

Andrew M. Cuomo Governor

Michael C. Green Executive Deputy Commissioner



# **OPERATION IMPACT**

# Annual Report - 2011

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services 80 South Swan Street Albany, NY 12210 www.criminaljustice.ny.gov

# **Table of Contents**

I.	Intro	oduction	1
II.	Ope	eration IMPACT Funding	3
	A.	Award Process	
	B.	Personnel	5
	C.	Initiatives	5
	D.	Benefits of IMPACT Funding to Local Agencies	7
III.	Part	tnership Highlights by County	7
IV.	Stat	e Agency Contributions	18
V.	Stati	istical Reports	ppendix A

## I. INTRODUCTION

The New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) implemented Operation IMPACT in 2004, targeting the 17 New York State counties that account for more than 80 percent of Part 1 index crime in the State outside of New York City.<sup>1</sup> This initiative has provided participating law enforcement agencies with the information, tools and resources necessary to implement a data-driven approach to policing.

Operation IMPACT is a highly focused initiative, with a strong emphasis on **law enforcement partnerships, crime analysis, intelligence development and information sharing**. To ensure Operation IMPACT resources are funneled to the appropriate areas, the jurisdiction that accounts for the highest volume of violent crime within each county is named as the "primary jurisdiction" and is the focus of the IMPACT crime reduction efforts. The table below lists the 17 participating counties and their respective primary jurisdiction police departments.

COUNTY	PRIMARY JURISDICTION POLICE					
	DEPARTMENT					
Albany	Albany City Police Department					
Broome	Binghamton City Police Department					
Chautauqua	Jamestown City Police Department					
Dutchess	Poughkeepsie City Police Department					
Erie	Buffalo City Police Department					
Monroe	Rochester City Police Department					
Nassau	Nassau County Police Department					
Niagara	Niagara Falls City Police Department					
Oneida	Utica City Police Department					
Onondaga	Syracuse City Police Department					
Orange	Newburgh City Police Department					
Rensselaer	Troy City Police Department					
Rockland	Spring Valley Village Police Department					
Schenectady	Schenectady City Police Department					
Suffolk	Suffolk County Police Department					
Ulster	Kingston City Police Department					
Westchester	Yonkers City Police Department					

#### A. Law Enforcement Partnerships

The crux of Operation IMPACT is having in place strong, solid law enforcement partnerships from which to draw resources, expertise and assistance. Each of the 17 Operation IMPACT counties has assembled an IMPACT partnership composed of representatives from all levels of law enforcement. The partnership is co-chaired by the primary jurisdiction's chief or commissioner of police and the county district attorney. The other agencies represented are the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Part 1 crimes are the seven index crimes reported to DCJS by all New York State law enforcement agencies as part of the federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) requirements. They are violent crimes of: **murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault**; and the property crimes of **burglary, larceny, and motor vehicle theft**.

county Sheriff's Office and Probation Department; New York State Police; Department of Corrections and Community Supervision; various federal law enforcement agencies such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), the U.S. Marshal's Service, and the U.S. Attorney's Office. Each year, applications submitted for Operation IMPACT funding must include a Memorandum of Understanding signed by authorized individuals from each of these agencies, indicating their willingness to contribute to the crime reduction efforts within those counties and jurisdictions.

In addition to the 17 primary police departments, five of the partnerships include secondary police departments as participating agencies. There are three DCJS-designated secondary jurisdictions – those where the violent crime volume doesn't reach the level of the primary jurisdiction but are nonetheless substantial enough to warrant participation in the program. Those jurisdictions are Hempstead Police Department (Nassau County); Middletown Police Department (Orange County); and Mt. Vernon Police Department (Westchester County).

The benefit of law enforcement partnerships is that they afford the ability to harness numerous resources, focusing them on the most persistent crime problems within each IMPACT jurisdiction. Each individual agency offers different perspectives, skill sets, and resources to law enforcement. In addition, partnerships allow resources to be coordinated, avoiding the potential for having two different agencies expend time, money and effort on the same offender or incident.

#### **B.** The Analysis of Timely, Accurate Crime Data

Crime analysis has proven to be the strongest component of IMPACT. The benefit of a crime analysis program is that it creates a crime "picture" based on the timely and accurate data collected and reported by the police department. IMPACT law enforcement agencies are contractually required to submit their Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Part 1 Reports within 30 days of the end of the month. The quality of the crime data being reported by the IMPACT agencies has been both expanded and improved by working closely with the DCJS Crime Reporting and Data Quality Unit. IMPACT jurisdictions also submit a shooting incident and victim report to DCJS monthly so that this important measure of crime can be tracked.

As the quality and timeliness of the crime data improved, agency command staff began to use the data to make informed decisions about when, where, and what type of resources to deploy in response to the patterns and trends revealed through the analysis of crime. Today, IMPACT can lay claim to the fact that every IMPACT chief or designated command staff member meets frequently with other staff members to review recent crime trends and develop a plan to address those trends.

#### C. Intelligence Development and Information Sharing

Another critical outcome of Operation IMPACT is the enhanced ability of the IMPACT law enforcement agencies to cultivate intelligence and share information with other IMPACT partner agencies within their specific county and beyond. One of the first goals of Operation IMPACT was to encourage each participating agency to find ways to share the information at their disposal with their partners. This included information about their most persistent crime problems, the areas within their jurisdiction with the highest volume of crime, current investigations, etc. This concept, although seemingly simple, meant breaking down barriers that existed among law enforcement agencies for decades. The sharing of information began simply, with partners exchanging information around the table at monthly Operation IMPACT meetings. It has now evolved to near-daily sharing of intelligence and information through regular joint operations and details, shared databases, intelligence bulletins, and more.

In addition, all 17 partnerships utilize the field intelligence concept to facilitate this regular flow of information among agencies. Almost every participating IMPACT law enforcement agency has assigned a field intelligence officer (FIO) who works to obtain as much intelligence as possible through the regular debriefing of arrestees, probationers, parolees, inmates, and other persons of interest. Unlike interrogation, debriefing is an interview method used to obtain actionable intelligence – information that might prove useful in solving other, unrelated crimes and paint a more complete picture of the crime conditions within a given jurisdiction. In nearly all 17 counties, the various FIOs within a partnership work closely together, in some instances even becoming a stand-alone unit. They coordinate the gathering of criminal intelligence based on the particular crime trends of the previous week or month. This maximizes their effectiveness, as the team is then able to determine the exact topic and population to target when conducting their debriefings. For instance, if crime analysis indicates that a cluster of burglaries has occurred in a particular area, the probation and parole FIOs, in concert with the police department and sheriff's office FIOs, might debrief all of the people under supervision for a charge of burglary or those that live in the cluster area. The FIOs share all of the information they obtain with patrol, investigative, supervisory and command staff to enhance their ability to conduct day-to-day operations.

#### **II. OPERATION IMPACT FUNDING**

#### A. Award Process

Each spring, the DCJS Office of Public Safety (OPS) releases a Request for Applications (RFA) for funding to support Operation IMPACT crime reduction initiatives. In 2011, DCJS staff analyzed reported Part 1 crimes and provided IMPACT agencies with target crimes of focus. These crimes were chosen based on the latest data available and focused on crimes that were trending upward. The RFA is very specific, guiding each applicant to provide the strategy they propose to address the crimes of focus; the role that each partner agency, whether receiving funding or not, will play in implementing the proposed strategy; and the amount of funding that they believe will be required to effectively implement their proposed strategy.

Each of the 17 participating partnerships must submit a single, comprehensive application in response to the RFA. This was originally designed to facilitate a deeper level of collaboration between the various law enforcement agencies that had traditionally worked independently of one another and, for the most part, had different missions. The belief was that by working together to analyze the crime, develop the strategy, and submit the applications, the participating agencies would have a common goal for which to strive.

Agencies eligible to apply for and receive funding are the district attorney's office, the primary police department, secondary police departments, the sheriff's office, and the probation department. In prior years, some partnership co-chairs determined that other municipal government agencies or non-profits organizations played an important enough role in the implementation of their strategy that they included those agencies in their strategy proposal and request for funding. However, the 2011-2012 IMPACT RFA was open to only those agencies that received a 2010-2011 Operation IMPACT award.

New York State and federal agencies are not eligible to apply for funding under this initiative, but the strategy proposed through each application must still include the active participation contributions of these non-funded agencies.

Once the applications were received, OPS staff reviewed them and made funding recommendations to the DCJS Acting Commissioner. Recommendations are based on the strength of the proposed crime reduction strategy; prior year compliance with program and contract requirements; and the volume of crime within the primary jurisdiction and the county.

The 2011-2012 Operation IMPACT awards, within each county, are outlined in the chart below.

County	Award Amount
Monroe	\$1,686,000
Erie	\$1,490,200
Westchester	\$1,343,800
Suffolk	\$1,174,500
Onondaga	\$1,086,700
Nassau	\$1,014,100
Albany	\$897,100
Orange	\$742,500
Schenectady	\$721,400
Niagara	\$594,500
Rensselaer	\$478,900
Oneida	\$423,700
Broome	\$379,300
Dutchess	\$371,300
Rockland	\$264,000
Ulster	\$259,200
Chautauqua	\$228,100

#### **B.** Personnel

In 2011, Operation IMPACT funding supported *112 positions* including police officers, police and district attorney investigators, assistant district attorneys, probation officers, sheriff's deputies, crime analysts, and field intelligence officers. Approximately 51 percent of the funded positions are dedicated to the crime analysis and intelligence development and information sharing facets of the program. IMPACT funding supports *20 crime analysts* and *37 field intelligence officers*. This is an excellent illustration of the partnerships' commitment to those two components of the program and how they have become standard operating procedure within participating agencies.

#### C. Initiatives

Each year, the Operation IMPACT partnerships are required to develop a comprehensive strategy to address Part 1 crime, particularly violent crime, within the primary jurisdiction. Each strategy is comprised of different initiatives, and each initiative is intended to address a particular crime problem or problems.

The following initiatives are the most common to the crime reduction strategies developed by the 17 county partnerships.

- 1. Directed/Saturation Patrols These patrols, often supported through Operation IMPACT funding, are planned using the most up-to-date crime data available so that they are conducted at the appropriate time, and days of the week, and in the crime hot spots of the jurisdiction, thereby having the maximum effect on identified spikes in crime. These patrols are generally led by the primary police department, but often include major contributions of time and staffing by the county sheriff's office, the New York State Police, and, in some instances, secondary police departments.
- 2. Probation/Parole Home Visits Probation and parole officers have easier access to the people they supervise than the police, and can conduct random home visits, for example. Probation and parole conditions often include curfews, drug and/or alcohol abstinence and prohibition on being in bars or other drinking establishments. As such, the police departments in all 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions generally include probation/parole joint home visits as part of their strategy. Crime analysis is used to hone the focus of these visits. For example, if robbery is spiking, the police and probation/parole officers may visit all those under supervision for a robbery offense, or all probationers or parolees that live in the area where the increase is occurring. These initiatives have been very successful in not only obtaining actionable intelligence, but also in making those still under supervision aware that their officers are teaming up with the police in a focused manner.

There are variations on the home visit initiative. Joint operations between police and probation/parole have been conducted at problem establishments as part of a focused

effort to build a case against a particular bar or social club. These operations often include the New York State Liquor Authority and other local departments (code, health, fire, etc.). Operation IMPACT funding provides many probation departments in particular with the opportunity to conduct these off-hours details. Parole has conducted "Meet and Greet" operations, requiring their parolees to report to the police station at a predetermined date and time where they are then drug-tested, searched, and debriefed on theirs and others activities.

- **3.** Warrant Sweeps Operation IMPACT funding is often used to conduct warrant sweeps, which seek offenders with more serious warrants or to apprehend offenders who have outstanding warrants and a previous history of violent crime. Sex offenders and individuals with outstanding domestic violence charges are also targeted through these sweeps.
- 4. Chronic Offender Initiatives There are several different variations of this initiative, but the main concept is a heightened level of accountability for the most serious offenders by identifying and focusing on those who are known to commit a disproportionate percentage of the jurisdiction's violent crime. The work of crime analysts is critical to these initiatives, as they generally use very specific criteria to develop the list of chronic offenders. Once the list is developed, other initiatives, such as home visits and warrant sweeps, can be used to hold these offenders accountable if they are currently under supervision or have an outstanding warrant against them. The list is reviewed and updated regularly.
- 5. Narcotics and Gang Operations A portion of every jurisdiction's violent crime is a result of drug and gang activity. In addition to the violent crime associated with these two factors, open-air drug dealing and street-level gang activity can significantly reduce the quality of life within neighborhoods. Police officials agree that every violent crime-reduction strategy must include some details that address these illegal activities. Undercover operations, foot patrols, saturation patrols, and programs designed to intervene in the aftermath of gang and gun violence have had some success in addressing both.
- 6. Enhanced Prosecution Efforts Most IMPACT strategies include some level of enhanced prosecution for violent offenses or firearm-related violent crime. Flagging IMPACT cases for vertical prosecution and creating no-plea zones within a jurisdiction are just two examples of how district attorneys' offices are doing their part to address violent crime.
- 7. Intelligence Led Policing IMPACT agencies have been encouraged to utilize the services of their crime analysts to drill down into reported crimes to determine patterns as well as to attempt to predict future criminal activities. Additionally, the services of the Crime Analysis Centers throughout the state have been utilized to provide information on

shared offenders as well as to share information crime trends and to provide success stories in combating and solving these crimes.

#### **D.** Benefits of IMPACT Funding to Local Agencies

Operation IMPACT provides reinforcement and critical funding that allows local agencies to maximize their ability to police effectively during difficult fiscal times. Law enforcement executives have repeatedly stated that without IMPACT funding, vital positions would be lost, the ability to analyze crime would significantly shrink, and funding for additional, strategic operations would dry up, leaving them without the resources to stem crime. For several years now, IMPACT chiefs, commanding officers and other administrators have indicated that their approved complement of officers has shrunk, sometimes to levels not seen in 20 years, and their overtime budgets have been significantly reduced, leaving them to use their overtime to staff for regular patrol shifts. IMPACT provides the additional financial resources for targeted operations that make a difference.

All funding requested through IMPACT must reasonably outline why a requested budget item can't be supported in the agency's general budget. Application budgets must also include clear justification as to how each particular item requested is vital to strategy implementation. Partner agencies that are eligible for funding use the support they get through this program in a variety of different ways. Whether the request is for personnel, equipment, or consultant services, each funding area provides the support needed to successfully implement their strategies. Funding requests are carefully analyzed for need and funding awards are closely monitored.

# III. PARTNERSHIP HIGHLIGHTS & STRATEGIES

Each of the 17 IMPACT partnerships uses a significant portion of their funding to implement their strategies to reduce violent crime. Police departments receive little to no funding within their operating budget to develop and conduct targeted anti-crime initiatives beyond their daily operations. IMPACT funding provides this valuable support but with ample oversight from DCJS to ensure strategies are focused and tied into the overall goal to reduce specific Part I crimes. Each partnership has its own unique initiatives and in 2011, DCJS determined the targeted crimes on which each jurisdiction was required to focus. Below is a bulleted summary of each jurisdiction's targeted crime and their strategies to address them.

# **ALBANY COUNTY – City of Albany Police**

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Larceny

- The Safe Homes/Safe Streets Partnership combines the Albany Police Department (APD), the Albany County District Attorney's Office, the Albany County Sheriff's Office, New York State Police, and various city departments to implement this nuisance abatement program through searches of abandoned properties for evidence of illicit activity. One of the most notable initiatives undertaken through this program was the search for "community guns." All searches are pre-approved by the property owner.
- The APD and DOCCS conducted joint patrols and home visits to obtain intelligence and ensure compliance with conditions. A similar initiative was conducted with the county probation department.
- Wireless access points were utilized to allow the Albany Crime Analysis Center (ACAC) broadband connection to cars and handheld devices in real time.
- Saturation patrols were conducted in hot-spots determined by real time crime analysis produced by the ACAC.
- Weekly command accountability meetings involved discussion on topics based on analysis produced by the ACAC.
- The Albany County District Attorney's Office continued to conduct long-term, multiagency investigations targeting the most prolific gang members/associates.
- The DA's Office focused on accountability for problematic property owners, including the seizure of property or recovery for costs of investigations where applicable.
- Recognizing gang membership/activity as a primary link to street robberies, the APD enhanced its intelligence gathering components for gang member/associates.
- With a focus on early intervention, arrest diversion, and truancy abatement, the police department made summer home visits to the Top 100 elementary truants in an effort to reduce juvenile crime.

# BROOME COUNTY - City of Binghamton Police

Targeted Crimes: Burglary and Larceny

- Crime prevention and education was a major component in the strategy to reduce burglaries. Educational flyers, e-mail alerts, and door-to-door canvassing were utilized in problematic areas.
- The SUNY Binghamton Police Department was included in the monthly IMPACT meetings since many victims of burglaries are students living both on and off campus.
- Saturation patrols were conducted with the Broome County Sheriff's Office based on crime analysis.
- DNA collection at crime scenes was enhanced and additional training was provided to first responding officers.
- The Binghamton Police Department expanded upon its surveillance camera project in the city.

• The Binghamton Police Department received technical assistance this year to develop a CompStat process and is currently incorporating CompStat into their agencies crime reduction efforts.

# CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY – City of Jamestown Police

**Targeted Crimes:** Robbery and Burglary

- A Burglary/Robbery Task Force was established with investigators assigned from the IMPACT partnership.
- Targeted IMPACT patrols were deployed based on crime analysis.
- A Parolee and Probationer Compliance Task Force was established with an emphasis on robbery.
- Field intelligence officers from the Jamestown Police Department and Chautauqua County Sheriff's Office conducted targeted debriefings using real-time crime analysis.
- The Chautauqua County District Attorney's Office utilized vertical prosecution for all cases of robberies and burglaries that had a component of violence.
- The District Attorney's Office developed a limited plea policy and conducted regular reviews for possible federal prosecution on IMPACT-targeted crimes.
- Services were offered to non-violent burglary offenders who were determined to have a viable chance at rehabilitation.

# **DUTCHESS COUNTY – City of Poughkeepsie Police**

Targeted Crimes: Aggravated Assault, Robbery and Burglary

- Saturation patrols were conducted based on analysis.
- The field intelligence officer conducted targeted debriefings on all IMPACT-targeted crimes using real time crime analysis.
- The Dutchess County District Attorney's Office monitored cases for all IMPACTtargeted crimes and worked with the U.S. Attorney's Office in order to determine which cases were eligible for federal prosecution.
- The District Attorney appointed an IMPACT initiatives assistant district attorney who handled the coordination of the prosecution of all IMPACT-flagged crimes.
- Directed patrols were deployed to areas where robberies and burglaries had the highest incidence of occurrence as determined by crime analysis.
- The District Attorney's Office worked to strengthen the investigation of violent crimes in the IMPACT area through the violent crime forensic enhancement initiative.

# **ERIE COUNTY – City of Buffalo Police**

#### Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Burglary

- Based on information provided by the Erie Crime Analysis Center (ECAC), the IMPACT partners implemented the Violent Crime IMPACT Team, which worked to solve shooting incidents.
- Targeted patrols were concentrated in shooting hot spot and possible retaliatory locations.
- Multi-jurisdictional warrant sweeps of violent offenders were conducted.
- The four IMPACT assistant district attorneys (ADAs) adhered to the no-plea policy for cases involving loaded firearms and referred gun cases to the U.S. Attorney's Office for federal prosecution when appropriate.
- The criminal intelligence specialist and confidential criminal investigator worked with local law enforcement and the IMPACT ADAs to enhance the likelihood of convictions for gun crime and to connect gun violence to additional suspects.
- The ECAC routinely provided the probation officer and sheriff's office field intelligence officer with robbery and burglary information.
- DNA was collected at burglary crime scenes to enhance the investigations of those crimes.
- BPD distributed a burglary safety tip door hanger to residents of burglary hot spots and pattern areas in an effort to prevent burglaries.
- The IMPACT ADAs worked with the ECAC, the Erie County District Attorney's Office criminal investigator and criminal intelligence specialist, and other IMPACT partners to strengthen robbery and burglary cases and link defendants to additional robberies and burglaries using information provided. When possible, the Erie County District Attorney's Office took advantage of DNA hits.

# **MONROE COUNTY – City of Rochester Police**

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Burglary

- The Rochester Police Department conducted joint patrols of firearm violence hot spots as determined through crime analysis; and conducted Violent Retaliatory Dispute Interventions and targeted offender details.
- The police department strived to improve firearms investigations through enhanced ballistic matching.
- Probation and parole officers conducted residence and curfew checks of offenders known to commit firearm-related crime.
- The police department conducted violent crime pattern disruption details.
- Full-time assistant district attorneys were assigned to the Gun; Major Felony; and Drug Bureaus to prosecute IMPACT-related cases.
- The Monroe County District Attorney's Office enhanced the investigation, prosecution and adjudication of all cases on crimes that were the focus of the IMPACT strategy. DA investigators, paralegals, and victim/witness advocates were utilized to strengthen cases and to ensure that witnesses and victims were available for court appearances; experienced prosecutors were assigned to vertically prosecute all IMPACT-related

cases; pleas to reduced charged were strictly limited, especially for firearm and gangrelated crimes; and significant prison sentences were sought for all those convicted of any firearm-related Part 1 crime.

- The DA's Office partnered with the U.S. Attorney's Office on Project Exile, a program which involves weekly meetings where cases were reviewed and referred for federal prosecution in cases where the federal charges and/or prison sentences had the potential to be more effective than the state's.
- The DA's Office worked with the Rochester Institute of Technology, the administrative judge for the Seventh Judicial District, and the Center for Court Innovation to generate community support for, and to implement, a community court in one of the highest-crime areas of the city.
- The DA's Office staffed the Drug Treatment Court, the Mental Health Court, and the Integrated Domestic Violence Court programs.
- The DA's Office partnered with the Boys and Girls Club in support of its activities, specifically Project Step Up and the Accelerated Reading Program, aimed at reducing youth crime.
- The DA's Office participated in offender "call-ins," and other efforts to deter crime.
- The police utilized community notification/engagement details (door-to-door crime prevention) and use of Hyper-Reach, a reverse phone calling tool to quickly disseminate information to the public.
- Probation and parole residence and curfew checks were conducted for known burglars.
- The Police Department enhanced their burglary investigations through increased collection of DNA and fingerprints.
- Burglary problem teams were created to provide a comprehensive response to the crime of burglary.

# NASSAU COUNTY – Nassau County Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms and Robberies

- The Nassau County Lead Development Center (LDC) serves as a centralized crime analysis center that collects, collates, and analyzes crime, and provides analysis and intelligence to county law enforcement.
- The Nassau County Police Department (NCPD) continued to utilize technology in addressing all IMPACT-related crimes. License plate readers (LPRs), shot spotter, and police cameras were all used to enhance investigations and provide intelligence.
- NCPD deployed their Criminal Intelligence Rapid Response Team (CIRRT) based on the analysis of hot spots utilizing real time crime data.
- NCPD continued to conduct extensive debriefing of arrestees, and continued to closely track recovered firearms.
- The NCPD partnered with probation and parole on Night Watch, which involved random night-time checks of offenders to ensure that they were complying with the conditions of their release. Visits included include random drug testing and premise searches.
- Probation and the NCPD also partnered for "Night Light," a program similar to Night Watch but focused on juvenile offenders.

- The Nassau County District Attorney's Office gun unit dedicated eight assistant district attorneys to prosecute gun crimes.
- The District Attorney's Office implemented a policy of debriefing offenders prior to all plea offers.

# NIAGARA COUNTY – City of Niagara Falls Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Burglary

- Niagara Falls Police Department (NFPD) conducted intensive debriefing whenever an offender, suspect or other person of interest was thought to be connected to gun related offenses.
- NFPD created a task force directly aimed at the eradication of youth gang violence.
- The Niagara County District Attorney's Office reviewed all gun cases for potential federal prosecution under Project Exile; continued a strict no-plea policy for gun possession, gun sales and violent crimes involving guns in Niagara Falls; and ensured the highest bail amount was set on all firearm-related offenders.
- NFPD increased manpower and resources to their Robbery Task Force. This added manpower helped to identify and apprehend robbery offenders.
- The field intelligence officer conducted targeted debriefings on all IMPACT-target crimes using real time crime analysis.
- The DA's Office utilized vertical prosecution for IMPACT-flagged cases for more effective handling of cases from investigation through sentencing. The District Attorney also implemented a strict no-plea policy for repeat offenders of robbery.

# **ONEIDA COUNTY -\_ City of Utica Police**

Targeted Crimes: Domestic Violence Aggravated Assault, Burglary and Larceny

- The Utica Police Department (UPD) assigned a dedicated domestic violence officer to focus on repeat and chronic offenders/victims, and to develop a checklist for first responding officers to utilize in responding to domestic violence incidents.
- UPD enhanced their evidence collection process in domestic violence cases (photos, 911 calls, etc).
- Police, parole and probation officers partnered to conduct home visits of domestic violence offenders to ensure compliance with conditions.
- UPD partnered with the YWCA to provide services to victims of domestic violence
- The Oneida County District Attorney's office assigned a dedicated domestic violence prosecutor.
- The DA's office implemented the "flagging" of domestic violence repeat offenders in order to better track those offenders and incidents.
- The DA's office participated in the Oneida County Integrated Domestic Violence Court and the Utica City Domestic Violence Court.
- The City of Utica has been pursuing the implementation of Pawn Shop/Second Hand Shop ordinance to assist in the enforcement of related crimes.

- The UPD enhanced their crime scene processing and trained patrol officers in the gathering of DNA and fingerprints to aid in the investigation of burglary cases.
- The DA's Office designated a prosecutor to handle burglary and larceny cases, implemented a no-plea reduction policy and utilized vertical prosecutions. In addition, providing a DNA sample is now a condition on all plea bargains.

# **ONONDAGA COUNTY – City of Syracuse Police**

#### Targeted Crimes: Firearms and Burglary

- The Onondaga Crime Analysis Center (OCAC) provided firearms-related analysis for the monthly IMPACT executive meeting to provide the partnership with a clear picture of the current shootings/shots fired trends, hot spots, results of enforcement efforts, and status of offenders arrested.
- IMPACT saturation patrols, were deployed in the high crime areas. The Highway Gun Interdiction directed patrols are conducted by the Syracuse Police Department (SPD), Onondaga County Sheriff's Office, and New York State Police.
- The OCAC monitored the juveniles involved with firearm-related offenses and provided comprehensive juvenile information to the SPD Youth Division, which then worked closely with the Onondaga County District Attorney's Office in an attempt to vigorously prosecute these juveniles.
- The DA's Office, when appropriate, diverted cases to the Syracuse Community Treatment Court, where the offender is fined and sentenced to community service, but also receives assistance with services such as treatment, education, vocational training, housing, employment and counseling.
- Weekly meetings were held between members of the Onondaga County District Attorney's Office and members of the ATF to determine if any firearms cases would be better handled federally by the U.S. Attorney's Office.
- In addition to utilizing many of the same strategies utilized for firearms, SPD used the second-hand dealer laws to target neighborhood stores, pawn shops, and fencing operations. Stores and operations not in compliance were ticketed and owners arrested on various charges.

# **ORANGE COUNTY – City of Newburgh Police**

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Aggravated Assault

- The City of Newburgh Police Department's (NPD) Neighborhood Stabilization Team (NST) conducts its activities based on current crime hot spots, specifically focusing on the IMPACT-targeted crimes.
- NPD conducted joint directed patrols with the Orange County Sheriff's Office and New York State Police based on analysis of crime data.
- NPD utilized strategic deployment of both patrols and plain clothes units as crime patterns developed.
- NPD has developed strong partnerships with federal agencies and conducted joint investigations with those agencies.

• The Orange County District Attorney's office flagged designated cases for enhanced prosecution, reviewed cases for federal prosecution, utilized specialty courts to route offenders appropriately, coordinated adult prosecutions with the prosecution of juveniles when warranted, and enhanced prosecution of violent crimes and witness relocation when necessary.

# **RENSSELAER COUNTY – City of Troy Police**

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Aggravated Assaults and Burglary

- The Troy Police Department (TPD) Special Investigations Unit targeted illicit firearm activity, related sales, and unlawful possession of illegal firearms.
- The TPD identified and scrutinized known "chronic offenders" of gun crimes.
- The field intelligence officer conducted targeted debriefings on all IMPACT-targeted crimes using real time crime analysis.
- The Rensselaer County District Attorney's Office routinely held case conferences with the U.S. Attorney's Office and transferred gun cases when federal prosecution promised more effective sanctions.
- The DA's Office assigned a chronic offender investigator, who streamlined communication and flow of information and resources regarding firearm violence among the IMPACT partner agencies.
- The TPD continued the operation of its Domestic Incident Unit.
- TPD actively worked with community partners to address the crime of domestic violence/aggravated assault.
- The District Attorney's Office continued the practice of enhanced prosecution of domestic violence cases with a full-time dedicated domestic violence prosecutor assigned to those cases in the city of Troy.
- The DA's Office requires all domestic violence offenders to submit a DNA sample regardless of the nature of the conviction.
- The TPD increased DNA collection at burglary scenes in an effort to enhance burglary investigations.
- The DA's Office coordinated with the local and state police agencies throughout the county and local justice and city courts, to ensure the collection of DNA from court mandated defendants.

# **ROCKLAND COUNTY - Village of Spring Valley Police**

Targeted Crimes: Robbery, Burglary and Larceny

- The Spring Valley Police Department (SVPD) educated the community on robbery, burglary and larceny prevention through the use of pamphlets and flyers.
- SVPD utilized saturation/directed patrols based on crime analysis.
- Joint home visits with parole and probation, coordination of investigations through the SVPD Special Investigations Unit and the utilization of the Rockland County Drug Task Force were all methods and strategies deployed based on intelligence gathered and analysis of "hot spots" related to the crimes of focus.

- The Rockland County District Attorney's Office utilized a holistic approach to crime reduction utilizing the continuum of prevention and early intervention, and, investigation and prosecution efforts.
- When possible, the DA's Office referred cases when federal prosecution promised more effective sanctions.
- The DA's Office utilized a Special Victims Center that includes staff from the DA's Office and local police agencies as well as staff from social services and medical facilities to provide a one-stop location for victims to obtain information and resources.

# **SCHENECTADY COUNTY – City of Schenectady Police**

Targeted Crimes: Aggravated Assaults, Larceny and Burglary

- The Schenectady Police Department (SPD) generated a list of the most frequented calls-for-service locations to prevent further escalation and with a goal of resolving the reoccurring issue.
- The field intelligence officer conducted debriefings to address the increase in aggravated assaults that were related to domestic violence as well as all IMPACT-targeted crimes using real time crime analysis.
- Recidivist offenders were targeted by the Schenectady County District Attorney's Office for enhanced prosecution, and cases were reviewed in order to identify potential stalking charges.
- Special Victim Unit prosecutors, working closely with domestic violence advocates employed by the YWCA, promoted resolutions to cases that enhanced victim safety and achieved offender accountability.
- Weekly detailed burglary and larceny reports were generated and distributed internally and to agency partners.
- Intelligence gathering via field interviews, debriefings, pawn shop reports, partner agency communications and known offender lists were used in determining persons of interest.
- The District Attorney's Office developed a prosecution strategy grounded in rapid indictment; maximum accountability through minimal plea bargaining (exceptions may be made for youthful offenders); and proposed sentences that reflect the degree of harm caused to the community.

# **SUFFOLK COUNTY – Suffolk County Police**

Targeted Crimes: Firearms and Burglary

- Targeted debriefings were conducted by the Suffolk County Police Department (SCPD) specifically in the First, Second and Third Precincts in order to obtain actionable intelligence on the IMPACT-targeted crimes.
- SCPD focused on a coordinated effort between the field intelligence officers (FIO) and analysts to ensure that the FIO was prepared prior to debriefings.
- The SCPD utilized crime analysis to drive strategic deployment of resources.

- A crime analysis program was implemented within the Firearm Suppression Unit to track non-fatal shooting, burglaries, 911 calls for shots fired etc. The analysts identified "hotspots" and then determined which active gangs were affecting those areas.
- SCPD focused on developing intelligence to conduct street gang criminal enterprise investigations.
- The SCPD conducted gang and street crime targeted enforcement by addressing hot spots, debriefing arrestees and developing confidential informants. The Emergency Service Section executed warrants that were generated, canine teams were used to enhance cases, and the probation field intelligence officer was assigned to conduct debriefings and develop a list of high-risk offenders.
- The Detective Bureau focused on preventing retaliatory shootings.
- The SCPD engaged community involvement and awareness by encouraging citizens to drop off prescription drugs, knives and firearms for destruction.
- Gun cases were initiated as a result of intelligence developed.
- All offenders charged with illegal gun possession are now required to provide a written statement of where the gun was obtained prior to entering a plea.

# **ULSTER COUNTY - City of Kingston Police**

Targeted Crimes: Aggravated Assault and Burglary

- Kingston Police Department (KPD) participated in saturation/joint directed patrols with the Ulster County Sheriff's Office and the New York State Police.
- KPD deployed their resources as dictated by crime analysis, mapping and crime spikes. In addition to traditional patrol activity, they utilized foot patrols, bike patrols and ATV patrols.
- The Ulster Regional Gang Enforcement Narcotics Team (URGENT) addressed issues specific to guns and gangs.
- KPD increased the level of training for their patrol officers with regard to more effectively gathering evidence and processing crime scenes.
- KPD officers received enhanced domestic violence (DV) training.
- KPD officers use real time data available to officers via Mobile Data Terminals (MDT's).
- Field intelligence officers (FIOs) provided intelligence developed through debriefings to the IMPACT partners.
- A detective dedicated to domestic violence cases reviewed and followed up on all these cases.

# WESTCHESTER COUNTY- City of Yonkers Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Domestic Violence and Burglary

• The Yonkers Police Department (YPD) deployed intelligence-led saturation patrols with an increased focus on narcotic enforcement.

- YPD continued to use Shot Spotter and a successful gun reward program; and conduct warrant sweeps with the U.S. Marshal's Service and extensive undercover operations, both of which targeted illegal firearms and narcotics.
- All firearms felony cases were reviewed by the District Attorney's Office Gangs/Gun Bureau Chief, to ensure that the cases were properly prepared and forensics testing was completed.
- The District Attorney's Office reviewed cases to determine if cases could be more effectively prosecuted federally.
- Drug/Specialty Courts were utilized to determine if offender was a Re-entry candidate for particular programs and coordination took place with the Re-entry Task Force.
- YPD utilizes Leads-on-Line, which tracks property turned into pawn shops, game stores, on-line auctions, etc.
- YPD also focused on the increased collection of DNA evidence from all burglary scenes.
- A bilingual domestic violence aide made phone contact with all victims regarding their service needs.
- The District Attorney's Office worked with My Sister's Place and Hope's Door, two not-for-profit agencies providing services to domestic violence victims.
- A dedicated prosecutor reviewed cases for recidivism risk factors, child safety and warrants for jail calls.

#### IV. STATE AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS

#### NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

#### **OFFICE OF PUBLIC SAFETY**

The DCJS Office of Public Safety (OPS) is responsible for the day-to-day oversight and administration of Operation IMPACT. The agency has assigned staff to work closely with the 17 IMPACT partnerships to assist them in implementing their strategies and to provide the IMPACT agencies with a single point of contact for all information and services provided to law enforcement by DCJS and other participating State agencies. IMPACT staff attend monthly IMPACT partnership meetings; provide follow-up in areas where a need is determined to exist; make referrals on training and other resources available through DCJS; and coordinate access to other New York State agency resources as needed. In addition, the IMPACT Unit staff and the DCJS Law Enforcement Grants Unit closely monitor various performance measures that IMPACT agencies must meet as part of their participation in the program, such as the timely submission of all required crime reports, proper reporting on sex offenders, timely submission of DNA samples owed by qualifying offenders, and proper designation of arrests that have a Hate Crime component or a Domestic Incident Report on file in conjunction with the arrest.

Since the inception of Operation IMPACT, DCJS staff has coordinated monthly "Crime Trends"<sup>2</sup> meetings that require IMPACT partners to make a formal, comprehensive presentation on the status of their crime reduction efforts to the DCJS commissioner, and other state partner agencies. The presentation is typically led by the police commissioner/chief of the primary jurisdiction with all agency partners presenting on their respective contributions to IMPACT initiatives. The meetings afford state officials the opportunity to ask questions, and provide additional measure of requiring accountability from the IMPACT partnerships.

#### **Crime Analysis Centers**

The Crime Analysis Centers are designed to enhance local efforts to combat Part 1 crime in four IMPACT counties and to promote information sharing locally and statewide. The four centers are located in Albany, Erie, Monroe, and Onondaga counties, and those locations were selected specifically because of the relatively high volume of firearm and other violent crime in the primary jurisdiction and countywide. Using the data-driven policing model implemented through IMPACT, the centers take that approach to the next level through extensive crossagency data sharing, sophisticated technological information dissemination tools, and a professional interagency crime analyst staff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Crime Trends Meetings are held monthly and hosted by the DCJS commissioner. Each month, an IMPACT partnership is invited to provide an overview of their crime statistics, the strategies that they are using to address that crime, and the contributions that the partner agencies are making to the strategy. Executive staff members from State partner agencies attend these meetings in order to determine if there are additional resources that can be made available to the jurisdiction that will further assist them in their crime reduction efforts.

The centers are governed by a board of directors that includes the district attorney, sheriff, police chief of the largest local agency, the state police and DCJS. Each center is led by a DCJS-employed director, who reports directly to the center program director in the Office of Public Safety. This structure works to ensure that the centers are fulfilling the overarching goals of the state. The centers are staffed by civilian analysts, who are paid in part by IMPACT and federal Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance (Byrne/JAG) funding, as well as other IMPACT and locally-funded sworn analysts and crime analysts within the county IMPACT partnership. The analysts conduct in-depth analysis of all city and county crime data, allowing all law enforcement agencies within each county to benefit from crime analysis as never before. The centers' analysts use software programs that allow them to link crimes, suspects, telephone numbers and other data from the various agencies within the county. This provides law enforcement with a macro level view of the local crime scene, enabling authorities to discover potential criminal associations. The centers also employ mapping software that provides a regional picture of where crime is being committed. These tools, combined with data drawn from numerous databases and records management systems from police departments in each of the respective center counties, provide center staff and in turn, local police agencies with a wealth of information to combat crime.

The centers share local crime information with the other centers using a single-inquiry search. The New York State Police, the Nassau Lead Development Center and the Suffolk County Police Department Intelligence Center also participate in this statewide crime information sharing network. More than 60 percent of the crime data outside of New York City is thus shared, enhancing the investigative capabilities of local analysts and law enforcement. New York/New Jersey High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (NY/NJ HIDTA) and the Franklin County District Attorney Drug Task Force share license plate reader records with these other participants in the statewide data sharing network.

The centers emphasize effective dissemination of information as well as analysis. DCJS has funded digital signage in each center jurisdiction. The centers control 42" large video monitors on the walls in prominent places in police departments, out of view from the public, that broadcast slides generated by the Crime Analysis Centers. The slides include most wanted bulletins, warrants, crime maps, alerts, and officer safety information. Bank robbery photos are often posted within 20 minutes of the robbery. There are more than 100 monitors distributed in key precincts, parole offices and police headquarters across the state.

The centers utilize Geographic Information Systems to provide accurate and timely crime maps to the command staff and officers in the field of the local agencies. These online maps highlight crime by any combination of type, date and area, and the incident narrative report. The centers also provide web sites for local law enforcement to access in-depth information.

The commanding officer and the command staff of the Albany Police Department, the Buffalo Police Department, the Rochester Police Department and the Syracuse Police Department meet regularly with their respective center director to identify crime patterns that occurred in the previous 24 hours or more and to make determinations about the deployment of resources in response to those patterns. This regular interaction allows these four IMPACT jurisdictions to address crime patterns before they become crime trends. Every single center can point to cases that would likely still be unsolved were it not for the existence of the center, the technology available through the centers, and the expertise of the analysts staffed at the centers.

The centers are located at the headquarters of the Buffalo Police Department, the Rochester Police Department, the Syracuse Police Department and the Albany Police Department's South Station.

#### OFFICE OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

The DCJS Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives (OPCA) has worked closely with probation departments within the 17 IMPACT counties and has extended the lessons learned through IMPACT to probation departments statewide. Under direct guidance of OPCA, local probation staff has been assigned as probation field intelligence officers (FIOs) within the IMPACT county probation departments. The role of the Probation FIO is quite similar to the FIO assigned within a police department, but the intelligence that is gathered is obtained from people under probation supervision. The Probation FIOs work hand-in-hand with the FIOs assigned within their respective partnerships to maximize both collaboration and data-sharing among IMPACT law enforcement agencies.

The information that probation departments can provide to law enforcement is unique and valuable, and more of that information is being used by law enforcement to enhance investigations and their intelligence systems. Probation officers conduct joint warrant and curfew enforcement initiatives, bar checks and ride-alongs with police officers and assist in identifying offenders that have a high risk of reoffending. Probation FIOs have also been assigned to all four Crime Analysis Centers.

OCPA encourages departments in non-IMPACT jurisdictions to embrace these strategies to enhance public safety throughout the state. Additionally, OPCA has promoted several initiatives statewide that provide benefits to the IMPACT partnerships. These initiatives include:

- Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) and Youth Assessment Screening Instrument (YASI) are risk an need assessment tools that assist probation departments in identifying probationers with the highest risk and need levels and assessing the "criminogenic needs" of probationers that must be addressed to prevent reoffending. All 57 probation departments outside of New York City utilize the state's webbased adult COMPAS and juvenile YASI risk and need assessment instruments.
- OPCA has encouraged the utilization of the Persistent Convicted Offender Report, created by DCJS, by local probation departments statewide as a supervision tool to help focus resources on high-risk offenders. This report is county specific and identifies individuals with five or more convictions within a recent three-year window. The report indicates whether the

offender is under supervision and is therefore valuable in assisting probation departments to focus supervision resources accordingly.

- Probation departments are required by law to collect DNA samples on certain offenders. OPCA tracks the percentage of required DNA collected by probation departments. Since the inception of DNA collection, probation departments have collected more than 125,000 samples of DNA from probationers in New York State, leading to the identification of offenders connected to more than 1,400 crimes, including nearly 120 homicides.
- OPCA is working with county probation departments and a state contract vendor to automate probation departments. This automation provides uniform data that can be utilized for crime analysis to support IMPACT strategies. Currently, 44 counties are either implementing or in production with the case management system, Caseload Explorer, and 39 counties have implemented the integration of Caseload Explorer with the state's Integrated Probation Registrant System (IPRS). This information is now being provided directly to Crime Analysis Centers.
- OPCA has embarked on a cooperative initiative with the U.S. Marshals Service, other DCJS staff, local probation departments and the NY/NJ High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Center (HIDTA) to apprehend Sex Offender Registry Act (SORA) Level 2 and 3 probation absconders. Operation Return provides a package of guidance, resources and funding to facilitate the location and arrest of these offenders; probation absconders from 11 IMPACT counties are included in this initiative. To date, more than 50 offenders have been located, warrants have been lodged and 33 offenders have been extradited back to New York State for judicial action. Of the absconders returned and processed, 12 were sentenced to state prison, 17 were sentenced to local jail time, two were sentenced to time served; outcomes are still pending in two cases. Of the 33 absconders returned to New York for processing, further information indicates that one absconder was taken into Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) custody, 10 were charged with violations of New York's Sex Offender Registration Act, and four were charged with federal Adam Walsh Act violations.
- OPCA has worked with the New York State Police, the New York State Association of Chiefs of Police and the New York State Sheriffs' Association to implement a statewide initiative for law enforcement agencies to share Domestic Incident Reports (DIRs) with supervising probation and parole authorities. The initiative is designed to hold offenders accountable and reduce the incidence of domestic violence homicides, of which, an overwhelming proportion are women. This procedure was codified into law with the passage of Chapter 476 of the Laws of 2009. Building on the foundation establish through prior DIR policy training, additional training has recently been provided to probation departments regarding proper interpretation of DIRs and appropriate probation supervision responses to these reports. OPCA has also been working with leaders from various tribal nations in New York State to develop and deliver domestic violence training to probation departments in areas with Native American populations regarding sovereignty and jurisdictional issues,

governance and structure, tribal criminal justice process, victim services and establishing protocols. Many IMPACT jurisdictions benefited from this training.

OPCA has provided continued support to the IMPACT initiative through direction and guidance provided to county probation directors and through participation and input at both agency Crime Trends meetings and monthly IMPACT state partner meetings.

#### NEW YORK STATE POLICE

The New York State Police (NYSP) continue to make critical contributions to Operation IMPACT efforts. By actively participating in a variety of IMPACT initiatives, they provide supplemental resources to enhance the police presence within the IMPACT jurisdictions. Their Community Narcotics Enforcement Teams (CNET) work with narcotics investigators in the IMPACT jurisdictions to address the sale and purchase of illegal narcotics and guns, while their Violent Felony Warrant Squads (VFW) add resources and expertise in coordinating warrant operations.

#### **OPERATION IMPACT-SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTIONS**

The New York State Police has continued to contribute valuable personnel resources to assist with Operation IMPACT efforts. The following data summarizes the number of sworn personnel assigned and the total number of hours logged in a variety of IMPACT details and initiatives in each jurisdiction<sup>3</sup>.

Jurisdiction	Staff Assigned	Total Hours Worked
Albany	18	6,628
Binghamton	1	12
Buffalo	35	6,970
Jamestown	2	24
Kingston	11	1,760
Mount Vernon	37	1,128
Newburgh	13	720
Poughkeepsie	45	2,188
Rochester	3	124
Schenectady	17	3,628
Spring Valley	4	72
Syracuse	10	2,622
Utica	4	64
Yonkers	20	1,568
TOTAL	220	27,508

JANUARY 1, 20	11 THROUGH DEC	EMBER 31, 2011
---------------	----------------	----------------

The following information summarizes the number of arrests (felony, misdemeanor or violation),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For the purposes of these charts, the only IMPACT jurisdictions named are those where contributions were made by the NYSP.

and calls for service the NYSP made/responded to in specific IMPACT jurisdictions.

Jurisdcition	Felony Arrests	Misdemeanor Arrests	Violation Arrests	Total Arrests	Calls for Assistance
Buffalo	350	655	290	1,295	675
Binghamton			12	12	188
Syracuse	4	48	48	100	368
Utica					500
Rochester	165	950	605	1,720	2,830
Newburgh	4	76	76	156	268
Albany					805
Schenectady	8	12	24	44	328
Poughkeepsie					8
TOTAL	531	1,741	1,055	3,327	5,970

JANUARY 1, 2011 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2011

In 2011, the New York State Police significantly contributed to criminal interdiction efforts in 11 of the 17 IMPACT jurisdictions by issuing 10,911 traffic tickets. They also continued to assist local police agencies by responding to and investigating 49 traffic accidents.

#### **NEW YORK STATE OFFICE FOR THE PREVENTION OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE**

The New York State Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence (OPDV) has been an active partner in Operation IMPACT since its inception. Its role grew beginning in 2008 when domestic violence was designated by DCJS as a specific crime that jurisdictions were invited to address. Starting in late 2009, OPDV began communicating directly with designated staff in each of those jurisdictions, such as the chiefs of police, district attorneys, and probation directors. Those relationships have continued, and OPDV is regularly called to provide training or technical assistance regarding domestic violence.

During 2011, OPDV provided a total of 26 training sessions to 1,014 law enforcement officials in 11 of the 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions. OPDV also provided technical assistance and training in a variety of different areas, including: additions and revisions to Criminal Procedure and Penal laws, such as strangulation offenses and stalking; Domestic Incident Reports (DIRs); mandatory arrest; primary physical aggressor determination; orders of protection; and New York's expanded access to Family Court laws. In the 2011-2012 RFA cycle, DCJS identified the crimes that jurisdictions should plan to address based on their crime data. Two communities, Yonkers and Utica, were asked to address domestic violence. OPDV reviewed and provided input to DCJS on the content of the proposals, and has provided support to both jurisdictions as they implement their plans.

Finally, OPDV, in collaboration with OPS, OPCA and the Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) continued work on a federally-funded grant project around

the successes of the IMPACT collaborations. In 2010, four IMPACT jurisdictions: Nassau, Orange, Dutchess and Albany served as pilot sites for the development of enhanced training for the criminal justice and advocacy community on key topics in domestic violence. The grant deliverables consisted of a series of web-based training tools for police, probation, and parole officers that will be made available statewide.

#### **NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS and COMMUNITY SUPERVISION**

The New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) (which includes the former Division of Parole) has been an important partner in Operation IMPACT since its inception in 2004. DOCCS parole officers work on a daily basis with law enforcement agencies throughout the state as they supervise parolees released into their communities. These officers bring a unique contribution of support and information to the 17 Operation IMPACT partnerships.

Parole officers join with law enforcement for joint warrant sweeps, curfew enforcement and bar checks, debriefing of parolees and ride-alongs with other agencies. During 2011, Parole Officers participated in 208 joint operations with local Operation IMPACT partners to address specific crime problems in those jurisdictions.

DOCCS recognizes the critical importance of intelligence development and information sharing. The agency's Area Offices have always provided information about parolees to other law enforcement agencies, but that has been enhanced, particularly in jurisdictions with Crime Analysis Centers. Some examples of intelligence sharing are:

In Albany and Schenectady, information on parolees is provided through the Local Law Enforcement Data Information program. In exchange, those departments provide information on crime trends mapping and specific crimes, which are then reviewed by Parole officers. There is an exchange of wanted cases, which have significantly improved arrests. Daily arrest reports and field intelligence reports are shared, resulting in quicker, more efficient responses and better intelligence gained through debriefing. Intelligence sharing has risen to a new level among DOCCS and other law enforcement agencies with the creation of the Albany Crime Analysis Center. A monitor was installed in the Albany Area Office allowing staff to receive information in real time.

In Dutchess, Orange, Rockland, Ulster and Westchester Counties: the Peekskill Area Office has plans underway to install a monitor to allow staff to receive Orange County IMPACT information in real time. The New Rochelle Office and the Poughkeepsie Area Office provided a parole officer to the County Intelligence center meeting/discussions. In 2011, the Yonkers Police Department, using Intelligence from DOCCS, visited targeted parolees throughout the year.

The Syracuse Area Office continued to be an active participant in Operation IMPACT in Onondaga County committing 212 staff hours on eight details in 2011. Those officers worked with the Onondaga partner agencies to gather and share intelligence to better focus on high crime areas and crime trends. Each month, the partner agencies meet and discuss current crime trends and identify high crime areas in Onondaga County. Details are then planned around this data. The Syracuse office participated in search details that have targeted parolees who have a history of burglary, drug sales and gun violence.

The Syracuse DOCCS office has been granted access to the Central New York Law Enforcement Analysis Database System (LEADS), which gives the office the ability to access police reports and intelligence gathered by other agencies. It also gives the Syracuse office the ability to generate field intelligence reports that are sent through LEADS to the Onondaga Crime Analysis Center to be shared with other law enforcement agencies.

In Rensselaer County, the Northeast New York Area Office actively participates in the Operation IMPACT monthly coordinators' meeting to share criminal intelligence and develop and analyze crime patterns and strategies with the IMPACT partners. Additionally, they participated in fugitive apprehensions, warrant executions, joint home visits, and surveillance activities with the Operation IMPACT partners. The focus of Operation IMPACT in Rensselaer County is concentrated on gun violence, burglary and domestic violence. The excellent communication between the area office and the Rensselaer partners enable the office to respond to and support public safety strategies by targeting supervision efforts on parolees with histories consistent with current crime trends in the county.

In Oneida County, the DOCCS Utica Area Office partnered with the Utica Police Department, New Hartford Police, Oneida County Probation and the U.S. Marshals Service Central New York Fugitive Task Force. In 2011, the details occurred on average two times per month during which parole officers rode with police and initiated targeted residence inspections or conducted surveillance in addition to assisting with police operations.

In Broome County, DOCCS met regularly with the IMPACT partners to discuss the community supervision of parolees and the crime trends in the county. In 2011, the focus was on attempting to reduce the high burglary rate and the successful apprehension of parole absconders. Additionally, parole officers assisted local law enforcement agencies with sex offender address verification. parole officers, who participated in these operations throughout the year, reported that their collaborative efforts with local law enforcement agencies have enhanced their working relationships with those agencies and the shared information has often led to the apprehension of delinquent parolees.

In Erie County, the DOCCS Buffalo Metro Area Office engaged in collaborative activities with the Buffalo Police Department's Mobile Response Unit (MRU). During these operations, two teams consisting of one uniformed police officer and one parole officer typically worked together. The teams conducted home visits on parolees who were of interest to either department. In addition, areas known to have a high concentration of crimes were patrolled, absconder searches were conducted and parole warrants were executed. The contacts made with parolees during these special operations sent a message that the law enforcement community in Buffalo is engaged in a team effort to make the community a safer place. An additional benefit of this program was the sharing of information between partners at the officer level.

In addition, the Buffalo Metro Office participated in the monthly IMPACT meeting conducted at the Buffalo Police Department where crime trends were discussed and plans to address them were formulated. They continued to participate in the Erie Crime Analysis Center signage board program and have posted parole absconders to the board resulting in several of the warrants being enforced. Parole officers have also provided valuable information to the partners when they identify a releasee or criminal pattern on the signage board.

In Chautauqua County, during 2011, Operation IMPACT details were scheduled for Chautauqua County in Jamestown and surrounding areas. During those operations, two parole officers regularly participated. These details were broadly defined and normally consisted of compliance checks, warrant enforcement and bar checks.

In Monroe County, the DOCCS Rochester Metro Bureau continued to participate in Operation IMPACT in Monroe County. The field intelligence officer attended weekly Crime Stat meetings hosted by the Rochester Police Department and the Monroe Crime Analysis Center. Weekly crime trends, as well as discussions regarding violent disputes, were discussed with all participating partners. A parole analyst from the Monroe Crime Analysis Center forwarded field intelligence forms (FIFs), which consisted of parolee contacts with the police in the community, to the Bureau on a daily basis. A digital display, streaming crime trend information, has been installed in the Area Office. DOCCS participated in weekly warrant sweeps with the local Warrant Task Force that included the partners from the Rochester Police Department, Monroe County Probation, Monroe County Sheriff's Office, New York State Police and the U.S. Marshals.

In Niagara County, DOCCS continued to participate in compliance checks twice per month. While warrant enforcement efforts have decreased, they were scheduled on an as needed basis in combination with compliance checks or special operations. Special operations were scheduled on a periodic basis and included targeted searches, bar checks and warrant enforcement.

Suffolk and Nassau DOCCS staff remained an active partner. They attended the monthly Operation IMPACT partnership meetings and shared intelligence with their law enforcement partners when crimes occurred in communities throughout their respective counties (for example, spikes in robbery, burglary, shootings, etc.). Parolees, who reside within the crime-spike communities whose supervisory offense is related to the seven major crime areas, are debriefed jointly by parole and police officers for information about pending criminal activity.

Both Long Island Field Offices monitored all police reports that were forwarded to them regarding parolees arrested for domestic violence. Further agency investigation and case conferencing determined the appropriate supervision action to be taken. DOCCS also maintained

a preventive supervision approach by monitoring domestic violence parolee cases with electronic monitoring devices (GPS).

County law enforcement agencies continued to monitor and share gang member intelligence with Suffolk and Nassau field staff. This was further enhanced with parole officers utilizing the photo imaging system and taking photos (new releases and updated) of known parolees affiliated with gangs. This data was then downloaded and distributed to the Operation IMPACT partners.

# **APPENDIX** A

# Index Crime Report 2010 vs. 2011

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2011 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date Index crime trends for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions.



# **Reported Crime** Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

# January - December 2011 vs. 2010

As of 1/31/2012

	Current	Month - De	cember	Year-to-Date			
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change	
IMPACT TOTAL							
Total Index Crimes	8,361	9,548	14.2%	113,588	109,263	-3.8%	
<u>Violent Crime</u>	1,084	1,273	17.4%	16,242	15,411	-5.1%	
Murder	13	15	15.4%	229	147	-35.8%	
Rape	54	49	-9.3%	722	626	-13.3%	
Robbery	469	557	18.8%	6,155	5,989	-2.7%	
Aggravated Assault	548	652	19.0%	9,136	8,649	-5.3%	
Property Crime	7,277	8,275	13.7%	97,346	93,852	-3.6%	
Burglary	1,810	1,905	5.2%	21,996	22,385	1.8%	
Larceny	4,974	5,945	19.5%	69,290	66,158	-4.5%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	493	425	-13.8%	6,060	5,309	-12.4%	

**Notes:** all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

011 417 68 0 0 22 46 349 68 265 16 228 27 0 0 0	% Change 7.5% -1.4% -12.0% 17.9% 9.4% -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% 92.9%		2010 5,633 983 3 42 316 622 4,650 927 3,497 226 2,353	2011 5,537 934 4 33 320 577 4,603 890 3,537 176 2,545	% Change -1.7% -5.0% -21.4% 1.3% -7.2% -1.0% 1.1% -22.1% 8.2%
68 0 22 46 349 68 265 16 228 27 0	-1.4% -12.0% 17.9% 9.4% -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% 49.0%		983 3 42 316 622 4,650 927 3,497 226	<b>934</b> 4 33 320 577 <b>4,603</b> 890 3,537 176	-5.0% -21.4% 1.3% -7.2% -1.0% -4.0% 1.1% -22.1%
68 0 22 46 349 68 265 16 228 27 0	-1.4% -12.0% 17.9% 9.4% -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% 49.0%		983 3 42 316 622 4,650 927 3,497 226	<b>934</b> 4 33 320 577 <b>4,603</b> 890 3,537 176	-5.0% -21.4% 1.3% -7.2% -1.0% -4.0% 1.1% -22.1%
0 0 22 46 <b>349</b> 68 265 16 <b>228</b> <b>27</b> 0	-12.0% 17.9% <b>9.4%</b> -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% <b>49.0%</b>		3 42 316 622 <b>4,650</b> 927 3,497 226	4 33 577 <b>4,603</b> 890 3,537 176	-21.4% 1.3% -7.2% <b>-1.0%</b> -4.0% 1.1% <u>-22.1%</u>
0 22 46 <b>349</b> 68 265 16 <b>228</b> <b>27</b> 0	17.9% 9.4% -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% 49.0%		42 316 622 <b>4,650</b> 927 3,497 226	33 320 577 <b>4,603</b> 890 3,537 176	1.3% -7.2% <b>-1.0%</b> -4.0% 1.1% <u>-22.1%</u>
22 46 <b>349</b> 68 265 16 <b>228</b> <b>27</b> 0	17.9% 9.4% -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% 49.0%		316 622 <b>4,650</b> 927 3,497 226	320 577 <b>4,603</b> 890 3,537 176	1.3% -7.2% <b>-1.0%</b> -4.0% 1.1% <u>-22.1%</u>
46 349 68 265 16 228 27 0	17.9% 9.4% -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% 49.0%		622 <b>4,650</b> 927 3,497 226	577 <b>4,603</b> 890 3,537 176	-7.2% <b>-1.0%</b> -4.0% 1.1% <b>-22.1%</b>
349 68 265 16 228 27 0	9.4% -8.1% 17.8% -20.0% 49.0%		<b>4,650</b> 927 3,497 226	<b>4,603</b> 890 3,537 176	- <b>1.0%</b> -4.0% 1.1% -22.1%
68 265 16 <b>228</b> <b>27</b> 0	-8.1% 17.8% -20.0% <b>49.0%</b>		927 3,497 226	890 3,537 176	-4.0% 1.1% -22.1%
265 16 <b>228</b> <b>27</b> 0	17.8% -20.0% <b>49.0%</b>		3,497 226	3,537 176	1.1% -22.1%
16 228 27 0	-20.0% <b>49.0%</b>		226	176	-22.1%
<b>228</b> <b>27</b> 0	49.0%				
<b>27</b> 0			2,353	2,545	8.2%
<b>27</b> 0			2,353	2,545	8.2%
0	92.9%				
			268	264	-1.5%
0			5	0	
					-11.1%
6					8.6%
					-1.7%
			-	-	9.4%
					-0.7%
	57.6%			-	9.6%
4			18	59	227.8%
•			-		-4.3%
	25.2%				-9.7%
					-34.5%
	22.20/				-22.9%
					-0.5%
				•	-14.9%
			-	-	<b>-3.0%</b> 4.1%
				-	4.1% -3.5%
				-	-3.5% -21.1%
75	57.570		1,420	1,121	21.170
116	2 7%		1 462	1 225	-9.4%
					-9.4% 12.2%
	50.0%				12.2/0
					-8.3%
					-8.5%
					2.9% 18.9%
	-3 0%				- <b>12.4%</b>
					-12.4% -2.0%
					-2.0%
	0.070				-13.3%
	0	0 6 21 201 44.6% 41 2.5% 41 2.5% 4 156 57.6% 4 1,16 1,466 16.0% 288 25.2% 3 7 148 33.3% 130 15.0% 1,178 13.9% 343 19.5% 760 21.2% 75 5 8 116 2.7% 18 50.0% 0 5 5 8 8 98 -3.0% 22 -8.3% 73 0.0%	0       6         21       44.6%         201       44.6%         41       2.5%         156       57.6%         156       57.6%         1       4         288       25.2%         3       7         148       33.3%         130       15.0%         1,178       13.9%         343       19.5%         760       21.2%         75       -37.5%         116       2.7%         18       50.0%         0       1         5       8         98       -3.0%         22       -8.3%         73       0.0%	0       18         6       70         21       175         201       44.6%       2,085         41       2.5%       423         156       57.6%       1,644         4       18       18         156       57.6%       1,644         4       18       18         148       57.6%       18,342         288       25.2%       3,599         3       4       157         148       33.3%       1,466         130       15.0%       1,921         1,178       13.9%       14,743         343       19.5%       4,296         760       21.2%       9,027         75       -37.5%       14420         116       2.7%       1,420         760       21.2%       9,027         75       -37.5%       1,420         116       2.7%       1,462         18       50.0%       181         0       1       24         5       4       122         98       -3.0%       122         98       -3.0%       342	0       18       16         6       70       76         21       175       172         201       44.6%       2,085       2,281         41       2.5%       423       420         156       57.6%       1,644       1,802         4       18       59         1,466       16.0%       18,342       17,555         288       25.2%       3,599       3,250         3       4       1,555       36         7       16       1,57       121         148       33.3%       1,466       1,459         130       15.0%       1,420       1,430         148       33.3%       14,420       4,33         130       15.0%       14,743       14,305         343       19.5%       4,296       4,473         760       21.2%       9,027       8,711         75       -37.5%       1,420       1,121         156       4       203       36         0       0       0       0         155       34       345       36         16       2.7%       1,22       <

	Current Month - December			[	Year-to-Date			
	2010	2011	% Change		2010	2011	% Change	
KINGSTON CITY PD								
Total Index Crimes	52	36	-30.8%		692	673	-2.7%	
<u>Violent Crime</u>	4	9			81	93	14.8%	
Murder	0	0			1	0		
Rape	0	1			5	8		
Robbery	4	3			29	34	17.2%	
Aggravated Assault	0	5			46	51	10.9%	
Property Crime	48	27	-43.8%		611	580	-5.1%	
Burglary	12	7			142	115	-19.0%	
Larceny	35	17	-51.4%		451	450	-0.2%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	3			18	15	-16.7%	
NASSAU COUNTY PD								
Total Index Crimes	1,200	1,434	19.5%		15,375	14,579	-5.2%	
<u>Violent Crime</u>	109	117	7.3%		1,496	1,419	-5.1%	
Murder	0	0			15	6		
Rape	1	3			70	37	-47.1%	
Robbery	60	55	-8.3%		672	696	3.6%	
Aggravated Assault	48	59	22.9%		739	680	-8.0%	
Property Crime	1,091	1,317	20.7%		13,879	13,160	-5.2%	
Burglary	190	198	4.2%		1,925	2,054	6.7%	
Larceny	823	1,046	27.1%		11,117	10,293	-7.4%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	78	73	-6.4%		837	813	-2.9%	
NEWBURGH CITY PD								
Total Index Crimes	146	156	6.8%		1,655	1,764	6.6%	
<u>Violent Crime</u>	33	45	36.4%		523	521	-0.4%	
Murder	2	0			11	4		
Rape	0	2			7	11		
Robbery	12	24	100.0%		195	248	27.2%	
Aggravated Assault	19	19	0.0%		310	258	-16.8%	
Property Crime	113	111	-1.8%		1,132	1,243	9.8%	
Burglary	26	29	11.5%		342	401	17.3%	
Larceny	81	79	-2.5%		724	782	8.0%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	3			66	60	-9.1%	
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD								
Total Index Crimes	318	286	-10.1%		3,535	3,520	-0.4%	
<u>Violent Crime</u>	39	57	46.2%		610	581	-4.8%	
Murder	0	2			5	4		
Rape	1	5			23	31	34.8%	
Robbery	22	20	-9.1%		185	174	-5.9%	
Aggravated Assault	16	30	87.5%		397	372	-6.3%	
Property Crime	279	229	-17.9%		2,925	2,939	0.5%	
Burglary	98	63	-35.7%		956	849	-11.2%	
Larceny	168	147	-12.5%		1,835	1,933	5.3%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	13	19	46.2%		134	157	17.2%	

	Current Month - December			١	/ear-to-Date	9	
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	)	2011	% Change
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD							
Total Index Crimes	104	132	26.9%	1,	459	1,353	-7.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	25	33	32.0%		400	324	-19.0%
Murder	0	0			6	5	
Rape	2	5			21	22	4.8%
Robbery	13	12	-7.7%		143	107	-25.2%
Aggravated Assault	10	16	60.0%		230	190	-17.4%
Property Crime	79	99	25.3%	1,	059	1,029	-2.8%
Burglary	25	24	-4.0%		275	283	2.9%
Larceny	48	71	47.9%		732	704	
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	4			52	42	-19.2%
ROCHESTER CITY PD							
Total Index Crimes	994	935	-5.9%	14,	049	12,963	-7.7%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	148	169	14.2%	2,	229	2,029	-9.0%
Murder	1	2			41	31	-24.4%
Rape	11	4			99	95	-4.0%
Robbery	69	85	23.2%		816	755	-7.5%
Aggravated Assault	67	78	16.4%	-	273	1,148	
Property Crime	846	766	-9.5%		820	10,934	
Burglary	294	306	4.1%	-	448	3,384	-1.9%
Larceny	500	407	-18.6%		620	6,849	-10.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft SCHENECTADY CITY PD	52	53	1.9%		752	701	-6.8%
	200	220	47 70/		000	2 720	0.20/
Total Index Crimes	288 38	339 54	17.7% 42.1%	-	066 679	3,728 635	-8.3% -6.5%
<u>Violent Crime</u> Murder			42.1%			4	-0.5%
	0	1 5			8 53	43	-18.9%
Rape Robbery	13	5 16	23.1%		256	43 203	-18.9% -20.7%
Aggravated Assault	23	32	23.1% 39.1%		362	385	6.4%
Property Crime	250	285	<b>14.0%</b>		<b>387</b>	<b>3,093</b>	
Burglary	55	75	36.4%	-	856	903	5.5%
Larceny	182	204	12.1%		347	2,017	
Motor Vehicle Theft	13	6	12.170		184	173	-6.0%
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD					-		
Total Index Crimes	58	43	-25.9%		765	637	-16.7%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	10	4			139	160	
Murder	0	0			1	0	
Rape	1	0			4	10	
Robbery	3	1			43	59	37.2%
Aggravated Assault	6	3			91	91	0.0%
Property Crime	48	39	-18.8%		626	477	-23.8%
Burglary	4	11	_3.070		106	80	
Larceny	43	26	-39.5%		494	378	
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	20	23.370		26	19	

	Current N	Month - De	cember	ſ	Y	ear-to-Date	1
	2010	2011	% Change		2010	2011	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD							
Total Index Crimes	2,142	2,565	19.7%		27,546	26,792	-2.7%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	150	174	16.0%		2,031	1,857	-8.6%
Murder	8	4			50	31	-38.0%
Rape	4	2			55	38	-30.9%
Robbery	60	78	30.0%		814	748	-8.1%
Aggravated Assault	78	90	15.4%		1,112	1,040	-6.5%
Property Crime	1,992	2,391	20.0%		25,515	24,935	-2.3%
Burglary	386	356	-7.8%		4,031	4,342	7.7%
Larceny	1,489	1,933	29.8%		20,038	19,424	-3.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	117	102	-12.8%		1,446	1,169	-19.2%
SYRACUSE CITY PD							
Total Index Crimes	480	577	20.2%		6,999	6,577	-6.0%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	97	87	-10.3%		1,291	1,302	0.9%
Murder	0	2			15	11	-26.7%
Rape	9	6			68	63	-7.4%
Robbery	30	30	0.0%		377	388	2.9%
Aggravated Assault	58	49	-15.5%		831	840	1.1%
Property Crime	383	490	27.9%		5,708	5,275	-7.6%
Burglary	152	174	14.5%		2,174	1,705	-21.6%
Larceny	198	282	42.4%		3,167	3,261	3.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	33	34	3.0%		367	309	-15.8%
TROY CITY PD							
Total Index Crimes	159	215	35.2%		2,775	2,689	-3.1%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	23	25	8.7%		423	371	-12.3%
Murder	0	0			2	0	
Rape	1	2			22	23	4.5%
Robbery	6	11			137	112	-18.2%
Aggravated Assault	16	12	-25.0%		262	236	-9.9%
Property Crime	136	190	39.7%		2,352	2,318	-1.4%
Burglary	45	67	48.9%		656	775	18.1%
Larceny	84	114	35.7%		1,551	1,442	-7.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	9			145	101	-30.3%
UTICA CITY PD							
Total Index Crimes	232	247	6.5%		3,138	2,873	-8.4%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	36	13	-63.9%		416	378	-9.1%
Murder	0	0			2	4	
Rape	4	0			25	17	-32.0%
Robbery	13	5			146	101	-30.8%
Aggravated Assault	19	8			243	256	5.3%
Property Crime	196	234	19.4%		2,722	2,495	-8.3%
Burglary	57	72	26.3%		576	617	7.1%
Larceny	132	160	21.2%		2,065	1,804	-12.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	2			81	74	-8.6%

	Current	Year-to-Date					
	2010	2011	% Change		2010	2011	% Change
YONKERS CITY PD							
Total Index Crimes	270	356	31.9%		3,744	4,153	10.9%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	47	85	80.9%		893	1,090	22.1%
Murder	0	1			9	7	
Rape	3	2			29	36	24.1%
Robbery	25	36	44.0%		455	473	4.0%
Aggravated Assault	19	46	142.1%		400	574	43.5%
Property Crime	223	271	21.5%		2,851	3,063	7.4%
Burglary	41	49	19.5%		521	759	45.7%
Larceny	167	205	22.8%		2,086	2,015	-3.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	15	17	13.3%		244	289	18.4%

Index Crime Trend Tables

#### As of 1/31/2012 Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	7,500	6,074	7,632	8,430	9,474	9,529	10,665	10,705	10,000	10,134	9,572	9,548
Violent Crimes	1,099	820	1,140	1,186	1,417	1,371	1,574	1,506	1,436	1,307	1,282	1,273
Murder	9	5	9	13	18	18	17	17	3	8	15	15
Rape	40	39	57	53	61	52	61	64	41	54	55	49
Robbery	468	305	391	445	500	496	578	580	591	553	525	557
Aggravated Assault	582	471	683	675	838	805	918	845	801	692	687	652
Property Crimes	6,401	5,254	6,492	7,244	8,057	8,158	9,091	9,199	8,564	8,827	8,290	8,275
Burglary	1,564	1,052	1,407	1,601	1,854	1,763	2,129	2,395	2,304	2,245	2,166	1,905
Larceny	4,410	3,867	4,735	5,225	5,748	5,931	6,425	6,218	5,798	6,149	5,707	5,945
Motor Vehicle Theft	427	335	350	418	455	464	537	586	462	433	417	425
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		2010-11
Total Index Crimes	125,678	125,126	121,169	118,656	119,520	112,707	116,075	113,239	113,588	109,263		-3.8%
Violent Crimes	16,714	16,479	16,076	17,562	18,251	16,498	16,817	16,727	16,242	15,411		-5.1%
Murder	200	231	196	226	225	206	213	217	229	147		-35.8%
Rape	845	831	840	795	773	798	759	679	722	626		-13.3%
Robbery	6,839	6,895	6,333	7,332	7,643	6,604	6,828	6,716	6,155	5,989		-2.7%
Aggravated Assault	8,830	8,522	8,707	9,209	9,610	8,890	9,017	9,115	9,136	8,649		-5.3%
Property Crimes	108,964	108,647	105,093	101,094	101,269	96,209	99,258	96,512	97,346	93,852		-3.6%
Burglary	20,960	21,604	19,955	20,460	20,968	19,674	20,841	20,123	21,996	22,385		1.8%
Larceny	74,015	72,769	71,700	69,352	69,661	67,010	70,371	69,494	69,290	66,158		-4.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	13,989	14,274	13,438	11,282	10,640	9,525	8,046	6,895	6,060	5,309		-12.4%

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

\*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: There were 13 homicides reported in Binghamton in April 2009, which reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3, 2009.

#### As of 1/19/2012 Albany City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	398	261	391	404	503	472	562	537	529	580	483	417
Violent Crimes	66	43	90	64	89	83	93	103	80	84	71	68
Murder	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Rape	1	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	1	0
Robbery	23	11	28	29	27	34	32	31	31	29	23	22
Aggravated Assault	42	27	58	32	60	47	56	68	45	50	46	46
Property Crimes	332	218	301	340	414	389	469	434	449	496	412	349
Burglary	64	35	52	61	76	72	88	80	86	127	81	68
Larceny	253	171	235	268	324	300	367	340	348	352	314	265
Motor Vehicle Theft	15	12	14	11	14	17	14	14	15	17	17	16
Total Index Crimes	<u>2002</u> 6,774	<u>2003</u> 6,150	<u>2004</u> 6,717	<u>2005</u> 6,158	<u>2006</u> 6,057	<u>2007</u> 5,378	<u>2008</u> 5,489	<u>2009</u> 5,255	<u>2010</u> 5,633	<u>2011</u> 5,537		% Change <u>2010-11</u> -1.7%
Violent Crimes	1,403	1,160	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,132	1,060	1,004	983	934		-5.0%
Murder	8	9	10	8	5	3	10	9	3	4		
Rape	64	33	53	68	50	45	49	45	42	33		-21.4%
Robbery	481	383	394	439	389	376	372	327	316	320		1.3%
Aggravated Assault	850	735	674	760	783	708	629	623	622	577		-7.2%
Property Crimes	5,371	4,990	5,586	4,883	4,830	4,246	4,429	4,251	4,650	4,603		-1.0%
Burglary	1,397	1,302	1,294	1,328	1,061	964	1,034	877	927	890		-4.0%
Larceny	3,401	3,274	3,825	3,186	3,528	2,997	3,170	3,141	3,497	3,537		1.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	573	414	467	369	241	285	225	233	226	176		-22.1%

\*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: Albany Police Department's procedural and classification errors from 2000 to 2002 resulted in over-counts in all index categories except murder.

#### As of 1/25/2012 Binghamton City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	173	138	180	204	201	206	240	279	203	246	247	228
Violent Crimes	26	13	17	19	19	16	37	32	12	25	21	27
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	1	0	3	1	3	0	1	4	2	1	0	0
Robbery	7	4	1	7	5	8	11	7	4	8	8	6
Aggravated Assault	18	9	13	11	11	8	25	21	6	16	13	21
Property Crimes	147	125	163	185	182	190	203	247	191	221	226	201
Burglary	28	18	17	42	25	32	32	49	48	40	48	41
Larceny	115	105	141	135	146	154	167	194	142	174	173	156
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	2	5	8	11	4	4	4	1	7	5	4
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	2,366	2,129	2,122	2,079	2,559	2,314	2,665	2,291	2,353	2,545		8.2%
Violent Crimes	205	182	155	177	206	214	276	216	268	264		-1.5%
Murder	6	3	1	3	2	3	1	15	5	0		
Rape	21	10	22	19	9	19	11	11	18	16		-11.1%
Robbery	80	77	57	61	63	67	84	56	70	76		8.6%
Aggravated Assault	98	92	75	94	132	125	180	134	175	172		-1.7%
Property Crimes	2,161	1,947	1,967	1,902	2,353	2,100	2,389	2,075	2,085	2,281		9.4%
Burglary	260	213	247	236	288	254	342	286	423	420		-0.7%
Larceny	1,856	1,683	1,686	1,646	2,016	1,809	2,000	1,746	1,644	1,802		9.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	45	51	34	20	49	37	47	43	18	59		227.8%

\*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note 1: Recent increases in aggravated assault is due in part to recent improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports. Note 2: 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2009.

#### As of 1/31/2012 Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	1,199	877	1,169	1,403	1,483	1,516	1,758	1,802	1,718	1,568	1,596	1,466
Violent Crimes	245	159	215	280	278	296	341	288	315	259	286	288
Murder	4	1	4	3	5	3	6	3	1	1	2	3
Rape	6	9	8	16	12	11	11	12	4	10	15	7
Robbery	109	73	92	123	110	104	138	145	157	124	136	148
Aggravated Assault	126	76	111	138	151	178	186	128	153	124	133	130
Property Crimes	954	718	954	1,123	1,205	1,220	1,417	1,514	1,403	1,309	1,310	1,178
Burglary	303	172	293	295	370	360	471	533	474	431	428	343
Larceny	555	460	581	733	748	765	840	870	817	799	783	760
Motor Vehicle Theft	96	86	80	95	87	95	106	111	112	79	99	75
Total Index Crimes	<u>2002</u> 19,017	<u>2003</u> 20,434	<u>2004</u> 20,056	<u>2005</u> 20,668	<u>2006</u> 19,392	<u>2007</u> 19,620	<u>2008</u> 19,176	<u>2009</u> 18,414	<u>2010</u> 18,342	<u>2011</u> 17,555		% Change <u>2010-11</u> -4.3%
Violent Crimes	3,757	3,924	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,713	3,923	3,599	3,250		-9.7%
Murder	43	65	51	56	74	54	37	60	55	36		-34.5%
Rape	185	206	212	184	173	164	173	143	157	121		-22.9%
Robbery	1,627	1,654	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,537	1,637	1,466	1,459		-0.5%
Aggravated Assault	1,902	1,999	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,966	2,083	1,921	1,634		-14.9%
Property Crimes	15,260	16,510	16,252	16,730	15,436	16,130	15,463	14,491	14,743	14,305		-3.0%
Burglary	3,857	4,131	3,914	4,240	4,447	4,389	4,107	3,957	4,296	4,473		4.1%
Larceny	9,115	9,851	9,929	10,089	8,864	9,477	9,500	8,951	9,027	8,711		-3.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,288	2,528	2,409	2,401	2,125	2,264	1,856	1,583	1,420	1,121		-21.1%

#### As of 1/6/2012

#### Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	90	74	97	98	108	124	129	139	116	102	132	116
Violent Crimes	12	16	12	9	18	21	22	25	18	14	18	18
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	3	2	0	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	5
Robbery	2	1	2	3	5	4	4	3	1	4	2	5
Aggravated Assault	10	12	8	6	12	16	17	19	15	8	14	8
Property Crimes	78	58	85	89	90	103	107	114	98	88	114	98
Burglary	25	18	27	21	37	23	34	32	30	25	41	22
Larceny	51	39	56	66	51	76	71	79	64	59	71	73
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	1	2	2	2	4	2	3	4	4	2	3
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,256	1,396	1,218	1,345	1,378	1,324	1,365	1,254	1,462	1,325		-9.4%
Violent Crimes	162	164	168	213	170	168	185	152	181	203		12.2%
Murder	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0		
Rape	11	18	19	23	21	20	20	20	24	22		-8.3%
Robbery	40	41	48	46	35	30	40	26	35	36		2.9%
Aggravated Assault	110	105	101	143	114	117	122	105	122	145		18.9%
Property Crimes	1,094	1,232	1,050	1,132	1,208	1,156	1,180	1,102	1,281	1,122		-12.4%
Burglary	269	325	304	364	367	300	344	266	342	335		-2.0%
Larceny	761	822	703	728	795	819	811	816	895	756		-15.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	64	85	43	40	46	37	25	20	44	31		-29.5%

#### As of 1/6/2012

#### Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	50	54	44	64	78	57	63	52	52	58	65	36
Violent Crimes	11	7	4	5	14	5	14	5	4	7	8	9
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	1
Robbery	7	2	2	3	4	1	5	1	1	2	3	3
Aggravated Assault	4	5	1	2	9	4	8	4	3	3	3	5
Property Crimes	39	47	40	59	64	52	49	47	48	51	57	27
Burglary	12	10	3	11	10	6	8	11	11	11	15	7
Larceny	27	37	36	46	51	46	41	35	37	37	40	17
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	1	0	3	2	3
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,228	1,242	1,022	1,208	887	766	714	759	692	673		-2.7%
Violent Crimes	93	118	92	117	89	67	69	97	81	93		14.8%
Murder	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0		
Rape	5	7	5	11	11	5	5	2	5	8		
Robbery	55	62	58	72	43	38	48	69	29	34		17.2%
Aggravated Assault	33	48	28	34	34	23	16	26	46	51		10.9%
Property Crimes	1,135	1,124	930	1,091	798	699	645	662	611	580		-5.1%
Burglary	203	176	135	114	147	122	115	122	142	115		-19.0%
Larceny	864	873	762	928	625	551	510	510	451	450		-0.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	68	75	33	49	26	26	20	30	18	15		-16.7%

## As of 1/27/2012

#### Nassau County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	1,006	1,001	1,020	1,131	1,219	1,252	1,376	1,340	1,131	1,339	1,330	1,434
Violent Crimes	127	102	98	111	114	117	151	121	117	119	125	117
Murder	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0
Rape	5	1	3	1	1	5	4	4	4	4	2	3
Robbery	72	56	43	50	52	49	69	62	63	57	68	55
Aggravated Assault	50	45	52	59	61	61	77	54	50	58	54	59
Property Crimes	879	899	922	1,020	1,105	1,135	1,225	1,219	1,014	1,220	1,205	1,317
Burglary	108	116	124	155	164	171	200	217	157	215	229	198
Larceny	717	716	742	822	876	890	947	897	779	945	916	1,046
Motor Vehicle Theft	54	67	56	43	65	74	78	105	78	60	60	73
Total Index Crimes	<u>2002</u> 17,586	<u>2003</u> 17,837	<u>2004</u> 17,526	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u> 16,449	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u> 15,375	<u>2011</u>		% Change <u>2010-11</u> 5 2%
Total Index Crimes	17,580	17,837	17,520	16,214	16,526	15,525	10,449	16,825	15,375	14,579		-5.2%
Violent Crimes	1,783	1,791	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602	1,640	1,496	1,419		-5.1%
Murder	16	14	13	16	14	14	14	18	15	6		
Rape	92	86	77	76	74	71	58	74	70	37		-47.1%
Robbery	749	775	724	833	866	748	748	754	672	696		3.6%
Aggravated Assault	926	916	949	868	859	806	782	794	739	680		-8.0%
Property Crimes	15,803	16,046	15,763	14,421	14,713	13,886	14,847	15,185	13,879	13,160		-5.2%
Burglary	2,242	2,567	2,255	2,153	2,170	1,807	1,929	1,998	1,925	2,054		6.7%
Larceny	11,632	11,702	11,812	10,836	11,372	10,928	11,900	12,066	11,117	10,293		-7.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	1,929	1,777	1,696	1,432	1,171	1,151	1,018	1,121	837	813		-2.9%

#### As of 1/30/2012 Newburgh City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	125	67	122	125	147	145	179	168	166	190	174	156
Violent Crimes	34	23	32	36	39	48	49	54	46	53	62	45
Murder	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Rape	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	1	2
Robbery	22	14	12	13	19	24	18	18	25	29	30	24
Aggravated Assault	11	9	19	20	18	24	31	33	20	23	31	19
Property Crimes	91	44	90	89	108	97	130	114	120	137	112	111
Burglary	22	13	16	26	34	24	40	47	54	62	34	29
Larceny	62	28	71	53	72	68	80	63	61	71	74	79
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	3	3	10	2	5	10	4	5	4	4	3
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,889	1,577	1,551	1,509	1,466	1,612	1,539	1,530	1,655	1,764		6.6%
Violent Crimes	587	358	371	432	386	436	476	465	523	521		-0.4%
Murder	7	2	3	3	1	2	7	4	11	4		
Rape	23	26	15	12	16	14	13	8	7	11		
Robbery	179	67	94	174	134	131	162	187	195	248		27.2%
Aggravated Assault	378	263	259	243	235	289	294	266	310	258		-16.8%
Property Crimes	1,302	1,219	1,180	1,077	1,080	1,176	1,063	1,065	1,132	1,243		9.8%
Burglary	349	344	258	294	264	316	333	316	342	401		17.3%
Larceny	860	767	793	707	750	791	640	661	724	782		8.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	93	108	129	76	66	69	90	88	66	60		-9.1%

### As of 1/19/2012

#### Niagara Falls City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	256	183	202	293	262	304	378	396	355	313	292	286
Violent Crimes	30	27	44	54	53	39	72	60	64	45	36	57
Murder	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Rape	3	4	4	2	1	3	1	1	3	2	2	5
Robbery	12	9	14	19	11	13	9	16	17	18	16	20
Aggravated Assault	15	14	25	33	41	23	62	42	44	25	18	30
Property Crimes	226	156	158	239	209	265	306	336	291	268	256	229
Burglary	76	39	42	67	52	78	87	100	98	72	75	63
Larceny	138	108	109	152	144	174	207	225	177	181	171	147
Motor Vehicle Theft	12	9	7	20	13	13	12	11	16	15	10	19
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change <u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	3,848	3,880	3,607	3,372	3,432	3,187	3,310	3,422	3,535	3,520		-0.4%
Violent Crimes	558	603	636	685	644	508	566	609	610	581		-4.8%
Murder	3	7	5	4	4	4	3	6	5	4		
Rape	30	39	28	24	35	38	24	29	23	31		34.8%
Robbery	166	199	188	242	201	134	173	167	185	174		-5.9%
Aggravated Assault	359	358	415	415	404	332	366	407	397	372		-6.3%
Property Crimes	3,290	3,277	2,971	2,687	2,788	2,679	2,744	2,813	2,925	2,939		0.5%
Burglary	827	898	732	703	791	669	829	806	956	849		-11.2%
Larceny	2,080	2,072	1,942	1,726	1,802	1,799	1,763	1,879	1,835	1,933		5.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	383	307	297	258	195	211	152	128	134	157		17.2%

### As of 1/20/2012

#### Poughkeepsie City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	93	79	104	102	121	118	126	121	127	100	130	132
Violent Crimes	13	18	33	28	29	34	27	27	20	28	34	33
Murder	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rape	0	1	1	2	3	0	1	4	3	1	1	5
Robbery	7	4	17	10	10	10	11	5	3	9	9	12
Aggravated Assault	6	11	15	15	15	24	15	18	13	18	24	16
Property Crimes	80	61	71	74	92	84	99	94	107	72	96	99
Burglary	25	18	20	21	25	20	28	20	32	15	35	24
Larceny	53	42	49	50	61	60	64	70	72	55	57	71
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	1	2	3	6	4	7	4	3	2	4	4
Total Index Crimes	<u>2002</u> 1,347	<u>2003</u> 1,538	<u>2004</u> 1,561	<u>2005</u> 1,480	<u>2006</u> 1,535	<u>2007</u> 1,451	<u>2008</u> 1,521	<u>2009</u> 1,447	<u>2010</u> 1,459	<u>2011</u> 1,353		% Change <u>2010-11</u> -7.3%
Violent Crimes	298	354	357	372	417	388	397	417	400	324		-19.0%
Murder	3	2	2	2	4	5	5	3	6	5		
Rape	16	25	23	16	13	15	23	11	21	22		4.8%
Robbery	131	133	131	151	173	164	165	206	143	107		-25.2%
Aggravated Assault	148	194	201	203	227	204	204	197	230	190		-17.4%
Property Crimes	1,049	1,184	1,204	1,108	1,118	1,063	1,124	1,030	1,059	1,029		-2.8%
Burglary	205	258	183	234	242	253	261	274	275	283		2.9%
Larceny	792	843	918	787	792	706	787	691	732	704		-3.8%
Motor Vehicle Theft	52	83	103	87	84	104	76	65	52	42		-19.2%

#### As of 1/27/2012 Rochester City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	981	690	903	931	1,287	1,240	1,336	1,190	1,217	1,278	975	935
Violent Crimes	167	98	138	151	202	192	197	184	193	184	154	169
Murder	4	1	3	1	2	3	3	3	1	3	5	2
Rape	8	4	7	7	11	10	11	9	6	9	9	4
Robbery	64	30	34	49	73	65	68	67	76	78	66	85
Aggravated Assault	91	63	94	94	116	114	115	105	110	94	74	78
Property Crimes	814	592	765	780	1,085	1,048	1,139	1,006	1,024	1,094	821	766
Burglary	251	158	161	226	278	295	330	361	390	327	301	306
Larceny	511	400	552	517	737	687	731	558	565	720	464	407
Motor Vehicle Theft	52	34	52	37	70	66	78	87	69	47	56	53
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change <u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	16,911	17,740	17,240	16,017	15,665	13,627	13,433	13,033	14,049	12,963		-7.7%
Violent Crimes	1,786	2,032	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	2,042	2,229	2,029		-9.0%
Murder	42	56	36	53	49	50	43	28	41	31		-24.4%
Rape	107	86	91	100	92	121	98	97	99	95		-4.0%
Robbery	972	1,166	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	846	816	755		-7.5%
Aggravated Assault	665	724	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,102	1,071	1,273	1,148		-9.8%
Property Crimes	15,125	15,708	15,458	13,828	12,999	11,277	11,131	10,991	11,820	10,934		-7.5%
Burglary	2,467	2,497	2,722	2,758	2,673	2,582	2,809	2,899	3,448	3,384		-1.9%
Larceny	9,853	9,773	9,550	8,826	7,913	7,044	7,060	7,130	7,620	6,849		-10.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,805	3,438	3,186	2,244	2,413	1,651	1,262	962	752	701		-6.8%

### As of 1/12/2012

#### Schenectady City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	273	223	269	309	336	333	384	373	302	306	281	339
Violent Crimes	40	40	45	62	53	63	62	49	63	62	42	54
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Rape	1	4	5	7	1	3	6	3	2	2	4	5
Robbery	17	9	18	17	15	21	22	20	20	16	12	16
Aggravated Assault	22	27	22	38	37	37	34	26	41	44	25	32
Property Crimes	233	183	224	247	283	270	322	324	239	244	239	285
Burglary	60	56	56	69	86	77	107	107	67	73	70	75
Larceny	157	114	154	159	177	180	193	200	158	164	157	204
Motor Vehicle Theft	16	13	14	19	20	13	22	17	14	7	12	6
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	3,197	3,080	3,416	3,687	4,161	3,553	3,708	3,928	4,066	3,728		-8.3%
Violent Crimes	493	483	510	628	712	606	654	592	679	635		-6.5%
Murder	8	11	7	8	6	5	9	7	8	4		
Rape	45	43	38	43	52	34	35	31	53	43		-18.9%
Robbery	174	171	173	252	309	265	288	243	256	203		-20.7%
Aggravated Assault	266	258	292	325	345	302	322	311	362	385		6.4%
Property Crimes	2,704	2,597	2,906	3,059	3,449	2,947	3,054	3,336	3,387	3,093		-8.7%
Burglary	738	736	718	800	1,119	806	925	822	856	903		5.5%
Larceny	1,652	1,616	1,935	1,968	1,994	1,851	1,905	2,299	2,347	2,017		-14.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	314	245	253	291	336	290	224	215	184	173		-6.0%

\*Schenectady City PD switched to IBR, effective for the June 2011 data submission. \*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

#### As of 1/30/2012 Spring Valley Village Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	50	44	46	49	56	49	78	61	64	55	42	43
Violent Crimes	12	9	11	12	14	13	17	18	22	13	15	4
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	0
Robbery	5	6	2	5	4	8	6	5	7	6	4	1
Aggravated Assault	7	3	9	5	8	4	9	12	14	6	11	3
Property Crimes	38	35	35	37	42	36	61	43	42	42	27	39
Burglary	4	8	7	5	6	10	8	5	5	7	4	11
Larceny	30	26	27	30	35	25	53	34	36	34	22	26
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	1	1	2	1	1	0	4	1	1	1	2
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change <u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	n/a	n/a	<u>- 684</u>	<u>-643</u>	<u></u> 597	655	721	633	765	637		-16.7%
Violent Crimes	n/a	n/a	190	180	183	204	202	169	139	160		15.1%
Murder	n/a	n/a	2	4	1	0	1	0	1	0		
Rape	n/a	n/a	8	7	6	12	7	5	4	10		
Robbery	n/a	n/a	73	49	71	71	63	57	43	59		37.2%
Aggravated Assault	n/a	n/a	107	120	105	121	131	107	91	91		0.0%
Property Crimes	n/a	n/a	494	463	414	451	519	464	626	477		-23.8%
Burglary	n/a	n/a	107	83	93	89	85	80	106	80		-24.5%
Larceny	n/a	n/a	335	337	285	326	410	362	494	378		-23.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	n/a	n/a	52	43	36	36	24	22	26	19		-26.9%

#### As of 1/19/2012 Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	1,696	1,525	1,953	2,115	2,304	2,320	2,465	2,513	2,514	2,435	2,387	2,565
Violent Crimes	110	94	166	136	188	144	180	191	193	138	143	174
Murder	0	0	0	3	5	7	2	4	0	3	3	4
Rape	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	2	4	1	2
Robbery	53	32	61	50	65	49	69	81	85	67	58	78
Aggravated Assault	54	59	101	79	114	85	105	102	106	64	81	90
Property Crimes	1,586	1,431	1,787	1,979	2,116	2,176	2,285	2,322	2,321	2,297	2,244	2,391
Burglary	308	213	310	334	354	295	320	436	475	482	459	356
Larceny	1,170	1,150	1,401	1,539	1,651	1,784	1,846	1,764	1,759	1,724	1,703	1,933
Motor Vehicle Theft	108	68	76	106	111	97	119	122	87	91	82	102
		0000	0004	0005	000/	0007			0040	0011		% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	30,127	29,277	26,687	26,542	27,292	26,541	28,400	27,466	27,546	26,792		-2.7%
Violent Crimes	2,497	2,394	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,165	2,267	2,031	1,857		-8.6%
Murder	18	24	28	28	37	28	38	32	50	31		-38.0%
Rape	143	112	109	82	86	87	91	62	55	38		-30.9%
Robbery	907	958	845	1,037	1,027	871	890	960	814	748		-8.1%
Aggravated Assault	1,429	1,300	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146	1,213	1,112	1,040		-6.5%
Property Crimes	27,630	26,883	24,419	24,096	24,811	24,337	26,235	25,199	25,515	24,935		-2.3%
Burglary	4,159	4,091	3,537	3,509	3,373	3,365	3,805	3,740	4,031	4,342		7.7%
Larceny	20,587	19,959	18,323	18,372	19,110	18,880	20,490	19,952	20,038	19,424		-3.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,884	2,833	2,559	2,215	2,328	2,092	1,940	1,507	1,446	1,169		-19.2%

# As of 1/31/2012

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	456	348	508	470	593	562	682	671	607	593	510	577
Violent Crimes	87	65	106	112	130	110	151	138	103	108	105	87
Murder	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	1	2
Rape	5	2	5	4	9	5	8	8	3	3	5	6
Robbery	23	18	23	35	33	29	52	40	32	38	35	30
Aggravated Assault	58	45	77	73	88	75	91	86	68	66	64	49
Property Crimes	369	283	402	358	463	452	531	533	504	485	405	490
Burglary	133	84	133	100	146	142	167	161	179	154	132	174
Larceny	215	185	251	237	298	282	334	339	298	299	241	282
Motor Vehicle Theft	21	14	18	21	19	28	30	33	27	32	32	34
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		2010-11
Total Index Crimes	9,792	9,081	7,983	8,056	8,192	7,399	7,531	7,122	6,999	6,577		-6.0%
Violent Crimes	1,520	1,359	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	1,343	1,291	1,302		0.9%
Murder	24	15	16	19	12	19	24	18	15	11		-26.7%
Rape	43	66	70	73	66	67	71	70	68	63		-7.4%
Robbery	551	485	451	554	534	446	419	403	377	388		2.9%
Aggravated Assault	902	793	785	924	903	903	852	852	831	840		1.1%
Property Crimes	8,272	7,722	6,661	6,486	6,677	5,964	6,165	5,779	5,708	5,275		-7.6%
Burglary	1,930	1,986	1,678	1,867	1,904	1,785	1,938	1,946	2,174	1,705		-21.6%
Larceny	5,060	4,519	3,839	3,639	4,037	3,618	3,725	3,495	3,167	3,261		3.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	1,282	1,217	1,144	980	736	561	502	338	367	309		-15.8%

#### As of 1/27/2012 Troy City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	168	146	161	223	242	250	309	272	209	253	241	215
Violent Crimes	31	20	15	18	38	50	37	39	24	41	33	25
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	2	1	1	0	2	6	0	3	1	3	2	2
Robbery	12	5	7	3	8	12	12	16	11	10	5	11
Aggravated Assault	17	14	7	15	28	32	25	20	12	28	26	12
Property Crimes	137	126	146	205	204	200	272	233	185	212	208	190
Burglary	37	30	51	77	82	70	82	81	70	60	68	67
Larceny	90	94	94	121	113	117	172	139	112	143	133	114
Motor Vehicle Theft	10	2	1	7	9	13	18	13	3	9	7	9
Total Index Crimes	<u>2002</u> 2,496	<u>2003</u> 2,432	<u>2004</u> 2,312	<u>2005</u> 2,362	<u>2006</u> 2,652	<u>2007</u> 2,548	<u>2008</u> 2,755	<u>2009</u> 2,689	<u>2010</u> 2,775	<u>2011</u> 2,689		% Change <u>2010-11</u> -3.1%
Violent Crimes	314	293	298	309	373	345	392	349	423	371		-12.3%
Murder	3	2	1	4	1	2	5	3	2	0		
Rape	28	30	30	18	18	21	19	17	22	23		4.5%
Robbery	118	84	84	78	120	131	152	154	137	112		-18.2%
Aggravated Assault	165	177	183	209	234	191	216	175	262	236		-9.9%
Property Crimes	2,182	2,139	2,014	2,053	2,279	2,203	2,363	2,340	2,352	2,318		-1.4%
Burglary	521	520	553	517	614	581	600	608	656	775		18.1%
Larceny	1,411	1,438	1,266	1,333	1,482	1,449	1,650	1,612	1,551	1,442		-7.0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	250	181	195	203	183	173	113	120	145	101		-30.3%

#### As of 1/4/2012

#### Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	206	134	188	217	219	216	295	314	260	292	285	247
Violent Crimes	24	28	38	30	37	34	29	46	33	32	34	13
Murder	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Rape	2	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0
Robbery	3	4	6	8	7	13	4	16	7	14	14	5
Aggravated Assault	19	22	30	21	26	19	24	28	26	16	17	8
Property Crimes	182	106	150	187	182	182	266	268	227	260	251	234
Burglary	52	20	46	32	36	39	58	71	53	70	68	72
Larceny	125	82	99	147	140	137	195	187	173	183	176	160
Motor Vehicle Theft	5	4	5	8	6	6	13	10	1	7	7	2
												% Change
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>
Total Index Crimes	3,168	2,665	2,793	2,940	3,249	3,317	3,259	3,061	3,138	2,873		-8.4%
Violent Crimes	365	385	287	268	435	434	478	477	416	378		-9.1%
Murder	5	7	5	8	6	5	4	5	2	4		
Rape	21	19	17	18	20	21	20	18	25	17		-32.0%
Robbery	190	186	139	133	140	143	181	149	146	101		-30.8%
Aggravated Assault	149	173	126	109	269	265	273	305	243	256		5.3%
Property Crimes	2,803	2,280	2,506	2,672	2,814	2,883	2,781	2,584	2,722	2,495		-8.3%
Burglary	790	744	605	619	764	750	732	506	576	617		7.1%
Larceny	1,866	1,392	1,740	1,967	1,896	1,971	1,929	2,001	2,065	1,804		-12.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	147	144	161	86	154	162	120	77	81	74		-8.6%

\*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: the increase in aggravated assault in 2006 is due in part to improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports.

#### As of 1/30/2012 Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Total Index Crimes	280	230	275	292	315	365	305	477	430	426	402	356
Violent Crimes	64	58	76	59	102	106	95	126	129	95	95	85
Murder	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
Rape	2	1	6	4	4	0	6	0	3	2	6	2
Robbery	30	27	29	21	52	52	48	47	51	44	36	36
Aggravated Assault	32	30	41	34	43	54	38	79	75	49	53	46
Property Crimes	216	172	199	233	213	259	210	351	301	331	307	271
Burglary	56	44	49	59	73	49	69	84	75	74	78	49
Larceny	141	110	137	150	124	186	117	224	200	209	212	205
Motor Vehicle Theft	19	18	13	24	16	24	24	43	26	48	17	17
Total Index Crimes	<u>2002</u> 4,676	<u>2003</u> 4,668	<u>2004</u> 4,674	<u>2005</u> 4,376	<u>2006</u> 4,480	<u>2007</u> 3,890	<u>2008</u> 4,040	<u>2009</u> 4,110	<u>2010</u> 3,744	<u>2011</u> 4,153		% Change <u>2010-11</u> 10.9%
Violent Crimes	893	879	942	970	978	878	914	965	893	1,090		22.1%
Murder	13	13	15	9	8	10	9	8	9	7		
Rape	11	25	23	21	31	44	42	36	29	36		24.1%
Robbery	419	454	457	518	498	424	447	475	455	473		4.0%
Aggravated Assault	450	387	447	422	441	400	416	446	400	574		43.5%
Property Crimes	3,783	3,789	3,732	3,406	3,502	3,012	3,126	3,145	2,851	3,063		7.4%
Burglary	746	816	713	641	651	642	653	620	521	759		45.7%
Larceny	2,225	2,185	2,342	2,277	2,400	1,994	2,121	2,182	2,086	2,015		-3.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	812	788	677	488	451	376	352	343	244	289		18.4%

# Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Report 2010 vs. 2011

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2011 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date violent crime involving a firearm trends for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions.



## Violent Crime by Firearm Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

### January - December 2011 vs. 2010

As of 1/31/2012

	Current	Month - De	cember	Year-to-Date				
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change		
IMPACT TOTAL								
Total Violent Crime	1,084	1,273	17.4%	16,242	15,411	-5.1%		
Total Firearm Related	290	378	30.3%	3,720	3,612	-2.9%		
Percent Firearm	26.8%	29.7%		22.9%	23.4%			
Murder	13	15	15.4%	229	147	-35.8%		
Firearm Related	6	10		156	83	-46.8%		
Percent Firearm	46.2%	66.7%		68.1%	56.5%			
Rape	54	49	-9.3%	722	626	-13.3%		
Firearm Related	1	2		11	13	18.2%		
Percent Firearm	1.9%	4.1%		1.5%	2.1%			
Robbery	469	557	18.8%	6,155	5,989	-2.7%		
Firearm Related	184	232	26.1%	1,924	1,965	2.1%		
Percent Firearm	39.2%	41.7%		31.3%	32.8%			
Aggravated Assault	548	652	19.0%	9,136	8,649	-5.3%		
Firearm Related	99	134	35.4%	1,629	1,551	-4.8%		
Percent Firearm	18.1%	20.6%		17.8%	17.9%			

**Notes:** all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December				Ŷ	ear-to-Date	2
	2010	2011	% Change		2010	2011	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD							
Total Violent Crime	69	68	-1.4%		983	934	-5.0%
Total Firearm Related Percent Firearm	8 11.6%	16 22 5%			132	170	28.8%
		23.5%			13.4%	18.2%	
Murder	0	0			3	4	
Firearm Related Percent Firearm	0	0			1 33.3%	2 50.0%	
	_						<b>•</b> • • • •
Rape	5	0			42	33	-21.4%
Firearm Related Percent Firearm	0	0			0	0 0.0%	
	0.0%		10.00/		0.0%		
Robbery	25	22	-12.0%		316	320	1.3%
Firearm Related Percent Firearm	6 24.0%	6 27.3%			68 21.5%	91 28.4%	33.8%
			. –				/
Aggravated Assault	39	46	17.9%		622	577	-7.2%
Firearm Related Percent Firearm	2 5.1%	10 21.7%			63 10.1%	77 13.3%	22.2%
BINGHAMTON CITY PD	5.1%	21.770		_	10.176	13.370	
Total Violent Crime	14	27	92.9%		268	264	-1.5%
Total Firearm Related	14	1	52.570		32	32	0.0%
Percent Firearm	7.1%	3.7%			11.9%	12.1%	0.070
Murder	1	0			5	0	
Firearm Related	0	0			2	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	Ũ			40.0%	Ũ	
Rape	4	0			18	16	-11.1%
Firearm Related	4 0	0			10	01	11.1/0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	Ū			0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	1	6			70	76	8.6%
Firearm Related	0	0			11	18	63.6%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%			15.7%	23.7%	
Aggravated Assault	8	21			175	172	-1.7%
Firearm Related	1	1			19	14	-26.3%
Percent Firearm	12.5%	4.8%			10.9%	8.1%	
BUFFALO CITY PD							
Total Violent Crime	230	288	25.2%		3,599	3,250	-9.7%
Total Firearm Related	79	115	45.6%		1,026	1,023	-0.3%
Percent Firearm	34.3%	39.9%			28.5%	31.5%	
Murder	1	3			55	36	-34.5%
Firearm Related	1	3			43	29	-32.6%
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%			78.2%	80.6%	
Rape	5	7			157	121	-22.9%
Firearm Related	0	0			5	4	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%			3.2%	3.3%	
Robbery	111	148	33.3%		1,466	1,459	-0.5%
Firearm Related	47	77	63.8%		510	577	13.1%
Percent Firearm	42.3%	52.0%			34.8%	39.5%	
Aggravated Assault	113	130	15.0%		1,921	1,634	-14.9%
Firearm Related	31	35	12.9%		468	413	-11.8%
Percent Firearm	27.4%	26.9%			24.4%	25.3%	

	Current I	cember		Y	/ear-to-Date	•	
	2010	2011	% Change	201	0	2011	% Change
JAMESTOWN CITY PD							
Total Violent Crime	12	18	50.0%		181	203	12.2%
Total Firearm Related	1	0			21	14	-33.3%
Percent Firearm	8.3%	0.0%		1	1.6%	6.9%	
Murder	0	0			0	0	
Firearm Related	0	0			0	0	
Percent Firearm							
Rape	1	5			24	22	-8.3%
Firearm Related	0	0			0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	2	5			35	36	2.9%
Firearm Related	1	0			10	4	
Percent Firearm	50.0%	0.0%		2	8.6%	11.1%	
Aggravated Assault	9	8			122	145	18.9%
Firearm Related	0	0			11	10	-9.1%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%			9.0%	6.9%	
KINGSTON CITY PD							
Total Violent Crime	4	9			81	93	14.8%
Total Firearm Related	0	0			13	14	7.7%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		1	5.0%	15.1%	
Murder	0	0			1	0	
Firearm Related	0	0			1	0	
Percent Firearm				10	0.0%		
Rape	0	1			5	8	
Firearm Related	0	0			0	0	
Percent Firearm		0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	4	3			29	34	17.2%
Firearm Related	0	0			3	5	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		1	0.3%	14.7%	
Aggravated Assault	0	5			46	51	10.9%
Firearm Related	0	0			9	9	
Percent Firearm		0.0%		1	9.6%	17.6%	
NASSAU COUNTY PD							
Total Violent Crime	109	117	7.3%	1	,496	1,419	-5.1%
Total Firearm Related	22	26	<b>18.2%</b>		219	237	8.2%
Percent Firearm	20.2%	22.2%		14	4.6%	16.7%	
Murder	0	0			15	6	
Firearm Related	0	0			12	3	
Percent Firearm				8	0.0%	50.0%	
Rape	1	3			70	37	-47.1%
Firearm Related	0	0			0	1	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	2.7%	
Robbery	60	55	-8.3%		672	696	3.6%
Firearm Related	20	23	15.0%		171	205	19.9%
Percent Firearm	33.3%	41.8%		2	5.4%	29.5%	
Aggravated Assault	48	59	22.9%		739	680	-8.0%
Firearm Related		3	22.370		36	28	-22.2%
Percent Firearm	4.2%	5.1%			4.9%	4.1%	/•

	Current I	Month - De	cember		Year-to-Da	ate
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	33	45	36.4%		23 52	
Total Firearm Related	10	21	110.0%			34 21.8%
Percent Firearm	30.3%	46.7%		21.	0% 25.7	%
Murder	2	0			11	4
Firearm Related	2	0			8	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%			72.	7% 0.0	%
Rape	0	2			7	11
Firearm Related	0	0			0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%		0.	0.0	%
Robbery	12	24	100.0%	1	95 24	48 27.2%
Firearm Related	5	11			42 6	56 57.1%
Percent Firearm	41.7%	45.8%		21.	5% 26.6	%
Aggravated Assault	19	19	0.0%	3	10 25	58 -16.8%
Firearm Related	3	10				58 13.3%
Percent Firearm	15.8%	52.6%		19.	4% 26.4	%
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	39	57	46.2%	6	10 58	31 -4.8%
Total Firearm Related	9	14		1	02 12	20 17.6%
Percent Firearm	23.1%	24.6%		16.	7% 20.7	%
Murder	0	2			5	4
Firearm Related	0	2			3	2
Percent Firearm		100.0%		60.	0% 50.0	%
Rape	1	5			23 3	31 34.8%
Firearm Related	0	0			0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.	0.0	%
Robbery	22	20	-9.1%	1	85 17	74 -5.9%
Firearm Related	7	4	511/0			43 -12.2%
Percent Firearm	31.8%	20.0%		26.		
Aggravated Assault	16	30	87.5%			72 -6.3%
Firearm Related	2	8	07.570			75 50.0%
Percent Firearm	_ 12.5%	26.7%		12.		
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	25	33	32.0%	4	00 32	24 -19.0%
Total Firearm Related	7	7			72 6	<b>57</b> -6.9%
Percent Firearm	28.0%	21.2%		18.	0% 20.7	%
Murder	0	0			6	5
Firearm Related	0	0			2	5
Percent Firearm				33.	3% 100.0	%
Rape	2	5			21 2	4.8%
Firearm Related	0	0			0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.	0.0	%
Robbery	13	12	-7.7%			)7 -25.2%
Firearm Related	3	4	7.7/0			24 -31.4%
Percent Firearm	23.1%	33.3%		24.		
Aggravated Assault	10	16	60.0%			90 -17.4%
Firearm Related	10	3	00.0%			30 -17.4% 38 8.6%
Percent Firearm	40.0%	18.8%		15.		
		$\frac{10.0\%}{2004 \text{ of } 7}$		13.	∠ <i>/</i> ∪ ∠U.U	70

	Current I	Month - De	cember		Year-to-Date	9
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime Total Firearm Related Percent Firearm	148 52 35.1%	169 73 43.2%	14.2% 40.4%	2,22 70 31.69	5 589	-9.0% -16.5%
Murder Firearm Related	1 1	2 2		4	9 14	-24.4% -51.7%
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%		70.79		
Rape Firearm Related Percent Firearm	11 1 9.1%	4 1 25.0%		99 	4 6	-4.0%
Robbery Firearm Related Percent Firearm	69 33 47.8%	85 49 57.6%	23.2% 48.5%		4 322	-7.5% -13.9%
Aggravated Assault Firearm Related Percent Firearm	67 17 25.4%	78 21 26.9%	16.4% 23.5%	1,27	3 1,148 8 247	-9.8% -17.1%
SCHENECTADY CITY PD	23.170	20.370		23.17	<u> </u>	
Total Violent Crime Total Firearm Related Percent Firearm	38 9 23.7%	54 11 20.4%	42.1%	679 133 20.39	8 123	-6.5% -10.9%
Murder Firearm Related Percent Firearm	0 0	1 0 0.0%			8 4 5 2 6 50.0%	
Rape Firearm Related Percent Firearm	2 0 0.0%	5 1 20.0%		5: 0.09	0 2	-18.9%
Robbery Firearm Related Percent Firearm	13 6 46.2%	20.0% 16 4 25.0%	23.1%		6 203 7 50	-20.7% -12.3%
Aggravated Assault Firearm Related Percent Firearm	40.2% 23 3 13.0%	32 18.8%	39.1%		2 385 6 69	6.4% -9.2%
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Total Violent Crime Total Firearm Related Percent Firearm	10 2 20.0%	4 0 0.0%		139 21 15.19	1 18	15.1% -14.3%
Murder Firearm Related Percent Firearm	0 0	0 0			1 0 0 0 6	
Rape Firearm Related Percent Firearm	1 0 0.0%	0 0			4 10 0 0 6 0.0%	
Robbery Firearm Related Percent Firearm	3 2 66.7%	1 0 0.0%		4. 20.99	9 14	37.2%
Aggravated Assault Firearm Related Percent Firearm	6 0 0.0%	3 0 0.0%		9 11 13.29	2 4	0.0%

	Current I	Month - De	cember		Year-to-Date	e
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Total Violent Crime Total Firearm Related Percent Firearm	150 43 28.7%	174 60 34.5%	16.0% 39.5%	2,03 52 26.0	.8 509	-3.6%
Murder Firearm Related Percent Firearm	8 2 25.0%	4 2 50.0%			50 31 29 14 % 45.2%	-51.7%
Rape Firearm Related Percent Firearm	4 0 0.0%	2 0 0.0%			5 38 1 0	-30.9%
Robbery Firearm Related Percent Firearm	60 27 45.0%	78 35 44.9%	30.0% 29.6%	81 30 37.3	04 300	-1.3%
Aggravated Assault Firearm Related Percent Firearm	78 14 17.9%	90 23 25.6%	15.4% 64.3%	1,11 19 17.4	94 195	0.5%
SYRACUSE CITY PD Total Violent Crime Total Firearm Related Percent Firearm	97 24 24.7%	87 20 23.0%	-10.3% -16.7%	1,29 31 24.3	.4 264	-15.9%
Murder Firearm Related Percent Firearm	0 0	2 1 50.0%			.5 11 .2 5 % 45.5%	
Rape Firearm Related Percent Firearm	9 0 0.0%	6 0 0.0%		1.5	58 63 1 0 % 0.0%	
Robbery Firearm Related Percent Firearm	30 14 46.7%	30 12 40.0%	0.0% -14.3%	37 12 32.1	.1 115	-5.0%
Aggravated Assault Firearm Related Percent Firearm	58 10 17.2%	49 7 14.3%	-15.5%	83 18 21.7	30 144	-20.0%
TROY CITY PD Total Violent Crime Total Firearm Related Percent Firearm Murder Firearm Related Percent Firearm	<b>23</b> <b>5</b> <b>21.7%</b> 0 0	<b>25</b> <b>5</b> <b>20.0%</b> 0 0	8.7%	42 7 16.8 50.0	1         94           %         25.3%           2         0           1         0	32.4%
Rape Firearm Related Percent Firearm Robbery Firearm Related	1 0 0.0% 6 0	2 0 0.0% 11 2		0.0 13 2	37 112 15 36	-18.2% -20.0%
Percent Firearm Aggravated Assault Firearm Related Percent Firearm	0.0% 16 5 31.3%	18.2% 12 3 25.0%	-25.0%	32.8 26 2 9.5	52 236 25 58	-9.9% 132.0%

	Current	Month - De	cember		Year-to-Date	2
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change
UTICA CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	36	13	-63.9%	416		-9.1%
Total Firearm Related	7	3		96		-13.5%
Percent Firearm	19.4%	23.1%		23.1%		
Murder	0	0		2		
Firearm Related	0	0		2		
Percent Firearm				100.0%		
Rape	4	0		25		-32.0%
Firearm Related	0	0		(		
Percent Firearm	0.0%			0.0%		
Robbery	13	5		146		-30.8%
Firearm Related	4	0		36		-41.7%
Percent Firearm	30.8%	0.0%		24.7%		
Aggravated Assault	19	8		243		5.3%
Firearm Related	3	3		58		3.4%
Percent Firearm YONKERS CITY PD	15.8%	37.5%		23.9%	23.4%	
Total Violent Crime	47	85	80.9%	893	1,090	22.1%
Total Firearm Related	47	6	80.5%	120		0.8%
Percent Firearm	23.4%	7.1%		13.4%		0.070
Murder	0	1		g	) 7	
Firearm Related	0	0		e		
Percent Firearm		0.0%		66.7%	5 71.4%	
Rape	3	2		29	36	24.1%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0 0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	25	36	44.0%	455	6 473	4.0%
Firearm Related	9	5		79	) 74	-6.3%
Percent Firearm	36.0%	13.9%		17.4%	5 15.6%	
Aggravated Assault	19	46	142.1%	400	) 574	43.5%
Firearm Related	2	1		35		20.0%
Percent Firearm	10.5%	2.2%		8.8%	5 7.3%	

Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Trend Tables

#### As of 1/31/2012 Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	1,099	820	1,140	1,186	1,417	1,371	1,574	1,506	1,436	1,307	1,282	1,273
Firearm Related	265	151	221	272	310	293	369	341	365	323	324	378
Percent Firearm	24.1%	18.4%	19.4%	22.9%	21.9%	21.4%	23.4%	22.6%	25.4%	24.7%	25.3%	29.7%
Murder	9	5	9	13	18	18	17	17	3	8	15	15
Firearm Related	3	3	6	6	13	7	13	6	3	6	7	10
Percent Firearm	33.3%	60.0%	66.7%	46.2%	72.2%	38.9%	76.5%	35.3%	100.0%	75.0%	46.7%	66.7%
Rape	40	39	57	53	61	52	61	64	41	54	55	49
Firearm Related	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	2	1	1	2
Percent Firearm	2.5%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	4.9%	1.6%	4.9%	1.9%	1.8%	4.1%
Robbery	468	305	391	445	500	496	578	580	591	553	525	557
Firearm Related	161	89	109	134	149	139	188	188	211	186	179	232
Percent Firearm	34.4%	29.2%	27.9%	30.1%	29.8%	28.0%	32.5%	32.4%	35.7%	33.6%	34.1%	41.7%
Aggravated Assault	582	471	683	675	838	805	918	845	801	692	687	652
Firearm Related	100	58	106	132	148	146	165	146	149	130	137	134
Percent Firearm	17.2%	12.3%	15.5%	19.6%	17.7%	18.1%	18.0%	17.3%	18.6%	18.8%	19.9%	20.6%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
												<u>2010-11</u>
Violent Crimes	16,714	16,479	16,076	17,562	18,251	16,498	16,817	16,727	16,242	15,411		-5.1%
Firearm Related	3,791	4,072	3,594	4,479	4,781	3,933	3,949	3,982	3,720	3,612		-2.9%
Percent Firearm	22.7%	24.7%	22.4%	25.5%	26.2%	23.8%	23.5%	23.8%	22.9%	23.4%		
Murder	200	231	196	226	225	206	213	217	229	147		-35.8%
Firearm Related	130	157	107	140	143	138	137	146	156	83		-46.8%
Percent Firearm	65.0%	68.0%	54.6%	61.9%	63.6%	67.0%	64.3%	67.3%	68.1%	56.5%		
Rape	845	831	840	795	773	798	759	679	722	626		-13.3%
Firearm Related	15	25	31	28	25	19	21	16	11	13		18.2%
Percent Firearm	1.8%	3.0%	3.7%	3.5%	3.2%	2.4%	2.8%	2.4%	1.5%	2.1%		
Robbery	6,839	6,895	6,333	7,332	7,643	6,604	6,828	6,716	6,155	5,989		-2.7%
Firearm Related	2,154	2,325	2,006	2,554	2,709	2,094	2,164	2,170	1,924	1,965		2.1%
Percent Firearm	31.5%	33.7%	31.7%	34.8%	35.4%	31.7%	31.7%	32.3%	31.3%	32.8%		
Aggravated Assault	8,830	8,522	8,707	9,209	9,610	8,890	9,017	9,115	9,136	8,649		-5.3%
Firearm Related	1,492	1,565	1,450	1,757	1,904	1,682	1,627	1,650	1,629	1,551		-4.8%
Percent Firearm	16.9%	18.4%	16.7%	19.1%	19.8%	18.9%	18.0%	18.1%	17.8%	17.9%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

\*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: There were 13 homicides reported in Binghamton in April 2009, which reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3, 2009.

#### As of 1/19/2012 Albany City Police Deparment (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	66	43	90	64	89	83	93	103	80	84	71	68
Firearm Related	10	5	12	18	12	20	14	15	19	15	14	16
Percent Firearm	15.2%	11.6%	13.3%	28.1%	13.5%	24.1%	15.1%	14.6%	23.8%	17.9%	19.7%	23.5%
Murder	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%		0.0%			100.0%				100.0%	
Rape	1	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	23	11	28	29	27	34	32	31	31	29	23	22
Firearm Related	7	2	9	10	7	13	8	6	13	8	2	6
Percent Firearm	30.4%	18.2%	32.1%	34.5%	25.9%	38.2%	25.0%	19.4%	41.9%	27.6%	8.7%	27.3%
Aggravated Assault	42	27	58	32	60	47	56	68	45	50	46	46
Firearm Related	3	3	3	8	5	7	5	9	6	7	11	10
Percent Firearm	7.1%	11.1%	5.2%	25.0%	8.3%	14.9%	8.9%	13.2%	13.3%	14.0%	23.9%	21.7%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	1,403	1,160	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,132	1,060	1,004	983	934		<u>2010-11</u> -5.0%
Firearm Related	1,403	167	166	244	181	193	207	160	132	170		28.8%
Percent Firearm	14.0%	14.4%	14.7%	19.1%	14.8%	17.0%	19.5%	15.9%	13.4%	18.2%		201070
Murder	8	9	10	8	5	3	10	9	3	4		
Firearm Related	6	4	3	4	3	3	6	7	1	2		
Percent Firearm	75.0%	44.4%	30.0%	50.0%	60.0%	100.0%	60.0%	77.8%	33.3%	50.0%		
Rape	64	33	53	68	50	45	49	45	42	33		-21.4%
Firearm Related	1	0	2	6	0	1	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	1.6%	0.0%	3.8%	8.8%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	481	383	394	439	389	376	372	327	316	320		1.3%
Firearm Related	105	87	86	127	102	91	110	99	68	91		33.8%
Percent Firearm	21.8%	22.7%	21.8%	28.9%	26.2%	24.2%	29.6%	30.3%	21.5%	28.4%		
Aggravated Assault	850	735	674	760	783	708	629	623	622	577		-7.2%
Firearm Related	85	76	75	107	76	98	91	54	63	77		22.2%
Percent Firearm	10.0%	10.3%	11.1%	14.1%	9.7%	13.8%	14.5%	8.7%	10.1%	13.3%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

#### As of 1/25/2012 Binghamton City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	26	13	17	19	19	16	37	32	12	25	21	27
Firearm Related	5	5	1	3	2	0	5	4	2	2	2	1
Percent Firearm	19.2%	38.5%	5.9%	15.8%	10.5%	0.0%	13.5%	12.5%	16.7%	8.0%	9.5%	3.7%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	1	0	3	1	3	0	1	4	2	1	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	7	4	1	7	5	8	11	7	4	8	8	6
Firearm Related	2	2	0	1	1	0	4	3	2	1	2	0
Percent Firearm	28.6%	50.0%	0.0%	14.3%	20.0%	0.0%	36.4%	42.9%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	18	9	13	11	11	8	25	21	6	16	13	21
Firearm Related	3	3	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
Percent Firearm	16.7%	33.3%	7.7%	18.2%	9.1%	0.0%	4.0%	4.8%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	4.8%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	205	182	155	177	206	214	276	216	268	264		<u>2010-11</u> -1.5%
Firearm Related	21	21	14	10	20	18	21	34	32	32		0.0%
Percent Firearm	10.2%	11.5%	9.0%	5.6%	9.7%	8.4%	7.6%	15.7%	11.9%	12.1%		
Murder	6	3	1	3	2	3	1	15	5	0		
Firearm Related	4	2	0	1	1	1	1	14	2	0		
Percent Firearm	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%	93.3%	40.0%	0.0%		
Rape	21	10	22	19	9	19	11	11	18	16		-11.1%
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	80	77	57	61	63	67	84	56	70	76		8.6%
Firearm Related	12	15	12	6	11	10	13	11	11	18		63.6%
Percent Firearm	15.0%	19.5%	21.1%	9.8%	17.5%	14.9%	15.5%	19.6%	15.7%	23.7%		
Aggravated Assault	98	92	75	94	132	125	180	134	175	172		-1.7%
Firearm Related	4	4	2	3	8	7	7	9	19	14		-26.3%
Percent Firearm	4.1%	4.3%	2.7%	3.2%	6.1%	5.6%	3.9%	6.7%	10.9%	8.1%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

\*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2009.

#### As of 1/31/2012 Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	245	159	215	280	278	296	341	288	315	259	286	288
Firearm Related	75	40	52	78	93	73	101	82	122	86	106	115
Percent Firearm	30.6%	25.2%	24.2%	27.9%	33.5%	24.7%	29.6%	28.5%	38.7%	33.2%	37.1%	39.9%
Murder	4	1	4	3	5	3	6	3	1	1	2	3
Firearm Related	2	1	3	3	5	1	6	1	1	1	2	3
Percent Firearm	50.0%	100.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rape	6	9	8	16	12	11	11	12	4	10	15	7
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Robbery	109	73	92	123	110	104	138	145	157	124	136	148
Firearm Related	44	28	29	45	46	37	43	46	78	46	58	77
Percent Firearm	40.4%	38.4%	31.5%	36.6%	41.8%	35.6%	31.2%	31.7%	49.7%	37.1%	42.6%	52.0%
Aggravated Assault	126	76	111	138	151	178	186	128	153	124	133	130
Firearm Related	29	11	20	30	42	34	51	34	43	39	45	35
Percent Firearm	23.0%	14.5%	18.0%	21.7%	27.8%	19.1%	27.4%	26.6%	28.1%	31.5%	33.8%	26.9%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	3,757	3,924	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,713	3,923	3,599	3,250		<u>2010-11</u> -9.7%
Firearm Related	999	1,077	964	1,203	1,316	1,118	1,171	1,172	1,026	1,023		-0.3%
Percent Firearm	26.6%	27.4%	25.3%	30.5%	33.3%	32.0%	31.5%	29.9%	28.5%	31.5%		0.070
Murder	43	65	51	56	74	54	37	60	55	36		-34.5%
Firearm Related	31	39	35	41	53	42	30	50	43	29		-32.6%
Percent Firearm	72.1%	60.0%	68.6%	73.2%	71.6%	77.8%	81.1%	83.3%	78.2%	80.6%		
Rape	185	206	212	184	173	164	173	143	157	121		-22.9%
Firearm Related	0	7	11	4	10	7	4	7	5	4		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	3.4%	5.2%	2.2%	5.8%	4.3%	2.3%	4.9%	3.2%	3.3%		
Robbery	1,627	1,654	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,537	1,637	1,466	1,459		-0.5%
Firearm Related	574	536	498	625	683	620	648	587	510	577		13.1%
Percent Firearm	35.3%	32.4%	33.5%	37.5%	40.0%	40.4%	42.2%	35.9%	34.8%	39.5%		
Aggravated Assault	1,902	1,999	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,966	2,083	1,921	1,634		-14.9%
Firearm Related	394	495	420	533	570	449	489	528	468	413		-11.8%
Percent Firearm	20.7%	24.8%	20.4%	26.2%	28.5%	25.8%	24.9%	25.3%	24.4%	25.3%		

#### As of 1/6/2012 Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	12	16	12	9	18	21	22	25	18	14	18	18
Firearm Related	0	0	1	2	2	4	1	2	1	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	22.2%	11.1%	19.0%	4.5%	8.0%	5.6%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	0	3	2	0	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2	1	2	3	5	4	4	3	1	4	2	5
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	10	12	8	6	12	16	17	19	15	8	14	8
Firearm Related	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	2	1	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	8.3%	25.0%	0.0%	10.5%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	162	164	168	213	170	168	185	152	181	203		<u>2010-11</u> 12.2%
Firearm Related	11	23	19	24	21	28	19	8	21	14		-33.3%
Percent Firearm	6.8%	14.0%	11.3%	11.3%	12.4%	16.7%	10.3%	5.3%	11.6%	6.9%		001070
Murder	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0		
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Rape	11	18	19	23	21	20	20	20	24	22		-8.3%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	40	41	48	46	35	30	40	26	35	36		2.9%
Firearm Related	7	8	6	7	6	4	6	0	10	4		
Percent Firearm	17.5%	19.5%	12.5%	15.2%	17.1%	13.3%	15.0%	0.0%	28.6%	11.1%		
Aggravated Assault	110	105	101	143	114	117	122	105	122	145		18.9%
Firearm Related	3	15	13	16	15	24	13	7	11	10		-9.1%
Percent Firearm	2.7%	14.3%	12.9%	11.2%	13.2%	20.5%	10.7%	6.7%	9.0%	6.9%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

#### As of 1/6/2012 Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	11	7	4	5	14	5	14	5	4	7	8	9
Firearm Related	3	1	2	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	27.3%	14.3%	50.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	21.4%	40.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm			0.0%		0.0%		0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	7	2	2	3	4	1	5	1	1	2	3	3
Firearm Related	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	28.6%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	4	5	1	2	9	4	8	4	3	3	3	5
Firearm Related	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	25.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	93	118	92	117	89	67	69	97	81	93		<u>2010-11</u> 14.8%
Firearm Related	10	19	12	17	8	11	17	18	13	14		7.7%
Percent Firearm	10.8%	16.1%	13.0%	14.5%	9.0%	16.4%	24.6%	18.6%	16.0%	15.1%		
Murder	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0		
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%		
Rape	5	7	5	11	11	5	5	2	5	8		
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	55	62	58	72	43	38	48	69	29	34		17.2%
Firearm Related	9	14	10	13	5	8	15	10	3	5		
Percent Firearm	16.4%	22.6%	17.2%	18.1%	11.6%	21.1%	31.3%	14.5%	10.3%	14.7%		
Aggravated Assault	33	48	28	34	34	23	16	26	46	51		10.9%
Firearm Related	1	5	2	4	3	2	2	8	9	9		
Percent Firearm	3.0%	10.4%	7.1%	11.8%	8.8%	8.7%	12.5%	30.8%	19.6%	17.6%		

#### As of 1/27/2012 Nassau County Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	127	102	98	111	114	117	151	121	117	119	125	117
Firearm Related	23	20	11	9	14	12	29	27	18	14	34	26
Percent Firearm	18.1%	19.6%	11.2%	8.1%	12.3%	10.3%	19.2%	22.3%	15.4%	11.8%	27.2%	22.2%
Murder	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm				100.0%		50.0%	0.0%	0.0%			100.0%	
Rape	5	1	3	1	1	5	4	4	4	4	2	3
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	72	56	43	50	52	49	69	62	63	57	68	55
Firearm Related	20	19	10	6	11	8	26	23	16	13	30	23
Percent Firearm	27.8%	33.9%	23.3%	12.0%	21.2%	16.3%	37.7%	37.1%	25.4%	22.8%	44.1%	41.8%
Aggravated Assault	50	45	52	59	61	61	77	54	50	58	54	59
Firearm Related	3	0	1	2	3	3	3	4	2	1	3	3
Percent Firearm	6.0%	0.0%	1.9%	3.4%	4.9%	4.9%	3.9%	7.4%	4.0%	1.7%	5.6%	5.1%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	1,783	1,791	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602	1,640	1,496	1,419		<u>2010-11</u> -5.1%
Firearm Related	221	278	236	304	305	241	258	285	219	237		8.2%
Percent Firearm	12.4%	15.5%	13.4%	17.0%	16.8%	14.7%	16.1%	17.4%	14.6%	16.7%		0.270
Murder	16	14	13	16	14	14	14	18	15	6		
Firearm Related	12	10	3	9	9	12	4	3	12	3		
Percent Firearm	75.0%	71.4%	23.1%	56.3%	64.3%	85.7%	28.6%	16.7%	80.0%	50.0%		
Rape	92	86	77	76	74	71	58	74	70	37		-47.1%
Firearm Related	2	3	2	1	4	0	1	0	0	1		
Percent Firearm	2.2%	3.5%	2.6%	1.3%	5.4%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%		
Robbery	749	775	724	833	866	748	748	754	672	696		3.6%
Firearm Related	187	239	207	265	269	197	218	224	171	205		19.9%
Percent Firearm	25.0%	30.8%	28.6%	31.8%	31.1%	26.3%	29.1%	29.7%	25.4%	29.5%		
Aggravated Assault	926	916	949	868	859	806	782	794	739	680		-8.0%
Firearm Related	20	26	24	29	23	32	35	58	36	28		-22.2%
Percent Firearm	2.2%	2.8%	2.5%	3.3%	2.7%	4.0%	4.5%	7.3%	4.9%	4.1%		

#### As of 1/30/2012 Newburgh City Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	34	23	32	36	39	48	49	54	46	53	62	45
Firearm Related	6	1	8	13	6	4	13	16	12	17	17	21
Percent Firearm	17.6%	4.3%	25.0%	36.1%	15.4%	8.3%	26.5%	29.6%	26.1%	32.1%	27.4%	46.7%
Murder	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm				0.0%				0.0%				
Rape	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	1	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	22	14	12	13	19	24	18	18	25	29	30	24
Firearm Related	3	1	0	7	1	2	10	6	5	10	10	11
Percent Firearm	13.6%	7.1%	0.0%	53.8%	5.3%	8.3%	55.6%	33.3%	20.0%	34.5%	33.3%	45.8%
Aggravated Assault	11	9	19	20	18	24	31	33	20	23	31	19
Firearm Related	3	0	8	6	5	2	3	10	7	7	7	10
Percent Firearm	27.3%	0.0%	42.1%	30.0%	27.8%	8.3%	9.7%	30.3%	35.0%	30.4%	22.6%	52.6%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Mislant Orings	507	250	074	420	20/	407	47/	475	500	504		<u>2010-11</u>
Violent Crimes Firearm Related	587 96	358 29	371 37	432 83	386 34	436 54	476 54	465 85	523 110	521 134		-0.4% 21.8%
Percent Firearm	90 16.4%	29 8.1%	37 10.0%	ەم 19.2%	34 8.8%	54 12.4%	54 11.3%	85 18.3%	21.0%	25.7%		21.0%
	10.4%	0.1%	10.0%	19.2%	0.0%	12.4%	11.3%	10.3%	21.0%	25.7%		
Murder	7	2	3	3	1	2	7	4	11	4		
Firearm Related	6	2	3	3	1	0	5	2	8	0		
Percent Firearm	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	71.4%	50.0%	72.7%	0.0%		
Rape	23	26	15	12	16	14	13	8	7	11		
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	179	67	94	174	134	131	162	187	195	248		27.2%
Firearm Related	40	8	16	49	22	21	29	50	42	66		57.1%
Percent Firearm	22.3%	11.9%	17.0%	28.2%	16.4%	16.0%	17.9%	26.7%	21.5%	26.6%		
Aggravated Assault	378	263	259	243	235	289	294	266	310	258		-16.8%
Firearm Related	50	19	18	31	11	33	20	32	60	68		13.3%
Percent Firearm	13.2%	7.2%	6.9%	12.8%	4.7%	11.4%	6.8%	12.0%	19.4%	26.4%		

#### As of 1/19/2012 Niagara Falls City Police Deparment (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	30	27	44	54	53	39	72	60	64	45	36	57
Firearm Related	1	4	10	14	10	10	12	13	12	13	7	14
Percent Firearm	3.3%	14.8%	22.7%	25.9%	18.9%	25.6%	16.7%	21.7%	18.8%	28.9%	19.4%	24.6%
Murder	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Percent Firearm			0.0%					0.0%				100.0%
Rape	3	4	4	2	1	3	1	1	3	2	2	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	12	9	14	19	11	13	9	16	17	18	16	20
Firearm Related	1	2	4	3	5	6	0	3	3	8	4	4
Percent Firearm	8.3%	22.2%	28.6%	15.8%	45.5%	46.2%	0.0%	18.8%	17.6%	44.4%	25.0%	20.0%
Aggravated Assault	15	14	25	33	41	23	62	42	44	25	18	30
Firearm Related	0	2	6	11	5	4	12	10	9	5	3	8
Percent Firearm	0.0%	14.3%	24.0%	33.3%	12.2%	17.4%	19.4%	23.8%	20.5%	20.0%	16.7%	26.7%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	558	603	636	685	644	508	566	609	610	581		<u>2010-11</u> -4.8%
Firearm Related	87	94	108	167	145	78	86	104	102	120		17.6%
Percent Firearm	15.6%	15.6%	17.0%	24.4%	22.5%	15.4%	15.2%	17.1%	16.7%	20.7%		
Murder	3	7	5	4	4	4	3	6	5	4		
Firearm Related	0	4	1	1	3	3	3	4	3	2		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	57.1%	20.0%	25.0%	75.0%	75.0%	100.0%	66.7%	60.0%	50.0%		
Rape	30	39	28	24	35	38	24	29	23	31		34.8%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	166	199	188	242	201	134	173	167	185	174		-5.9%
Firearm Related	45	39	48	96	66	29	29	47	49	43		-12.2%
Percent Firearm	27.1%	19.6%	25.5%	39.7%	32.8%	21.6%	16.8%	28.1%	26.5%	24.7%		
Aggravated Assault	359	358	415	415	404	332	366	407	397	372		-6.3%
Firearm Related	42	51	59	70	76	46	54	53	50	75		50.0%
Percent Firearm	11.7%	14.2%	14.2%	16.9%	18.8%	13.9%	14.8%	13.0%	12.6%	20.2%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

#### As of 1/20/2012 Poughkeepsie City Police Deparment (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	13	18	33	28	29	34	27	27	20	28	34	33
Firearm Related	5	7	5	9	3	2	2	9	5	6	7	7
Percent Firearm	38.5%	38.9%	15.2%	32.1%	10.3%	5. <b>9</b> %	7.4%	33.3%	25.0%	21.4%	20.6%	21.2%
Murder	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		100.0%		100.0%	100.0%				100.0%			
Rape	0	1	1	2	3	0	1	4	3	1	1	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	7	4	17	10	10	10	11	5	3	9	9	12
Firearm Related	4	1	2	4	2	1	1	2	0	2	1	4
Percent Firearm	57.1%	25.0%	11.8%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	9.1%	40.0%	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%
Aggravated Assault	6	11	15	15	15	24	15	18	13	18	24	16
Firearm Related	1	4	3	4	0	1	1	7	4	4	6	3
Percent Firearm	16.7%	36.4%	20.0%	26.7%	0.0%	4.2%	6.7%	38.9%	30.8%	22.2%	25.0%	18.8%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	298	354	357	372	417	388	397	417	400	324		<u>2010-11</u> -19.0%
Firearm Related	84	67	68	73	110	66	80	90	72	67		-6.9%
Percent Firearm	28.2%	18.9%	19.0%	19.6%	26.4%	17.0%	20.2%	21.6%	18.0%	20.7%		
Murder	3	2	2	2	4	5	5	3	6	5		
Firearm Related	3	2	0	2	3	1	3	2	2	5		
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	75.0%	20.0%	60.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%		
Rape	16	25	23	16	13	15	23	11	21	22		4.8%
Firearm Related	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	131	133	131	151	173	164	165	206	143	107		-25.2%
Firearm Related	44	34	30	37	48	33	38	51	35	24		-31.4%
Percent Firearm	33.6%	25.6%	22.9%	24.5%	27.7%	20.1%	23.0%	24.8%	24.5%	22.4%		
Aggravated Assault	148	194	201	203	227	204	204	197	230	190		-17.4%
Firearm Related	37	29	38	34	59	32	39	37	35	38		8.6%
Percent Firearm	25.0%	14.9%	18.9%	16.7%	26.0%	15.7%	19.1%	18.8%	15.2%	20.0%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

#### As of 1/27/2012 Rochester City Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	167	98	138	151	202	192	197	184	193	184	154	169
Firearm Related	51	20	23	36	51	54	59	59	63	52	48	73
Percent Firearm	30.5%	20.4%	16.7%	23.8%	25.2%	28.1%	29.9%	32.1%	32.6%	28.3%	31.2%	43.2%
Murder	4	1	3	1	2	3	3	3	1	3	5	2
Firearm Related	1	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	1	2
Percent Firearm	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Rape	8	4	7	7	11	10	11	9	6	9	9	4
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	1
Percent Firearm	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Robbery	64	30	34	49	73	65	68	67	76	78	66	85
Firearm Related	30	6	7	20	27	23	30	32	37	32	29	49
Percent Firearm	46.9%	20.0%	20.6%	40.8%	37.0%	35.4%	44.1%	47.8%	48.7%	41.0%	43.9%	57.6%
Aggravated Assault	91	63	94	94	116	114	115	105	110	94	74	78
Firearm Related	19	14	13	16	22	31	26	27	23	17	18	21
Percent Firearm	20.9%	22.2%	13.8%	17.0%	19.0%	27.2%	22.6%	25.7%	20.9%	18.1%	24.3%	26.9%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	1,786	2,032	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	2,042	2,229	2,029		<u>2010-11</u> -9.0%
Firearm Related	815	1,011	862	943	1,239	861	759	692	705	589		-16.5%
Percent Firearm	45.6%	49.8%	48.4%	43.1%	46.5%	36.6%	33.0%	33.9%	31.6%	29.0%		
Murder	42	56	36	53	49	50	43	28	41	31		-24.4%
Firearm Related	26	47	26	39	34	39	32	23	29	14		-51.7%
Percent Firearm	61.9%	83.9%	72.2%	73.6%	69.4%	78.0%	74.4%	82.1%	70.7%	45.2%		
Rape	107	86	91	100	92	121	98	97	99	95		-4.0%
Firearm Related	4	3	7	6	5	4	4	3	4	6		
Percent Firearm	3.7%	3.5%	7.7%	6.0%	5.4%	3.3%	4.1%	3.1%	4.0%	6.3%		
Robbery	972	1,166	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	846	816	755		-7.5%
Firearm Related	487	590	478	563	738	464	445	385	374	322		-13.9%
Percent Firearm	50.1%	50.6%	51.3%	54.9%	55.4%	45.0%	42.0%	45.5%	45.8%	42.6%		
Aggravated Assault	665	724	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,102	1,071	1,273	1,148		-9.8%
Firearm Related	298	371	351	335	462	354	278	281	298	247		-17.1%
Percent Firearm	44.8%	51.2%	48.5%	33.2%	38.7%	30.9%	25.2%	26.2%	23.4%	21.5%		

#### As of 1/12/2012 Schenectady City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	40	40	45	62	53	63	62	49	63	62	42	54
Firearm Related	8	3	9	13	13	20	9	5	9	16	7	11
Percent Firearm	20.0%	7.5%	20.0%	21.0%	24.5%	31.7%	14.5%	10.2%	14.3%	25.8%	16.7%	20.4%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm						50.0%					100.0%	0.0%
Rape	1	4	5	7	1	3	6	3	2	2	4	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Robbery	17	9	18	17	15	21	22	20	20	16	12	16
Firearm Related	4	1	4	4	7	11	3	3	5	2	2	4
Percent Firearm	23.5%	11.1%	22.2%	23.5%	46.7%	52.4%	13.6%	15.0%	25.0%	12.5%	16.7%	25.0%
Aggravated Assault	22	27	22	38	37	37	34	26	41	44	25	32
Firearm Related	4	2	5	9	6	8	6	2	4	13	4	6
Percent Firearm	18.2%	7.4%	22.7%	23.7%	16.2%	21.6%	17.6%	7.7%	9.8%	29.5%	16.0%	18.8%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	493	483	510	628	712	606	654	592	679	635		<u>2010-11</u> -6.5%
Firearm Related	100	105	103	148	152	143	163	125	138	123		-10.9%
Percent Firearm	20.3%	21.7%	20.2%	23.6%	21.3%	23.6%	24.9%	21.1%	20.3%	19.4%		
Murder	8	11	7	8	6	5	9	7	8	4		
Firearm Related	5	8	4	4	2	4	7	5	5	2		
Percent Firearm	62.5%	72.7%	57.1%	50.0%	33.3%	80.0%	77.8%	71.4%	62.5%	50.0%		
Rape	45	43	38	43	52	34	35	31	53	43		-18.9%
Firearm Related	1	3	0	1	3	2	2	1	0	2		
Percent Firearm	2.2%	7.0%	0.0%	2.3%	5.8%	5.9%	5.7%	3.2%	0.0%	4.7%		
Robbery	174	171	173	252	309	265	288	243	256	203		-20.7%
Firearm Related	30	49	50	69	77	64	78	65	57	50		-12.3%
Percent Firearm	17.2%	28.7%	28.9%	27.4%	24.9%	24.2%	27.1%	26.7%	22.3%	24.6%		
Aggravated Assault	266	258	292	325	345	302	322	311	362	385		6.4%
Firearm Related	64	45	49	74	70	73	76	54	76	69		-9.2%
Percent Firearm	24.1%	17.4%	16.8%	22.8%	20.3%	24.2%	23.6%	17.4%	21.0%	17.9%		

\*Schenectady City PD switched to IBR, effective for the June 2011 data submission.

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

#### As of 1/30/2012 Spring Valley Village Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	12	9	11	12	14	13	17	18	22	13	15	4
Firearm Related	0	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	3	2	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	11.1%	18.2%	16.7%	7.1%	15.4%	5.9%	11.1%	9.1%	23.1%	13.3%	0.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	5	6	2	5	4	8	6	5	7	6	4	1
Firearm Related	0	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	16.7%	100.0%	40.0%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	40.0%	14.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	7	3	9	5	8	4	9	12	14	6	11	3
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	16.7%	18.2%	0.0%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	n/a	n/a	190	180	183	204	202	169	139	160		<u>2010-11</u> 15.1%
Firearm Related	n/a	n/a	23	19	12	18	9	29	21	18		-14.3%
Percent Firearm			12.1%	10.6%	6.6%	8.8%	4.5%	17.2%	15.1%	11.3%		
Murder	n/a	n/a	2	4	1	0	1	0	1	0		
Firearm Related	n/a	n/a	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm			100.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Rape	n/a	n/a	8	7	6	12	7	5	4	10		
Firearm Related	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	n/a	n/a	73	49	71	71	63	57	43	59		37.2%
Firearm Related	n/a	n/a	14	9	5	14	4	18	9	14		
Percent Firearm			19.2%	18.4%	7.0%	19.7%	6.3%	31.6%	20.9%	23.7%		
Aggravated Assault	n/a	n/a	107	120	105	121	131	107	91	91		0.0%
Firearm Related	n/a	n/a	7	9	6	4	5	11	12	4		
Percent Firearm			6.5%	7.5%	5.7%	3.3%	3.8%	10.3%	13.2%	4.4%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

#### As of 1/19/2012 Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	110	94	166	136	188	144	180	191	193	138	143	174
Firearm Related	40	24	42	26	53	39	54	49	50	40	32	60
Percent Firearm	36.4%	25.5%	25.3%	19.1%	28.2%	27.1%	30.0%	25.7%	25.9%	29.0%	22.4%	34.5%
Murder	0	0	0	3	5	7	2	4	0	3	3	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	1	0	2	1	2
Percent Firearm				33.3%	20.0%	57.1%	100.0%	25.0%		66.7%	33.3%	50.0%
Rape	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	2	4	1	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	53	32	61	50	65	49	69	81	85	67	58	78
Firearm Related	29	15	27	14	24	19	28	33	30	27	19	35
Percent Firearm	54.7%	46.9%	44.3%	28.0%	36.9%	38.8%	40.6%	40.7%	35.3%	40.3%	32.8%	44.9%
Aggravated Assault	54	59	101	79	114	85	105	102	106	64	81	90
Firearm Related	11	9	15	11	28	16	24	15	20	11	12	23
Percent Firearm	20.4%	15.3%	14.9%	13.9%	24.6%	18.8%	22.9%	14.7%	18.9%	17.2%	14.8%	25.6%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	2,497	2,394	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,165	2,267	2,031	1,857		<u>2010-11</u> -8.6%
Firearm Related	2,497	2,394	493	2,440	2,481	2,204 523	2,105	2,207	528	509		-3.6%
Percent Firearm	23.1%	25.1%	21.7%	27.1%	24.4%	23.7%	25.1%	24.7%	26.0%	27.4%		- 3.0 %
Murder												20.0%
Firearm Related	18 12	24 12	28 13	28 12	37 19	28 14	38 22	32 16	50 29	31 14		-38.0%
Percent Firearm	66.7%	50.0%	46.4%	42.9%	51.4%	50.0%	22 57.9%	50.0%	29 58.0%	45.2%		-51.7%
		50.070			51.4%			50.0%				
Rape	143	112	109	82	86	87	91	62	55	38		-30.9%
Firearm Related	6	3	1	3	3	3	4	0	1	0		
Percent Firearm	4.2%	2.7%	0.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.4%	4.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%		
Robbery	907	958	845	1,037	1,027	871	890	960	814	748		-8.1%
Firearm Related	294	371	286	383	351	281	285	314	304	300		-1.3%
Percent Firearm	32.4%	38.7%	33.8%	36.9%	34.2%	32.3%	32.0%	32.7%	37.3%	40.1%		
Aggravated Assault	1,429	1,300	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146	1,213	1,112	1,040		-6.5%
Firearm Related	265	216	193	264	232	225	233	231	194	195		0.5%
Percent Firearm	18.5%	16.6%	15.0%	20.3%	17.4%	18.5%	20.3%	19.0%	17.4%	18.8%		

#### As of 1/31/2012 Syracuse City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	87	65	106	112	130	110	151	138	103	108	105	87
Firearm Related	13	9	23	21	23	25	34	26	19	26	25	20
Percent Firearm	14.9%	13.8%	21.7%	18.8%	17.7%	22.7%	22.5%	18.8%	18.4%	24.1%	23.8%	23.0%
Murder	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	1	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%			0.0%		100.0%		0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Rape	5	2	5	4	9	5	8	8	3	3	5	6
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	23	18	23	35	33	29	52	40	32	38	35	30
Firearm Related	2	3	8	10	8	6	18	12	9	13	14	12
Percent Firearm	8.7%	16.7%	34.8%	28.6%	24.2%	20.7%	34.6%	30.0%	28.1%	34.2%	40.0%	40.0%
Aggravated Assault	58	45	77	73	88	75	91	86	68	66	64	49
Firearm Related	11	6	15	11	15	19	16	10	10	13	11	7
Percent Firearm	19.0%	13.3%	19.5%	15.1%	17.0%	25.3%	17.6%	11.6%	14.7%	19.7%	17.2%	14.3%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	1,520	1,359	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	1,343	1,291	1,302		<u>2010-11</u> 0.9%
Firearm Related	278	274	270	365	343	317	258	270	314	264		-15.9%
Percent Firearm	18.3%	20.2%	20.4%	23.2%	22.6%	22.1%	18.9%	20.1%	24.3%	20.3%		101770
Murder	24	15	16	19	12	19	24	18	15	11		-26.7%
Firearm Related	15	12	5	10	8	9	14	10	12	5		
Percent Firearm	62.5%	80.0%	31.3%	52.6%	66.7%	47.4%	58.3%	55.6%	80.0%	45.5%		
Rape	43	66	70	73	66	67	71	70	68	63		-7.4%
Firearm Related	0	2	4	4	0	1	5	2	1	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	3.0%	5.7%	5.5%	0.0%	1.5%	7.0%	2.9%	1.5%	0.0%		
Robbery	551	485	451	554	534	446	419	403	377	388		2.9%
Firearm Related	119	128	123	178	184	139	94	125	121	115		-5.0%
Percent Firearm	21.6%	26.4%	27.3%	32.1%	34.5%	31.2%	22.4%	31.0%	32.1%	29.6%		
Aggravated Assault	902	793	785	924	903	903	852	852	831	840		1.1%
Firearm Related	144	132	138	173	151	168	145	133	180	144		-20.0%
Percent Firearm	16.0%	16.6%	17.6%	18.7%	16.7%	18.6%	17.0%	15.6%	21.7%	17.1%		

#### As of 1/27/2012 Troy City Police Deparment (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	31	20	15	18	38	50	37	39	24	41	33	25
Firearm Related	11	1	5	7	13	8	11	8	5	13	7	5
Percent Firearm	35.5%	5.0%	33.3%	38.9%	34.2%	16.0%	29.7%	20.5%	20.8%	31.7%	21.2%	20.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	2	1	1	0	2	6	0	3	1	3	2	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	12	5	7	3	8	12	12	16	11	10	5	11
Firearm Related	6	0	3	1	2	3	4	6	3	6	0	2
Percent Firearm	50.0%	0.0%	42.9%	33.3%	25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	37.5%	27.3%	60.0%	0.0%	18.2%
Aggravated Assault	17	14	7	15	28	32	25	20	12	28	26	12
Firearm Related	5	1	2	6	11	5	7	2	2	7	7	3
Percent Firearm	29.4%	7.1%	28.6%	40.0%	39.3%	15.6%	28.0%	10.0%	16.7%	25.0%	26.9%	25.0%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	314	293	298	309	373	345	392	349	423	371		<u>2010-11</u> -12.3%
Firearm Related	64	40	39	52	69	72	80	84	71	94		32.4%
Percent Firearm	20.4%	13.7%	13.1%	16.8%	18.5%	20.9%	20.4%	24.1%	16.8%	25.3%		
Murder	3	2	1	4	1	2	5	3	2	0		
Firearm Related	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	1	0		
Percent Firearm	33.3%	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%		
Rape	28	30	30	18	18	21	19	17	22	23		4.5%
Firearm Related	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	3.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	118	84	84	78	120	131	152	154	137	112		-18.2%
Firearm Related	30	21	15	17	35	40	49	44	45	36		-20.0%
Percent Firearm	25.4%	25.0%	17.9%	21.8%	29.2%	30.5%	32.2%	28.6%	32.8%	32.1%		
Aggravated Assault	165	177	183	209	234	191	216	175	262	236		-9.9%
Firearm Related	33	17	19	33	34	32	28	39	25	58		132.0%
Percent Firearm	20.0%	9.6%	10.4%	15.8%	14.5%	16.8%	13.0%	22.3%	9.5%	24.6%		

#### As of 1/4/2012 Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	24	28	38	30	37	34	29	46	33	32	34	13
Firearm Related	6	4	11	7	6	14	5	8	8	8	3	3
Percent Firearm	25.0%	14.3%	28.9%	23.3%	16.2%	41.2%	17.2%	17.4%	24.2%	25.0%	8.8%	23.1%
Murder	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm					100.0%		0.0%				0.0%	
Rape	2	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	3	4	6	8	7	13	4	16	7	14	14	5
Firearm Related	2	2	0	0	1	6	0	2	0	5	3	0
Percent Firearm	66.7%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	46.2%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	35.7%	21.4%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	19	22	30	21	26	19	24	28	26	16	17	8
Firearm Related	4	2	11	7	3	8	5	6	8	3	0	3
Percent Firearm	21.1%	9.1%	36.7%	33.3%	11.5%	42.1%	20.8%	21.4%	30.8%	18.8%	0.0%	37.5%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	365	385	287	268	435	434	478	477	416	378		<u>2010-11</u> -9.1%
Firearm Related	85	106	58	42	433 94	113	138	127	96	83		-13.5%
Percent Firearm	23.3%	27.5%	20.2%	15.7%	21.6%	26.0%	28.9%	26.6%	23.1%	22.0%		10.0 %
Murder	5	7	5	8	6	5	4	5	2	4		
Firearm Related	2	6	3	5	2	4	3	3	2	2		
Percent Firearm	40.0%	85.7%	60.0%	62.5%	33.3%	80.0%	75.0%	60.0%	100.0%	50.0%		
Rape	21	19	17	18	20	21	20	18	25	17		-32.0%
Firearm Related	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	190	186	139	133	140	143	181	149	146	101		-30.8%
Firearm Related	52	65	37	22	27	35	53	50	36	21		-41.7%
Percent Firearm	27.4%	34.9%	26.6%	16.5%	19.3%	24.5%	29.3%	33.6%	24.7%	20.8%		
Aggravated Assault	149	173	126	109	269	265	273	305	243	256		5.3%
Firearm Related	31	34	18	14	65	74	82	74	58	60		3.4%
Percent Firearm	20.8%	19.7%	14.3%	12.8%	24.2%	27.9%	30.0%	24.3%	23.9%	23.4%		

\*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

#### As of 1/30/2012 Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sept 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Violent Crimes	64	58	76	59	102	106	95	126	129	95	95	85
Firearm Related	8	6	4	14	7	6	16	14	16	12	12	6
Percent Firearm	12.5%	10.3%	5.3%	23.7%	6.9%	5.7%	16.8%	11.1%	12.4%	12.6%	12.6%	7.1%
Murder	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm					66.7%		100.0%					0.0%
Rape	2	1	6	4	4	0	6	0	3	2	6	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	30	27	29	21	52	52	48	47	51	44	36	36
Firearm Related	5	6	2	7	4	2	10	9	9	11	4	5
Percent Firearm	16.7%	22.2%	6.9%	33.3%	7.7%	3.8%	20.8%	19.1%	17.6%	25.0%	11.1%	13.9%
Aggravated Assault	32	30	41	34	43	54	38	79	75	49	53	46
Firearm Related	3	0	2	7	1	4	3	5	7	1	8	1
Percent Firearm	9.4%	0.0%	4.9%	20.6%	2.3%	7.4%	7.9%	6.3%	9.3%	2.0%	15.1%	2.2%
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change
Violent Crimes	893	879	942	970	978	878	914	965	893	1,090		<u>2010-11</u> 22.1%
Firearm Related	146	159	122	123	127	79	85	138	120	1,070		0.8%
Percent Firearm	16.3%	18.1%	13.0%	12.7%	13.0%	9.0%	9.3%	14.3%	13.4%	11.1%		0.070
Murder	13	13	15	9	8	10	9	8	9	7		
Firearm Related	6	8	8	6	4	5	5	6	6	5		
Percent Firearm	46.2%	61.5%	53.3%	66.7%	50.0%	50.0%	55.6%	75.0%	66.7%	71.4%		
Rape	11	25	23	21	31	44	42	36	29	36		24.1%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	419	454	457	518	498	424	447	475	455	473		4.0%
Firearm Related	119	121	90	88	80	44	50	90	79	74		-6.3%
Percent Firearm	28.4%	26.7%	19.7%	17.0%	16.1%	10.4%	11.2%	18.9%	17.4%	15.6%		
Aggravated Assault	450	387	447	422	441	400	416	446	400	574		43.5%
Firearm Related	21	30	24	28	43	29	30	41	35	42		20.0%
Percent Firearm	4.7%	7.8%	5.4%	6.6%	9.8%	7.3%	7.2%	9.2%	8.8%	7.3%		

# Firearm Activity Report 2010 vs. 2011

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2011 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date firearm activity for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions.



# Firearm Activity Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

## January - December 2011 vs. 2010

As of 1/3/2012

	Current Month - Decembe			Ŷ	'ear-to-Date	2
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change
IMPACT TOTAL						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	52	82	57.7%	838	777	-7.3%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	58	96	65.5%	975	883	-9.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	6	10		156	83	-46.8%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	186	257	38.2%	2,729	2,438	-10.7%

**Notes:** all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current	Month - De	cember		Year-to-Date		
	2010	2011	% Change		2010	2011	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD							
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	9			37	44	18.9%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	10			41	48	17.1%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0			1	2	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	4	12			159	95	-40.3%
BINGHAMTON CITY PD							
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0			11	2	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0			11	2	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0			2	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	0			20	36	80.0%
BUFFALO CITY PD				ľ			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	13	22	69.2%		220	229	4.1%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	15	23	53.3%		262	255	-2.7%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	3			43	29	-32.6%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	92	68	-26.1%		705	611	-13.3%
JAMESTOWN CITY PD				Γ			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0			1	1	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0			1	1	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0			0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	0			1	3	
KINGSTON CITY PD				[			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0			6	5	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0			8	6	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0			1	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0			4	7	
NASSAU COUNTY PD				Γ			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	8	7			103	72	-30.1%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	8	8			118	79	-33.1%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0			12	3	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	19	88	363.2%		328	300	-8.5%

	Current	Month - De	cember	Y	ear-to-Date	•
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	3		30	28	-6.7%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	6		31	35	12.9%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	0		8	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0		77	30	-61.0%
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	4		18	22	22.2%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	4		18	24	33.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	2		3	2	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	3		66	86	30.3%
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD					. –	40.004
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	1		15	17	13.3%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	1		15	19	26.7%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		2	5	=
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	6	3		41	44	7.3%
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	7	18		155	131	-15.5%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	10	20	100.0%	172	143	-16.9%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	2		29	14	-51.7%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	38	29	-23.7%	622	590	-5.1%
SCHENECTADY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	1		24	21	-12.5%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	2		24	26	8.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		5	2	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	4	1		18	7	
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		0	0	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		0	0	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	0		5	2	

	Current Month - December			Y	ear-to-Date	2
	2010	2011	% Change	2010	2011	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	6	12		81	77	-4.9%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	17		104	96	-7.7%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	2		29	14	-51.7%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	22		276	287	4.0%
SYRACUSE CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	6	4		80	86	7.5%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	4		100	99	-1.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1		12	5	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	4	15		267	182	-31.8%
TROY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0		14	10	-28.6%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0		14	14	0.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		1	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	3		15	20	33.3%
UTICA CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	1		12	11	-8.3%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	1		13	11	-15.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		2	2	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	4		44	45	2.3%
YONKERS CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0		31	21	-32.3%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0		43	25	-41.9%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		6	5	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	9		81	93	14.8%

Firearm Activity Trend Tables

			F		Activi	ty						
					1/3/2012							
				Primary II	ИРАСТ То	tal						
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	36	22	51	56	74	68	92	67	78	77	74	82
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	38	26	56	63	84	74	108	79	87	90	82	96
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	6	6	13	7	13	6	3	6	7	10
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	160	151	212	218	197	218	225	186	220	253	141	257
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	896	766	822	774	838	777		-7.3%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1,007	836	930	922	975	883		-9.4%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	144	137	137	146	156	83		-46.8%				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2,426	2,606	2,696	3,292	2,729	2,438		-10.7%				
*Percent change is not calculated where co	unts are les	s than 10.										

As of 1/3/2012 Albany City Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	1	1	5	2	3	5	4	5	3	5	9
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	1	1	6	2	3	5	4	5	5	5	10
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	24	7	3	7	11	9	7	7	8	12
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	35	47	44	27	37	44		18.9%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	46	55	53	29	41	48		17.1%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	6	7	1	2						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	93	104	144	89	159	95		-40.3%				

## **Firearm Activity**

#### As of 1/9/2012

**Binghamton City Police Department** 

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	4	1	0	12	7	3	1	4	1	3	0	0
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	6	2	1	6	11	2						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	1	1	24	11	2						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	1	1	14	2	0						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	18	21	19	22	20	36		80.0%				
												rd

Note: In Binghamton, the 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2009. The April 2009 homicides are counted as: 1 incident, 17 shooting victims, 13 killed.

## As of 1/5/2012 Buffalo City Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	8	7	15	14	21	23	33	11	30	20	25	22
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	9	7	17	15	22	24	42	12	34	24	26	23
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	1	3	3	5	1	6	1	1	1	2	3
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	58	37	36	63	25	70	71	45	37	47	54	68
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	252	180	220	261	220	229		4.1%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	277	196	238	306	262	255		-2.7%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	53	42	30	50	43	29		-32.6%				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	592	791	723	744	705	611		-13.3%				

## Firearm Activity

#### As of 1/18/2012

Jamestown City Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	C
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	C
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	C
								% Change				
<b>Fotals</b>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	1	0	1	1						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	7	0	1	0	1	1						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	3	12	10	1	3						

			F		Activi	ty						
			Kingst	on City P	olice Dep	artment						
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	3	4	5	6	5						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	3	4	5	8	6						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	0	0	1	0						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	7	12	11	13	4	7						
			F	irearm	n Activi	ty						
				As of	1/4/2012							
			Nassau	County	Police Dep	artment						
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	3	6	6	9	7	6	8	5	6	5	7
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	3	6	8	9	7	7	9	6	7	5	8
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	10	25	18	24	19	26	15	14	21	18	22	88
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	57	75	79	61	103	72		-30.1%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	60	76	97	81	118	79		-33.1%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	9	12	4	3	12	3						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	307	298	299	710	328	300		-8.5%				
*Percent change is not calculated where co	ounts are le	ess than 10.										

As of 1/30/2012 Newburgh City Police Department

	lon 11	Cab 11	Man 11	Amr 11	May 11	lum 11	1.1.1.1.1	Aug. 11	Com 11	0 -+ 11	Nev 11	Dec 11
-	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	3	2	2	1	0	3	4	3	5	3
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	3	2	3	1	0	3	5	4	6	6
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	8	2	4	6	2	1	0	0	7	0	0	0
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	2007	<u>2008</u>	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	15	12	19	30	28		-6.7%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	17	12	20	31	35		12.9%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	5	2	8	0						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	30	33	25	55	77	30		-61.0%				
			F	irearm	n Activi	ty						
				As of	1/4/2012							

			Niagara	Falls City	Police De	partment						
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	3	3	2	2	4
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	3	2	1	3	4	3	2	2	4
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	9	5	2	4	4	3	7	6	41	0	3
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	28	19	16	13	18	22		22.2%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	32	21	16	15	18	24		33.3%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	4	3	2						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	53	66	90	59	66	86		30.3%				
*Percent change is not calculated where co	ounts are le	ss than 10.										

				As of 1	/12/2012							
			Poughke	epsie Cit	y Police D	epartmen	t					
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	3	3	3	1	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	3	1	1	2	0	0	3	4	3	1	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	2	3	4	3	1	3	3	6	11	3	
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	15	7	22	17	15	17		13.3%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	15	9	24	19	15	19		26.7%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	1	3	2	2	5						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	39	22	46	33	41	44		7.3%				

## Firearm Activity

## As of 1/25/2012

## Rochester City Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	9	3	6	6	13	14	9	15	12	18	8	1
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	10	3	6	6	15	15	10	18	12	19	9	20
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	1	2
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	39	51	34	52	83	65	53	59	57	66	2	29
								% Change				
<b>Fotals</b>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	249	176	157	126	155	131		-15.5%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	276	194	183	152	172	143		-16.9%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	34	39	32	23	29	14		-51.7%				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	390	468	416	580	622	590		-5.1%				

## As of 1/6/2012 Schenectady City Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	2	3	0	3	1	2	0	3	5	1
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0	3	3	0	3	1	2	0	3	8	2
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	20	21	20	15	24	21		-12.5%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	27	24	20	16	24	26		8.3%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	4	7	5	5	2						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	51	57	46	37	18	7						

## Firearm Activity

#### As of 1/5/2012

## Spring Valley Village Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	(
								% Change				
otals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	0	2	0	0						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	0	2	0	0						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	0	0	0	0						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	6	9	7	5	2						

## As of 1/31/2012 Suffolk County Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	1	5	3	11	5	13	8	5	9	5	12
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	1	5	3	14	8	14	11	6	10	7	17
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	1	0	2	1	2
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	28	15	25	36	36	16	9	19	23	29	29	22
								% Change				
Totals	<u>2006</u>	2007	<u>2008</u>	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	86	74	93	84	81	77		-4.9%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	93	80	93	91	104	96		-7.7%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	19	14	22	16	29	14		-51.7%				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	313	342	336	422	276	287		4.0%				

## Firearm Activity

## As of 1/13/2012

## Syracuse City Police Department

	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	5	5	9	7	5	9	13	9	7	6	7	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	5	8	10	8	5	10	15	12	7	8	7	2
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	0	44	2	2	14	52	5	24	8	13	15
								% Change				
otals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	79	95	93	73	80	86		7.5%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	91	105	109	86	100	99		-1.0%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	8	9	14	10	12	5						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	332	218	281	324	267	182		-31.8%				

As of 1/31/2012

Troy City Police Department

				,,								
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	4	2	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	2	2	3	4	3	1	0	1	0	1	3
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		% Change <u>2010-11</u>				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	7	2	9	14	10		-28.6%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	8	3	9	14	14		0.0%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	2	1	1	0						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	26	20	24	23	15	20		33.3%				
			F	irearm	n Activi	ty						
				As of	1/2/2012							
			Utic	a City Pol	ice Depar	tment						
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	1	1	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	1	1	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	6	2	3	6	0	3	2	2	9	5	4
Totals	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		% Change 2010-11				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	13	21	19	13	12	11		-8.3%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	14	22	28	13	13	11		-15.4%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	3	2	2						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	42	46	63	43	44	45		2.3%				
*Percent change is not calculated where c	ounts are le	ess than 10										

			F	irearm	Activit	у								
				As of 1/	/31/2012									
	Yonkers City Police Department													
	Jan 11	Feb 11	Mar 11	Apr 11	May 11	Jun 11	Jul 11	Aug 11	Sep 11	Oct 11	Nov 11	Dec 11		
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	1	4	3	1	4	1	2	0	4	0		
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0	2	5	3	1	5	1	3	0	4	0		
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0		
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	0	8	3	2	8	0	14	28	14	4	9		
								% Change						
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>		<u>2010-11</u>						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	44	24	39	43	31	21		-32.3%						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	52	25	48	54	43	25		-41.9%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	4	5	5	6	6	5								
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	123	99	152	121	81	93		14.8%						
*Percent change is not calculated where cou	unts are les	s than 10.												