



NOAA's Geo-Polar Blended SST Analysis

Andy Harris¹, Jonathan Mittaz^{1,4}, Gary Wick³, Eileen Maturi², John Sapper⁵, Mark Eakin² ¹NOAA-CICS, University of Maryland ²NOAA/NESDIS/STAR ³NOAA/OAR/ESRL ⁴University of Reading, UK ⁵NOAA/NESDIS/OSPO



Maximize strengths – minimize weaknesses





POES IR has high spatial resolution GOES IR has high temporal resolution Microwave has all-weather capability Combine to obtain the optimal SST analysis







Geo-SSEdominates lowetoemidilatitudes



Data Coverage – AMSR-2





- Valid SST data coverage from AMSR-2 for 2014-05-01
 - » Improved coverage in both Tropics and High Latitudes
 - » 3 days gives almost complete coverage away from land & ice 4 JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016



5-km Blended SST Analysis



• Produced daily from 24 hours of Polar- & Geo-SST

- MetOp-B
- GOES-E/W Imager
- Meteosat-10 SEVIRI
- Himawari-8 Imager
- VIIRS
- [AMSR-2]
- Does not use buoy data
- Multi-scale OI
 - Mimics Kalman Filter (Khellah et. al., 2005)
- 3 stationary priors
 - Short, intermediate and long correlation lengths
 - Mimic non-stationary prior while preserving rigor
 - Interpolation of resultant analyses based data density
 - Allows fine resolution where possible without introducing noise



VIIRS data



• VIIRS successfully incorporated into Geo-Polar Blended 5-km global SST analysis



Superioad' SSATIR Salysis data

JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016







• Coverage is improved w.r.t. MetOp AVHRR



ACSPO AN HRER CONCERTAGE

JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016



Separate Ocean Basins







Resolution difference









Product Accuracy: Blended SST



BUOY Distribution 12/2014



Median bias (analysis – buoy)-0.03 KRobust Standard Deviation0.25 KRobust Standard Deviation = (75% - 25%)/1.349



5-km Examples





Day+night 5-km, Nov 1 – Dec 31, 2012



5-km Examples





Day+night 5-km, Nov 1 – Dec 31, 2012

NOAA Coral Reef Watch



NOAA Satellite and Information Service National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS)

DOC > NOAA > NESDIS > STAR > CRW



CRW Home

Products Overview

Near-Real-Time Data (5-km Resolution)













Near-Real-Time Data (50-km Resolution)

Coral Reef Watch Satellite Monitoring

NOAA Coral Reef Watch is pleased to announce the release of its new Daily 5-km Satellite Coral Bleaching Thermal Stress Monitoring Product Suite. The 5-km products are accessible directly below, in the left navigation bar, and throughout this website. Access to our heritage suite of <u>operational 50-km satellite monitoring products</u> will still be possible for the next several months. We encourage all of our users to look over the new 5-km products and provide feedback to us at <u>coralreefwatch@noaa.gov</u>.

Click on buttons below image to change parameter; click on image to navigate to parameter's web page.



El Niño bleaching patterns web page

The NOAA Coral Reef Watch program's satellite data provide current reef environmental conditions to quickly identify areas at risk for <u>coral bleaching</u>, where corals lose the symbiotic algae that give them their distinctive colors. If a coral is severely bleached, disease and partial mortality become likely, and the entire colony may die.

Continuous monitoring of sea surface temperature at global scales provides researchers

and stakeholders with tools to understand and better manage the complex interactions

leading to coral bleaching. When bleaching conditions occur, these tools can be used to

trigger bleaching response plans and support appropriate management decisions.

Announcements

October 8, 2015: NOAA announces third ever global coral bleaching event on record! Read the NOAA press release here.

JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016

NESDI



Coral Reef Watch Products



"Coral Triangle"



• Accumulated thermal stress is predictor of bleaching risk



"Coral Triangle"



NOAA Coral Reef Watch 5-km Daily Geo-Polar Day-Night Blended Degree Heating Weeks 14 Sep 2013





"Coral Triangle"



- New analysis enables much greater precision, *e.g.* small fringing reefs
- However, <u>climatology is not derived from same dataset</u>

Primary concern: water temperature at coral depth

With thanks to Scott Heron





Including diurnal warming correction in SST analysis





Diurnal Warming Correction – Sample Model Profile of Warming with Depth



- Model simulates full vertical profile of warming
 - Enables estimation of warming at arbitrary depth
 - Model presently run to a depth of 50 m
- Time evolution of vertical temperature profile shown here for idealized forcing with a constant wind speed of 3 m/s and a peak insolation of 800 W/m²









Zonal wind stress







Meridional wind stress







Latent heat flux







Sensible heat flux







Net longwave heat flux







Net shortwave heat flux







2m air temperature







2m specific humidity







NWP SST







Significant wave height







Primary wave period







Primary wave direction



Diurnal Warming – Flux Feedback Adjustment



- NCEP heat fluxes assume fixed SST
- In the presence of diurnal warming, the heat fluxes will change
- Use a simple "scaled bulk formulae" approach, e.g.:
 - » $\mathbf{Q}_{L} = \mathbf{K}_{L}\mathbf{u}^{*}(\mathbf{Q}_{s} \mathbf{Q}_{a})$
 - » Determine K_L from NCEP values of Q_L , u*, $Q_s \& Q_a$
 - » Adjust Q_L as Q_s changes (a function of SST)
- Longwave heat flux simply changes as $\varepsilon\sigma T^4$
- Option to toggle flux feedback on/off



Sample output





- Regions of >5 K warming
- Note, warming events on edge of ±60° limit



Magnitude of warming

Example bias correction field VIIRS daytime



- Bias correction usually <2 K
- Model response damped by including gustiness parameterization
- Why might the <u>observed</u> diurnal excursion be damped?

JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016



How sensitive is retrieved SST to true SST?



- If SST changes by 1 K, does retrieved SST change by 1 K?
- CRTM provides tangent-linear derivatives $\frac{\partial T_{11}}{\partial SST_{true}} = \frac{\partial T_{12}}{\partial SST_{true}}$

Response of **NLSST algorithm** to a change in **true SST** is...

$$\frac{\partial NLSST}{\partial SST_{\text{true}}} = \left(a_1 + a_2 \times SST_{bg} + a_3 \times \{\sec(ZA) - 1\}\right) \times \frac{\partial T_{11}}{\partial SST_{\text{true}}} - \left(a_2 \times SST_{bg} + a_3 \times \{\sec(ZA) - 1\}\right) \times \frac{\partial T_{12}}{\partial SST_{\text{true}}}$$

Merchant, C.J., A.R. Harris, H. Roquet and P. Le Borgne, Retrieval characteristics of nonlinear sea surface temperature from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer, Geophys. Res. Lett., **36**, L17604, 2009



Sensitivity to true SST












METOP adjustments are fairly modest







VIIRS adjustments are more significant





METOP monthly average for March 2016

JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016





VIIRS monthly average for March 2016





Unadjusted VIIRS (2016-03-21)





• Diurnally adjusted VIIRS (2016-03-21)







Unadjusted monthly average VIIRS





Diurnally adjusted monthly average VIIRS



Retrieval biases – aerosol?





- MODIS-A mean aerosol, Mar 2016
- Other atmospheric factors, e.g. water vapour loading







• Diurnally adjusted VIIRS + SSES Bias (2016-03-21)

JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016





Diurnally adjusted VIIRS (2016-03-21)





• Diurnally adjusted monthly average VIIRS + SSES Bias





Diurnally adjusted monthly average VIIRS



Validation vs ARGO





N.B. Virtually identical statistics to uncorrected analysis!



Locations of currently active ARGO floats









VIIRS monthly average for March 2016



Summary



• NOAA produces all the L2 data that go into the analysis

- Polar data ACSPO regression SST
- Geostationary Bayesian cloud + MTLS Physical retrieval
- N.B. Convergence on ACSPO means Himawari-8 is ACSPO
- AMSR-2 SST will be processed with NOAA GAASP algorithm
- L4 SST analysis continues to be improved
 - Data-adaptive correlation length preserves features without introducing excessive noise
 - 5-km noticeably better than 11-km (mesoscale oceanography)



Summary cont'd



- Diurnal correction with turbulence model & Stokes' Drift
 - Beneficial for applications that depend on SST at depth (e.g. CRW)
 - Daytime SST retrieval may not see full scope of DW, especially in tropics
 - Need pixel-based estimates of algorithm sensitivity
 - Boris Petrenko has been working on this
 - Gustiness parameter damps warming (too much?)
 - Partly a work-around for above issue
 - Other regional algorithm biases
 - > On balance, using SSES bias + diurnal adjustment is better

Validation vs ARGO

- Headline results are good...
- ...but diurnal adjustment has negligible impact
- Analysis bias correction scheme due for update
 - Particularly using Sentinel-3 SLSTR



The way ahead for corals?



Assimilate into hi-res model

- Account for tidal motion/mixing
- Capture full diurnal behavior

CAPRICORNIA HYDRODYNAMIC MODELLING

Heron - One Tree Section



NRT



Backup slides





MODIS: Addition of aerosol



• Put aerosol information in the CRTM

- NGAC profiles, multiple species (dust, salt, sulfate, soot)
- Improve match of RTM to observation
- Does this improve retrieval?

• Put aerosol in the retrieval vector

- Allow Total Column Aerosol to vary
- $\mathbf{x} = [SST, WV, TCA]^T$
- Jacobian now includes $\partial T / \partial TCA$ for each channel
- Does this improve retrieval?

• MTLS developed for 2-parameter retrieval

 Try different regularization operator since problem is now more illconditioned: Truncated Total Least Squares (TTLS)

 $|\Delta \boldsymbol{y}| \leq 1: \ \lambda = (\sigma_{\text{end-1}})^2 \quad |\Delta \boldsymbol{y}| > 1: \ \lambda = (\sigma_{\text{end-1}}/\text{log}(|\Delta \boldsymbol{y}|))^2$



Inclusion of aerosol





- Accuracy with TTLS & joint [SST, WV, TCA] ~0.2 K
- Algorithm sensitivity is also improved *cf.* MTLS



Reprocessing



- Some <u>operational</u> products depend on anomalies w.r.t. a baseline
 - E.g. NOAA Coral Reef Watch
- Geo-Polar SST analysis September 2004 present
 - Captures some major bleaching events
 - Sufficient to retune bleaching thresholds
 - <u>Requires input data to be reprocessed as well</u>

• Datasets

- <u>– NOAA AVHRR (METOP, NOAA)</u>
- GOES-E/W (8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15)
- MTSAT-1R, MTSAT-2, GOES-9
- Meteosat-8/9/10
 - Ancillary NWP
- Should be complete by March 2016

~200 TB !



Product Accuracy



BUOY Distribution 09/2013



Median bias (analysis – buoy) -0.02 K
Robust Standard Deviation 0.29 K *N.B.* Robust Standard Deviation = (75% - 25%)/1.349



Recent update to Geo-SST



- Physical retrieval based on Modified Total Least
 Squares
- Improved bias and scatter *cf.* previous regressionbased SST retrieval

GOES-13





Recent update to Geo-SST



- Physical retrieval based on Modified Total Least
 Squares
- Improved bias and scatter *cf.* previous regressionbased SST retrieval

GOES-15





Product Accuracy: Geo-SST

Meteosat-10





-0.18±0.46 (0.37)



GOES-13



Normalized (0.0-1.0)

-0.29±0.59 (0.41)





MTSAT-2

-0.08±0.69 (0.67)





MSG day (12/2014)

-0.27±0.67 (0.63)



0.13±0.72 (0.52)







Geostationary SST

Polar-Orbiter SST



- Geostationary data in particular provide lots of observations
 - N.B. gap in coverage in Indian Ocean
- Data-driven analysis
 - Need to treat the input data "carefully"



Bias correction



Geostationary (GOES-13)

AVHRR (NOAA-19)



- "saddle point" nature of the bias correction field for Geo-SST data anticipated due to fixed geometry with respect to major atmospheric circulation patterns
- Warm biases evident in AVHRR for the southern hemisphere at least partially due to diurnal warming







- All relevant routines from the research (Wick) DW code have been rewritten in F90 to NOAA/NESDIS coding standards
- New code runs ~ 2.5x faster than old code
- Code includes
 - Wave breaking
 - Stokes drift (impact of waves)
 - Single parameter file to select modes/change behaviour
 - New code enables user to change some parameters without code modifications e.g. scaling for Langmuir/Stokes drift Q2 surface boundary condition (currently set to 1. – makes a big difference to DW)



The effect of data precision





Change of precision has an impact on the result – sometimes quite large

- Change in precision a trivial exercise in new code
- Double precision version runs 28% slower
- Profile parameters are more stable in double precision



Salinity profile- single vs double precision







Summary



• New code

- Cannot get exact agreement with original research code
- Result can change if precision changed in new code
 - Double precision required for stability
- Ability to 'tune' DW in parameter file if run against in situ cases
 - Modifications to parameter file no recoding should be required

Code available from NOAA after made operational

- Current schedule pre-operational Oct 2014
 - Still under testing for NOAA operational systems
- Will include involvement from Gary Wick (NOAA) via collaboration on any new developments



Daily mean warming





- Reasonable fraction with ≥1 K
- Recall that warming doesn't always disappear



Daily maximum warming





• Regions with large warming may build on previous day


History of Inverse Model



- Forward model: Y = KX
- Inverse: $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$ (measurement error)
- Legendre (1805) Least Squares:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{ig} + (\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{K})^{-1}\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{Y}_{\delta} - \mathbf{Y}_{ig})$$

Last 30~40 years

 $\delta \mathbf{X} \leq \operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{K}) \delta E$

- MTLS: $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{ig} + (\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{K} + \lambda \mathbf{R})^{-1}\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{Y}_{\delta} \mathbf{Y}_{ig})$
- OEM: $X = X_a + (K^T S_e^{-1} K + S_a^{-1})^{-1} K^T S_e^{-1} (Y_\delta Y_a)$



- □ Retrieval error of OEM higher than LS □
- More than 75% OEM retrievals are degraded w.r.t. *a priori* error
- DFR of MTLS is high when a priori error is high

The retrieval error of OEM is comparable when *a priori* perfectly known, but DFS of OEM is much lower than for MTLS

JPSS Annual Meeting, 8 – 12 August, 2016