## Effects of ATMS SRF Imbalances at G-Band Channels on Brightness Temperature Simulations

Lin Lin<sup>1,2</sup> and Fuzhong Weng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NOAA Center for Satellite Applications and Research <sup>2</sup>I. M. Systems Group, Inc.

Acknowledgements: Vincent Leslie and William Blackwell (MIT/LL)

**2016 STAR JPSS Annual Science Team Meeting** NCWCP, Maryland, August 8-12, 2016

## **Statement of Problem**

- SRF imbalances were found to be present in J1 ATMS doubleside water vapor sounding channels (G-band)
- An imbalance in the instrument SRF at side bands could affect the data utilization in NWP if the measured imbalances in SRFs are not taken into account in forward radiative transfer models

## Action

- Quantify impacts of such SRF imbalance on brightness temperature simulations
  - Sensitivity study with four scenarios of SRF distributions
  - Comparison of MonoRTM simulations using J1 ATMS measured SRFs with those from using the boxcar SRF

## Atmospheric Transmittance and Weighting Functions of ATMS G-band Channels



ATMS G-band channels 18-22 are located on a strong  $H_2O$  absorption line centered at 183 GHz frequency.

## **J1 ATMS G-Band SRFs**





#### **Calculation of SRF Imbalance for J1 ATMS Channel 18**

### **J1 ATMS SRF Imbalances for G-Band Channels**

	G-Band Channels				
	18	19	20	21	22
STAR	4.537	1.997	2.419	-0.482	0.205
NG	4.949	2.228	2.625	-0.607	0.263

- STAR's imbalance values are close to NG's evaluation
- The SRF imbalances of J1 ATMS channels 18 and 20 are more than 4 dB and 2 dB, respectively. They exceed the specification.

## **Understanding the Impact of J1 ATMS SRF Imbalances on Brightness Temperature Simulations**

### Model Simulation:

- Monochromatic Radiative Transfer Model (MonoRTM)
  - Accurate atmospheric spectroscopy data base
  - Only gaseous absorption
  - Vertical stratification
- Input to MonoRTM
  - ECMWF analysis
- Cloud detection algorithm
  - Cloud liquid water path (LWP) greater than 0.05 kg m<sup>-2</sup>

## MonoRTM Simulated Optical Depths of H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and All Gases



## **Four Scenarios for Removing SRF Imbalances**



### **Sensitivity of TB to SRF Imbalances in Four Experiments**



## O-B Differences with B Simulated by Using Boxcar or J1 ATMS SRF for Channel 18



ATMS swath over ocean in clear-sky conditions at the Suomi NPP ascending no during 1345-1418 UTC 20 July 2016

# **O-B Differences Obtained by Using Boxcar SRF**

mint!

Ď

111

B

10E

0

60N

45N

30N

15N

0

15S -

30S

45S -

Ch20

50W 40W 30W 20W 10W





Β

20E

8

20E



BBoxcar-BJ1 ATMS







#### Scan Angle Dependence of O-B Using Boxcar or J1 ATMS SRF

O-B<sup>Boxcar</sup> (solid), O-B<sup>J1 ATMS</sup> (dashed)

B<sup>Boxcar</sup>-B<sup>J1 ATMS</sup>



#### Latitudinal Dependence of O-B Using Boxcar or J1 ATMS SRF

O-B<sup>Boxcar</sup> (solid), O-B<sup>J1 ATMS</sup> (dashed)

BBoxcar-BJ1 ATMS



### Scene Dependence of O-B Using Boxcar or J1 ATMS SRF

O-B<sup>Boxcar</sup> (solid), O-B<sup>J1 ATMS</sup> (dashed)

BBoxcar-BJ1 ATMS

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Summary and Conclusions**

- The SRF imbalance for J1 ATMS channel 18 and 20 exceed the 2 dB specification for the side-band SRF.
- A sensitivity study showed that the TB can be different by more than 0.1 K when the SRF imbalance varies between 2 dB and 5 dB.
- The impacts of J1 SRF vs. Boxcar on simulations of G-band brightness temperatures were evaluated using MonoRTM. The mean difference is ~ 0.15 K for channels 21 and 22.
- This study suggests a necessity of providing the actual SRFs from all the sidebands carefully measured by the instrument vendor to numerical weather prediction (NWP) users to build an accurate fast RTM for satellite data assimilation in NWP models.