



VIIRS SDR Calibration for Improvement of Ocean Color Products

Junqiang Sun^{1,2} and Menghua Wang¹

¹NOAA/NESDIS Center for Satellite Applications and Research
E/RA3, 5830 University Research Ct., College Park, MD 20740, USA

²Global Science and Technology, 7855 Walker Drive, Maryland, USA

8/10/2016 9:00-9:15 AM

***Star JPSS 2016 Annual
Science Team Meeting***

8-12 August 2016, College Park, Maryland






Key Points


- **Ocean color products are highly sensitive to details in processing algorithms and SDR calibration.**
- VIIRS RSB uncertainty specification is 2%; For ocean color EDR products, the ocean bands (M1-M7) are required to be calibrated with an uncertainty of ~0.1-0.3%.
- We recently discovered the “*Solar diffuser (SD) degradation nonuniformity*”, which result in long-term bias in calibration, especially for short wavelength bands
- A hybrid approach properly combining the SD and lunar calibration coefficients restores the accuracy of the calibration coefficients from the non-uniformity issue and other various effects:
 - Lunar calibration provides long-term baseline
 - SD calibration provides smoothness and frequency
- **Every component must itself be accurately characterized!**
 - SDSM calibration/SD calibration; Lunar calibration; Hybrid approach
- Challenges and potential issues

Solar Illumination and Sweet Spot


Illumination of SD and SDSM Aperture




SDSM response



Solar angles




Band M1 Detector 1 response



Good selections stabilizes results, reduce noise – Different from ATBD

Prelaunch BRFs of the SD and the VFs of SD and SDSM Screens



The author carefully made yaw planning in 2012 with NASA colleagues for on-orbit validation of BRDF and VF.

We have carefully re-derived BRFs and VFs from the yaw measurements (removes seasonal variation artifacts and noises)

J. Sun and X. Xiong, "Solar and lunar observation planning for Earth-observing sensor", Proc. SPIE, 8176, 817610, (2011).

- SD and SDSM sun view screens:
 - Prevent RSB and SDSM saturation
 - Vignetting functions (VFs)
 - VFs measured prelaunch and validated by yaw measurements
- SD bidirectional reflectance factors (BRFs)
 - BRFs measured prelaunch and validated by yaw measurements
 - SD on-orbit degradation is tracked by the SDSM measurements at 8 wavelength from 412 nm to 935 nm

J. Sun and M. Wang, "On-orbit characterization of the VIIRS solar diffuser and solar diffuser screen," Appl. Opt., 54, 236 -252(2015).

SDSM Calibration Algorithm

- SDSM is a ratio radiometer, which views SD, Sun, and an internal dark scene successively in three-scan cycles.
- SD BRF for SDSM view direction

$$BRF_{SD,SDSM}(\lambda) = \rho_{SD,SDSM}(\lambda)H(\lambda)$$

- $\rho_{SD,SDSM}(\lambda)$: Prelaunch BRF for SDSM view direction
 - $H(\lambda)$ is solar diffuser degradation since launch
- SD degradation, H factors, for SDSM view direction at the wavelength of the SDSM detector D

$$H(\lambda_D) = \left\langle \frac{dc_{SD,D}}{\rho_{SD,SDSM}(\lambda_D)\tau_{SDS} \cos(\theta_{SD})} \right\rangle_{Scan} \left/ \left\langle \frac{dc_{SV,D}}{\tau_{SVS}} \right\rangle_{Scan} \right.$$

- **Improvements**
 - *Carefully re-derived the VFs and BRFs from yaw measurements*
 - **Ratio of the averages (different from ATBD!)**
 - *Sweet spots selection*




SDSM operations: Every orbit first few months, then once per day for about two years, and once per two days since May, 2014.


J. Sun and M. Wang, “Visible infrared image radiometer suite solar diffuser calibration and its challenges using solar diffuser stability monitor,” Appl. Opt., 53, 8571-8584 (2014).

SDSM Calibration Results

Sun view response trending





SD view response trending



SD degradation



SD degradation



Unexpected but real degradation (Nov., 2014)

SDSM can accurately track the SD degradation for SDSM direction

SD Calibration Algorithm

- SD is made of Spectralon®, near Lambertian property
- Solar radinace reflected by the SD

$$L_{SD}(\lambda) = I_{Sun}(\lambda) \cdot \tau_{SDS} \cdot \cos(\theta_{SD}) \cdot \rho_{SD,RTA}(\lambda) \cdot h(\lambda) / d_{VS}^2$$

- $\rho_{RSD,RTA}(\lambda)$: Prelaunch BRF for RTA view direction
 - $h(\lambda)$: **SD degradation for SDSM view direction is used as the SD degradation for the RTA direction**
- RSB calibration coefficients, F factors

$$F(B, D, M, G, t) = \frac{RVS_{B,SD} \cdot \int RSR_B(\lambda, t) \cdot L_{SD}(\lambda) \cdot d\lambda}{\sum_i c_i(B, D, M, G) \cdot dn^i \cdot \int RSR_B(\lambda, t) \cdot d\lambda}$$

- B, D, M, G : Band, Detector, HAM side, and gain status




SD Calibration: Every orbit

- **Improvements**
 - *Carefully re-derived the VFs and BRFs from yaw measurements*
 - *Improved H-factors*
 - *Sweet spot selection*
 - *Time-dependent RSR*


J. Sun and M. Wang, "On-orbit calibration of Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite reflective solar bands and its challenges using a solar," Appl. Opt., 54, 7210-7223 (2015).

SD Calibration Results


Band M1 HAM 1 HG F-factors




Band Averaged HAM1 HG F-factors



Time-dependent RSR



Impact of RSR on F-factors



HG = High Gain
LG = Low Gain

SD can accurately track the RSB gain change as long as SD degradation for the RTA view can be approximated as that for the SDSM view.

Lunar Calibration Algorithm

- Moon is very stable in its reflectance
- RSB calibration coefficients, F factors, from lunar observations

$$F(B, M) = \frac{g(B)N_{t,M}}{\sum_{D,S,N} L_{pl}(B, D, S, N)\delta(M, M_N)}$$

- *g(B): View geometric effect correction (ROLO lunar model and extra correction to remove seasonal oscillations)*

SNPP VIIRS is scheduled to view the Moon approximately monthly (about nine months every year)



- *Advantages*
 - *Lunar surface reflectance has no observable degradation*
 - *Can be used for inter-comparison*


J. Sun, X. Xiong, and J. Butler, “NPP VIIRS on-orbit calibration and characterization using the moon”, Proc. SPIE, 8510,85101I, (2012).

X. Xiong, J. Sun, J. Fulbright, Z. Wang, and J. Butler, “Lunar Calibration and Performance for S-NPP VIIRS reflective Solar Bands”, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 54, 1052-1061, (2016).


Lunar Planning and Calibration Results

Roll maneuver


Required roll maneuver



Lunar image (M6 in April, 2012)



Lunar and SD F Factors



- View geometry dependence
- **Planning is important starting point – made lunar planning tool and planned lunar observations in early mission**
- However, the phase angle range change from $[-56^\circ, -55^\circ]$ to $[-50.5^\circ, 51.5^\circ]$
- Size of the moon
- Oversampling effect
- Scans seeing full lunar image

J. Sun and X. Xiong, "Solar and lunar observation planning for Earth-observing sensor", Proc. SPIE, 8176, 817610, (2011).

Hybrid Approach

- SD Calibration
 - SD degrades non-uniformly, resulting long-term drifts
 - Results are stable and smooth
 - Observation in every orbit
- Lunar Calibration
 - No degradation issue
 - Infrequent and no observation in three months every year

- Hybrid Approach

$$\mathcal{F}(B, D, M, G) = R(B, t) \cdot F(B, D, M, G)$$

F-Factors Ratios are fitted to quadratic polynomials of time

$$R(B, t) = \left\langle f(B, M, t) \right\rangle_M / \left\langle F(B, D, M, 0, t) \right\rangle_{D, t-15 < t_i < t+15, M}$$


- **Lunar calibration provides long-term baseline**
- **SD calibration provides smoothness and frequency**

J. Sun and M. Wang, "Radiometric Calibration of the VIIRS Reflective Solar Bands with Robust Characterizations and Hybrid Calibration Coefficients," Appl. Opt., 54, 9331-9342, 2015.


J. Sun and M. Wang, "VIIRS reflective solar bands calibration progress and its impact on ocean color products," Remote Sensing, 8, 194, (2016).

Hybrid Calibration Coefficients


Calibration coefficients Ratios




Calibration Coefficients (M1)






Calibration Coefficients (M4)



Calibration Coefficients



Improvements in SDR






A. Wu et al., “Assessment of SNPP VIIRS VIS/NIR Radiometric Calibration Stability Using Aqua MODIS and Invariant Surface Targets”, *IEEE TGRS*, 54, 2918-2924, 2016.

- Hybrid-method corrected M4 is clearly better
- Uncorrected M1 desert trending shows 1% drift (NASA reprocessed VIIRS SDR with SD-based calibration baseline)
- Hybrid-method corrected M1 SDR removes the 1% drift
- Aqua MODIS Band 8 has long-term drift

Poster: “Radiometric Comparison of the RSBs of the SNPP VIIRS and Aqua MODIS through SNO analysis” by M. Chu, J. Sun and M. Wang.

Improvements in Ocean Color Products

- VIIRS data were reprocessed using **MSL12** with SDR generated with updated hybrid calibration coefficients.
- NOAA ocean color products produced with the hybrid calibration coefficients have met validated maturity in March 2015.
- **Hybrid results agree with MOBY in situ!**




Green: VIIRS IDPS; Red: VIIRS Hybrid; Blue: Moby in Situ

- *J. Sun and M. Wang, "VIIRS Reflective Solar Bands On-Orbit Calibration and Performance: A Three-Year Update," Proc. SPIE, 9264, 92640L (2014).*
- *M. Wang, et al, "Evaluation of VIIRS ocean color products," Proc. SPIE 9261, 92610E (2014).*

Some Other Challenges

- SD degrades abnormally




Unexpected but real degradation (Nov., 2014)

- RVS may change on-orbit
 - Aqua and Terra MODIS RVS have changed more than 20% and 40%, respectively, at small AOI.


- *J. Sun, X. Xiong, A. Angal, H. Chen, A. Wu, and X. Geng, "Time dependent response versus scan angle for MODIS reflective solar bands," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 52, 3159-3174 (2014).*
- *J. Sun and X. Xiong, "MODIS polarization sensitivity analysis", IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 45, 2875-2885 (2007).*

- S-NPP orbit drift



Azimuth angle in instrument coordinate system

- Polarization sensitivity may change on-orbit



- Terra MODIS polarization sensitivity changed dramatically on-orbit



Summary



- RSB calibration is demanding, and especially so for the very stringent ocean color product requirements. Every component must be rigorously examined.
- Robust characterizations of essential calibration components have been completed, achieving accurate reprocessed ocean color EDR and becoming routine for forwarding science quality products
- “*Solar diffuser degradation nonuniformity effect*” will be a key issue for all instruments such as VIIRS J1, VIIRS J2, etc., that use SD/SDSM for reflective solar bands calibration - hybrid-method mitigation will be vital.
- There will be more challenging and non-trivial problems when the instrument begins to age.



Backup



VIIRS RSB Specification



Table 1. Specification for SNPP VIIRS RSBs and SDSM detectors.

VIIRS Band	CW* (nm)	Band Gain	Detectors	Resolution*	SDSD Detector	CW* (nm)
M1	410	DG	16	742m x 776m	D1	412
M2	443	DG	16	742m x 776m	D2	450
M3	486	DG	16	742m x 776m	D3	488
M4	551	DG	16	742m x 776m	D4	555
I1	640	SG	32	371m x 387m	NA	NA
M5	671	DG	16	742m x 776m	D5	672
M6	745	SG	16	742m x 776m	D6	746
M7	862	DG	16	742m x 776m	D7	865
I2	862	SG	32	371m x 387m	D7	865
NA	NA	N	16		D8	935
M8	1238	SG	16	742m x 776m	NA	NA
M9	1378	SG	16	742m x 776m	NA	NA
M10	1610	SG	16	742m x 776m	NA	NA
I3	1610	SG	32	371m x 387m	NA	NA
M11	2250	SG	16	742m x 776m	NA	NA

*CW: Center Wavelength; DG: Dual Gain; SG: Singla Gain; Resolution: Track x Scan at Nadir after aggregation