis of their aggravated assaults to determine what percentage were domestic violence-related. If they found that a significant percentage were domestic in nature, or found other statistical proof that the incidence of domestic violence within their jurisdiction was high, they were encouraged to include a domestic violence component to their strategy.

Almost half of the IMPACT applications were submitted with some focus on domestic violence. The DV strategy components included:

---

[6] Crime Trends Meetings are held monthly and hosted by Deputy Secretary and DCJS Commissioner Denise O'Donnell. Each month, an IMPACT partnership is invited in to provide an overview of their crime statistics, the strategies that they are using to address that crime, and the contributions that the partner agencies are making to the strategy. Executive staff members from State Partner agencies attend these meetings in order to determine if there are additional resources that can be made available to the jurisdiction that will further assist them in their crime reduction efforts.

- Creating a committee to determine more precisely the parameters of domestic violence within a jurisdiction.
- Reviewing existing programs to identify one appropriate for the specific jurisdictional challenge.
- Hiring an intake specialist (housed within the police department) to work with victims and refer them to services soon after police contact; and
- Developing a database to capture information from Domestic Incident Reports filed within the county so that all police agencies in that county would have access to that information, improving their interdepartmental collaboration and strengthening their primary physical aggressor analysis.

OPDV staff members also worked with IMPACT jurisdictions that included a domestic violence component in their overall crime reduction strategy. OPDV and DCJS staff met several times to identify these applicants and discuss the strategies. The goal of OPDV, in working with these IMPACT sites, is to determine the unique needs of each site based on the problem and solutions as defined in the proposals, and work closely with the jurisdictions to assist them in meeting their goals.

In 2008, the DCJS IMPACT Unit staff worked closely with OPDV staff to arrange introductory meetings in the jurisdictions that included a DV component to their strategy. The purpose of these initial meetings was to introduce the IMPACT participants to the OPDV staff and the agency's mission and to foster a collaborative relationship that would allow OPDV to provide expertise regarding promising practices for policing domestic violence.

Through the meetings, OPDV staff learned what each jurisdiction planned to do with regard to domestic violence, and identified gaps that OPDV could fill. OPDV employs criminal justice professionals with an expertise in the fields of policing and probation, and these staff members were assigned to this initiative to work closely with the sites and determine areas where they might provide training and technical assistance. OPDV is now working directly with three IMPACT jurisdictions on this initiative – Albany, Westchester, and Rensselaer - with additional jurisdictions being added in 2009 to expand the effort.

NYS LIQUOR AUTHORITY

The New York State Liquor Authority (SLA) continues to provide beneficial services to the IMPACT strategies. Although the resources of the SLA are limited, the agency staff continues to assist when they can by assigning investigators to partner with IMPACT jurisdiction police officers to violate, and in some cases close down, problem bars and clubs. SLA focuses their efforts on the problematic establishments that have been shown through crime analysis to contribute to the overall crime problem within the IMPACT jurisdictions.

In a particularly impressive operation in Spring Valley, the SLA was instrumental in closing down eight bars and clubs in the village; these establishments that had been shown through crime mapping to be either directly or indirectly to blame for a disproportionate amount of violent crime within their radius. The majority of those establishments have remained closed throughout all of 2008 because of the strict tactics used by the SLA. They

recognized the contribution that these establishments were making to the criminal activity in the village and wanted to ensure that the steps that they took would be long-lasting.

The State Liquor Authority is consistently represented at each of the monthly Crime Trends Meetings and the agency remains available to assist jurisdictions when needed.

SECTION IV

IMPACT Overview

Operation IMPACT in New York State

The goal of Operation IMPACT is to reduce crime, particularly violent and firearm-related crime, in the 17 counties in New York State that account for almost 80% of total crime outside of New York City. This program provides funding, resources, and technical assistance, and fosters enhanced partnerships among participating agencies. Successful crime reduction strategies incorporate timely, accurate crime reporting; crime analysis; intelligence development and sharing; rapid deployment of personnel; formulation and evaluation of strategic operations; and community efforts.

The police jurisdiction which reports the highest volume of violent crime within the county is designated as the primary IMPACT site. The county District Attorney and Chief of Police in the primary jurisdiction lead the IMPACT partnership and coordinate the IMPACT strategy. During 2008, 84 local agencies received Operation IMPACT funding.

2007 IMPACT County Crime Statistics
Total Index Crime

IMPACT County	2007 Population	Crime Count	Percent of Non-NYC
Albany	297,154	10,582	4.1%
Broome	195,161	6,004	2.3%
Chautauqua	134,387	3,801	1.5%
Dutchess	296,416	6,387	2.5%
Erie	914,914	33,257	12.8%
Monroe	728,081	25,109	9.6%
Nassau	1,320,440	19,957	7.7%
Niagara	215,039	6,920	2.7%
Oneida	233,118	6,914	2.7%
Onondaga	455,272	13,260	5.1%
Orange	380,352	8,764	3.4%
Rensselaer	155,251	4,539	1.7%
Rockland	295,219	4,473	1.7%
Schenectady	150,599	5,353	2.1%
Suffolk	1,472,242	31,013	11.9%
Ulster	182,932	3,473	1.3%
Westchester	950,091	16,705	6.4%
IMPACT Total	**8,376,668**	**206,511**	79.3%
Other Counties	**2,696,721**	**53,800**	20.7%
Non-NYC	**11,073,389**	**260,311**	100.0%

Source: DCJS, Uniform Crime/Incident-Based Reporting systems.
As of 9/9/2008.

State and Federal participants include:
- Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS)
- New York State Police (NYSP)
- Division of Parole (DOP)
- Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives (DPCA)
- State Liquor Authority (SLA)
- United States Attorney's Office
- Federal Law Enforcement Agencies

County and Local participants include:
- County Probation Departments
- Sheriffs' Offices
- District Attorneys' Offices
- Local police agencies within IMPACT Counties
- Other local agencies

In 2008, Operation IMPACT was supported by $16.6 million in funding. Grants were awarded on a competitive basis and required all participating jurisdictions to focus on reducing violent crime. Jurisdictions were also required to enhance their crime analysis capability, further develop their use of criminal intelligence, and include an enforcement, prosecution and community component.

Operation IMPACT in New York State

Key Public Service Areas

- Support active local partnerships to reduce crime
- Improve the data available to help reduce crime
- Enhance crime analysis and intelligence development and expand information sharing among partner agencies
- Participate in crime-fighting operations, particularly those which address gun crime and other violent crime

Critical Objectives

- Develop and implement effective strategies to reduce crime
- Enhance crime analysis and proactive intelligence collection capabilities
- Compile and submit all crime reports within 30 days of the end of the month
- Participate fully in SAFETNet
- Report all recovered crime guns to the State Police and ATF, and process all crime guns at the local forensic laboratory

Timely, accurate crime data are essential for criminal justice decision-making. All primary IMPACT sites are required to submit a monthly summary of reported crime to DCJS within 30 days of the end of the reporting month. These data are summarized and returned to all IMPACT partners and show the most recent levels of reported crime for murder, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft, and violent crime involving a firearm. Up-to-date crime information is also posted on *e*JusticeNY to inform law enforcement agencies of recent trends in their county and region.

√ **During 2008, the 17 primary IMPACT agencies reported their crime data within 30 days as required 100% of the time.**

Percent of Crime Reports Submitted Within 30 Days - IMPACT Agencies

(Annual)

Operation IMPACT in New York State

IMPACT Crime Trends

Data for the primary IMPACT jurisdictions are now available through December 31, 2008.

Reported Crime
Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions
2004 - 2008

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	07 vs 08 % Change
Total	**121,169**	**118,656**	**119,511**	**112,696**	**116,045**	**3.0%**
Violent Crime	**16,076**	**17,562**	**18,247**	**16,487**	**16,797**	**1.9%**
Murder	196	226	225	205	212	3.4%
Rape	840	795	773	797	762	-4.4%
Robbery	6,333	7,332	7,642	6,602	6,820	3.3%
Agg. Assault	8,707	9,209	9,607	8,883	9,003	1.4%
Property Crime	**105,093**	**101,094**	**101,264**	**96,209**	**99,248**	**3.2%**
Burglary	19,955	20,460	20,966	19,676	20,837	5.9%
Larceny	71,700	69,352	69,657	67,001	70,342	5.0%
MV Theft	13,438	11,282	10,641	9,532	8,069	-15.3%

Source: UniformCrime\Incident Based Reporting system (2/13/2009)

Note: Includes reported crime data from the following agencies only - Albany City PD, Binghamton City PD, Buffalo City PD, Jamestown City PD, Kingston City PD, Nassau County PD, Newburgh City PD, Niagara Falls City PD, Poughkeepsie City PD,

Rochester City PD, Schenectady City PD, Spring Valley Village PD, Suffolk County PD, Syracuse City PD, Troy City PD, Utica City PD and Yonkers City PD.

√ Overall, reported crime in the 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions in 2008 was up (+3%) as compared to 2007.

√ Murder was up (+3%) as compared to 2007, driven by increases Albany, Suffolk, and Syracuse.

√ Violent crime was up (+2%), driven by an increase in robbery (+3%).

√ Property crime was up (+3%), driven by increases in burglary (+6%) and larceny (+5%). Motor vehicle theft was down (-15%).

Operation IMPACT in New York State

The number of violent crimes involving a firearm is monitored closely in the IMPACT sites. These crimes had reached a 10-year high in 2006, but have remained below that level in the past two years.

Violent Crime Involving a Firearm
Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions
2004 - 2008

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	07 vs 08 % Change
Violent Crimes	**16,076**	**17,562**	**18,247**	**16,487**	**16,797**	**1.9%**
Firearm Related	**3,594**	**4,479**	**4,781**	**3,930**	**3,943**	**0.3%**
Percent Firearm	**22%**	**25.5%**	**26%**	**24%**	**23.5%**	
Murder	196	226	225	205	212	3.4%
Firearm Related	107	140	144	138	137	-0.7%
Percent Firearm	55%	62%	64%	67%	65%	
Rape	840	795	773	797	762	-4.4%
Firearm Related	31	28	25	18	21	16.7%
Percent Firearm	4%	3.5%	3%	2%	3%	
Robbery	6,333	7,332	7,642	6,602	6,820	3.3%
Firearm Related	2,006	2,554	2,709	2,094	2,161	3.2%
Percent Firearm	32%	35%	35%	32%	32%	
Agg. Assault	8,707	9,209	9,607	8,883	9,003	1.4%
Firearm Related	1,450	1,757	1,903	1,680	1,624	-3.3%
Percent Firearm	17%	19%	20%	19%	18%	

Source: UniformCrime\Incident Based Reporting system (2/13/2009)

√ Violent crime involving firearms was flat (+0.3%).

√ The number of firearm-related murders was down slightly (-1%).

√ In 2008, firearm-related crime accounted for 23.5% of the violent crime reported in the primary IMPACT jurisdictions, down slightly from 24% in 2007.

Operation IMPACT in New York State

DCJS monitors firearm-related activity within the primary jurisdictions that is not captured in monthly crime data reported to DCJS.

√ The number of shooting incidents involving injury were up (+9%) in 2008 as compared to 2007.

√ The number of shooting victims (where an injury was sustained), were also up (+12%) in 2008 as compared to 2007.

Firearm Activity
Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions
2006 - 2008

	2006	2007	2008	07 vs 08 % Change
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	896	766	836	9.1%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1,007	836	938	12.2%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	144	138	137	-0.7%

√ A lower proportion of incidents resulted in fatalities in 2008, as compared to 2007. Of the 938 shooting victims, 137 were killed (15%).

Expanding Information Sharing and Intelligence

Information shared among law enforcement helps to solve and prevent crime. IMPACT agencies are contractually required to use several critical statewide information-sharing systems, including the two described below.

SAFETNet

When two agencies unknowingly investigate the same individual, officers can be put in harm's way, especially if the investigation results in one police agency executing a search warrant at a location that has undercover detectives present from another agency. The Safe Automated Fast Event Tracking Network (SAFETNet) is the State's secure deconfliction system that maintains information on targets and locations currently under investigation. Police agencies which register targets immediately learn if the target is the subject of another investigation, promoting interagency coordination and ensuring officer safety.

SAFETNet Target Submissions by IMPACT Police Departments
(annual)

√ The number of investigative targets entered into SAFETNet by the 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions decreased during 2008. A total of 9,291 targets were submitted as compared to 9,483 during 2007, a decrease of -2%.

Operation IMPACT in New York State

Crime Gun Activity

Operation IMPACT contracts require participating agencies to share information about firearms. When law enforcement agencies outside of New York City recover a firearm which was used in a crime or suspected to have been used in a crime, the agency sends a detailed message about the crime gun to the New York State Criminal Gun Clearinghouse. This message is sent through the New York State Police Information Network (NYSPIN) and is called a GGUN transaction. The Clearinghouse, which is part of the New York State Police, transmits this information to the Federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) for analysis and investigation. New York City provides crime gun information directly to ATF.

Law enforcement agencies are also required to transmit an electronic record through NYSPIN for all guns that that are reported as lost or stolen. The Criminal Gun Clearinghouse compares the stolen/lost gun records to the Crime Gun records and generates a hit notice for all matches. These hits provide valuable investigative leads on both the initial theft of the gun and any subsequent crimes committed with the gun.

In addition, IMPACT jurisdictions are required to submit crime guns and any ballistic evidence found, such as bullets or shell casings, to their local forensics laboratory for analysis. Subsequent to lab testing, forensic information from the gun and any ballistic evidence is then entered into shared forensic databases where forensic information can link recovered firearms to ballistic profiles from other crime scenes.

This multi-faceted approach to processing and sharing information from firearms helps law enforcement to solve crimes and investigate gun activity.

Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions
2006 - 2008

	2006	2007	2008	07 vs 08 % Change
Crime Guns Recovered	5,274	4,719	3,153	-33.2%
Crime Gun Transactions via NYSPIN	2,426	2,606	2,696	3.5%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	2,414	2,566	2,578	0.5%

√ Primary IMPACT departments reported recovering 3,153 crime guns during 2008, a decrease of 33% compared to 2007.

√ Primary IMPACT departments made 2,696 crime gun transmissions via NYSPIN during 2008, an increase of 3.5% compared to 2007.

√ Primary IMPACT departments submitted 2,578 guns to the lab during 2008, which was flat (+0.5%) compared to 2007.

SECTION V

Index Crime Report
2007 vs. 2008

Section V includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2008 data.   This report shows the monthly and year-to-date Index crime trends for each of the 17 Primary jurisdictions. These reports are prepared each month and distributed electronically to IMPACT partners, including the IMPACT Police Chiefs, IMPACT County District Attorneys, IMPACT County Probation Directors, and other stakeholders.

IMPACT Jurisdictions

Preliminary Data: December

Prepared by
Division of Criminal Justice Services

Data as of February 13th, 2009

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	**2007**	**% Change**	**2008**	**2007**	**% Change**
IMPACT PD TOTAL	**8,841**	**8,834**	**0.1**	**116,045**	**112,696**	**3.0**
Violent Crime	**1,226**	**1,157**	**6.0**	**16,797**	**16,487**	**1.9**
Murder	21	19	10.5	212	205	3.4
Rape	56	66	-15.2	762	797	-4.4
Robbery	539	546	-1.3	6,820	6,602	3.3
Agg. Assault	610	526	16.0	9,003	8,883	1.4
Property Crime	**7,615**	**7,677**	**-0.8**	**99,248**	**96,209**	**3.2**
Burglary	1,498	1,560	-4.0	20,837	19,676	5.9
Larceny	5,467	5,400	1.2	70,342	67,001	5.0
MV Theft	650	717	-9.3	8,069	9,532	-15.3

Notes: All Data is preliminary information and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	**2007**	**% Change**	**2008**	**2007**	**% Change**
PD - Albany City	**355**	**394**	**-9.9**	**5,446**	**5,376**	**1.3**
Violent Crime	**61**	**67**	**-9.0**	**1,039**	**1,128**	**-7.9**
Murder	0	1	-	10	3	-
Rape	5	6	-	50	44	13.6
Robbery	28	27	3.7	364	376	-3.2
Agg. Assault	28	33	-15.2	615	705	-12.8
Property Crime	**294**	**327**	**-10.1**	**4,407**	**4,248**	**3.7**
Burglary	52	78	-33.3	1,027	964	6.5
Larceny	224	230	-2.6	3,154	2,998	5.2
MV Theft	18	19	-5.3	226	286	-21.0
PD - Binghamton City	**194**	**153**	**26.8**	**2,667**	**2,314**	**15.3**
Violent Crime	**23**	**15**	**53.3**	**278**	**214**	**29.9**
Murder	0	0	-	1	3	-
Rape	1	3	-	11	19	-42.1
Robbery	8	4	-	84	67	25.4
Agg. Assault	14	8	-	182	125	45.6
Property Crime	**171**	**138**	**23.9**	**2,389**	**2,100**	**13.8**
Burglary	18	13	38.5	343	254	35.0
Larceny	151	124	21.8	1,998	1,809	10.4
MV Theft	2	1	-	48	37	29.7
PD - Buffalo City	**1,283**	**1,458**	**-12.0**	**19,206**	**19,621**	**-2.1**
Violent Crime	**259**	**273**	**-5.1**	**3,720**	**3,490**	**6.6**
Murder	3	6	-	37	54	-31.5
Rape	9	16	-	173	164	5.5
Robbery	128	151	-15.2	1,543	1,533	0.7
Agg. Assault	119	100	19.0	1,967	1,739	13.1
Property Crime	**1,024**	**1,185**	**-13.6**	**15,486**	**16,131**	**-4.0**
Burglary	288	330	-12.7	4,113	4,389	-6.3
Larceny	605	642	-5.8	9,507	9,477	0.3
MV Theft	131	213	-38.5	1,866	2,265	-17.6
PD - Jamestown City	**94**	**104**	**-9.6**	**1,363**	**1,322**	**3.1**
Violent Crime	**17**	**11**	**54.5**	**184**	**168**	**9.5**
Murder	0	0	-	3	1	-
Rape	2	0	-	20	20	0.0
Robbery	0	0	-	40	30	33.3
Agg. Assault	15	11	36.4	121	117	3.4
Property Crime	**77**	**93**	**-17.2**	**1,179**	**1,154**	**2.2**
Burglary	23	22	4.5	344	300	14.7
Larceny	50	67	-25.4	809	817	-1.0
MV Theft	4	4	-	26	37	-29.7
PD - Kingston City	**61**	**42**	**45.2**	**714**	**766**	**-6.8**
Violent Crime	**4**	**1**	**-**	**69**	**67**	**3.0**
Murder	0	0	-	0	1	-
Rape	1	0	-	5	5	-
Robbery	2	1	-	48	38	26.3
Agg. Assault	1	0	-	16	23	-30.4
Property Crime	**57**	**41**	**39.0**	**645**	**699**	**-7.7**
Burglary	10	6	-	115	122	-5.7
Larceny	46	31	48.4	510	551	-7.4
MV Theft	1	4	-	20	26	-23.1

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
PD - Nassau County	**1,419**	**1,332**	**6.5**	**16,449**	**15,525**	**6.0**
Violent Crime	**102**	**102**	**0.0**	**1,602**	**1,639**	**-2.3**
Murder	0	3	-	14	14	0.0
Rape	6	3	-	58	71	-18.3
Robbery	47	47	0.0	748	748	0.0
Agg. Assault	49	49	0.0	782	806	-3.0
Property Crime	**1,317**	**1,230**	**7.1**	**14,847**	**13,886**	**6.9**
Burglary	153	144	6.3	1,929	1,807	6.8
Larceny	1,057	991	6.7	11,900	10,928	8.9
MV Theft	107	95	12.6	1,018	1,151	-11.6
PD - Newburgh City	**114**	**98**	**16.3**	**1,539**	**1,612**	**-4.5**
Violent Crime	**34**	**34**	**0.0**	**476**	**436**	**9.2**
Murder	0	0	-	7	2	-
Rape	1	3	-	13	14	-7.1
Robbery	18	11	63.6	162	131	23.7
Agg. Assault	15	20	-25.0	294	289	1.7
Property Crime	**80**	**64**	**25.0**	**1,063**	**1,176**	**-9.6**
Burglary	15	16	-6.3	333	316	5.4
Larceny	54	41	31.7	640	791	-19.1
MV Theft	11	7	-	90	69	30.4
PD - Niagara Falls City	**229**	**272**	**-15.8**	**3,308**	**3,179**	**4.1**
Violent Crime	**40**	**23**	**73.9**	**562**	**503**	**11.7**
Murder	0	0	-	3	3	-
Rape	2	0	-	24	38	-36.8
Robbery	21	9	-	171	134	27.6
Agg. Assault	17	14	21.4	364	328	11.0
Property Crime	**189**	**249**	**-24.1**	**2,746**	**2,676**	**2.6**
Burglary	57	59	-3.4	828	668	24.0
Larceny	123	181	-32.0	1,757	1,796	-2.2
MV Theft	9	9	-	161	212	-24.1
PD - Poughkeepsie City	**103**	**130**	**-20.8**	**1,515**	**1,451**	**4.4**
Violent Crime	**29**	**27**	**7.4**	**393**	**387**	**1.6**
Murder	1	0	-	5	5	-
Rape	1	2	-	23	15	53.3
Robbery	14	12	16.7	161	163	-1.2
Agg. Assault	13	13	0.0	204	204	0.0
Property Crime	**74**	**103**	**-28.2**	**1,122**	**1,064**	**5.5**
Burglary	13	15	-13.3	261	253	3.2
Larceny	59	72	-18.1	784	704	11.4
MV Theft	2	16	-	77	107	-28.0
PD - Rochester City	**1,010**	**903**	**11.8**	**13,433**	**13,627**	**-1.4**
Violent Crime	**172**	**173**	**-0.6**	**2,302**	**2,350**	**-2.0**
Murder	5	4	-	42	50	-16.0
Rape	9	18	-	98	121	-19.0
Robbery	81	97	-16.5	1,059	1,032	2.6
Agg. Assault	77	54	42.6	1,103	1,147	-3.8
Property Crime	**838**	**730**	**14.8**	**11,131**	**11,277**	**-1.3**
Burglary	214	200	7.0	2,809	2,582	8.8
Larceny	518	433	19.6	7,060	7,044	0.2
MV Theft	106	97	9.3	1,262	1,651	-23.6

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	**2007**	**% Change**	**2008**	**2007**	**% Change**
PD - Schenectady City	**231**	**215**	**7.4**	**3,708**	**3,553**	**4.4**
Violent Crime	**32**	**37**	**-13.5**	**654**	**606**	**7.9**
Murder	0	0	-	9	5	-
Rape	1	0	-	35	34	2.9
Robbery	15	14	7.1	288	265	8.7
Agg. Assault	16	23	-30.4	322	302	6.6
Property Crime	**199**	**178**	**11.8**	**3,054**	**2,947**	**3.6**
Burglary	63	43	46.5	925	806	14.8
Larceny	117	120	-2.5	1,905	1,851	2.9
MV Theft	19	15	26.7	224	290	-22.8
PD - Spring Valley Village	**64**	**48**	**33.3**	**713**	**656**	**8.7**
Violent Crime	**13**	**10**	**30.0**	**200**	**204**	**-2.0**
Murder	0	0	-	0	0	-
Rape	0	2	-	7	12	-
Robbery	3	5	-	63	71	-11.3
Agg. Assault	10	3	-	130	121	7.4
Property Crime	**51**	**38**	**34.2**	**513**	**452**	**13.5**
Burglary	7	15	-	85	89	-4.5
Larceny	43	22	95.5	404	326	23.9
MV Theft	1	1	-	24	37	-35.1
PD - Suffolk County	**2,288**	**2,345**	**-2.4**	**28,401**	**26,541**	**7.0**
Violent Crime	**204**	**156**	**30.8**	**2,166**	**2,204**	**-1.7**
Murder	5	2	-	39	28	39.3
Rape	7	6	-	91	87	4.6
Robbery	87	64	35.9	890	871	2.2
Agg. Assault	105	84	25.0	1,146	1,218	-5.9
Property Crime	**2,084**	**2,189**	**-4.8**	**26,235**	**24,337**	**7.8**
Burglary	294	317	-7.3	3,805	3,365	13.1
Larceny	1,640	1,730	-5.2	20,490	18,880	8.5
MV Theft	150	142	5.6	1,940	2,092	-7.3
PD - Syracuse City	**570**	**546**	**4.4**	**7,531**	**7,399**	**1.8**
Violent Crime	**108**	**103**	**4.9**	**1,366**	**1,435**	**-4.8**
Murder	5	2	-	24	19	26.3
Rape	4	5	-	71	67	6.0
Robbery	35	39	-10.3	419	446	-6.1
Agg. Assault	64	57	12.3	852	903	-5.6
Property Crime	**462**	**443**	**4.3**	**6,165**	**5,964**	**3.4**
Burglary	122	129	-5.4	1,938	1,785	8.6
Larceny	299	264	13.3	3,725	3,618	3.0
MV Theft	41	50	-18.0	502	561	-10.5
PD - Troy City	**207**	**173**	**19.7**	**2,755**	**2,548**	**8.1**
Violent Crime	**30**	**24**	**25.0**	**392**	**345**	**13.6**
Murder	0	0	-	5	2	-
Rape	2	0	-	19	21	-9.5
Robbery	9	11	-	152	131	16.0
Agg. Assault	19	13	46.2	216	191	13.1
Property Crime	**177**	**149**	**18.8**	**2,363**	**2,203**	**7.3**
Burglary	38	52	-26.9	600	581	3.3
Larceny	129	90	43.3	1,650	1,449	13.9
MV Theft	10	7	-	113	173	-34.7

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
PD - Utica City	**222**	**251**	**-11.6**	**3,257**	**3,316**	**-1.8**
Violent Crime	**22**	**30**	**-26.7**	**480**	**433**	**10.9**
Murder	0	0	-	4	5	-
Rape	1	1	-	22	21	4.8
Robbery	7	12	-	181	142	27.5
Agg. Assault	14	17	-17.6	273	265	3.0
Property Crime	**200**	**221**	**-9.5**	**2,777**	**2,883**	**-3.7**
Burglary	57	65	-12.3	729	753	-3.2
Larceny	136	150	-9.3	1,928	1,968	-2.0
MV Theft	7	6	-	120	162	-25.9
PD - Yonkers City	**397**	**370**	**7.3**	**4,040**	**3,890**	**3.9**
Violent Crime	**76**	**71**	**7.0**	**914**	**878**	**4.1**
Murder	2	1	-	9	10	-
Rape	4	1	-	42	44	-4.5
Robbery	36	42	-14.3	447	424	5.4
Agg. Assault	34	27	25.9	416	400	4.0
Property Crime	**321**	**299**	**7.4**	**3,126**	**3,012**	**3.8**
Burglary	74	56	32.1	653	642	1.7
Larceny	216	212	1.9	2,121	1,994	6.4
MV Theft	31	31	0.0	352	376	-6.4

SECTION VI

Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Report
2007 vs. 2008

Section VI includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2008 data.   This report shows the monthly and year-to-date violent crime involving a firearm trends for each of the 17 Primary jurisdictions. These reports are prepared each month and distributed electronically to IMPACT partners, including the IMPACT Police Chiefs, IMPACT County District Attorneys, IMPACT County Probation Directors, and other stakeholders.

IMPACT Jurisdictions
Violent Crime by Firearm

Preliminary Data: December

Prepared by
Division of Criminal Justice Services

Data as of February 13th, 2009

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
IMPACT PD (all 17)	**1,226**	**1,157**	**6.0**	**16,797**	**16,487**	**1.9**
Total Firearm Related	**310**	**315**	**-1.6**	**3,943**	**3,930**	**0.3**
Percent Firearm	**25.3**	**27.2**	**-**	**23.5**	**23.8**	**-**
Murder	21	19	10.5	212	205	3.4
Firearm Related	15	15	-	137	138	-0.7
Percent Firearm	71.4	78.9	-	64.6	67.3	-
Rape	56	66	-15.2	762	797	-4.4
Firearm Related	0	3	-	21	18	16.7
Percent Firearm	0.0	4.5	-	2.8	2.3	-
Robbery	539	546	-1.3	6,820	6,602	3.3
Firearm Related	182	202	-9.9	2,161	2,094	3.2
Percent Firearm	33.8	37.0	-	31.7	31.7	-
Aggravated Assault	610	526	16.0	9,003	8,883	1.4
Firearm Related	113	95	18.9	1,624	1,680	-3.3
Percent Firearm	18.5	18.1	-	18.0	18.9	-

Notes: All Data is preliminary information and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
PD - Albany City	**61**	**67**	**-9.0**	**1,039**	**1,128**	**-7.9**
Total Firearm Related	**17**	**11**	**54.5**	**201**	**192**	**4.7**
Percent Firearm	**27.9**	**16.4**	**-**	**19.3**	**17.0**	**-**
Murder	0	1	-	10	3	-
Firearm Related	0	1	-	6	3	-
Percent Firearm	-	100.0	-	60.0	100.0	-
Rape	5	6	-	50	44	13.6
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robbery	28	27	3.7	364	376	-3.2
Firearm Related	9	7	-	106	91	16.5
Percent Firearm	32.1	25.9	-	29.1	24.2	-
Aggravated Assault	28	33	-15.2	615	705	-12.8
Firearm Related	8	3	-	89	98	-9.2
Percent Firearm	28.6	9.1	-	14.5	13.9	-
PD - Binghamton City	**23**	**15**	**53.3**	**278**	**214**	**29.9**
Total Firearm Related	**4**	**1**	**-**	**21**	**18**	**16.7**
Percent Firearm	**17.4**	**6.7**	**-**	**7.6**	**8.4**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-	1	3	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	1	1	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	100.0	33.3	-
Rape	1	3	-	11	19	-42.1
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robbery	8	4	-	84	67	25.4
Firearm Related	4	1	-	13	10	30.0
Percent Firearm	50.0	25.0	-	15.5	14.9	-
Aggravated Assault	14	8	-	182	125	45.6
Firearm Related	0	0	-	7	7	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	3.8	5.6	-
PD - Buffalo City	**259**	**273**	**-5.1**	**3,720**	**3,490**	**6.6**
Total Firearm Related	**84**	**123**	**-31.7**	**1,173**	**1,118**	**4.9**
Percent Firearm	**32.4**	**45.1**	**-**	**31.5**	**32.0**	**-**
Murder	3	6	-	37	54	-31.5
Firearm Related	3	6	-	30	42	-28.6
Percent Firearm	100.0	100.0	-	81.1	77.8	-
Rape	9	16	-	173	164	5.5
Firearm Related	0	1	-	4	7	-
Percent Firearm	-	6.3	-	2.3	4.3	-
Robbery	128	151	-15.2	1,543	1,533	0.7
Firearm Related	54	85	-36.5	650	620	4.8
Percent Firearm	42.2	56.3	-	42.1	40.4	-
Aggravated Assault	119	100	19.0	1,967	1,739	13.1
Firearm Related	27	31	-12.9	489	449	8.9
Percent Firearm	22.7	31.0	-	24.9	25.8	-

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
PD - Jamestown City	**17**	**11**	**54.5**	**184**	**168**	**9.5**
Total Firearm Related	**4**	**3**	**-**	**19**	**28**	**-32.1**
Percent Firearm	**23.5**	**27.3**	**-**	**10.3**	**16.7**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-	3	1	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rape	2	0	-	20	20	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robbery	0	0	-	40	30	33.3
Firearm Related	0	0	-	6	4	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	15.0	13.3	-
Aggravated Assault	15	11	36.4	121	117	3.4
Firearm Related	4	3	-	13	24	-45.8
Percent Firearm	26.7	27.3	-	10.7	20.5	-
PD - Kingston City	**4**	**1**	**-**	**69**	**67**	**3.0**
Total Firearm Related	**0**	**1**	**-**	**17**	**11**	**54.5**
Percent Firearm	**-**	**100.0**	**-**	**24.6**	**16.4**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-	0	1	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	1	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	100.0	-
Rape	1	0	-	5	5	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robbery	2	1	-	48	38	26.3
Firearm Related	0	1	-	15	8	-
Percent Firearm	-	100.0	-	31.3	21.1	-
Aggravated Assault	1	0	-	16	23	-30.4
Firearm Related	0	0	-	2	2	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	12.5	8.7	-
PD - Nassau County	**102**	**102**	**-**	**1,602**	**1,639**	**-2.3**
Total Firearm Related	**24**	**17**	**41.2**	**258**	**241**	**7.1**
Percent Firearm	**23.5**	**16.7**	**-**	**16.1**	**14.7**	**-**
Murder	0	3	-	14	14	-
Firearm Related	0	2	-	4	12	-
Percent Firearm	-	66.7	-	28.6	85.7	-
Rape	6	3	-	58	71	-18.3
Firearm Related	0	0	-	1	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	1.7	-	-
Robbery	47	47	-	748	748	-
Firearm Related	21	15	40.0	218	197	10.7
Percent Firearm	44.7	31.9	-	29.1	26.3	-
Aggravated Assault	49	49	-	782	806	-3.0
Firearm Related	3	0	-	35	32	9.4
Percent Firearm	6.1	-	-	4.5	4.0	-

	Current Month - December				YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change		2008	2007	% Change
PD - Newburgh City	**34**	**34**	**-**		**476**	**436**	**9.2**
Total Firearm Related	**3**	**6**	**-**		**54**	**54**	**-**
Percent Firearm	**8.8**	**17.6**	**-**		**11.3**	**12.4**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-		7	2	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-		5	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-		71.4	-	-
Rape	1	3	-		13	14	-7.1
Firearm Related	0	0	-		0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-		-	-	-
Robbery	18	11	63.6		162	131	23.7
Firearm Related	3	3	-		29	21	38.1
Percent Firearm	16.7	27.3	-		17.9	16.0	-
Aggravated Assault	15	20	-25.0		294	289	1.7
Firearm Related	0	3	-		20	33	-39.4
Percent Firearm	-	15.0	-		6.8	11.4	-
PD - Niagara Falls City	**40**	**23**	**73.9**		**562**	**503**	**11.7**
Total Firearm Related	**3**	**2**	**-**		**84**	**76**	**10.5**
Percent Firearm	**7.5**	**8.7**	**-**		**14.9**	**15.1**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-		3	3	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-		3	3	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-		100.0	100.0	-
Rape	2	0	-		24	38	-36.8
Firearm Related	0	0	-		0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-		-	-	-
Robbery	21	9	-		171	134	27.6
Firearm Related	3	2	-		28	29	-3.4
Percent Firearm	14.3	22.2	-		16.4	21.6	-
Aggravated Assault	17	14	21.4		364	328	11.0
Firearm Related	0	0	-		53	44	20.5
Percent Firearm	-	-	-		14.6	13.4	-
PD - Poughkeepsie City	**29**	**27**	**7.4**		**393**	**387**	**1.6**
Total Firearm Related	**7**	**5**	**-**		**80**	**67**	**19.4**
Percent Firearm	**24.1**	**18.5**	**-**		**20.4**	**17.3**	**-**
Murder	1	0	-		5	5	-
Firearm Related	1	0	-		3	2	-
Percent Firearm	100.0	-	-		60.0	40.0	-
Rape	1	2	-		23	15	53.3
Firearm Related	0	0	-		0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-		-	-	-
Robbery	14	12	16.7		161	163	-1.2
Firearm Related	3	2	-		38	33	15.2
Percent Firearm	21.4	16.7	-		23.6	20.2	-
Aggravated Assault	13	13	-		204	204	-
Firearm Related	3	3	-		39	32	21.9
Percent Firearm	23.1	23.1	-		19.1	15.7	-

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
PD - Rochester City	**172**	**173**	**-0.6**	**2,302**	**2,350**	**-2.0**
Total Firearm Related	**71**	**54**	**31.5**	**759**	**861**	**-11.8**
Percent Firearm	**41.3**	**31.2**	**-**	**33.0**	**36.6**	**-**
Murder	5	4	-	42	50	-16.0
Firearm Related	4	4	-	32	39	-17.9
Percent Firearm	80.0	100.0	-	76.2	78.0	-
Rape	9	18	-	98	121	-19.0
Firearm Related	0	0	-	4	4	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	4.1	3.3	-
Robbery	81	97	-16.5	1,059	1,032	2.6
Firearm Related	44	37	18.9	445	464	-4.1
Percent Firearm	54.3	38.1	-	42.0	45.0	-
Aggravated Assault	77	54	42.6	1,103	1,147	-3.8
Firearm Related	23	13	76.9	278	354	-21.5
Percent Firearm	29.9	24.1	-	25.2	30.9	-
PD - Schenectady City	**32**	**37**	**-13.5**	**654**	**606**	**7.9**
Total Firearm Related	**8**	**15**	**-**	**163**	**143**	**14.0**
Percent Firearm	**25.0**	**40.5**	**-**	**24.9**	**23.6**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-	9	5	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	7	4	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	77.8	80.0	-
Rape	1	0	-	35	34	2.9
Firearm Related	0	0	-	2	2	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	5.7	5.9	-
Robbery	15	14	7.1	288	265	8.7
Firearm Related	4	7	-	78	64	21.9
Percent Firearm	26.7	50.0	-	27.1	24.2	-
Aggravated Assault	16	23	-30.4	322	302	6.6
Firearm Related	4	8	-	76	73	4.1
Percent Firearm	25.0	34.8	-	23.6	24.2	-
PD - Spring Valley Village	**13**	**10**	**30.0**	**200**	**204**	**-2.0**
Total Firearm Related	**0**	**1**	**-**	**9**	**18**	**-**
Percent Firearm	**-**	**10.0**	**-**	**4.5**	**8.8**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-	0	0	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rape	0	2	-	7	12	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robbery	3	5	-	63	71	-11.3
Firearm Related	0	1	-	4	14	-
Percent Firearm	-	20.0	-	6.3	19.7	-
Aggravated Assault	10	3	-	130	121	7.4
Firearm Related	0	0	-	5	4	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	3.8	3.3	-

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
PD - Suffolk County	**204**	**156**	**30.8**	**2,166**	**2,204**	**-1.7**
Total Firearm Related	**50**	**37**	**35.1**	**544**	**523**	**4.0**
Percent Firearm	**24.5**	**23.7**	**-**	**25.1**	**23.7**	**-**
Murder	5	2	-	39	28	39.3
Firearm Related	3	1	-	22	14	57.1
Percent Firearm	60.0	50.0	-	56.4	50.0	-
Rape	7	6	-	91	87	4.6
Firearm Related	0	2	-	4	3	-
Percent Firearm	-	33.3	-	4.4	3.4	-
Robbery	87	64	35.9	890	871	2.2
Firearm Related	24	21	14.3	285	281	1.4
Percent Firearm	27.6	32.8	-	32.0	32.3	-
Aggravated Assault	105	84	25.0	1,146	1,218	-5.9
Firearm Related	23	13	76.9	233	225	3.6
Percent Firearm	21.9	15.5	-	20.3	18.5	-
PD - Syracuse City	**108**	**103**	**4.9**	**1,366**	**1,435**	**-4.8**
Total Firearm Related	**19**	**20**	**-5.0**	**258**	**317**	**-18.6**
Percent Firearm	**17.6**	**19.4**	**-**	**18.9**	**22.1**	**-**
Murder	5	2	-	24	19	26.3
Firearm Related	3	0	-	14	9	-
Percent Firearm	60.0	-	-	58.3	47.4	-
Rape	4	5	-	71	67	6.0
Firearm Related	0	0	-	5	1	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	7.0	1.5	-
Robbery	35	39	-10.3	419	446	-6.1
Firearm Related	6	13	-	94	139	-32.4
Percent Firearm	17.1	33.3	-	22.4	31.2	-
Aggravated Assault	64	57	12.3	852	903	-5.6
Firearm Related	10	7	-	145	168	-13.7
Percent Firearm	15.6	12.3	-	17.0	18.6	-
PD - Troy City	**30**	**24**	**25.0**	**392**	**345**	**13.6**
Total Firearm Related	**6**	**6**	**-**	**80**	**72**	**11.1**
Percent Firearm	**20.0**	**25.0**	**-**	**20.4**	**20.9**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-	5	2	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	2	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	40.0	-	-
Rape	2	0	-	19	21	-9.5
Firearm Related	0	0	-	1	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	5.3	-	-
Robbery	9	11	-	152	131	16.0
Firearm Related	2	3	-	49	40	22.5
Percent Firearm	22.2	27.3	-	32.2	30.5	-
Aggravated Assault	19	13	46.2	216	191	13.1
Firearm Related	4	3	-	28	32	-12.5
Percent Firearm	21.1	23.1	-	13.0	16.8	-

	Current Month - December			YTD		
	2008	2007	% Change	2008	2007	% Change
PD - Utica City	**22**	**30**	**-26.7**	**480**	**433**	**10.9**
Total Firearm Related	**6**	**8**	**-**	**138**	**112**	**23.2**
Percent Firearm	**27.3**	**26.7**	**-**	**28.8**	**25.9**	**-**
Murder	0	0	-	4	5	-
Firearm Related	0	0	-	3	3	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	75.0	60.0	-
Rape	1	1	-	22	21	4.8
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	0	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robbery	7	12	-	181	142	27.5
Firearm Related	3	2	-	53	35	51.4
Percent Firearm	42.9	16.7	-	29.3	24.6	-
Aggravated Assault	14	17	-17.6	273	265	3.0
Firearm Related	3	6	-	82	74	10.8
Percent Firearm	21.4	35.3	-	30.0	27.9	-
PD - Yonkers City	**76**	**71**	**7.0**	**914**	**878**	**4.1**
Total Firearm Related	**4**	**5**	**-**	**85**	**79**	**7.6**
Percent Firearm	**5.3**	**7.0**	**-**	**9.3**	**9.0**	**-**
Murder	2	1	-	9	10	-
Firearm Related	1	1	-	5	5	-
Percent Firearm	50.0	100.0	-	55.6	50.0	-
Rape	4	1	-	42	44	-4.5
Firearm Related	0	0	-	0	1	-
Percent Firearm	-	-	-	-	2.3	-
Robbery	36	42	-14.3	447	424	5.4
Firearm Related	2	2	-	50	44	13.6
Percent Firearm	5.6	4.8	-	11.2	10.4	-
Aggravated Assault	34	27	25.9	416	400	4.0
Firearm Related	1	2	-	30	29	3.4
Percent Firearm	2.9	7.4	-	7.2	7.3	-

SECTION VII

Index Crime Trend Tables

Index Crimes

As of 2/13/2009
Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	9,234	7,557	8,495	9,161	9,899	10,243	11,303	11,265	10,214	10,432	9,401	8,841
Violent Crimes	1,308	1,031	1,194	1,377	1,466	1,540	1,629	1,657	1,462	1,534	1,373	1,226
Murder	20	16	7	12	14	24	18	26	21	17	16	21
Rape	68	68	44	51	75	64	72	62	57	75	70	56
Robbery	588	416	455	521	573	566	617	649	630	694	572	539
Aggravated Assault	632	531	688	793	804	886	922	920	754	748	715	610
Property Crimes	7,926	6,526	7,301	7,784	8,433	8,703	9,674	9,608	8,752	8,898	8,028	7,615
Burglary	1,603	1,289	1,532	1,616	1,805	1,813	1,925	2,054	2,103	1,847	1,752	1,498
Larceny	5,561	4,689	5,131	5,512	5,969	6,153	6,938	6,772	6,005	6,453	5,692	5,467
Motor Vehicle Theft	762	548	638	656	659	737	811	782	644	598	584	650

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	133,194	129,828	128,212	125,678	125,126	121,169	118,656	119,511	112,696	116,045	3.0%
Violent Crimes	14,264	15,565	16,561	16,714	16,479	16,076	17,562	18,247	16,487	16,797	1.9%
Murder	148	188	204	200	231	196	226	225	205	212	3.4%
Rape	789	800	905	845	831	840	795	773	797	762	-4.4%
Robbery	6,276	6,463	6,800	6,839	6,895	6,333	7,332	7,642	6,602	6,820	3.3%
Aggravated Assault	7,051	8,114	8,652	8,830	8,522	8,707	9,209	9,607	8,883	9,003	1.4%
Property Crimes	118,930	114,263	111,651	108,964	108,647	105,093	101,094	101,264	96,209	99,248	3.2%
Burglary	22,974	21,385	21,592	20,960	21,604	19,955	20,460	20,966	19,676	20,837	5.9%
Larceny	81,471	78,848	76,499	74,015	72,769	71,700	69,352	69,657	67,001	70,342	5.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	14,485	14,030	13,560	13,989	14,274	13,438	11,282	10,641	9,532	8,069	-15.3%

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

Index Crimes

As of 2/13/2009
Albany City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	444	329	336	502	477	560	552	491	511	505	384	355
Violent Crimes	81	63	81	109	92	105	102	88	78	95	84	61
Murder	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Rape	2	2	2	4	5	3	4	7	5	6	5	5
Robbery	31	25	21	27	29	41	38	23	30	36	35	28
Aggravated Assault	43	36	58	78	56	61	60	56	43	52	44	28
Property Crimes	363	266	255	393	385	455	450	403	433	410	300	294
Burglary	95	62	66	104	90	101	111	93	95	80	78	52
Larceny	248	183	170	271	280	325	315	302	323	309	204	224
Motor Vehicle Theft	20	21	19	18	15	29	24	8	15	21	18	18

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	7,475	7,088	7,303	6,774	6,150	6,717	6,158	6,057	5,376	5,446	1.3%
Violent Crimes	897	1,110	1,319	1,403	1,160	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,128	1,039	-7.9%
Murder	12	11	6	8	9	10	8	5	3	10	
Rape	46	66	58	64	33	53	68	50	44	50	13.6%
Robbery	376	396	434	481	383	394	439	389	376	364	-3.2%
Aggravated Assault	463	637	821	850	735	674	760	783	705	615	-12.8%
Property Crimes	6,578	5,978	5,984	5,371	4,990	5,586	4,883	4,830	4,248	4,407	3.7%
Burglary	1,826	1,513	1,567	1,397	1,302	1,294	1,328	1,061	964	1,027	6.5%
Larceny	4,324	4,012	3,875	3,401	3,274	3,825	3,186	3,528	2,998	3,154	5.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	428	453	542	573	414	467	369	241	286	226	-21.0%

Note: Albany Police Department's procedural and classification errors from 2000 to 2002 resulted in over-counts in all index categories except murder.

Index Crimes

As of 1/27/2009
Binghamton City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	173	147	178	208	203	192	239	298	284	298	253	194
Violent Crimes	10	17	17	29	28	24	20	25	30	26	29	23
Murder	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	1
Robbery	5	4	5	11	9	2	3	7	10	8	12	8
Aggravated Assault	5	11	11	17	17	22	16	18	20	16	15	14
Property Crimes	163	130	161	179	175	168	219	273	254	272	224	171
Burglary	17	21	26	24	33	16	40	39	38	36	35	18
Larceny	143	107	134	150	141	150	173	225	207	229	188	151
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	2	1	5	1	2	6	9	9	7	1	2

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	2,355	2,364	2,335	2,366	2,129	2,122	2,079	2,559	2,314	2,667	15.3%
Violent Crimes	163	178	188	205	182	155	177	206	214	278	29.9%
Murder	3	1	1	6	3	1	3	2	3	1	
Rape	19	15	30	21	10	22	19	9	19	11	-42.1%
Robbery	60	60	59	80	77	57	61	63	67	84	25.4%
Aggravated Assault	81	102	98	98	92	75	94	132	125	182	45.6%
Property Crimes	2,192	2,186	2,147	2,161	1,947	1,967	1,902	2,353	2,100	2,389	13.8%
Burglary	286	245	258	260	213	247	236	288	254	343	35.0%
Larceny	1,839	1,877	1,827	1,856	1,683	1,686	1,646	2016	1,809	1,998	10.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	67	64	62	45	51	34	20	49	37	48	29.7%

Note: Recent increases in aggravated assault is due in part to recent improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports.

Index Crimes

As of 2/11/2009

Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	1,582	1,136	1,428	1,584	1,758	1,769	1,981	1,968	1,684	1,612	1,421	1,283
Violent Crimes	290	203	252	278	355	344	374	389	312	360	304	259
Murder	2	4	2	3	1	6	5	5	4	0	2	3
Rape	17	13	6	12	13	19	19	16	14	19	16	9
Robbery	134	93	121	111	142	127	126	159	130	165	107	128
Aggravated Assault	137	93	123	152	199	192	224	209	164	176	179	119
Property Crimes	1,292	933	1,176	1,306	1,403	1,425	1,607	1,579	1,372	1,252	1,117	1,024
Burglary	315	227	264	326	385	389	373	421	463	337	325	288
Larceny	756	589	757	820	859	864	1,050	956	763	801	687	605
Motor Vehicle Theft	221	117	155	160	159	172	184	202	146	114	105	131

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	20,678	20,248	19,894	19,017	20,434	20,056	20,668	19,392	19,621	19,206		-2.1%
Violent Crimes	3,242	3,657	3,709	3,757	3,924	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,720		6.6%
Murder	32	39	64	43	65	51	56	74	54	37		-31.5%
Rape	175	176	229	185	206	212	184	173	164	173		5.5%
Robbery	1,473	1,555	1,600	1,627	1,654	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,543		0.7%
Aggravated Assault	1,562	1,887	1,816	1,902	1,999	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,967		13.1%
Property Crimes	17,436	16,591	16,185	15,260	16,510	16,252	16,730	15,436	16,131	15,486		-4.0%
Burglary	4,428	4,194	3,965	3,857	4,131	3,914	4,240	4,447	4,389	4,113		-6.3%
Larceny	10,018	9,831	9,669	9,115	9,851	9,929	10,089	8,864	9,477	9,507		0.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,990	2,566	2,551	2,288	2,528	2,409	2,401	2,125	2,265	1,866		-17.6%

Index Crimes

As of 1/22/2009
Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	92	66	104	110	135	125	133	142	140	116	106	94
Violent Crimes	14	11	13	20	25	16	11	14	17	14	12	17
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Rape	1	2	1	0	3	0	4	2	2	1	2	2
Robbery	5	1	3	4	4	4	6	3	4	5	1	0
Aggravated Assault	8	8	9	16	18	12	1	7	10	8	9	15
Property Crimes	78	55	91	90	110	109	122	128	123	102	94	77
Burglary	19	18	27	29	26	42	31	34	42	24	29	23
Larceny	58	37	61	58	80	65	90	92	79	77	62	50
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0	3	3	4	2	1	2	2	1	3	4

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	1,303	1,296	1,174	1,256	1,396	1,218	1,345	1,377	1,322	1,363		3.1%
Violent Crimes	127	125	124	162	164	168	213	170	168	184		9.5%
Murder	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	3		
Rape	14	19	23	11	18	19	23	21	20	20		0.0%
Robbery	17	17	20	40	41	48	46	35	30	40		33.3%
Aggravated Assault	96	89	79	110	105	101	143	114	117	121		3.4%
Property Crimes	1,176	1,171	1,050	1,094	1,232	1,050	1,132	1,207	1,154	1,179		2.2%
Burglary	340	324	251	269	325	304	364	366	300	344		14.7%
Larceny	781	782	754	761	822	703	728	795	817	809		-1.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	55	65	45	64	85	43	40	46	37	26		-29.7%

Index Crimes

As of 1/8/2009
Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	37	37	35	37	49	68	80	80	70	88	72	61
Violent Crimes	3	3	4	2	6	6	11	3	10	11	6	4
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Robbery	1	1	2	2	3	6	9	3	9	6	4	2
Aggravated Assault	1	2	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	4	2	1
Property Crimes	34	34	31	35	43	62	69	77	60	77	66	57
Burglary	7	8	8	5	6	11	8	15	11	10	16	10
Larceny	27	23	20	26	37	50	59	59	47	67	49	46
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	3	3	4	0	1	2	3	2	0	1	1

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	871	871	772	1,228	1,242	1,022	1,208	887	766	714	-6.8%
Violent Crimes	63	48	65	93	118	92	117	89	67	69	3.0%
Murder	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	
Rape	6	7	7	5	7	5	11	11	5	5	
Robbery	28	20	22	55	62	58	72	43	38	48	26.3%
Aggravated Assault	29	21	34	33	48	28	34	34	23	16	-30.4%
Property Crimes	808	823	707	1,135	1,124	930	1,091	798	699	645	-7.7%
Burglary	68	77	92	203	176	135	114	147	122	115	-5.7%
Larceny	683	683	563	864	873	762	928	625	551	510	-7.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	57	63	52	68	75	33	49	26	26	20	-23.1%

Index Crimes

As of 1/21/2009

Nassau County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	1,454	1,248	1,302	1,256	1,394	1,348	1,502	1,436	1,285	1,399	1,406	1,419
Violent Crimes	138	118	118	147	145	143	170	149	114	122	136	102
Murder	2	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	2	0
Rape	7	5	8	2	6	4	3	1	3	6	7	6
Robbery	74	58	46	63	66	63	77	75	53	55	71	47
Aggravated Assault	55	51	64	82	73	74	90	71	57	60	56	49
Property Crimes	1,316	1,130	1,184	1,109	1,249	1,205	1,332	1,287	1,171	1,277	1,270	1,317
Burglary	151	143	164	151	152	156	156	178	172	176	177	153
Larceny	1,060	909	942	883	1,030	985	1,058	1,005	929	1,018	1,024	1,057
Motor Vehicle Theft	105	78	78	75	67	64	118	104	70	83	69	107

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	21,763	20,366	17,637	17,586	17,837	17,526	16,214	16,526	15,525	16,449		6.0%
Violent Crimes	1,530	1,462	1,755	1,783	1,791	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602		-2.3%
Murder	16	19	13	16	14	13	16	14	14	14		0.0%
Rape	83	85	93	92	86	77	76	74	71	58		-18.3%
Robbery	815	705	753	749	775	724	833	866	748	748		0.0%
Aggravated Assault	616	653	896	926	916	949	868	859	806	782		-3.0%
Property Crimes	20,233	18,904	15,882	15,803	16,046	15,763	14,421	14,713	13,886	14,847		6.9%
Burglary	2,452	2,421	2,459	2,242	2,567	2,255	2,153	2,170	1,807	1,929		6.8%
Larceny	14,890	13,734	11,402	11,632	11,702	11,814	10,836	11,372	10,928	11,900		8.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,891	2,749	2,021	1,929	1,777	1,694	1,432	1,171	1,151	1,018		-11.6%

Index Crimes

As of 1/30/2009

Newburgh City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	101	104	133	129	130	126	174	130	144	140	114	114
Violent Crimes	30	40	38	35	33	41	37	48	50	45	45	34
Murder	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Rape	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	3	1
Robbery	11	13	10	13	12	12	7	13	19	14	20	18
Aggravated Assault	17	25	27	22	17	27	30	34	30	29	21	15
Property Crimes	71	64	95	94	97	85	137	82	94	95	69	80
Burglary	18	21	28	25	35	21	46	39	32	24	29	15
Larceny	48	40	58	64	61	57	80	35	58	56	29	54
Motor Vehicle Theft	5	3	9	5	1	7	11	8	4	15	11	11

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	1,417	1,730	1,999	1,889	1,577	1,551	1,509	1,466	1,612	1,539	-4.5%
Violent Crimes	346	438	459	587	358	371	432	386	436	476	9.2%
Murder	6	1	7	7	2	3	3	1	2	7	
Rape	17	9	23	23	26	15	12	16	14	13	-7.1%
Robbery	116	166	136	179	67	94	174	134	131	162	23.7%
Aggravated Assault	207	262	293	378	263	259	243	235	289	294	1.7%
Property Crimes	1,071	1,292	1,540	1,302	1,219	1,180	1,077	1,080	1,176	1,063	-9.6%
Burglary	385	343	428	349	344	258	294	264	316	333	5.4%
Larceny	608	857	1,041	860	767	793	707	750	791	640	-19.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	78	92	71	93	108	129	76	66	69	90	30.4%

* Based on Total Index Crimes

Index Crimes

As of 1/26/2009
Niagara Falls City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	295	211	206	274	305	319	316	300	279	297	277	229
Violent Crimes	41	33	35	46	42	63	57	62	53	45	45	40
Murder	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Rape	1	1	2	2	0	3	5	2	1	2	3	2
Robbery	11	13	9	12	11	21	16	12	13	15	17	21
Aggravated Assault	28	19	24	32	31	39	36	48	38	28	24	17
Property Crimes	254	178	171	228	263	256	259	238	226	252	232	189
Burglary	74	39	52	79	68	59	90	77	75	80	78	57
Larceny	171	126	112	134	177	173	148	150	140	163	140	123
Motor Vehicle Theft	9	13	7	15	18	24	21	11	11	9	14	9

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	3,024	3,199	3,604	3,848	3,880	3,607	3,372	3,432	3,179	3,308	4.1%
Violent Crimes	498	486	494	558	603	636	685	644	503	562	11.7%
Murder	0	2	4	3	7	5	4	4	3	3	
Rape	24	27	26	30	39	28	24	35	38	24	-36.8%
Robbery	150	150	170	166	199	188	242	201	134	171	27.6%
Aggravated Assault	324	307	294	359	358	415	415	404	328	364	11.0%
Property Crimes	2,526	2,713	3,110	3,290	3,277	2,971	2,687	2,788	2,676	2,746	2.6%
Burglary	600	709	795	827	898	732	703	791	668	828	24.0%
Larceny	1,476	1,720	1,948	2,080	2,072	1,942	1,726	1802	1,796	1,757	-2.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	450	284	367	383	307	297	258	195	212	161	-24.1%

* Based on Total Index Crimes

Index Crimes

As of 2/5/2009
Poughkeepsie City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	**148**	**82**	**119**	**111**	**131**	**176**	**144**	**132**	**113**	**148**	**108**	**103**
Violent Crimes	**41**	**25**	**39**	**32**	**30**	**45**	**28**	**41**	**32**	**32**	**19**	**29**
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1
Rape	1	3	0	1	4	2	2	0	4	3	2	1
Robbery	17	9	13	14	13	16	14	14	13	17	7	14
Aggravated Assault	23	13	26	17	13	25	12	27	15	11	9	13
Property Crimes	**107**	**57**	**80**	**79**	**101**	**131**	**116**	**91**	**81**	**116**	**89**	**74**
Burglary	19	11	20	17	16	47	44	15	16	21	22	13
Larceny	78	41	55	55	76	71	70	72	57	87	63	59
Motor Vehicle Theft	10	5	5	7	9	13	2	4	8	8	4	2

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Total Index Crimes	1,471	1,346	1,293	1,347	1,538	1,561	1,480	1,539	1,451	1,515	4.4%
Violent Crimes	**173**	**191**	**196**	**298**	**354**	**357**	**372**	**417**	**387**	**393**	1.6%
Murder	7	3	4	3	2	2	2	4	5	5	
Rape	13	13	17	16	25	23	16	13	15	23	53.3%
Robbery	96	104	103	131	133	131	151	173	163	161	-1.2%
Aggravated Assault	57	71	72	148	194	201	203	227	204	204	0.0%
Property Crimes	**1,298**	**1,155**	**1,097**	**1,049**	**1,184**	**1,204**	**1,108**	**1,122**	**1,064**	**1,122**	5.5%
Burglary	252	198	237	205	258	183	234	242	253	261	3.2%
Larceny	957	872	773	792	843	918	787	792	704	784	11.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	89	85	87	52	83	103	87	88	107	77	-28.0%

Index Crimes

As of 1/27/2009

Rochester City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	1,056	731	878	996	1,209	1,179	1,324	1,311	1,264	1,309	1,166	1,010
Violent Crimes	195	152	178	173	183	186	220	240	214	202	187	172
Murder	1	3	3	3	2	4	6	5	6	2	2	5
Rape	7	10	11	5	7	9	12	5	6	8	9	9
Robbery	106	74	77	79	78	78	86	100	99	109	92	81
Aggravated Assault	81	65	87	86	96	95	116	130	103	83	84	77
Property Crimes	861	579	700	823	1,026	993	1,104	1,071	1,050	1,107	979	838
Burglary	207	133	170	207	235	258	240	282	312	330	221	214
Larceny	541	354	430	526	665	637	754	672	640	683	640	518
Motor Vehicle Theft	113	92	100	90	126	98	110	117	98	94	118	106

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	16,100	17,064	16,114	16,911	17,740	17,240	16,017	15,665	13,627	13,433	-1.4%
Violent Crimes	1,522	1,626	1,642	1,786	2,032	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	-2.0%
Murder	27	39	40	42	56	36	53	49	50	42	-16.0%
Rape	117	109	87	107	86	91	100	92	121	98	-19.0%
Robbery	818	922	905	972	1,166	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	2.6%
Aggravated Assault	560	556	610	665	724	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,103	-3.8%
Property Crimes	14,578	15,438	14,472	15,125	15,708	15,458	13,828	12,999	11,277	11,131	-1.3%
Burglary	3,010	2,667	2,442	2,467	2,497	2,722	2,758	2,673	2,582	2,809	8.8%
Larceny	9,521	10,342	9,716	9,853	9,773	9,550	8,826	7,913	7,044	7,060	0.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,047	2,429	2,314	2,805	3,438	3,186	2,244	2,413	1,651	1,262	-23.6%

Index Crimes

As of 1/30/2009
Schenectady City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	289	192	269	295	341	353	369	381	355	321	312	231
Violent Crimes	45	26	42	52	49	53	76	75	82	68	54	32
Murder	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	0
Rape	2	4	1	3	3	2	4	6	2	2	5	1
Robbery	20	7	16	19	19	18	38	38	43	33	22	15
Aggravated Assault	23	14	25	28	26	32	33	31	35	32	27	16
Property Crimes	244	166	227	243	292	300	293	306	273	253	258	199
Burglary	67	41	73	67	65	88	96	86	98	87	94	63
Larceny	151	113	138	154	207	187	171	199	159	158	151	117
Motor Vehicle Theft	26	12	16	22	20	25	26	21	16	8	13	19

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	3,602	3,177	3,556	3,197	3,080	3,416	3,687	4,161	3,553	3,708		4.4%
Violent Crimes	481	462	522	493	483	510	628	712	606	654		7.9%
Murder	5	3	5	8	11	7	8	6	5	9		
Rape	32	44	54	45	43	38	43	52	34	35		2.9%
Robbery	184	201	208	174	171	173	252	309	265	288		8.7%
Aggravated Assault	260	214	255	266	258	292	325	345	302	322		6.6%
Property Crimes	3,121	2,715	3,034	2,704	2,597	2,906	3,059	3,449	2,947	3,054		3.6%
Burglary	899	768	871	738	736	718	800	1,119	806	925		14.8%
Larceny	2,039	1,741	1,902	1,652	1,616	1,935	1,968	1,994	1,851	1,905		2.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	183	206	261	314	245	253	291	336	290	224		-22.8%

Index Crimes

As of 1/30/2009

Spring Valley Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	49	60	49	60	63	59	52	67	63	71	56	64
Violent Crimes	14	21	21	15	12	21	15	15	21	25	7	13
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0
Robbery	3	10	9	3	5	4	5	7	7	5	2	3
Aggravated Assault	9	11	11	12	7	17	10	8	13	17	5	10
Property Crimes	35	39	28	45	51	38	37	52	42	46	49	51
Burglary	8	8	6	6	9	9	8	5	8	7	4	7
Larceny	27	31	22	39	39	27	27	40	32	37	40	43
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	7	2	2	5	1

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	1,309	975	1,046	n/a	n/a	684	643	596	656	713	8.7%
Violent Crimes	297	261	263	n/a	n/a	190	180	182	204	200	-2.0%
Murder	1	2	0	n/a	n/a	2	4	1	0	0	
Rape	10	6	9	n/a	n/a	8	7	6	12	7	
Robbery	109	86	102	n/a	n/a	73	49	70	71	63	-11.3%
Aggravated Assault	177	167	152	n/a	n/a	107	120	105	121	130	7.4%
Property Crimes	1,012	714	783	n/a	n/a	494	463	414	452	513	13.5%
Burglary	175	118	195	n/a	n/a	107	83	93	89	85	-4.5%
Larceny	722	519	504	n/a	n/a	335	337	285	326	404	23.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	115	77	84	n/a	n/a	52	43	36	37	24	-35.1%

Index Crimes

As of 1/29/2009
Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	2,189	2,060	2,098	2,117	2,219	2,415	2,721	2,828	2,543	2,636	2,287	2,288
Violent Crimes	151	128	140	141	170	197	203	228	201	194	209	204
Murder	5	2	0	1	2	2	6	5	2	5	4	5
Rape	10	7	5	7	12	5	9	7	9	8	5	7
Robbery	59	49	49	56	68	71	78	101	93	99	80	87
Aggravated Assault	77	70	86	77	88	119	110	115	97	82	120	105
Property Crimes	2,038	1,932	1,958	1,976	2,049	2,218	2,518	2,600	2,342	2,442	2,078	2,084
Burglary	325	293	317	252	346	281	312	367	382	325	311	294
Larceny	1,557	1,510	1,488	1,567	1,554	1,749	2,031	2,036	1,781	1,964	1,613	1,640
Motor Vehicle Theft	156	129	153	157	149	188	175	197	179	153	154	150

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	31,367	30,246	31,621	30,127	29,277	26,687	26,542	27,292	26,541	28,401		7.0%
Violent Crimes	1,953	2,393	2,741	2,497	2,394	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,166		-1.7%
Murder	21	25	28	18	24	28	28	37	28	39		39.3%
Rape	120	100	140	143	112	109	82	86	87	91		4.6%
Robbery	821	899	960	907	958	845	1,037	1,027	871	890		2.2%
Aggravated Assault	991	1,369	1,613	1,429	1,300	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146		-5.9%
Property Crimes	29,414	27,853	28,880	27,630	26,883	24,419	24,096	24,811	24,337	26,235		7.8%
Burglary	4,140	4,036	4,284	4,159	4,091	3,537	3,509	3,373	3,365	3,805		13.1%
Larceny	22,622	21,057	21,612	20,587	19,959	18,323	18,372	19,110	18,880	20,490		8.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,652	2,760	2,984	2,884	2,833	2,559	2,215	2,328	2,092	1,940		-7.3%

Index Crimes

As of 1/29/2009
Syracuse City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	614	476	591	639	632	644	701	730	633	631	670	570
Violent Crimes	103	77	97	127	119	143	138	117	110	109	118	108
Murder	1	2	0	1	1	3	0	3	2	3	3	5
Rape	6	7	2	5	6	8	5	8	4	9	7	4
Robbery	39	21	28	42	34	32	39	34	43	34	38	35
Aggravated Assault	57	47	67	79	78	100	94	72	61	63	70	64
Property Crimes	511	399	494	512	513	501	563	613	523	522	552	462
Burglary	155	131	150	140	161	159	197	212	188	147	176	122
Larceny	303	232	296	321	313	289	310	361	309	349	343	299
Motor Vehicle Theft	53	36	48	51	39	53	56	40	26	26	33	41

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	9,168	9,129	9,466	9,792	9,081	7,983	8,056	8,192	7,399	7,531	1.8%
Violent Crimes	1,562	1,564	1,561	1,520	1,359	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	-4.8%
Murder	9	18	15	24	15	16	19	12	19	24	26.3%
Rape	51	47	42	43	66	70	73	66	67	71	6.0%
Robbery	492	452	567	551	485	451	554	534	446	419	-6.1%
Aggravated Assault	1,010	1,047	937	902	793	785	924	903	903	852	-5.6%
Property Crimes	7,606	7,565	7,905	8,272	7,722	6,661	6,486	6,677	5,964	6,165	3.4%
Burglary	2,039	1,846	1,810	1,930	1,986	1,678	1,867	1,904	1,785	1,938	8.6%
Larceny	4,799	4,941	5,194	5,060	4,519	3,839	3,639	4,037	3,618	3,725	3.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	768	778	901	1,282	1,217	1,144	980	736	561	502	-10.5%

Index Crimes

As of 1/12/2009
Troy City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	203	164	181	220	217	255	305	302	253	246	202	207
Violent Crimes	47	18	23	38	38	33	39	39	33	34	20	30
Murder	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rape	4	2	1	0	2	4	1	2	1	0	0	2
Robbery	28	5	11	12	14	10	17	11	13	13	9	9
Aggravated Assault	15	11	10	25	20	19	21	26	18	21	11	19
Property Crimes	156	146	158	182	179	222	266	263	220	212	182	177
Burglary	40	22	27	51	54	57	72	72	59	67	41	38
Larceny	109	116	120	122	117	153	181	181	153	136	133	129
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	8	11	9	8	12	13	10	8	9	8	10

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	2,447	2,253	2,154	2,496	2,432	2,312	2,362	2,652	2,548	2,755	8.1%
Violent Crimes	293	296	281	314	293	298	309	373	345	392	13.6%
Murder	1	1	0	3	2	1	4	1	2	5	
Rape	15	22	23	28	30	30	18	18	21	19	-9.5%
Robbery	106	86	100	118	84	84	78	120	131	152	16.0%
Aggravated Assault	171	187	158	165	177	183	209	234	191	216	13.1%
Property Crimes	2,154	1,957	1,873	2,182	2,139	2,014	2,053	2,279	2,203	2,363	7.3%
Burglary	583	465	460	521	520	553	517	614	581	600	3.3%
Larceny	1,400	1,336	1,248	1,411	1,438	1,266	1,333	1,482	1,449	1,650	13.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	171	156	165	250	181	195	203	183	173	113	-34.7%

Index Crimes

As of 2/6/2009
Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	205	236	286	298	314	303	312	298	265	277	241	222
Violent Crimes	43	36	37	39	55	41	44	47	39	43	34	22
Murder	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Rape	3	4	0	3	2	1	2	2	0	2	2	1
Robbery	16	8	14	12	20	18	17	16	13	21	19	7
Aggravated Assault	24	24	23	23	33	21	25	28	25	20	13	14
Property Crimes	162	200	249	259	259	262	268	251	226	234	207	200
Burglary	42	68	88	82	72	64	48	69	48	45	46	57
Larceny	116	127	154	167	175	183	200	175	164	178	153	136
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	5	7	10	12	15	20	7	14	11	8	7

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	2,811	2,796	2,825	3,168	2,665	2,793	2,940	3,238	3,316	3,257	-1.8%
Violent Crimes	202	287	307	365	385	287	268	432	433	480	10.9%
Murder	4	8	7	5	7	5	8	6	5	4	
Rape	14	18	29	21	19	17	18	20	21	22	4.8%
Robbery	115	179	188	190	186	139	133	140	142	181	27.5%
Aggravated Assault	69	82	83	149	173	126	109	266	265	273	3.0%
Property Crimes	2,609	2,509	2,518	2,803	2,280	2506	2672	2,806	2,883	2,777	-3.7%
Burglary	552	601	641	790	744	605	619	763	753	729	-3.2%
Larceny	1,887	1,755	1,725	1,866	1,392	1740	1967	1892	1,968	1,928	-2.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	170	153	152	147	144	161	86	151	162	120	-25.9%

Note: the increase in aggravated assault in 2006 is due in part to improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports.

Index Crimes

As of 1/30/2009
Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Total Index Crimes	303	278	302	325	322	352	398	371	328	338	326	397
Violent Crimes	62	60	59	94	84	79	84	77	66	109	64	76
Murder	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	0	2
Rape	4	4	2	6	7	3	1	3	4	2	2	4
Robbery	28	25	21	41	46	43	41	33	38	59	36	36
Aggravated Assault	29	31	36	47	30	31	42	40	24	46	26	34
Property Crimes	241	218	243	231	238	273	314	294	262	229	262	321
Burglary	44	43	46	51	52	55	53	50	64	51	70	74
Larceny	168	151	174	155	158	188	221	212	164	141	173	216
Motor Vehicle Theft	29	24	23	25	28	30	40	32	34	37	19	31

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Total Index Crimes	6,033	5,680	5,419	4,676	4,668	4,674	4,376	4,480	3,890	4,040	3.9%
Violent Crimes	915	981	935	893	879	942	970	978	878	914	4.1%
Murder	4	16	6	13	13	15	9	8	10	9	
Rape	33	37	15	11	25	23	21	31	44	42	-4.5%
Robbery	500	465	473	419	454	457	518	498	424	447	5.4%
Aggravated Assault	378	463	441	450	387	447	422	441	400	416	4.0%
Property Crimes	5,118	4,699	4,484	3,783	3,789	3,732	3,406	3,502	3,012	3,126	3.8%
Burglary	939	860	837	746	816	713	641	651	642	653	1.7%
Larceny	2,905	2,789	2,746	2,225	2,185	2,342	2,277	2,400	1,994	2,121	6.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	1,274	1,050	901	812	788	677	488	451	376	352	-6.4%

SECTION VIII

Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Trend Tables

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/13/2009
Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	1,308	1,031	1,194	1,377	1,466	1,540	1,629	1,657	1,462	1,534	1,373	1,226
Firearm Related	328	222	301	296	340	313	359	380	358	396	340	310
Percent Firearm	25.1%	21.5%	25.2%	21.5%	23.2%	20.3%	22.0%	22.9%	24.5%	25.8%	24.8%	25.3%
Murder	20	16	7	12	14	24	18	26	21	17	16	21
Firearm Related	11	5	4	10	9	18	16	17	14	8	10	15
Percent Firearm	55.0%	31.3%	57.1%	83.3%	64.3%	75.0%	88.9%	65.4%	66.7%	47.1%	62.5%	71.4%
Rape	68	68	44	51	75	64	72	62	57	75	70	56
Firearm Related	4	4	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	2	3	0
Percent Firearm	5.9%	5.9%	2.3%	0.0%	1.3%	3.1%	1.4%	1.6%	3.5%	2.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Robbery	588	416	455	521	573	566	617	649	630	694	572	539
Firearm Related	190	134	165	160	177	143	168	197	205	251	189	182
Percent Firearm	32.3%	32.2%	36.3%	30.7%	30.9%	25.3%	27.2%	30.4%	32.5%	36.2%	33.0%	33.8%
Aggravated Assault	632	531	688	793	804	886	922	920	754	748	715	610
Firearm Related	123	79	131	126	153	150	174	165	137	135	138	113
Percent Firearm	19.5%	14.9%	19.0%	15.9%	19.0%	16.9%	18.9%	17.9%	18.2%	18.0%	19.3%	18.5%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	14,264	15,565	16,561	16,714	16,479	16,076	17,562	18,247	16,487	16,797	1.9%
Firearm Related	3,019	3,442	3,643	3,791	4,072	3,594	4,479	4,781	3,930	3,943	0.3%
Percent Firearm	21.2%	22.1%	22.0%	22.7%	24.7%	22.4%	25.5%	26.2%	23.8%	23.5%	
Murder	148	188	204	200	231	196	226	225	205	212	3.4%
Firearm Related	70	100	120	130	157	107	140	144	138	137	-0.7%
Percent Firearm	47.3%	53.2%	58.8%	65.0%	68.0%	54.6%	61.9%	64.0%	67.3%	64.6%	
Rape	789	800	905	845	831	840	795	773	797	762	-4.4%
Firearm Related	30	34	31	15	25	31	28	25	18	21	16.7%
Percent Firearm	3.8%	4.3%	3.4%	1.8%	3.0%	3.7%	3.5%	3.2%	2.3%	2.8%	
Robbery	6,276	6,463	6,800	6,839	6,895	6,333	7,332	7,642	6,602	6,820	3.3%
Firearm Related	1,944	2,055	2,167	2,154	2,325	2,006	2,554	2,709	2,094	2,161	3.2%
Percent Firearm	31.0%	31.8%	31.9%	31.5%	33.7%	31.7%	34.8%	35.4%	31.7%	31.7%	
Aggravated Assault	7,051	8,114	8,652	8,830	8,522	8,707	9,209	9,607	8,883	9,003	1.4%
Firearm Related	975	1,253	1,325	1,492	1,565	1,450	1,757	1,903	1,680	1,624	-3.3%
Percent Firearm	13.8%	15.4%	15.3%	16.9%	18.4%	16.7%	19.1%	19.8%	18.9%	18.0%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/13/2009
Albany City Police Deparment (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	81	63	81	109	92	105	102	88	78	95	84	61
Firearm Related	11	14	23	24	18	24	22	7	17	16	8	17
Percent Firearm	13.6%	22.2%	28.4%	22.0%	19.6%	22.9%	21.6%	8.0%	21.8%	16.8%	9.5%	27.9%
Murder	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Firearm Related	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	60.0%				50.0%			50.0%		100.0%		
Rape	2	2	2	4	5	3	4	7	5	6	5	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	31	25	21	27	29	41	38	23	30	36	35	28
Firearm Related	4	7	8	12	9	15	13	3	12	9	5	9
Percent Firearm	12.9%	28.0%	38.1%	44.4%	31.0%	36.6%	34.2%	13.0%	40.0%	25.0%	14.3%	32.1%
Aggravated Assault	43	36	58	78	56	61	60	56	43	52	44	28
Firearm Related	4	7	15	12	8	9	9	3	5	6	3	8
Percent Firearm	9.3%	19.4%	25.9%	15.4%	14.3%	14.8%	15.0%	5.4%	11.6%	11.5%	6.8%	28.6%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	897	1,110	1,319	1,403	1,160	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,128	1,039	–7.9%
Firearm Related	98	133	225	197	167	166	244	181	192	201	4.7%
Percent Firearm	10.9%	12.0%	17.1%	14.0%	14.4%	14.7%	19.1%	14.8%	17.0%	19.3%	
Murder	12	11	6	8	9	10	8	5	3	10	
Firearm Related	6	5	5	6	4	3	4	3	3	6	
Percent Firearm	50.0%	45.5%	83.3%	75.0%	44.4%	30.0%	50.0%	60.0%	100.0%	60.0%	
Rape	46	66	58	64	33	53	68	50	44	50	13.6%
Firearm Related	2	3	3	1	0	2	6	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	4.3%	4.5%	5.2%	1.6%	0.0%	3.8%	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	376	396	434	481	383	394	439	389	376	364	-3.2%
Firearm Related	62	75	109	105	87	86	127	102	91	106	16.5%
Percent Firearm	16.5%	18.9%	25.1%	21.8%	22.7%	21.8%	28.9%	26.2%	24.2%	29.1%	
Aggravated Assault	463	637	821	850	735	674	760	783	705	615	-12.8%
Firearm Related	28	50	108	85	76	75	107	76	98	89	-9.2%
Percent Firearm	6.0%	7.8%	13.2%	10.0%	10.3%	11.1%	14.1%	9.7%	13.9%	14.5%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/27/2009
Binghamton City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	10	17	17	29	28	24	20	25	30	26	29	23
Firearm Related	3	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	2	3	2	4
Percent Firearm	30.0%	5.9%	11.8%	3.4%	0.0%	4.2%	5.0%	4.0%	6.7%	11.5%	6.9%	17.4%
Murder	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm			100.0%									
Rape	0	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	5	4	5	11	9	2	3	7	10	8	12	8
Firearm Related	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4
Percent Firearm	40.0%	25.0%	20.0%	9.1%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	16.7%	50.0%
Aggravated Assault	5	11	11	17	17	22	16	18	20	16	15	14
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0
Percent Firearm	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	5.6%	10.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	163	178	188	205	182	155	177	206	214	278	29.9%
Firearm Related	19	32	25	21	21	14	10	20	18	21	16.7%
Percent Firearm	11.7%	18.0%	13.3%	10.2%	11.5%	9.0%	5.6%	9.7%	8.4%	7.6%	
Murder	3	1	1	6	3	1	3	2	3	1	
Firearm Related	2	0	0	4	2	0	1	1	1	1	
Percent Firearm	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%	
Rape	19	15	30	21	10	22	19	9	19	11	-42.1%
Firearm Related	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	60	60	59	80	77	57	61	63	67	84	25.4%
Firearm Related	13	18	18	12	15	12	6	11	10	13	30.0%
Percent Firearm	21.7%	30.0%	30.5%	15.0%	19.5%	21.1%	9.8%	17.5%	14.9%	15.5%	
Aggravated Assault	81	102	98	98	92	75	94	132	125	182	45.6%
Firearm Related	3	14	7	4	4	2	3	8	7	7	
Percent Firearm	3.7%	13.7%	7.1%	4.1%	4.3%	2.7%	3.2%	6.1%	5.6%	3.8%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/11/2009
Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	290	203	252	278	355	344	374	389	312	360	304	259
Firearm Related	102	57	102	75	117	83	104	131	96	124	98	84
Percent Firearm	35.2%	28.1%	40.5%	27.0%	33.0%	24.1%	27.8%	33.7%	30.8%	34.4%	32.2%	32.4%
Murder	2	4	2	3	1	6	5	5	4	0	2	3
Firearm Related	2	1	1	3	1	5	4	5	3	0	2	3
Percent Firearm	100.0%	25.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	83.3%	80.0%	100.0%	75.0%		100.0%	100.0%
Rape	17	13	6	12	13	19	19	16	14	19	16	9
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	6.3%	0.0%
Robbery	134	93	121	111	142	127	126	159	130	165	107	128
Firearm Related	67	43	59	43	54	35	43	71	53	80	48	54
Percent Firearm	50.0%	46.2%	48.8%	38.7%	38.0%	27.6%	34.1%	44.7%	40.8%	48.5%	44.9%	42.2%
Aggravated Assault	137	93	123	152	199	192	224	209	164	176	179	119
Firearm Related	33	13	42	29	62	42	57	55	40	42	47	27
Percent Firearm	24.1%	14.0%	34.1%	19.1%	31.2%	21.9%	25.4%	26.3%	24.4%	23.9%	26.3%	22.7%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Violent Crimes	3,242	3,657	3,709	3,757	3,924	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,720	6.6%
Firearm Related	792	992	935	999	1,077	964	1,203	1,316	1,118	1,173	4.9%
Percent Firearm	24.4%	27.1%	25.2%	26.6%	27.4%	25.3%	30.5%	33.3%	32.0%	31.5%	
Murder	32	39	64	43	65	51	56	74	54	37	-31.5%
Firearm Related	15	25	38	31	39	35	41	53	42	30	-28.6%
Percent Firearm	46.9%	64.1%	59.4%	72.1%	60.0%	68.6%	73.2%	71.6%	77.8%	81.1%	
Rape	175	176	229	185	206	212	184	173	164	173	5.5%
Firearm Related	7	10	8	0	7	11	4	10	7	4	
Percent Firearm	4.0%	5.7%	3.5%	0.0%	3.4%	5.2%	2.2%	5.8%	4.3%	2.3%	
Robbery	1,473	1,555	1,600	1,627	1,654	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,543	0.7%
Firearm Related	433	533	537	574	536	498	625	683	620	650	4.8%
Percent Firearm	29.4%	34.3%	33.6%	35.3%	32.4%	33.5%	37.5%	40.0%	40.4%	42.1%	
Aggravated Assault	1,562	1,887	1,816	1,902	1,999	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,967	13.1%
Firearm Related	337	424	352	394	495	420	533	570	449	489	8.9%
Percent Firearm	21.6%	22.5%	19.4%	20.7%	24.8%	20.4%	26.2%	28.5%	25.8%	24.9%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/22/2009
Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	14	11	13	20	25	16	11	14	17	14	12	17
Firearm Related	0	1	0	4	4	0	2	2	1	1	0	4
Percent Firearm	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	20.0%	16.0%	0.0%	18.2%	14.3%	5.9%	7.1%	0.0%	23.5%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	1	2	1	0	3	0	4	2	2	1	2	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	5	1	3	4	4	4	6	3	4	5	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	20.0%	0.0%	
Aggravated Assault	8	8	9	16	18	12	1	7	10	8	9	15
Firearm Related	0	1	0	3	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
Percent Firearm	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	18.8%	16.7%	0.0%	100.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Violent Crimes	127	125	124	162	164	168	213	170	168	184	9.5%
Firearm Related	14	7	6	11	23	19	24	21	28	19	−32.1%
Percent Firearm	11.0%	5.6%	4.8%	6.8%	14.0%	11.3%	11.3%	12.4%	16.7%	10.3%	
Murder	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	14	19	23	11	18	19	23	21	20	20	0.0%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	17	17	20	40	41	48	46	35	30	40	33.3%
Firearm Related	1	2	2	7	8	6	7	6	4	6	
Percent Firearm	5.9%	11.8%	10.0%	17.5%	19.5%	12.5%	15.2%	17.1%	13.3%	15.0%	
Aggravated Assault	96	89	79	110	105	101	143	114	117	121	3.4%
Firearm Related	13	5	4	3	15	13	16	15	24	13	-45.8%
Percent Firearm	13.5%	5.6%	5.1%	2.7%	14.3%	12.9%	11.2%	13.2%	20.5%	10.7%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/8/2009
Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	3	3	4	2	6	6	11	3	10	11	6	4
Firearm Related	2	0	0	1	1	1	3	1	4	1	3	0
Percent Firearm	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	27.3%	33.3%	40.0%	9.1%	50.0%	0.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%							
Robbery	1	1	2	2	3	6	9	3	9	6	4	2
Firearm Related	1	0	0	1	1	1	3	1	4	1	2	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	44.4%	16.7%	50.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	1	2	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	4	2	1
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	63	48	65	93	118	92	117	89	67	69	3.0%
Firearm Related	11	7	4	10	19	12	17	8	11	17	54.5%
Percent Firearm	17.5%	14.6%	6.2%	10.8%	16.1%	13.0%	14.5%	9.0%	16.4%	24.6%	
Murder	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
Rape	6	7	7	5	7	5	11	11	5	5	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	28	20	22	55	62	58	72	43	38	48	26.3%
Firearm Related	8	6	1	9	14	10	13	5	8	15	
Percent Firearm	28.6%	30.0%	4.5%	16.4%	22.6%	17.2%	18.1%	11.6%	21.1%	31.3%	
Aggravated Assault	29	21	34	33	48	28	34	34	23	16	-30.4%
Firearm Related	3	1	2	1	5	2	4	3	2	2	
Percent Firearm	10.3%	4.8%	5.9%	3.0%	10.4%	7.1%	11.8%	8.8%	8.7%	12.5%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/21/2009
Nassau County Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	138	118	118	147	145	143	170	149	114	122	136	102
Firearm Related	23	18	21	24	15	23	18	20	11	26	35	24
Percent Firearm	16.7%	15.3%	17.8%	16.3%	10.3%	16.1%	10.6%	13.4%	9.6%	21.3%	25.7%	23.5%
Murder	2	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	2	0
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	25.0%				50.0%		50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
Rape	7	5	8	2	6	4	3	1	3	6	7	6
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	74	58	46	63	66	63	77	75	53	55	71	47
Firearm Related	21	14	15	19	14	19	15	17	11	22	30	21
Percent Firearm	28.4%	24.1%	32.6%	30.2%	21.2%	30.2%	19.5%	22.7%	20.8%	40.0%	42.3%	44.7%
Aggravated Assault	55	51	64	82	73	74	90	71	57	60	56	49
Firearm Related	2	2	6	5	1	3	3	2	0	4	4	3
Percent Firearm	3.6%	3.9%	9.4%	6.1%	1.4%	4.1%	3.3%	2.8%	0.0%	6.7%	7.1%	6.1%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	1,530	1,462	1,755	1,783	1,791	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602	-2.3%
Firearm Related	339	235	271	221	278	236	304	305	241	258	7.1%
Percent Firearm	22.2%	16.1%	15.4%	12.4%	15.5%	13.4%	17.0%	16.8%	14.7%	16.1%	
Murder	16	19	13	16	14	13	16	14	14	14	0.0%
Firearm Related	7	5	5	12	10	3	9	9	12	4	
Percent Firearm	43.8%	26.3%	38.5%	75.0%	71.4%	23.1%	56.3%	64.3%	85.7%	28.6%	
Rape	83	85	93	92	86	77	76	74	71	58	-18.3%
Firearm Related	4	3	1	2	3	2	1	4	0	1	
Percent Firearm	4.8%	3.5%	1.1%	2.2%	3.5%	2.6%	1.3%	5.4%	0.0%	1.7%	
Robbery	815	705	753	749	775	724	833	866	748	748	0.0%
Firearm Related	293	197	248	187	239	207	265	269	197	218	10.7%
Percent Firearm	36.0%	27.9%	32.9%	25.0%	30.8%	28.6%	31.8%	31.1%	26.3%	29.1%	
Aggravated Assault	616	653	896	926	916	949	868	859	806	782	-3.0%
Firearm Related	35	30	17	20	26	24	29	23	32	35	9.4%
Percent Firearm	5.7%	4.6%	1.9%	2.2%	2.8%	2.5%	3.3%	2.7%	4.0%	4.5%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/30/2009
Newburgh City Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	30	40	38	35	33	41	37	48	50	45	45	34
Firearm Related	6	3	7	2	1	2	3	5	12	7	3	3
Percent Firearm	20.0%	7.5%	18.4%	5.7%	3.0%	4.9%	8.1%	10.4%	24.0%	15.6%	6.7%	8.8%
Murder	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Firearm Related	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%				50.0%	100.0%				100.0%	0.0%	
Rape	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	3	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11	13	10	13	12	12	7	13	19	14	20	18
Firearm Related	2	2	5	2	0	0	2	2	6	3	2	3
Percent Firearm	18.2%	15.4%	50.0%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	15.4%	31.6%	21.4%	10.0%	16.7%
Aggravated Assault	17	25	27	22	17	27	30	34	30	29	21	15
Firearm Related	2	1	2	0	0	1	1	3	6	3	1	0
Percent Firearm	11.8%	4.0%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	3.3%	8.8%	20.0%	10.3%	4.8%	0.0%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	346	438	459	587	358	371	432	386	436	476	9.2%
Firearm Related	69	75	65	96	29	37	83	34	54	54	0.0%
Percent Firearm	19.9%	17.1%	14.2%	16.4%	8.1%	10.0%	19.2%	8.8%	12.4%	11.3%	
Murder	6	1	7	7	2	3	3	1	2	7	
Firearm Related	1	1	4	6	2	3	3	1	0	5	
Percent Firearm	16.7%	100.0%	57.1%	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	71.4%	
Rape	17	9	23	23	26	15	12	16	14	13	-7.1%
Firearm Related	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	5.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	116	166	136	179	67	94	174	134	131	162	23.7%
Firearm Related	24	35	34	40	8	16	49	22	21	29	38.1%
Percent Firearm	20.7%	21.1%	25.0%	22.3%	11.9%	17.0%	28.2%	16.4%	16.0%	17.9%	
Aggravated Assault	207	262	293	378	263	259	243	235	289	294	1.7%
Firearm Related	43	38	27	50	19	18	31	11	33	20	-39.4%
Percent Firearm	20.8%	14.5%	9.2%	13.2%	7.2%	6.9%	12.8%	4.7%	11.4%	6.8%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/26/2009
Niagara Falls City Police Deparment (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	41	33	35	46	42	63	57	62	53	45	45	40
Firearm Related	5	2	5	6	9	12	5	12	9	4	12	3
Percent Firearm	12.2%	6.1%	14.3%	13.0%	21.4%	19.0%	8.8%	19.4%	17.0%	8.9%	26.7%	7.5%
Murder	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%								100.0%		100.0%	
Rape	1	1	2	2	0	3	5	2	1	2	3	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11	13	9	12	11	21	16	12	13	15	17	21
Firearm Related	1	1	2	2	4	3	0	3	1	2	6	3
Percent Firearm	9.1%	7.7%	22.2%	16.7%	36.4%	14.3%	0.0%	25.0%	7.7%	13.3%	35.3%	14.3%
Aggravated Assault	28	19	24	32	31	39	36	48	38	28	24	17
Firearm Related	3	1	3	4	5	9	5	9	7	2	5	0
Percent Firearm	10.7%	5.3%	12.5%	12.5%	16.1%	23.1%	13.9%	18.8%	18.4%	7.1%	20.8%	0.0%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	498	486	494	558	603	636	685	644	503	562	11.7%
Firearm Related	96	50	86	87	94	108	167	145	76	84	10.5%
Percent Firearm	19.3%	10.3%	17.4%	15.6%	15.6%	17.0%	24.4%	22.5%	15.1%	14.9%	
Murder	0	2	4	3	7	5	4	4	3	3	
Firearm Related	0	1	2	0	4	1	1	3	3	3	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	57.1%	20.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Rape	24	27	26	30	39	28	24	35	38	24	-36.8%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	150	150	170	166	199	188	242	201	134	171	27.6%
Firearm Related	60	35	49	45	39	48	96	66	29	28	-3.4%
Percent Firearm	40.0%	23.3%	28.8%	27.1%	19.6%	25.5%	39.7%	32.8%	21.6%	16.4%	
Aggravated Assault	324	307	294	359	358	415	415	404	328	364	11.0%
Firearm Related	36	14	35	42	51	59	70	76	44	53	20.5%
Percent Firearm	11.1%	4.6%	11.9%	11.7%	14.2%	14.2%	16.9%	18.8%	13.4%	14.6%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/5/2009
Poughkeepsie City Police Deparment (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	41	25	39	32	30	45	28	41	32	32	19	29
Firearm Related	3	2	7	10	6	7	6	10	10	8	4	7
Percent Firearm	7.3%	8.0%	17.9%	31.3%	20.0%	15.6%	21.4%	24.4%	31.3%	25.0%	21.1%	24.1%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Percent Firearm						50.0%				0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rape	1	3	0	1	4	2	2	0	4	3	2	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	17	9	13	14	13	16	14	14	13	17	7	14
Firearm Related	0	1	4	6	4	5	2	2	6	4	1	3
Percent Firearm	0.0%	11.1%	30.8%	42.9%	30.8%	31.3%	14.3%	14.3%	46.2%	23.5%	14.3%	21.4%
Aggravated Assault	23	13	26	17	13	25	12	27	15	11	9	13
Firearm Related	3	1	3	4	2	1	4	8	4	4	2	3
Percent Firearm	13.0%	7.7%	11.5%	23.5%	15.4%	4.0%	33.3%	29.6%	26.7%	36.4%	22.2%	23.1%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	173	191	196	298	354	357	372	417	387	393	1.6%
Firearm Related	52	39	46	84	67	68	73	110	67	80	19.4%
Percent Firearm	30.1%	20.4%	23.5%	28.2%	18.9%	19.0%	19.6%	26.4%	17.3%	20.4%	
Murder	7	3	4	3	2	2	2	4	5	5	
Firearm Related	3	0	3	3	2	0	2	3	2	3	
Percent Firearm	42.9%	0.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	75.0%	40.0%	60.0%	
Rape	13	13	17	16	25	23	16	13	15	23	53.3%
Firearm Related	7	3	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	53.8%	23.1%	29.4%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	96	104	103	131	133	131	151	173	163	161	-1.2%
Firearm Related	33	25	26	44	34	30	37	48	33	38	15.2%
Percent Firearm	34.4%	24.0%	25.2%	33.6%	25.6%	22.9%	24.5%	27.7%	20.2%	23.6%	
Aggravated Assault	57	71	72	148	194	201	203	227	204	204	0.0%
Firearm Related	9	11	12	37	29	38	34	59	32	39	21.9%
Percent Firearm	15.8%	15.5%	16.7%	25.0%	14.9%	18.9%	16.7%	26.0%	15.7%	19.1%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/27/2009
Rochester City Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	195	152	178	173	183	186	220	240	214	202	187	172
Firearm Related	72	40	59	61	59	48	71	75	71	70	62	71
Percent Firearm	36.9%	26.3%	33.1%	35.3%	32.2%	25.8%	32.3%	31.3%	33.2%	34.7%	33.2%	41.3%
Murder	1	3	3	3	2	4	6	5	6	2	2	5
Firearm Related	1	3	2	2	1	4	6	4	4	0	1	4
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	80.0%	66.7%	0.0%	50.0%	80.0%
Rape	7	10	11	5	7	9	12	5	6	8	9	9
Firearm Related	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	14.3%	10.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	106	74	77	79	78	78	86	100	99	109	92	81
Firearm Related	41	25	36	36	31	24	35	45	40	52	36	44
Percent Firearm	38.7%	33.8%	46.8%	45.6%	39.7%	30.8%	40.7%	45.0%	40.4%	47.7%	39.1%	54.3%
Aggravated Assault	81	65	87	86	96	95	116	130	103	83	84	77
Firearm Related	29	11	20	23	27	19	30	26	27	18	25	23
Percent Firearm	35.8%	16.9%	23.0%	26.7%	28.1%	20.0%	25.9%	20.0%	26.2%	21.7%	29.8%	29.9%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	1,522	1,626	1,642	1,786	2,032	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	-2.0%
Firearm Related	575	658	727	815	1,011	862	943	1,239	861	759	-11.8%
Percent Firearm	37.8%	40.5%	44.3%	45.6%	49.8%	48.4%	43.1%	46.5%	36.6%	33.0%	
Murder	27	39	40	42	56	36	53	49	50	42	-16.0%
Firearm Related	19	29	28	26	47	26	39	34	39	32	-17.9%
Percent Firearm	70.4%	74.4%	70.0%	61.9%	83.9%	72.2%	73.6%	69.4%	78.0%	76.2%	
Rape	117	109	87	107	86	91	100	92	121	98	-19.0%
Firearm Related	3	6	3	4	3	7	6	5	4	4	
Percent Firearm	2.6%	5.5%	3.4%	3.7%	3.5%	7.7%	6.0%	5.4%	3.3%	4.1%	
Robbery	818	922	905	972	1,166	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	2.6%
Firearm Related	381	434	434	487	590	478	563	738	464	445	-4.1%
Percent Firearm	46.6%	47.1%	48.0%	50.1%	50.6%	51.3%	54.9%	55.4%	45.0%	42.0%	
Aggravated Assault	560	556	610	665	724	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,103	-3.8%
Firearm Related	172	189	262	298	371	351	335	462	354	278	-21.5%
Percent Firearm	30.7%	34.0%	43.0%	44.8%	51.2%	48.5%	33.2%	38.7%	30.9%	25.2%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/30/2009
Schenectady City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	45	26	42	52	49	53	76	75	82	68	54	32
Firearm Related	11	8	10	12	15	22	19	18	11	17	12	8
Percent Firearm	24.4%	30.8%	23.8%	23.1%	30.6%	41.5%	25.0%	24.0%	13.4%	25.0%	22.2%	25.0%
Murder	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	0.0%		
Rape	2	4	1	3	3	2	4	6	2	2	5	1
Firearm Related	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	20	7	16	19	19	18	38	38	43	33	22	15
Firearm Related	5	1	3	5	11	7	10	11	6	9	6	4
Percent Firearm	25.0%	14.3%	18.8%	26.3%	57.9%	38.9%	26.3%	28.9%	14.0%	27.3%	27.3%	26.7%
Aggravated Assault	23	14	25	28	26	32	33	31	35	32	27	16
Firearm Related	5	6	7	5	3	14	8	7	3	8	6	4
Percent Firearm	21.7%	42.9%	28.0%	17.9%	11.5%	43.8%	24.2%	22.6%	8.6%	25.0%	22.2%	25.0%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	481	462	522	493	483	510	628	712	606	654	7.9%
Firearm Related	54	99	100	100	105	103	148	152	143	163	14.0%
Percent Firearm	11.2%	21.4%	19.2%	20.3%	21.7%	20.2%	23.6%	21.3%	23.6%	24.9%	
Murder	5	3	5	8	11	7	8	6	5	9	
Firearm Related	2	0	5	5	8	4	4	2	4	7	
Percent Firearm	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%	62.5%	72.7%	57.1%	50.0%	33.3%	80.0%	77.8%	
Rape	32	44	54	45	43	38	43	52	34	35	2.9%
Firearm Related	2	3	1	1	3	0	1	3	2	2	
Percent Firearm	6.3%	6.8%	1.9%	2.2%	7.0%	0.0%	2.3%	5.8%	5.9%	5.7%	
Robbery	184	201	208	174	171	173	252	309	265	288	8.7%
Firearm Related	30	49	47	30	49	50	69	77	64	78	21.9%
Percent Firearm	16.3%	24.4%	22.6%	17.2%	28.7%	28.9%	27.4%	24.9%	24.2%	27.1%	
Aggravated Assault	260	214	255	266	258	292	325	345	302	322	6.6%
Firearm Related	20	47	47	64	45	49	74	70	73	76	4.1%
Percent Firearm	7.7%	22.0%	18.4%	24.1%	17.4%	16.8%	22.8%	20.3%	24.2%	23.6%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/30/2009
Spring Valley Village Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	14	21	21	15	12	21	15	15	21	25	7	13
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	3	2	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	6.7%	14.3%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%						0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	3	10	9	3	5	4	5	7	7	5	2	3
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	9	11	11	12	7	17	10	8	13	17	5	10
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Violent Crimes	297	261	263	n/a	n/a	190	180	182	204	200	-2.0%
Firearm Related	44	37	33	n/a	n/a	23	19	11	18	9	
Percent Firearm	14.8%	14.2%	12.5%			12.1%	10.6%	6.0%	8.8%	4.5%	
Murder	1	2	0	n/a	n/a	2	4	1	0	0	
Firearm Related	1	1	0	n/a	n/a	2	1	1	0	0	
Percent Firearm	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%			100.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	10	6	9	n/a	n/a	8	7	6	12	7	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	109	86	102	n/a	n/a	73	49	70	71	63	-11.3%
Firearm Related	19	9	15	n/a	n/a	14	9	5	14	4	
Percent Firearm	17.4%	10.5%	14.7%			19.2%	18.4%	7.1%	19.7%	6.3%	
Aggravated Assault	177	167	152	n/a	n/a	107	120	105	121	130	7.4%
Firearm Related	24	27	18	n/a	n/a	7	9	5	4	5	
Percent Firearm	13.6%	16.2%	11.8%			6.5%	7.5%	4.8%	3.3%	3.8%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/29/2009
Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	151	128	140	141	170	197	203	228	201	194	209	204
Firearm Related	49	37	32	23	40	41	49	50	63	55	55	50
Percent Firearm	32.5%	28.9%	22.9%	16.3%	23.5%	20.8%	24.1%	21.9%	31.3%	28.4%	26.3%	24.5%
Murder	5	2	0	1	2	2	6	5	2	5	4	5
Firearm Related	1	0	0	1	2	1	5	3	2	2	2	3
Percent Firearm	20.0%	0.0%		100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	83.3%	60.0%	100.0%	40.0%	50.0%	60.0%
Rape	10	7	5	7	12	5	9	7	9	8	5	7
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	59	49	49	56	68	71	78	101	93	99	80	87
Firearm Related	28	21	16	8	22	19	20	26	40	33	28	24
Percent Firearm	47.5%	42.9%	32.7%	14.3%	32.4%	26.8%	25.6%	25.7%	43.0%	33.3%	35.0%	27.6%
Aggravated Assault	77	70	86	77	88	119	110	115	97	82	120	105
Firearm Related	19	16	16	14	16	21	24	20	19	20	25	23
Percent Firearm	24.7%	22.9%	18.6%	18.2%	18.2%	17.6%	21.8%	17.4%	19.6%	24.4%	20.8%	21.9%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	1,953	2,393	2,741	2,497	2,394	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,166	-1.7%
Firearm Related	322	449	640	577	602	493	662	605	523	544	4.0%
Percent Firearm	16.5%	18.8%	23.3%	23.1%	25.1%	21.7%	27.1%	24.4%	23.7%	25.1%	
Murder	21	25	28	18	24	28	28	37	28	39	39.3%
Firearm Related	6	12	18	12	12	13	12	19	14	22	57.1%
Percent Firearm	28.6%	48.0%	64.3%	66.7%	50.0%	46.4%	42.9%	51.4%	50.0%	56.4%	
Rape	120	100	140	143	112	109	82	86	87	91	4.6%
Firearm Related	0	2	6	6	3	1	3	3	3	4	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	2.0%	4.3%	4.2%	2.7%	0.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.4%	4.4%	
Robbery	821	899	960	907	958	845	1,037	1,027	871	890	2.2%
Firearm Related	258	287	325	294	371	286	383	351	281	285	1.4%
Percent Firearm	31.4%	31.9%	33.9%	32.4%	38.7%	33.8%	36.9%	34.2%	32.3%	32.0%	
Aggravated Assault	991	1,369	1,613	1,429	1,300	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146	-5.9%
Firearm Related	58	148	291	265	216	193	264	232	225	233	3.6%
Percent Firearm	5.9%	10.8%	18.0%	18.5%	16.6%	15.0%	20.3%	17.4%	18.5%	20.3%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/29/2009
Syracuse City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	103	77	97	127	119	143	138	117	110	109	118	108
Firearm Related	16	14	15	29	19	24	31	21	19	24	27	19
Percent Firearm	15.5%	18.2%	15.5%	22.8%	16.0%	16.8%	22.5%	17.9%	17.3%	22.0%	22.9%	17.6%
Murder	1	2	0	1	1	3	0	3	2	3	3	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	3	2	3
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%	100.0%	66.7%		33.3%	50.0%	100.0%	66.7%	60.0%
Rape	6	7	2	5	6	8	5	8	4	9	7	4
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Robbery	39	21	28	42	34	32	39	34	43	34	38	35
Firearm Related	4	7	9	13	4	6	10	9	6	9	11	6
Percent Firearm	10.3%	33.3%	32.1%	31.0%	11.8%	18.8%	25.6%	26.5%	14.0%	26.5%	28.9%	17.1%
Aggravated Assault	57	47	67	79	78	100	94	72	61	63	70	64
Firearm Related	12	6	6	15	13	16	20	11	12	12	12	10
Percent Firearm	21.1%	12.8%	9.0%	19.0%	16.7%	16.0%	21.3%	15.3%	19.7%	19.0%	17.1%	15.6%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-08
Violent Crimes	1,562	1,564	1,561	1,520	1,359	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	-4.8%
Firearm Related	222	278	225	278	274	270	365	343	317	258	-18.6%
Percent Firearm	14.2%	17.8%	14.4%	18.3%	20.2%	20.4%	23.2%	22.6%	22.1%	18.9%	
Murder	9	18	15	24	15	16	19	12	19	24	26.3%
Firearm Related	2	12	5	15	12	5	10	8	9	14	
Percent Firearm	22.2%	66.7%	33.3%	62.5%	80.0%	31.3%	52.6%	66.7%	47.4%	58.3%	
Rape	51	47	42	43	66	70	73	66	67	71	6.0%
Firearm Related	1	2	1	0	2	4	4	0	1	5	
Percent Firearm	2.0%	4.3%	2.4%	0.0%	3.0%	5.7%	5.5%	0.0%	1.5%	7.0%	
Robbery	492	452	567	551	485	451	554	534	446	419	-6.1%
Firearm Related	111	96	141	119	128	123	178	184	139	94	-32.4%
Percent Firearm	22.6%	21.2%	24.9%	21.6%	26.4%	27.3%	32.1%	34.5%	31.2%	22.4%	
Aggravated Assault	1,010	1,047	937	902	793	785	924	903	903	852	-5.6%
Firearm Related	108	168	78	144	132	138	173	151	168	145	-13.7%
Percent Firearm	10.7%	16.0%	8.3%	16.0%	16.6%	17.6%	18.7%	16.7%	18.6%	17.0%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/12/2009
Troy City Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	47	18	23	38	38	33	39	39	33	34	20	30
Firearm Related	10	6	3	10	9	5	9	5	6	9	2	6
Percent Firearm	21.3%	33.3%	13.0%	26.3%	23.7%	15.2%	23.1%	12.8%	18.2%	26.5%	10.0%	20.0%
Murder	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm			0.0%	100.0%	50.0%				0.0%			
Rape	4	2	1	0	2	4	1	2	1	0	0	2
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%
Robbery	28	5	11	12	14	10	17	11	13	13	9	9
Firearm Related	5	4	2	5	6	4	7	3	4	5	2	2
Percent Firearm	17.9%	80.0%	18.2%	41.7%	42.9%	40.0%	41.2%	27.3%	30.8%	38.5%	22.2%	22.2%
Aggravated Assault	15	11	10	25	20	19	21	26	18	21	11	19
Firearm Related	4	2	1	4	2	1	2	2	2	4	0	4
Percent Firearm	26.7%	18.2%	10.0%	16.0%	10.0%	5.3%	9.5%	7.7%	11.1%	19.0%	0.0%	21.1%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	293	296	281	314	293	298	309	373	345	392	13.6%
Firearm Related	65	33	46	64	40	39	52	69	72	80	11.1%
Percent Firearm	22.2%	11.1%	16.4%	20.4%	13.7%	13.1%	16.8%	18.5%	20.9%	20.4%	
Murder	1	1	0	3	2	1	4	1	2	5	
Firearm Related	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	
Percent Firearm	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	
Rape	15	22	23	28	30	30	18	18	21	19	-9.5%
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	
Percent Firearm	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	
Robbery	106	86	100	118	84	84	78	120	131	152	16.0%
Firearm Related	29	18	25	30	21	15	17	35	40	49	22.5%
Percent Firearm	27.4%	20.9%	25.0%	25.4%	25.0%	17.9%	21.8%	29.2%	30.5%	32.2%	
Aggravated Assault	171	187	158	165	177	183	209	234	191	216	13.1%
Firearm Related	34	15	21	33	17	19	33	34	32	28	-12.5%
Percent Firearm	19.9%	8.0%	13.3%	20.0%	9.6%	10.4%	15.8%	14.5%	16.8%	13.0%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/6/2009
Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	43	36	37	39	55	41	44	47	39	43	34	22
Firearm Related	11	12	13	7	19	11	7	15	17	13	7	6
Percent Firearm	25.6%	33.3%	35.1%	17.9%	34.5%	26.8%	15.9%	31.9%	43.6%	30.2%	20.6%	27.3%
Murder	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Percent Firearm				0.0%		100.0%		100.0%	100.0%			
Rape	3	4	0	3	2	1	2	2	0	2	2	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	16	8	14	12	20	18	17	16	13	21	19	7
Firearm Related	7	2	5	2	10	3	2	2	7	7	3	3
Percent Firearm	43.8%	25.0%	35.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	11.8%	12.5%	53.8%	33.3%	15.8%	42.9%
Aggravated Assault	24	24	23	23	33	21	25	28	25	20	13	14
Firearm Related	4	10	8	5	9	7	5	12	9	6	4	3
Percent Firearm	16.7%	41.7%	34.8%	21.7%	27.3%	33.3%	20.0%	42.9%	36.0%	30.0%	30.8%	21.4%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	202	287	307	365	385	287	268	432	433	480	10.9%
Firearm Related	72	107	81	85	106	58	42	95	112	138	23.2%
Percent Firearm	35.6%	37.3%	26.4%	23.3%	27.5%	20.2%	15.7%	22.0%	25.9%	28.8%	
Murder	4	8	7	5	7	5	8	6	5	4	
Firearm Related	3	4	4	2	6	3	5	3	3	3	
Percent Firearm	75.0%	50.0%	57.1%	40.0%	85.7%	60.0%	62.5%	50.0%	60.0%	75.0%	
Rape	14	18	29	21	19	17	18	20	21	22	4.8%
Firearm Related	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	5.6%	3.4%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	115	179	188	190	186	139	133	140	142	181	27.5%
Firearm Related	41	75	55	52	65	37	22	27	35	53	51.4%
Percent Firearm	35.7%	41.9%	29.3%	27.4%	34.9%	26.6%	16.5%	19.3%	24.6%	29.3%	
Aggravated Assault	69	82	83	149	173	126	109	266	265	273	3.0%
Firearm Related	28	27	21	31	34	18	14	65	74	82	10.8%
Percent Firearm	40.6%	32.9%	25.3%	20.8%	19.7%	14.3%	12.8%	24.4%	27.9%	30.0%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/30/2009
Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sept 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Violent Crimes	62	60	59	94	84	79	84	77	66	109	64	76
Firearm Related	4	7	1	7	8	7	9	6	6	16	10	4
Percent Firearm	6.5%	11.7%	1.7%	7.4%	9.5%	8.9%	10.7%	7.8%	9.1%	14.7%	15.6%	5.3%
Murder	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	0	2
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Percent Firearm	100.0%				0.0%	50.0%		100.0%		50.0%		50.0%
Rape	4	4	2	6	7	3	1	3	4	2	2	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	28	25	21	41	46	43	41	33	38	59	36	36
Firearm Related	2	5	0	4	6	1	5	1	5	12	7	2
Percent Firearm	7.1%	20.0%	0.0%	9.8%	13.0%	2.3%	12.2%	3.0%	13.2%	20.3%	19.4%	5.6%
Aggravated Assault	29	31	36	47	30	31	42	40	24	46	26	34
Firearm Related	1	2	1	3	2	5	4	4	1	3	3	1
Percent Firearm	3.4%	6.5%	2.8%	6.4%	6.7%	16.1%	9.5%	10.0%	4.2%	6.5%	11.5%	2.9%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Violent Crimes	915	981	935	893	879	942	970	978	878	914	4.1%
Firearm Related	175	211	128	146	159	122	123	127	79	85	7.6%
Percent Firearm	19.1%	21.5%	13.7%	16.3%	18.1%	13.0%	12.7%	13.0%	9.0%	9.3%	
Murder	4	16	6	13	13	15	9	8	10	9	
Firearm Related	2	5	2	6	8	8	6	4	5	5	
Percent Firearm	50.0%	31.3%	33.3%	46.2%	61.5%	53.3%	66.7%	50.0%	50.0%	55.6%	
Rape	33	37	15	11	25	23	21	31	44	42	-4.5%
Firearm Related	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
Percent Firearm	3.0%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	
Robbery	500	465	473	419	454	457	518	498	424	447	5.4%
Firearm Related	148	161	101	119	121	90	88	80	44	50	13.6%
Percent Firearm	29.6%	34.6%	21.4%	28.4%	26.7%	19.7%	17.0%	16.1%	10.4%	11.2%	
Aggravated Assault	378	463	441	450	387	447	422	441	400	416	4.0%
Firearm Related	24	45	23	21	30	24	28	43	29	30	3.4%
Percent Firearm	6.3%	9.7%	5.2%	4.7%	7.8%	5.4%	6.6%	9.8%	7.3%	7.2%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

SECTION IX

Firearm Activity Trend Tables

Firearm Activity

As of 2/6/2009

Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	49	36	65	57	70	85	101	80	76	74	77	66
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	58	49	72	60	75	100	113	89	84	83	83	72
Firearm Related Murders	11	5	4	10	9	18	16	17	14	8	10	15
Crime Guns Recovered	496	325	366	377	188	197	198	206	220	220	155	205
GGUN Submissions	220	131	232	321	190	244	221	219	305	231	210	172
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	213	195	218	231	230	197	232	205	218	283	131	225

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	896	766	836	9.1%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1,007	836	938	12.2%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	144	138	137	-0.7%
Crime Guns Recovered	5,274	4,719	3,153	-33.2%
GGUN Submissions	2,426	2,606	2,696	3.5%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	2,414	2,566	2,578	0.5%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/8/2009
Albany City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	3	5	4	8	7	4	1	1	4	2	3
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	5	7	5	8	8	4	1	1	4	2	4
Firearm Related Murders	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	9	5	11	7	12	7	6	7	4	5	6	4
GGUN Submissions	4	7	7	11	6	22	10	28	17	9	7	16
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	5	3	8	4	8	9	10	9	4	4	11	3

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	35	47	44	-6.4%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	46	55	53	-3.6%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	6	
Crime Guns Recovered	132	112	83	-25.9%
GGUN Submissions	93	104	144	38.5%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	68	57	78	36.8%

Firearm Activity

As of 1/2/2009
Binghamton City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	0	0	3	2	2
GGUN Submissions	2	1	1	4	2	0	5	1	2	0	0	1
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	5	0	2	3	2	0	3	0	0	3	0	2

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	6	2	1	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	1	1	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	1	1	
Crime Guns Recovered	34	55	21	-61.8%
GGUN Submissions	18	21	19	-9.5%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	25	26	20	-23.1%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/15/2009
Buffalo City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	16	11	13	11	20	25	28	29	12	16	22	17
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	19	11	13	11	21	29	30	31	15	17	23	18
Firearm Related Murders	2	1	1	3	1	5	4	5	3	0	2	3
Crime Guns Recovered	87	66	73	51	35	70	58	63	58	67	43	58
GGUN Submissions	42	0	42	173	30	70	42	56	104	50	67	47
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	87	66	73	78	83	62	91	77	76	88	56	42

				% Change								
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	252	180	220	22.2%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	277	196	238	21.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	53	42	30	-28.6%
Crime Guns Recovered	1,051	815	729	-10.6%
GGUN Submissions	93	791	723	-8.6%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	808	923	879	-4.8%

Firearm Activity

As of 1/27/2009
Jamestown City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	3	3	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	2
GGUN Submissions	1	1	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	1
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

				% Change								
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	1	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	7	0	1	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	
Crime Guns Recovered	60	64	15	-76.6%
GGUN Submissions	18	3	12	
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	0	0	1	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/8/2009
Kingston City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	5	2	0	0	0	5	2	2	1	1	1	4
GGUN Submissions	2	3	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	1
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	5	2	0	0	0	5	2	2	1	1	1	4

				% Change
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007-08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	3	4	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	3	4	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	0	
Crime Guns Recovered	13	21	23	9.5%
GGUN Submissions	93	12	11	-8.3%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	0	18	23	27.8%

Firearm Activity

As of 1/30/2009
Nassau County Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	5	4	7	9	6	6	6	5	10	8	7	6
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	7	7	9	6	9	8	6	11	14	8	6
Firearm Related Murders	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Crime Guns Recovered	24	36	26	26	11	18	19	20	33	23	22	17
GGUN Submissions	38	26	39	16	15	15	17	36	20	31	31	15
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	32	42	31	38	23	25	27	28	39	40	24	65

				% Change
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007-08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	57	75	79	5.3%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	60	76	97	27.6%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	9	12	4	
Crime Guns Recovered	247	269	275	2.2%
GGUN Submissions	18	298	299	0.3%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	77	303	414	36.6%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/15/2009
Newburgh City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	1	2	0	1	1	0	2	1	2	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	1	2	0	1	1	0	2	1	2	0	0
Firearm Related Murders	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	7	1	5	4	8	4	5	4	7	6	7	0
GGUN Submissions	3	1	1	2	9	1	2	0	3	0	1	2
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	39	2	0

				% Change								
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	15	12	-20.0%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	17	12	-29.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	5	
Crime Guns Recovered	60	55	58	5.5%
GGUN Submissions	93	33	25	-24.2%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	16	7	43	

Firearm Activity

As of 1/2/2009
Niagara Falls City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	1	0	3	2	1	1	2	4	0	1	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	1	0	3	2	1	1	2	4	0	1	0
Firearm Related Murders	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Crime Guns Recovered	0	0	4	4	2	3	5	11	5	0	9	8
GGUN Submissions	13	1	5	14	2	3	15	0	24	1	1	11
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	0	0	3	3	1	2	1	4	4	0	2	1

				% Change								
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	28	19	16	-15.8%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	32	21	16	-23.8%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	
Crime Guns Recovered	115	65	51	-21.5%
GGUN Submissions	18	66	90	36.4%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	15	21	21	0.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/29/2009

Poughkeepsie City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	1	1	0	2	2	2	1	5	0	2	4
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	1	1	0	2	2	2	3	5	0	2	4
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Crime Guns Recovered	7	4	3	2	12	2	2	7	5	3	1	3
GGUN Submissions	7	2	2	2	0	12	1	6	6	6	0	2
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	0	6	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	5

				% Change	
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007–08	
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	15	7	22		
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	15	9	24		
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	2	3		
Crime Guns Recovered	40	26	51	96.2%	
GGUN Submissions	93	22	46	109.1%	
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	26	14	18	28.6%	

Firearm Activity

As of 1/27/2009

Rochester City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	11	5	16	12	14	10	20	14	16	13	13	13
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	13	7	20	13	16	13	23	15	17	13	16	17
Firearm Related Murders	1	3	2	2	1	4	6	4	4	0	1	4
Crime Guns Recovered	28	24	38	39	45	33	46	38	42	55	23	39
GGUN Submissions	50	18	47	22	31	41	34	43	20	37	54	19
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	15	24	35	39	45	33	46	38	41	55	0	39

				% Change	
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2007–08	
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	249	176	157	-10.8%	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	276	194	183	-5.7%	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	34	39	32	-17.9%	
Crime Guns Recovered	517	444	450	1.4%	
GGUN Submissions	18	468	416	-11.1%	
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	517	442	410	-7.2%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/21/2009

Schenectady City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	5	4	2	1	2	0	2	0	1	3
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	5	4	2	1	2	0	2	0	1	3
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	3	4	7	7	3	4	5	7	5	8	2	8
GGUN Submissions	2	3	2	10	3	5	0	4	0	12	0	5
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	1	2	2	3	2	3	1	0	1	0	2	1

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	20	21	20	-4.8%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	27	24	20	-16.7%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	4	7	
Crime Guns Recovered	54	50	63	26.0%
GGUN Submissions	93	57	46	-19.3%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	81	30	18	-40.0%

Firearm Activity

As of 1/14/2009

Spring Valley Village Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	3	2	0	2	0	4	1	1	1	1	0	0
GGUN Submissions	3	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	3	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	0	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	0	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	0	
Crime Guns Recovered	6	6	15	
GGUN Submissions	18	6	9	
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	4	5	11	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/21/2009

Suffolk County Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	4	11	4	5	9	16	9	11	13	12	11
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	4	11	4	5	9	17	9	13	10	10	7
Firearm Related Murders	1	0	0	1	2	1	5	3	2	2	2	3
Crime Guns Recovered	275	135	153	191	23	11	23	10	19	21	3	28
GGUN Submissions	28	18	39	22	29	52	33	21	23	27	16	28
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	18	8	25	21	30	24	23	20	19	26	3	36

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	86	74	107	44.6%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	93	80	101	26.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	19	14	22	57.1%
Crime Guns Recovered	2,167	2,233	892	-60.1%
GGUN Submissions	93	342	336	-1.8%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	121	231	253	9.5%

Firearm Activity

As of 1/9/2009

Syracuse City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	5	2	2	6	4	13	16	10	8	12	11	4
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	5	2	2	6	6	14	20	12	8	15	13	6
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	3	2	3
Crime Guns Recovered	32	13	26	26	18	19	12	16	19	18	20	21
GGUN Submissions	11	22	31	22	46	11	45	6	26	35	14	12
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	32	13	26	26	18	19	12	16	19	18	20	21

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	79	95	93	-2.1%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	91	105	109	3.8%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	8	9	14	
Crime Guns Recovered	492	329	240	-27.1%
GGUN Submissions	18	218	281	28.9%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	492	329	240	-27.1%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/20/2009

Troy City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	2	1	2	5	1	6	1	10	0	4	5	3
GGUN Submissions	5	2	1	2	0	0	3	5	1	1	2	2
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	0	1	2	5	0	1	5	4	0	0	1	1

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	7	2	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	8	3	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	2	
Crime Guns Recovered	32	35	40	14.3%
GGUN Submissions	93	20	24	20.0%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	5	5	20	

Firearm Activity

As of 2/6/2009

Utica City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	2	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	1	1	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	8	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	1	1	0
Firearm Related Murders	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Crime Guns Recovered	1	7	4	3	4	2	3	3	10	4	4	5
GGUN Submissions	1	5	2	5	5	2	9	4	10	12	3	5
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	1	5	0	3	3	0	5	1	3	8	1	2

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	13	21	19	-9.5%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	14	22	28	27.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	
Crime Guns Recovered	130	35	50	42.9%
GGUN Submissions	18	46	63	37.0%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	35	50	32	-36.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 2/1/2009

Yonkers City Police Department

	Jan 08	Feb 08	Mar 08	Apr 08	May 08	Jun 08	Jul 08	Aug 08	Sep 08	Oct 08	Nov 08	Dec 08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	1	1	1	3	8	4	4	3	4	3	5
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	1	1	1	3	11	4	4	3	6	4	7
Firearm Related Murders	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Crime Guns Recovered	8	20	11	7	12	8	5	6	10	0	7	3
GGUN Submissions	8	21	12	12	11	5	4	5	48	8	14	4
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	8	20	11	7	12	8	5	6	10	0	7	3

Totals	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007–08
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	44	24	39	62.5%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	52	25	48	92.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	4	5	5	
Crime Guns Recovered	124	105	97	-7.6%
GGUN Submissions	123	99	152	53.5%
Guns Submitted to Lab for Testing	124	105	97	-7.6%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

SECTION X

Annual Arrest Trend Tables

Total IMPACT Arrests

		1997	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City		**113,725**	**105,134**	**103,542**	**102,975**	**103,262**	**101,833**	**100,804**	**102,117**	**106,629**	**102,796**	**102,941**	**0.1%**	**-2.2%**
Felony Arrests within City		**38,420**	**34,867**	**34,870**	**35,316**	**35,107**	**34,066**	**33,825**	**34,484**	**36,054**	**35,184**	**34,380**	**-2.3%**	**0.9%**
	VFO	11,038	9,913	9,952	10,258	10,042	9,611	9,565	9,834	9,969	9,640	9,919	2.9%	-2.8%
	Drug	8,325	7,945	6,904	6,908	7,190	6,543	7,113	7,525	8,097	8,079	6,874	-14.9%	1.7%
	VTL	3,551	2,751	2,699	2,386	2,316	2,255	2,240	2,197	2,359	2,513	2,719	8.2%	-8.7%
	Other	15,506	14,258	15,315	15,764	15,559	15,657	14,907	14,928	15,629	14,952	14,868	-0.6%	4.9%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City		**75,305**	**70,267**	**68,672**	**67,659**	**68,155**	**67,767**	**66,979**	**67,633**	**70,575**	**67,612**	**68,561**	**1.4%**	**-3.8%**
	VTL	12,044	9,903	9,822	9,218	9,583	9,711	10,387	10,621	10,799	10,350	10,306	-0.4%	4.5%
	Drug	11,447	11,534	10,133	9,560	9,618	10,246	10,484	10,889	13,151	12,881	12,478	-3.1%	11.7%
	Other	51,814	48,830	48,717	48,881	48,954	47,810	46,108	46,123	46,625	44,381	45,777	3.1%	-9.1%

Note: Arrests are basd on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Albany Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	4,400	4,545	5,132	4,998	5,307	4,879	5,616	6,303	5,295	4,255	**-19.6%**	**20.3%**
Felony Arrests within City	1,634	1,722	2,041	2,211	2,273	2,098	2,189	2,254	1,859	1,596	**-14.1%**	**13.8%**
VFO	413	431	553	557	534	465	524	492	513	435	-15.2%	24.2%
Drug	534	434	559	592	657	678	674	698	508	367	-27.8%	-4.9%
VTL	43	42	43	46	34	41	64	73	71	63	-11.3%	65.1%
Other	644	815	886	1,016	1,048	914	927	991	767	731	-4.7%	19.1%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	2,766	2,823	3,091	2,787	3,034	2,781	3,427	4,049	3,436	2,659	**-22.6%**	**24.2%**
VTL	182	230	220	217	213	265	378	501	452	384	-15.0%	148.4%
Drug	857	696	737	361	383	346	555	985	796	515	-35.3%	-7.1%
Other	1,727	1,897	2,134	2,209	2,438	2,170	2,494	2,563	2,188	1,760	-19.6%	26.7%

City of Binghamton Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	2,669	2,475	2,622	2,591	2,209	2,141	2,183	2,164	2,232	2,068	**-7.3%**	**-16.4%**
Felony Arrests within City	792	824	809	795	718	681	670	696	683	610	**-10.7%**	**-13.8%**
VFO	191	171	167	191	173	180	200	179	184	170	-7.6%	-3.7%
Drug	214	273	218	223	181	152	175	191	170	120	-29.4%	-20.6%
VTL	40	48	60	38	32	37	38	39	32	29	-9.4%	-20.0%
Other	347	332	364	343	332	312	257	287	297	291	-2.0%	-14.4%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	1,877	1,651	1,813	1,796	1,491	1,460	1,513	1,468	1,549	1,458	**-5.9%**	**-17.5%**
VTL	136	112	107	101	85	127	123	101	103	130	26.2%	-24.3%
Drug	107	141	113	84	62	88	111	122	180	141	-21.7%	68.2%
Other	1,634	1,398	1,593	1,611	1,344	1,245	1,279	1,245	1,266	1,187	-6.2%	-22.5%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Buffalo Arrests

		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City		17,212	15,691	14,843	14,814	14,422	13,269	13,190	14,218	13,457	14,260	6.0%	-21.8%
Felony Arrests within City		6,240	5,836	5,860	5,820	5,391	5,078	5,012	5,267	5,311	5,593	5.3%	-14.9%
	VFO	2,019	2,019	2,002	2,031	1,889	1,832	1,839	1,869	1,762	1,873	6.3%	-12.7%
	Drug	1,431	1,136	1,237	1,294	1,023	944	963	1,218	1,519	1,418	-6.6%	6.1%
	VTL	104	122	125	101	169	127	133	178	140	187	33.6%	34.6%
	Other	2,686	2,559	2,496	2,394	2,310	2,175	2,077	2,002	1,890	2,115	11.9%	-29.6%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City		10,972	9,855	8,983	8,994	9,031	8,191	8,178	8,951	8,146	8,667	6.4%	-25.8%
	VTL	390	451	352	355	330	300	340	346	291	380	30.6%	-25.4%
	Drug	2,601	2,131	1,962	1,875	1,939	1,759	1,771	2,368	2,256	2,577	14.2%	-13.3%
	Other	7,981	7,273	6,669	6,764	6,762	6,132	6,067	6,237	5,599	5,710	2.0%	-29.8%

City of Jamestown Arrests

		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City		1,819	1,804	1,733	1,691	1,696	1,855	1,685	1,740	1,690	1,664	-1.5%	-7.1%
Felony Arrests within City		559	585	603	572	592	710	685	645	634	586	-7.6%	13.4%
	VFO	166	160	167	160	197	162	169	153	183	175	-4.4%	10.2%
	Drug	151	133	151	135	140	210	191	160	164	100	-39.0%	8.6%
	VTL	37	51	33	28	19	35	36	26	27	22	-18.5%	-27.0%
	Other	205	241	252	249	236	303	289	306	260	289	11.2%	26.8%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City		1,260	1,219	1,130	1,119	1,104	1,145	1,000	1,095	1,056	1,078	2.1%	-16.2%
	VTL	188	211	168	138	94	159	129	158	119	101	-15.1%	-36.7%
	Drug	118	88	82	56	72	68	65	75	91	80	-12.1%	-22.9%
	Other	954	920	880	925	938	918	806	862	846	897	6.0%	-11.3%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Kingston Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	**1,819**	**1,804**	**1,733**	**1,691**	**1,696**	**1,855**	**1,685**	**1,740**	**1,690**	**1,664**	**-1.5%**	**-7.1%**
Felony Arrests within City	**559**	**585**	**603**	**572**	**592**	**710**	**685**	**645**	**634**	**586**	**-7.6%**	**13.4%**
VFO	166	160	167	160	197	162	169	153	183	175	-4.4%	10.2%
Drug	151	133	151	135	140	210	191	160	164	100	-39.0%	8.6%
VTL	37	51	33	28	19	35	36	26	27	22	-18.5%	-27.0%
Other	205	241	252	249	236	303	289	306	260	289	11.2%	26.8%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	**1,260**	**1,219**	**1,130**	**1,119**	**1,104**	**1,145**	**1,000**	**1,095**	**1,056**	**1,078**	**2.1%**	**-16.2%**
VTL	188	211	168	138	94	159	129	158	119	101	-15.1%	-36.7%
Drug	118	88	82	56	72	68	65	75	91	80	-12.1%	-22.9%
Other	954	920	880	925	938	918	806	862	846	897	6.0%	-11.3%

Nassau County Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	**16,389**	**15,861**	**16,594**	**16,533**	**16,991**	**17,164**	**17,001**	**18,007**	**17,577**	**18,056**	**2.7%**	**7.2%**
Felony Arrests within County	**5,370**	**5,191**	**5,709**	**5,537**	**5,617**	**5,588**	**5,831**	**6,234**	**5,990**	**6,053**	**1.1%**	**11.5%**
VFO	1,511	1,418	1,526	1,611	1,544	1,505	1,555	1,587	1,520	1,587	4.4%	0.6%
Drug	936	876	1,019	971	893	922	1,009	965	1,026	985	-4.0%	9.6%
VTL	582	501	507	493	459	481	433	474	493	607	23.1%	-15.3%
Other	2,341	2,396	2,657	2,462	2,721	2,680	2,834	3,208	2,951	2,874	-2.6%	26.1%
Misdemeanor Arrests within County	**11,019**	**10,670**	**10,885**	**10,996**	**11,374**	**11,576**	**11,170**	**11,773**	**11,587**	**12,003**	**3.6%**	**5.2%**
VTL	2,498	2,403	2,576	2,701	2,798	3,357	3,025	2,887	2,904	2,988	2.9%	16.3%
Drug	1,077	1,131	1,154	1,180	1,364	1,437	1,414	1,654	1,744	1,750	0.3%	61.9%
Other	7,444	7,136	7,155	7,115	7,212	6,782	6,731	7,232	6,939	7,265	4.7%	-6.8%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Newburgh Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	1,643	1,785	1,664	1,462	1,491	1,740	2,313	1,982	1,959	1,734	-11.5%	19.2%
Felony Arrests within City	478	540	542	502	539	595	794	659	676	631	-6.7%	41.4%
VFO	117	169	161	150	170	172	192	166	170	197	15.9%	45.3%
Drug	163	148	176	158	139	190	350	271	209	209	0.0%	28.2%
VTL	33	34	47	38	37	44	51	34	27	38	40.7%	-18.2%
Other	165	189	158	156	193	189	201	188	270	187	-30.7%	63.6%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	1,165	1,245	1,122	960	952	1,145	1,519	1,323	1,283	1,103	-14.0%	10.1%
VTL	100	167	216	143	130	155	203	138	123	115	-6.5%	23.0%
Drug	265	168	152	130	105	249	521	419	339	270	-20.4%	27.9%
Other	800	910	754	687	717	741	795	766	821	718	-12.5%	2.6%

City of Niagara Falls Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	2,716	2,269	2,239	2,143	2,430	2,670	2,721	2,763	2,459	2,642	7.4%	-9.5%
Felony Arrests within City	836	618	680	723	708	880	894	940	816	903	10.7%	-2.4%
VFO	320	245	253	251	241	289	343	318	253	320	26.5%	-20.9%
Drug	162	104	119	143	114	141	144	201	138	121	-12.3%	-14.8%
VTL	36	29	34	31	40	46	59	56	60	64	6.7%	66.7%
Other	318	240	274	298	313	404	348	365	365	398	9.0%	14.8%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	1,880	1,651	1,559	1,420	1,722	1,790	1,827	1,823	1,643	1,739	5.8%	-12.6%
VTL	189	198	160	150	183	204	261	271	162	202	24.7%	-14.3%
Drug	132	120	103	33	84	94	156	173	156	200	28.2%	18.2%
Other	1,559	1,333	1,296	1,237	1,455	1,492	1,410	1,379	1,325	1,337	0.9%	-15.0%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Poughkeepsie Arrests

		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City		1,216	1,174	1,211	1,202	1,202	1,449	1,415	1,254	1,204	1,258	**4.5%**	**-1.0%**
Felony Arrests within City		457	427	450	482	504	580	542	496	424	471	**11.1%**	**-7.2%**
	VFO	108	91	123	117	144	153	154	147	127	145	14.2%	17.6%
	Drug	147	121	100	110	130	141	144	122	69	68	-1.4%	-53.1%
	VTL	12	19	17	23	25	30	25	25	34	30	-11.8%	183.3%
	Other	190	196	210	232	205	256	219	202	194	228	17.5%	2.1%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City		759	747	761	720	698	869	873	758	780	787	**0.9%**	**2.8%**
	VTL	51	88	69	86	86	126	139	97	104	132	26.9%	103.9%
	Drug	163	105	84	110	103	145	129	110	102	90	-11.8%	-37.4%
	Other	545	554	608	524	509	598	605	551	574	565	-1.6%	5.3%

City of Rochester Arrests

		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City		12,407	13,368	11,956	11,567	10,301	10,192	10,002	10,085	9,934	11,102	**11.8%**	**-19.9%**
Felony Arrests within City		4,076	4,401	3,876	3,709	3,219	3,158	2,963	3,149	3,214	3,434	**6.8%**	**-21.1%**
	VFO	977	1,086	975	948	911	868	898	991	993	1,063	7.0%	1.6%
	Drug	1,402	1,288	1,036	1,084	848	772	704	804	796	828	4.0%	-43.2%
	VTL	322	433	336	281	228	258	230	291	366	500	36.6%	13.7%
	Other	1,375	1,594	1,529	1,396	1,232	1,260	1,131	1,063	1,059	1,043	-1.5%	-23.0%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City		8,331	8,967	8,080	7,858	7,082	7,034	7,039	6,936	6,720	7,668	**14.1%**	**-19.3%**
	VTL	1,105	1,060	902	768	710	785	701	725	750	790	5.3%	-32.1%
	Drug	1,089	1,048	820	1,043	753	737	707	798	812	1,059	30.4%	-25.4%
	Other	6,137	6,859	6,358	6,047	5,619	5,512	5,631	5,413	5,158	5,819	12.8%	-16.0%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Schenectady Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	1,832	1,692	1,914	2,095	2,283	2,194	2,537	2,591	2,426	2,450	1.0%	32.4%
Felony Arrests within City	756	719	737	740	709	696	838	942	857	837	-2.3%	13.4%
VFO	184	182	181	164	151	172	209	226	224	284	26.8%	21.7%
Drug	222	215	229	245	222	198	210	289	229	150	-34.5%	3.2%
VTL	64	29	23	31	40	35	31	29	42	38	-9.5%	-34.4%
Other	286	293	304	300	296	291	388	398	362	365	0.8%	26.6%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	1,076	973	1,177	1,355	1,574	1,498	1,699	1,649	1,569	1,613	2.8%	45.8%
VTL	126	87	84	161	149	127	155	170	139	135	-2.9%	10.3%
Drug	220	140	81	62	103	90	138	152	104	91	-12.5%	-52.7%
Other	730	746	1,012	1,132	1,322	1,281	1,406	1,327	1,326	1,387	4.6%	81.6%

Village of Spring Valley Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	664	487	697	715	823	965	1,107	1,012	940	1,050	11.7%	41.6%
Felony Arrests within City	243	191	259	239	255	285	347	345	362	391	8.0%	49.0%
VFO	82	77	125	91	83	95	118	78	116	129	11.2%	41.5%
Drug	53	27	31	42	66	46	50	72	70	73	4.3%	32.1%
VTL	12	11	15	20	21	42	59	41	37	48	29.7%	208.3%
Other	96	76	88	86	85	102	120	154	139	141	1.4%	44.8%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	421	296	438	476	568	680	760	667	578	659	14.0%	37.3%
VTL	53	22	54	89	161	205	219	141	151	113	-25.2%	184.9%
Drug	94	45	67	62	49	87	94	102	54	56	3.7%	-42.6%
Other	274	229	317	325	358	388	447	424	373	490	31.4%	36.1%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

Suffolk County Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	24,301	25,157	23,934	25,836	26,063	24,657	24,568	26,315	25,199	24,394	-3.2%	3.7%
Felony Arrests within County	7,196	7,365	7,134	7,449	7,548	7,099	7,204	7,716	7,482	6,765	-9.6%	4.0%
VFO	1,658	1,759	1,738	1,651	1,570	1,627	1,602	1,784	1,598	1,525	-4.6%	-3.6%
Drug	1,249	1,030	779	999	1,042	1,210	1,382	1,450	1,467	1,034	-29.5%	17.5%
VTL	1,235	1,133	942	1,002	986	867	793	867	932	873	-6.3%	-24.5%
Other	3,054	3,443	3,675	3,797	3,950	3,395	3,427	3,615	3,485	3,333	-4.4%	14.1%
Misdemeanor Arrests within County	17,105	17,792	16,800	18,387	18,515	17,558	17,364	18,599	17,717	17,629	-0.5%	3.6%
VTL	3,879	3,747	3,338	3,799	4,041	3,706	3,991	4,211	3,954	3,727	-5.7%	1.9%
Drug	3,134	3,049	2,784	3,417	3,983	3,903	3,580	4,370	4,157	3,639	-12.5%	32.6%
Other	10,092	10,996	10,678	11,171	10,491	9,949	9,793	10,018	9,606	10,263	6.8%	-4.8%

City of Syracuse Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	8,742	8,286	8,593	7,777	7,021	7,096	7,049	7,081	7,298	7,098	-2.7%	-16.5%
Felony Arrests within City	3,409	3,346	3,407	3,123	2,865	2,774	2,753	2,841	2,968	2,825	-4.8%	-12.9%
VFO	1,220	1,049	1,160	1,013	896	900	888	890	917	901	-1.7%	-24.8%
Drug	561	519	511	528	433	566	545	563	587	528	-10.1%	4.6%
VTL	96	92	93	73	60	64	101	77	113	106	-6.2%	17.7%
Other	1,532	1,686	1,643	1,509	1,476	1,244	1,219	1,311	1,351	1,290	-4.5%	-11.8%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	5,333	4,940	5,186	4,654	4,156	4,322	4,296	4,240	4,330	4,273	-1.3%	-18.8%
VTL	398	354	365	312	252	255	369	297	413	439	6.3%	3.8%
Drug	766	612	648	576	552	561	571	616	741	664	-10.4%	-3.3%
Other	4,169	3,974	4,173	3,766	3,352	3,506	3,356	3,327	3,176	3,170	-0.2%	-23.8%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Troy Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	1,819	1,804	1,733	1,691	1,696	1,855	1,685	1,740	1,690	1,664	-1.5%	-7.1%
Felony Arrests within City	559	585	603	572	592	710	685	645	634	586	-7.6%	13.4%
VFO	166	160	167	160	197	162	169	153	183	175	-4.4%	10.2%
Drug	151	133	151	135	140	210	191	160	164	100	-39.0%	8.6%
VTL	37	51	33	28	19	35	36	26	27	22	-18.5%	-27.0%
Other	205	241	252	249	236	303	289	306	260	289	11.2%	26.8%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	1,260	1,219	1,130	1,119	1,104	1,145	1,000	1,095	1,056	1,078	2.1%	-16.2%
VTL	188	211	168	138	94	159	129	158	119	101	-15.1%	-36.7%
Drug	118	88	82	56	72	68	65	75	91	80	-12.1%	-22.9%
Other	954	920	880	925	938	918	806	862	846	897	6.0%	-11.3%

City of Utica Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	1,730	1,200	2,338	2,416	2,237	2,378	2,272	2,318	2,423	2,358	-2.7%	40.1%
Felony Arrests within City	403	396	453	517	437	556	533	600	674	653	-3.1%	67.2%
VFO	129	144	142	161	122	161	124	149	147	180	22.4%	14.0%
Drug	148	106	146	126	111	177	157	167	214	188	-12.1%	44.6%
VTL	22	8	9	19	24	24	24	29	22	21	-4.5%	0.0%
Other	104	138	156	211	180	194	228	255	291	264	-9.3%	179.8%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	1,327	804	1,885	1,899	1,800	1,822	1,739	1,718	1,749	1,705	-2.5%	31.8%
VTL	87	68	100	94	95	95	86	102	130	136	4.6%	49.4%
Drug	222	114	255	183	225	324	258	338	271	250	-7.7%	22.1%
Other	1,018	622	1,530	1,622	1,480	1,403	1,395	1,278	1,348	1,319	-2.2%	32.4%

Note: Arrests are based on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.

City of Yonkers Arrests

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% Change 2007-2008	% Change 1999-2008
Total Arrests within City	**3,756**	**4,140**	**4,039**	**4,040**	**3,965**	**4,445**	**5,088**	**5,316**	**5,323**	**5,224**	**-1.9%**	**41.7%**
Felony Arrests within City	**1,300**	**1,539**	**1,550**	**1,544**	**1,507**	**1,627**	**1,859**	**1,980**	**1,966**	**1,860**	**-5.4%**	**51.2%**
VFO	486	631	651	626	592	660	681	634	567	585	3.2%	16.7%
Drug	270	228	295	270	264	346	445	606	585	485	-17.1%	116.7%
VTL	39	45	36	36	43	39	48	68	63	49	-22.2%	61.5%
Other	505	635	568	612	608	582	685	672	751	741	-1.3%	48.7%
Misdemeanor Arrests within City	**2,456**	**2,601**	**2,489**	**2,496**	**2,458**	**2,818**	**3,229**	**3,336**	**3,357**	**3,364**	**0.2%**	**36.7%**
VTL	145	202	171	193	196	203	244	338	317	332	4.7%	118.6%
Drug	453	369	354	334	325	460	689	719	896	936	4.5%	97.8%
Other	1,858	2,030	1,964	1,969	1,937	2,155	2,296	2,279	2,144	2,096	-2.2%	15.4%

Note: Arrests are basd on Geographical location.

Source: DCJS, Computerized Criminal History (CCH) as of 02/07/2007.