





Aerosol source apportionment from long term measurements at the CESAR tower Cabauw, NL

> <u>P. Schlag</u>, R. Holzinger, J. S. Henzing, F. Canonaco, and A. Kiendler-Scharr

Effects of Atmospheric Aerosols





Direct effect on climate Indirect effect on climate Adverse health effects



Atmospheric Aerosols: Composition



26. August 2015

Jimenez et al., Science 2009

Folie 3



Effects of Atmospheric Aerosols

	Emitted Compound	Resulting Atmospheric Drivers	Radiativ	e Forcing by Emi	ssions and Drivers
1	see CO2	CO			1.68 [1.33 to 2.0
	CH4	CO2 H2OST O2 CH			0.97 [0.74 to 1.2
	B B B Carbons	O _s CFCs HCFCs	1 1		0.18 [0.01 to 0.3
Anthropogenic	N ₂ O	N ₂ O	1 0		0 17 [0 13 to 0 2
	co	CO2 CH. O	1	H+I I	0.23 (0.16 to 0.3
	OOAMN Aerosol	CO, CH, O,		•	0.10 (0.05 to 0.1
	ases an	Nitrate CH, O;			-0.15 [-0.34 to 0.0
	Aerosols and precursors (Mineral dust,	Mineral Dust Suphals Nitrate- Organic Carbon Black Carbon	t t	-	-0.27 [-0.77 to 0.2
	Organic Carbon and Black Carbon)	Cloud Adjustments due to Aerosols	1 1		-0.55 [-1.33 to -0.0
		Albedo Change due to Land Use	i H	4	-0.15 [-0.25 to -0.0
Natural	Changes in Solar Irradiance		1 1	+	0.05 [0.00 to 0.1
Total Anthropogenic RF relative to 1750			2011	-	2.29 [1.13 to 3.3
			1980		1.25 [0.64 to 1.8
			1950		0.57 [0.29 to 0.8
_			_1	0 1	2 3

Folie 4

ACTRIS (Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases 🕗 JÜLICH **Research InfraStructure Network)**





- 17 ACSM (Aerosol) **Chemical Speciation** Monitor) instruments measuring ambient aerosol at monitoring sites across Europe.
- Many since summer 2012.
- > 3-week intercomparison in Nov. 2013:
 - ➤ 12 Q-ACSM
 - \geq 1 ToF-ACSM
 - \geq 1 HR-ToF-AMS
- > Crenn et al., 2015
- Fröhlich et al., 2015

Cabauw Experimental Site for Atmospheric JÜLICH **Research (CESAR)**





Aerosol instruments used in this work

- <u>Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM)</u>
 - > PM₁ Organics, Nitrate, Sulfate, Ammonium, Chloride
- <u>Multi-Angle Absorption Photometer (MAAP)</u>
 - $> PM_1$ Black Carbon
- High <u>Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer</u> (HR-ToF-AMS)
 - > PM₁ Organics, Nitrate, Sulfate, Ammonium, Chloride
- Monitor for <u>AeRosol</u> and <u>GA</u>ses (MARGA)
 - PM_{1/2.5} water soluble like Nitrate, Sulfate, Ammonium, Chloride
- Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS)
 - PM₁ total aerosol mass concentration

ÜLICH **Overview ACSM/MAAP PM₁** a) b) 80 -**Black Carbon** 70 -**Organics** Mass conc. [µg/m³] **Nitrate** 60 -**Sulfate** 50 -Ammonium 40 -**Chloride** 30 -20 -10 · 0. 01.09.2012 01.11.2012 01.01.2013 01.03.2013 01.05.2013 **Overlap with AMS** Date

Fractional species contribution to PM_1 mass (a) and time series of species mass concentration (b); Total average mass loading: 9.5 µg/m³

Instrument Comparison (2012 – 2013)



	Correlation slopes (I		
	MARGA	HR-ToF-AMS	
		1.00 (R ² =0.73)	Organics
	1.23 (R ² =0.96)	1.17 (R ² =0.89)	Nitrate
ACEMNO	0.88 (R ² =0.93)	0.82 (R ² =0.71)	Ammonium
ACSIVI VS.	0.63 (R ² =0.86)	0.49 (R ² =0.76)	Sulfate
	1.05 (R ² =0.93)	0.90 (R ² =0.84)	Total
	(1943 data points)	(289 data points)	(No. of points)

ACSM + MAAP total mass vs. <u>SMPS</u> total mass (12275 data points):

Slope: 0.84 (R² = 0.82)

Good correlations were seen in general over the whole campaign as well as during periods with high mass loadings!

ACSM slightly overestimated nitrate by 23% and 17% and underestimated sulphate by 37% and 51% comparing to MARGA and AMS, respectively. ACSM chloride was largely below the detection limit.

Air quality standards of the World health organization (WHO)





Total ACSM+MAAP PM₁ mass: Daily means





> 12 exceedances of WHO PM_{2.5} daily mean limit

ACSM/MAAP: Diurnal Variations





- ND₃: heterogeneous conversion from NO_y in the night / volatilization of semi-volatile nitrates and photolysis of gaseous precursors during the day
- SQ₄: Daytime maximum due to it's photochemical formation from SO₂
- Neutralization of NO₃ and SO₄ and by NH₃ in O NH₄NO₃ and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$
- BC: Direct emissions from traffic (morning and evening rush hours) and biomass burning events (domestic heating in the evenings/nights)



Multilinear Engine 2 results from ACSM OA **JÜLICH**





- These factors were seen in most seasons investigated by AMS and ACSM
- Red bars: site specific reference mass spectra from Crippa et al., ACP 2014, used as \succ constraints for ME-2 (a-value in brackets)
- > HOA showed diurnal pattern from traffic rush hours, while BBOA was mainly emitted by domestic heating in the evening/night. Highly oxidized HULIS (attributed to humic like substances) showed no diurnal variation

26. August 2015

HULIS: Paglione et al., ACP 2014



No biomass burning was found in summer. High contributions of chemically formed \succ SOA (OOA and HULIS) were found where the HULIS concentration remained largely constant during the campaign, emphasizing its role as background aerosol at Cabauw 26. August 2015

HULIS: Paglione et al., ACP 2014



- Pie charts show fractional abundances averaged over two selected high mass periods.
- > Wedges highlighted in red represent secondary aerosol fractions.

26. August 2015

Summary



Performed 1-year PM₁ aerosol chemical composition measurements in Cabauw:

12 exceedances of WHO PM_{2.5} daily mean limit

- Nitrate and organics are the most dominant species
- Nitrate (mainly as NH₄NO₃) was the main contributor in periods with high mass loadings
 - → Reducing NO_x and/or NH₃ emissions should have a large effect on reducing particulate matter
- PMF/ME-2 analysis of the organic fraction show high contributions of chemically formed SOA
 - > Typical for rural sites
 - The local reduction of organic aerosol mass is more challenging, especially as the HULIS fraction showed no designated source.

Acknowledgement

- <u>FZ Jülich</u> Astrid Kiendler-Scharr (IEK-8)
- Utrecht University, NL: Rupert Holzinger, Josef Timkovsky
- <u>KNMI, NL</u>:

Marcel Brinkenberg, Jacques Warmer, Cor van Oort, Fred Bosveld, Gert-Jan van Zadelhoff, Reinout Boers

• <u>TNO, NL</u>:

Bas Henzing, Marcel Moerman

- <u>ECN, NL</u>: Alex Vermeulen, Mark Blom
- Funded by ACTRIS TNA



