

No. 53
STATE OF MICHIGAN
JOURNAL
OF THE
House of Representatives
95th Legislature
REGULAR SESSION OF 2010

House Chamber, Lansing, Thursday, May 27, 2010.

12:00 Noon.

The House was called to order by the Speaker Pro Tempore.

The roll was called by the Clerk of the House of Representatives, who announced that a quorum was present.

Agema—present	Durhal—present	Kurtz—present	Polidori—present
Amash—present	Ebli—present	Lahti—present	Proos—present
Angerer—present	Elsenheimer—present	LeBlanc—present	Roberts—present
Ball—present	Espinoza—excused	Leland—present	Rocca—present
Barnett—present	Geiss—present	Lemmons—present	Rogers—present
Bauer—present	Genetski—present	Lindberg—present	Schmidt, R.—present
Bennett—present	Gonzales—present	Lipton—present	Schmidt, W.—present
Bledsoe—present	Green—present	Liss—present	Schuitmaker—present
Bolger—present	Gregory—present	Lori—present	Scott, B.—present
Booher—present	Griffin—present	Lund—present	Scott, P.—present
Brown, L.—present	Haase—present	Marleau—present	Scripps—present
Brown, T.—present	Haines—present	Mayes—present	Segal—present
Byrnes—present	Hammel—present	McDowell—present	Sheltrown—present
Byrum—present	Hansen—present	McMillin—present	Slavens—present
Calley—present	Haugh—present	Meadows—present	Slezak—present
Caul—present	Haveman—present	Meekhof—present	Smith—present
Clemente—present	Hildenbrand—present	Melton—present	Spade—present
Constan—present	Horn—present	Meltzer—present	Stamas—present
Corriveau—present	Huckleberry—present	Miller—present	Stanley—present
Crawford—present	Jackson—present	Moore—present	Switalski—present
Cushingberry—present	Johnson—present	Moss—present	Tlaib—present
Daley—present	Jones, Rick—present	Nathan—present	Tyler—present
Dean—present	Jones, Robert—present	Nerat—present	Valentine—present
Denby—present	Kandrevas—present	Neumann—present	Walsh—present
DeShazor—present	Kennedy—present	Opsommer—present	Warren—present
Dillon—present	Knollenberg—present	Pavlov—present	Womack—present
Donigan—present	Kowall—present	Pearce—present	Young—present

e/d/s = entered during session

Rep. Timothy Bledsoe, from the 1st District, offered the following invocation:

“Almighty God, Giver of all good things:

We thank You for the natural majesty and beauty of this land. They restore us, though we often destroy them.

Thank You for the great resources of this nation.

They make us rich, though we often exploit them.

We thank You for the men and women who have made this country strong. They are models for us, though we often fall short of them.

Thank You for the torch of liberty which has been lit in this land. It sustains our life, though we have been faithless again and again.

Help us O Lord, to finish the good work here begun.

Strengthen our efforts to blot out ignorance and prejudice and to abolish poverty and crime. And hasten the day when all our people, with many voices in one united chorus, will glorify Your Holy Name. *Amen.*”

Rep. Segal moved that Rep. Espinoza be excused from today’s session.

The motion prevailed.

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 6030, entitled

A bill to amend 1954 PA 116, entitled “Michigan election law,” by amending sections 569a, 671, and 792a (MCL 168.569a, 168.671, and 168.792a), section 569a as added by 1985 PA 24, section 671 as amended by 1995 PA 261, and section 792a as amended by 2005 PA 71.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-2) previously recommended by the Committee on Ethics and Elections,

The substitute (H-2) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Lisa Brown moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Segal moved that Rep. Cushingberry be excused temporarily from today’s session.

The motion prevailed.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 6030, entitled

A bill to amend 1954 PA 116, entitled “Michigan election law,” by amending sections 569a, 671, and 792a (MCL 168.569a, 168.671, and 168.792a), section 569a as added by 1985 PA 24, section 671 as amended by 1995 PA 261, and section 792a as amended by 2005 PA 71.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 225

Yeas—77

Angerer
Barnett
Bauer

Ebli
Geiss
Gonzales

Lemmons
Lindberg
Lipton

Schmidt, W.
Schuitmaker
Scott, B.

Bennett	Green	Liss	Scripps
Bledsoe	Gregory	Lori	Segal
Booher	Griffin	Mayes	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Haase	McDowell	Slavens
Brown, T.	Hammel	Meadows	Slezak
Byrnes	Hansen	Melton	Smith
Byrum	Haugh	Miller	Spade
Clemente	Huckleberry	Nathan	Stanley
Constan	Jackson	Nerat	Switalski
Corriveau	Johnson	Neumann	Tlaib
Crawford	Jones, Robert	Pearce	Tyler
Dean	Kandrevas	Polidori	Valentine
Denby	Kennedy	Roberts	Walsh
DeShazor	Lahti	Rocca	Warren
Dillon	LeBlanc	Rogers	Womack
Donigan	Leland	Schmidt, R.	Young
Durhal			

Nays—29

Agema	Genetski	Kowall	Moore
Amash	Haines	Kurtz	Moss
Ball	Haveman	Lund	Opsommer
Bolger	Hildenbrand	Marleau	Pavlov
Calley	Horn	McMillin	Proos
Caul	Jones, Rick	Meekhof	Scott, P.
Daley	Knollenberg	Meltzer	Stamas
Elsenheimer			

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Second Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 5850, entitled

A bill to amend 1998 PA 386, entitled "Estates and protected individuals code," by amending sections 3206 and 3209 (MCL 700.3206 and 700.3209), section 3206 as amended by 2008 PA 41 and section 3209 as added by 2006 PA 299.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Military and Veterans Affairs and Homeland Security,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Miller moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

House Bill No. 5850, entitled

A bill to amend 1998 PA 386, entitled "Estates and protected individuals code," by amending sections 3206 and 3209 (MCL 700.3206 and 700.3209), section 3206 as amended by 2008 PA 41 and section 3209 as added by 2006 PA 299.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 226**Yeas—106**

Agema	Ebli	LeBlanc	Proos
Amash	Elsenheimer	Leland	Roberts
Angerer	Geiss	Lemmons	Rocca
Ball	Genetski	Lindberg	Rogers
Barnett	Gonzales	Lipton	Schmidt, R.
Bauer	Green	Liss	Schmidt, W.
Bennett	Gregory	Lori	Schuitmaker
Bledsoe	Griffin	Lund	Scott, B.
Bolger	Haase	Marleau	Scott, P.
Booher	Haines	Mayes	Scripps
Brown, L.	Hammel	McDowell	Segal
Brown, T.	Hansen	McMillin	Sheltrown
Byrnes	Haugh	Meadows	Slavens
Byrum	Haveman	Meekhof	Slezak
Calley	Hildenbrand	Melton	Smith
Caul	Horn	Meltzer	Spade
Clemente	Huckleberry	Miller	Stamas
Constan	Jackson	Moore	Stanley
Corriveau	Johnson	Moss	Switalski
Crawford	Jones, Rick	Nathan	Tlaib
Daley	Jones, Robert	Nerat	Tyler
Dean	Kandreas	Neumann	Valentine
Denby	Kennedy	Opsommer	Walsh
DeShazor	Knollenberg	Pavlov	Warren
Dillon	Kowall	Pearce	Womack
Donigan	Kurtz	Polidori	Young
Durhal	Lahti		

Nays—0

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Reps. Bauer, Bolger, Booher, Lisa Brown, Byrnes, Byrum, Calley, Caul, Constan, Crawford, Dean, DeShazor, Donigan, Geiss, Green, Gregory, Griffin, Haase, Hammel, Hildenbrand, Horn, Huckleberry, Jackson, Johnson, Robert Jones, Kennedy, Knollenberg, Kurtz, LeBlanc, Leland, Lindberg, Lipton, Liss, Lori, Marleau, Mayes, Meltzer, Moore, Moss, Nathan, Polidori, Proos, Roberts, Rocca, Rogers, Wayne Schmidt, Schuitmaker, Scripps, Segal, Slavens, Slezak, Smith, Spade, Stamas, Switalski, Tlaib, Tyler, Valentine, Walsh and Warren were named co-sponsors of the bill.

Second Reading of Bills**Senate Bill No. 198, entitled**

A bill to amend 1937 PA 94, entitled "Use tax act," by amending section 4o (MCL 205.94o), as amended by 2004 PA 172.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Tax Policy,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.
The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of
Third Reading of Bills

Senate Bill No. 198, entitled

A bill to amend 1937 PA 94, entitled "Use tax act," by amending section 4o (MCL 205.94o), as amended by 2004 PA 172.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 227

Yeas—98

Agema	Geiss	LeBlanc	Roberts
Angerer	Genetski	Leland	Rocca
Ball	Gonzales	Lemmons	Rogers
Barnett	Green	Lindberg	Schmidt, R.
Bauer	Gregory	Lipton	Schmidt, W.
Bolger	Griffin	Liss	Schuitmaker
Booher	Haase	Lori	Scott, B.
Brown, T.	Haines	Lund	Scripps
Byrnes	Hammel	Marleau	Segal
Byrum	Hansen	Mayes	Sheltrown
Calley	Haugh	McDowell	Slavens
Caul	Haveman	Meadows	Slezak
Clemente	Hildenbrand	Meekhof	Smith
Constan	Horn	Melton	Spade
Corriveau	Huckleberry	Meltzer	Stamas
Crawford	Jackson	Moore	Stanley
Daley	Johnson	Moss	Switalski
Dean	Jones, Rick	Nerat	Tlaib
Denby	Jones, Robert	Neumann	Tyler
DeShazor	Kandrevas	Opsommer	Valentine
Dillon	Kennedy	Pavlov	Walsh
Donigan	Knollenberg	Pearce	Warren
Durhal	Kowall	Polidori	Womack
Ebli	Kurtz	Proos	Young
Elsenheimer	Lahti		

Nays—8

Amash	Bledsoe	McMillin	Nathan
Bennett	Brown, L.	Miller	Scott, P.

In The Chair: Byrnes

Pursuant to Joint Rule 20, the full title of the act shall be inserted to read as follows:

"An act to provide for the levy, assessment, and collection of a specific excise tax on the storage, use, or consumption in this state of tangible personal property and certain services; to appropriate the proceeds of that tax; to prescribe penalties; and to make appropriations,"

The House agreed to the full title.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Miller, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Tax exemptions for a targeted industry, in this case the timber industry, are costing the state literally billions of dollars in a time when important funding priorities like education, public safety, and health care are being neglected. This loophole is an admittedly slim expenditure, only about \$1 million, but in times of budgetary crisis sooner or later we must turn off the spicket to the corporate and special interests or we’ll further destabilize the state one sweetheart deal at a time.”

Second Reading of Bills

Senate Bill No. 795, entitled

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled “Michigan vehicle code,” by amending sections 219, 303, 625n, 732a, and 904d (MCL 257.219, 257.303, 257.625n, 257.732a, and 257.904d), section 219 as amended by 2005 PA 317, sections 303, 732a, and 904d as amended by 2008 PA 463, and section 625n as amended by 2008 PA 539, and by adding section 304.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-2) previously recommended by the Committee on Judiciary,

The substitute (H-2) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Corriveau moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 11, line 19, after “**COURT**” by inserting “**PROGRAM**”.

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Corriveau moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 16, following line 9, by inserting:

“Sec. 319. (1) The secretary of state shall immediately suspend a person’s license as provided in this section upon receiving a record of the person’s conviction for a crime described in this section, whether the conviction is under a law of this state, a local ordinance substantially corresponding to a law of this state, a law of another state substantially corresponding to a law of this state, or, **BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010**, a law of the United States substantially corresponding to a law of this state.

- (2) The secretary of state shall suspend the person’s license for 1 year for any of the following crimes:

- (a) Fraudulently altering or forging documents pertaining to motor vehicles in violation of section 257.
- (b) A violation of section 413 of the Michigan penal code, 1931 PA 328, MCL 750.413.
- (c) A violation of section 1 of former 1931 PA 214, MCL 752.191, or section 626c.
- (d) A felony in which a motor vehicle was used. As used in this section, “felony in which a motor vehicle was used” means a felony during the commission of which the person convicted operated a motor vehicle and while operating the vehicle presented real or potential harm to persons or property and 1 or more of the following circumstances existed:

- (i) The vehicle was used as an instrument of the felony.
- (ii) The vehicle was used to transport a victim of the felony.
- (iii) The vehicle was used to flee the scene of the felony.
- (iv) The vehicle was necessary for the commission of the felony.
- (e) A violation of section 602a(2) or (3) of this act or section 479a(2) or (3) of the Michigan penal code, 1931 PA 328, MCL 750.479a.

- (f) ~~A~~ **BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010**, A violation of section 601d.

- (3) The secretary of state shall suspend the person’s license for 90 days for any of the following crimes:

- (a) Failing to stop and disclose identity at the scene of an accident resulting in injury in violation of section 617a.
- (b) A violation of section 601b(2), section 601c(1), section **653A(3)**, **SECTION 626 BEFORE OCTOBER 31, 2010, OR, BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010, SECTION 626(2)**, ~~or section 653a(3)~~.

(c) Malicious destruction resulting from the operation of a vehicle under section 382(1)(b), (c), or (d) of the Michigan penal code, 1931 PA 328, MCL 750.382.

- (d) A violation of section 703(2) of the Michigan liquor control code of 1998, 1998 PA 58, MCL 436.1703.

(4) The secretary of state shall suspend the person’s license for 30 days for malicious destruction resulting from the operation of a vehicle under section 382(1)(a) of the Michigan penal code, 1931 PA 328, MCL 750.382.

(5) For perjury or making a false certification to the secretary of state under any law requiring the registration of a motor vehicle or regulating the operation of a vehicle on a highway, or for conduct prohibited under section 324(1) or a local ordinance substantially corresponding to section 324(1), the secretary shall suspend the person’s license as follows:

- (a) If the person has no prior conviction for an offense described in this subsection within 7 years, for 90 days.

(b) If the person has 1 or more prior convictions for an offense described in this subsection within 7 years, for 1 year.

(6) For a violation of section 414 of the Michigan penal code, 1931 PA 328, MCL 750.414, the secretary of state shall suspend the person's license as follows:

(a) If the person has no prior conviction for that offense within 7 years, for 90 days.

(b) If the person has 1 or more prior convictions for that offense within 7 years, for 1 year.

(7) For a violation of section 624a or 624b of this act or section 703(1) of the Michigan liquor control code of 1998, 1998 PA 58, MCL 436.1703, the secretary of state shall suspend the person's license as follows:

(a) If the person has 1 prior conviction for an offense described in this subsection or section 33b(1) of former 1933 (Ex Sess) PA 8, for 90 days. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license after the first 30 days of suspension.

(b) If the person has 2 or more prior convictions for an offense described in this subsection or section 33b(1) of former 1933 (Ex Sess) PA 8, for 1 year. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license after the first 60 days of suspension.

(8) The secretary of state shall suspend the person's license for a violation of section 625 or 625m as follows:

(a) For 180 days for a violation of section **625(1) OR (8) BEFORE OCTOBER 31, 2010 OR, BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010, SECTION** 625(1)(a) or (b) or (8) if the person has no prior convictions within 7 years. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license during a specified portion of the suspension, except that the secretary of state shall not issue a restricted license during the first 30 days of suspension.

(b) For 90 days for a violation of section 625(3) if the person has no prior convictions within 7 years. However, if the person is convicted of a violation of section 625(3), for operating a vehicle when, due to the consumption of a controlled substance or a combination of alcoholic liquor and a controlled substance, the person's ability to operate the vehicle was visibly impaired, the secretary of state shall suspend the person's license under this subdivision for 180 days. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license during all or a specified portion of the suspension.

(c) For 30 days for a violation of section 625(6) if the person has no prior convictions within 7 years. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license during all or a specified portion of the suspension.

(d) For 90 days for a violation of section 625(6) if the person has 1 or more prior convictions for that offense within 7 years.

(e) For 180 days for a violation of section 625(7) if the person has no prior convictions within 7 years. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license after the first 90 days of suspension.

(f) For 90 days for a violation of section 625m if the person has no prior convictions within 7 years. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license during all or a specified portion of the suspension.

(g) ~~For~~ **BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010, FOR** 1 year for a violation of section 625(1)(c) if the person has no prior convictions within 7 years or not more than 2 convictions within 10 years. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license, except that the secretary of state shall not issue a restricted license during the first 45 days of suspension.

(h) ~~The~~ **BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010, THE** department shall order a person convicted of violating section 625(1)(c) not to operate a motor vehicle under a restricted license issued under subdivision (g) unless the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device approved, certified, and installed as required under sections 625k and 625l. The ignition interlock device may be removed after the interlock device provider provides the department with verification that the person has operated the vehicle with no instances of reaching or exceeding a blood alcohol level of 0.025 grams per 210 liters of breath. **THIS SUBDIVISION DOES NOT PROHIBIT THE REMOVAL OF THE IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE FOR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:**

(i) **A START-UP TEST FAILURE THAT OCCURS WITHIN THE FIRST 2 MONTHS AFTER INSTALLATION OF THE DEVICE. AS USED IN THIS SUBDIVISION, "START-UP TEST FAILURE" MEANS THAT THE IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE HAS PREVENTED THE MOTOR VEHICLE FROM BEING STARTED. MULTIPLE UNSUCCESSFUL ATTEMPTS AT 1 TIME TO START THE VEHICLE SHALL BE TREATED AS 1 START-UP TEST FAILURE ONLY UNDER THIS SUBPARAGRAPH.**

(ii) **A START-UP TEST FAILURE OCCURRING MORE THAN 2 MONTHS AFTER INSTALLATION OF THE DEVICE, IF NOT MORE THAN 15 MINUTES AFTER DETECTING THE START-UP TEST FAILURE THE PERSON DELIVERS A BREATH SAMPLE THAT THE IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE ANALYZES AS HAVING AN ALCOHOL LEVEL OF LESS THAN 0.025 GRAMS PER 210 LITERS OF BREATH.**

(iii) **A RETEST PROMPTED BY THE DEVICE, IF NOT MORE THAN 5 MINUTES AFTER DETECTING THE START-UP TEST FAILURE THE PERSON DELIVERS A BREATH SAMPLE THAT THE IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE ANALYZES AS HAVING AN ALCOHOL LEVEL OF LESS THAN 0.025 GRAMS PER 210 LITERS OF BREATH.**

(i) ~~IF~~ **BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010, IF** an individual violates the conditions of the restricted license issued under subdivision (g) or operates or attempts to operate a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol level of 0.025 grams per 210 liters of breath, the secretary of state shall impose an additional like period of suspension and restriction as prescribed under subdivision (g). This subdivision does not apply to a **REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL LIKE PERIOD OF SUSPENSION AND RESTRICTION FOR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:**

(i) **A start-up test failure within the first 2 months after installation of the ignition interlock device. As used in this subdivision, "start-up test failure" means that term as defined in R 257.313a of the Michigan administrative code. THE IGNITION**

INTERLOCK DEVICE HAS PREVENTED THE MOTOR VEHICLE FROM BEING STARTED. MULTIPLE UNSUCCESSFUL ATTEMPTS AT 1 TIME TO START THE VEHICLE SHALL BE TREATED AS 1 START-UP TEST FAILURE ONLY UNDER THIS SUBPARAGRAPH.

(ii) A START-UP TEST FAILURE OCCURRING MORE THAN 2 MONTHS AFTER INSTALLATION OF THE DEVICE, IF NOT MORE THAN 15 MINUTES AFTER DETECTING THE START-UP TEST FAILURE THE PERSON DELIVERS A BREATH SAMPLE THAT THE IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE ANALYZES AS HAVING AN ALCOHOL LEVEL OF LESS THAN 0.025 GRAMS PER 210 LITERS OF BREATH.

(iii) ANY RETEST PROMPTED BY THE DEVICE, IF NOT MORE THAN 5 MINUTES AFTER DETECTING THE START-UP TEST FAILURE THE PERSON DELIVERS A BREATH SAMPLE THAT THE IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE ANALYZES AS HAVING AN ALCOHOL LEVEL OF LESS THAN 0.025 GRAMS PER 210 LITERS OF BREATH.

(9) For a violation of section 367c of the Michigan penal code, 1931 PA 328, MCL 750.367c, the secretary of state shall suspend the person's license as follows:

(a) If the person has no prior conviction for an offense described in this subsection within 7 years, for 6 months.

(b) If the person has 1 or more convictions for an offense described in this subsection within 7 years, for 1 year.

(10) For a violation of section 315(4), the secretary of state may suspend the person's license for 6 months.

(11) For a violation or attempted violation of section 411a(2) of the Michigan penal code, 1931 PA 328, MCL 750.411a, involving a school, the secretary of state shall suspend the license of a person 14 years of age or over but less than 21 years of age until 3 years after the date of the conviction or juvenile disposition for the violation. The secretary of state may issue the person a restricted license after the first 365 days of suspension.

(12) Except as provided in subsection (14), a suspension under this section shall be imposed notwithstanding a court order unless the court order complies with section 323.

(13) If the secretary of state receives records of more than 1 conviction of a person resulting from the same incident, a suspension shall be imposed only for the violation to which the longest period of suspension applies under this section.

(14) The secretary of state may waive a restriction, suspension, or revocation of a person's license imposed under this act if the person submits proof that a court in another state revoked, suspended, or restricted his or her license for a period equal to or greater than the period of a restriction, suspension, or revocation prescribed under this act for the violation and that the revocation, suspension, or restriction was served for the violation, or may grant a restricted license.

(15) The secretary of state shall not issue a restricted license to a person whose license is suspended under this section unless a restricted license is authorized under this section and the person is otherwise eligible for a license.

(16) The secretary of state shall not issue a restricted license to a person under subsection (8) that would permit the person to operate a commercial motor vehicle.

(17) Except as provided in subsection (16), a restricted license issued under this section shall permit the person to whom it is issued to take any driving skills test required by the secretary of state and to operate a vehicle under 1 or more of the following circumstances:

(a) In the course of the person's employment or occupation.

(b) To and from any combination of the following:

(i) The person's residence.

(ii) The person's work location.

(iii) An alcohol or drug education or treatment program as ordered by the court.

(iv) The court probation department.

(v) A court-ordered community service program.

(vi) An educational institution at which the person is enrolled as a student.

(vii) A place of regularly occurring medical treatment for a serious condition for the person or a member of the person's household or immediate family.

(18) While driving with a restricted license, the person shall carry proof of his or her destination and the hours of any employment, class, or other reason for traveling and shall display that proof upon a peace officer's request.

(19) Subject to subsection (21), as used in subsection (8), "prior conviction" means a conviction for any of the following, whether under a law of this state, a local ordinance substantially corresponding to a law of this state, or a law of another state substantially corresponding to a law of this state:

(a) Except as provided in subsection (20), a violation or attempted violation of any of the following:

(i) Section 625, except a violation of section 625(2), or a violation of any prior enactment of section 625 in which the defendant operated a vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating or alcoholic liquor or a controlled substance, or a combination of intoxicating or alcoholic liquor and a controlled substance, or while visibly impaired, or with an unlawful bodily alcohol content.

(ii) Section 625m.

(iii) Former section 625b.

(b) Negligent homicide, manslaughter, or murder resulting from the operation of a vehicle or an attempt to commit any of those crimes.

(c) ~~A~~ **BEGINNING OCTOBER 31, 2010, A** violation of section 601d or section 626(3) or (4).

(20) Except for purposes of the suspensions described in subsection (8)(c) and (d), only 1 violation or attempted violation of section 625(6), a local ordinance substantially corresponding to section 625(6), or a law of another state substantially corresponding to section 625(6) may be used as a prior conviction.

(21) If 2 or more convictions described in subsection (19) are convictions for violations arising out of the same transaction, only 1 conviction shall be used to determine whether the person has a prior conviction.”.

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

Senate Bill No. 795, entitled

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled “Michigan vehicle code,” by amending sections 219, 303, 625n, 732a, and 904d (MCL 257.219, 257.303, 257.625n, 257.732a, and 257.904d), section 219 as amended by 2005 PA 317, sections 303, 732a, and 904d as amended by 2008 PA 463, and section 625n as amended by 2008 PA 539, and by adding section 304.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 228

Yeas—105

Agema	Elsenheimer	LeBlanc	Proos
Angerer	Geiss	Leland	Roberts
Ball	Genetski	Lemmons	Rocca
Barnett	Gonzales	Lindberg	Rogers
Bauer	Green	Lipton	Schmidt, R.
Bennett	Gregory	Liss	Schmidt, W.
Bledsoe	Griffin	Lori	Schuitmaker
Bolger	Haase	Lund	Scott, B.
Booher	Haines	Marleau	Scott, P.
Brown, L.	Hammel	Mayes	Scripps
Brown, T.	Hansen	McDowell	Segal
Byrnes	Haugh	McMillin	Sheltrown
Byrum	Haveman	Meadows	Slavens
Calley	Hildenbrand	Meekhof	Slezak
Caul	Horn	Melton	Smith
Clemente	Huckleberry	Meltzer	Spade
Constan	Jackson	Miller	Stamas
Corriveau	Johnson	Moore	Stanley
Crawford	Jones, Rick	Moss	Switalski
Daley	Jones, Robert	Nathan	Tlaib
Dean	Kandrevas	Nerat	Tyler
Denby	Kennedy	Neumann	Valentine
DeShazor	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Walsh
Dillon	Kowall	Pavlov	Warren
Donigan	Kurtz	Pearce	Womack
Durhal	Lahti	Polidori	Young
Ebli			

Nays—1

Amash

In The Chair: Byrnes

The question being on agreeing to the title of the bill,
Rep. Segal moved to amend the title to read as follows:

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled "An act to provide for the registration, titling, sale, transfer, and regulation of certain vehicles operated upon the public highways of this state or any other place open to the general public or generally accessible to motor vehicles and distressed vehicles; to provide for the licensing of dealers; to provide for the examination, licensing, and control of operators and chauffeurs; to provide for the giving of proof of financial responsibility and security by owners and operators of vehicles; to provide for the imposition, levy, and collection of specific taxes on vehicles, and the levy and collection of sales and use taxes, license fees, and permit fees; to provide for the regulation and use of streets and highways; to create certain funds; to provide penalties and sanctions for a violation of this act; to provide for civil liability of owners and operators of vehicles and service of process on residents and nonresidents; to regulate the introduction and use of certain evidence; to provide for the levy of certain assessments; to provide for the enforcement of this act; to provide for the creation of and to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state and local agencies; to impose liability upon the state or local agencies; to provide appropriations for certain purposes; to repeal all other acts or parts of acts inconsistent with this act or contrary to this act; and to repeal certain parts of this act on a specific date," by amending sections 219, 303, 319, 625n, 626, 732a, and 904d (MCL 257.219, 257.303, 257.319, 257.625n, 257.626, 257.732a, and 257.904d), section 219 as amended by 2005 PA 317, sections 303, 319, 626, 732a, and 904d as amended by 2008 PA 463, and section 625n as amended by 2008 PA 539, and by adding section 304.

The motion prevailed.

The House agreed to the title as amended.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Second Reading of Bills

Senate Bill No. 1152, entitled

A bill to make appropriations for the department of community health and certain state purposes related to mental health, public health, and medical services for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011; to provide for the expenditure of those appropriations; to create funds; to require and provide for reports; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain local and state agencies and departments; and to provide for disposition of fees and other income received by the various state agencies.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Appropriations,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. McDowell moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 16, line 17, by striking out "180,945,800" and inserting "180,945,900".
2. Amend page 17, line 6, by striking out "1,595,877,800" and inserting "1,595,877,900" and adjusting the subtotals, totals, and section 201 accordingly.

The motion prevailed and the amendments were adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Green moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 3, line 2, by striking out "22,770,500" and inserting "16,930,100".
2. Amend page 3, line 7, by striking out "45,934,300" and inserting "40,093,900".
3. Amend page 3, line 14, by striking out "29,483,700" and inserting "23,643,300" and adjusting the subtotals, totals, and section 201 accordingly.

The motion did not prevail and the amendments were not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Moss moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 33, following line 24, by inserting:
"Sec. 294. (1) The department shall provide a detailed monthly spending report to the senate and house appropriations committees, the senate and house fiscal agencies, and the state budget director if the department was found to have exceeded its spending authority in the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(2) The monthly report shall be provided by the department director no later than the 15th day of each month and shall innumerate all expenditures made in the previous month. This report shall be provided to the senate and house appropriations committees through testimony delivered under oath."

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be placed on its immediate passage.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

Third Reading of Bills

Senate Bill No. 1152, entitled

A bill to make appropriations for the department of community health and certain state purposes related to mental health, public health, and medical services for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011; to provide for the expenditure of those appropriations; to create funds; to require and provide for reports; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain local and state agencies and departments; and to provide for disposition of fees and other income received by the various state agencies.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

Roll Call No. 229

Yeas—64

Angerer	Ebli	LeBlanc	Schmidt, R.
Barnett	Geiss	Leland	Scott, B.
Bauer	Gonzales	Lemmons	Scripps
Bennett	Green	Lindberg	Segal
Bledsoe	Gregory	Lipton	Sheltrown
Brown, L.	Griffin	Liss	Slavens
Brown, T.	Haase	Lori	Slezak
Byrnes	Hammel	Mayes	Smith
Byrum	Haugh	McDowell	Spade
Clemente	Huckleberry	Meadows	Stanley
Constan	Jackson	Melton	Switalski
Corriveau	Johnson	Miller	Tlaib
Dean	Jones, Robert	Nerat	Valentine
Dillon	Kandrevas	Neumann	Warren
Donigan	Kennedy	Polidori	Womack
Durhal	Lahti	Roberts	Young

Nays—42

Agema	Elsenheimer	Lund	Pearce
Amash	Genetski	Marleau	Proos
Ball	Haines	McMillin	Rocca
Bolger	Hansen	Meekhof	Rogers
Booher	Haveman	Meltzer	Schmidt, W.
Calley	Hildenbrand	Moore	Schuitmaker
Caul	Horn	Moss	Scott, P.
Crawford	Jones, Rick	Nathan	Stamas
Daley	Knollenberg	Opsommer	Tyler
Denby	Kowall	Pavlov	Walsh
DeShazor	Kurtz		

In The Chair: Byrnes

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Segal moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of
Messages from the Senate

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 41.

A concurrent resolution to memorialize the President, the Congress, and the Federal Communications Commission of the United States to refrain from regulating Internet broadband services as common carrier services under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

(For text of concurrent resolution, see House Journal No. 50, p. 784.)

(The concurrent resolution was received from the Senate on May 20 and postponed for the day, see House Journal No. 50, p. 784.)

The question being on the adoption of the concurrent resolution,

The concurrent resolution was adopted.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of
Motions and Resolutions

Rep. Clemente offered the following resolution:

House Resolution No. 292.

A resolution to memorialize the United States Congress and the Department of Defense to select the Boeing NewGen Tanker aircraft for the United States Air Force.

Whereas, The Boeing Company has been building and maintaining refueling tankers for the United States Air Force for 60 years, and has more experience building tankers than any other company on earth. The Boeing NewGen Tanker will be designed to meet all Air Force requirements, incorporate innovative 21st century refueling systems, and will combine a modern digital flight deck with state-of-the-art 787 aircraft features; and

Whereas, The Boeing-built tankers are safe and survivable in combat, where it counts the most. During the previous tanker competition, the Air Force concluded the Boeing tanker was significantly more survivable than the rival tanker, and that Boeing's pilot-oriented flight controls provide full combat maneuverability in all situations. The NewGen Tanker boasts a superior airframe backed by the expertise of the Boeing workforce, which is second to none; and

Whereas, Awarding the NewGen Tanker contract to Boeing would create or retain approximately 50,000 skilled jobs in the United States' high-technology aviation industry. Michigan, which already hosts a Boeing presence worth \$551 million in supplier and vendor purchases, would see an estimated boost of \$25 million in economic activity annually and an additional 450 jobs in 1st Tier supplier companies; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives, That we memorialize the United States Congress and the Department of Defense to select the Boeing NewGen Tanker aircraft for the United States Air Force; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the President of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, the members of the Michigan congressional delegation, and the United States Secretary of Defense.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on New Economy and Quality of Life.

Announcement by the Clerk of Printing and Enrollment

The Clerk announced that the following bills and joint resolution had been printed and placed upon the files of the members on Thursday, May 27:

House Bill Nos.	6209	6210	6211	6212	6213
Senate Bill Nos.	1350	1351	1352		
Senate Joint Resolution		Y			

Reports of Standing Committees

The Committee on Great Lakes and Environment, by Rep. Warren, Chair, reported

House Resolution No. 151.

A resolution to memorialize the Congress of the United States to increase funding available for beach water quality monitoring.

(For text of resolution, see House Journal No. 71 of 2009, p. 1486.)

With the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Warren, Nerat, Bledsoe, Ebli, Kennedy, Leland, Scripps, Meekhof, Daley, Haveman, Rick Jones, Pavlov and Wayne Schmidt

Nays: None

The Committee on Great Lakes and Environment, by Rep. Warren, Chair, reported

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 37.

A concurrent resolution to urge inclusion of an addendum to address aquatic invasive species in the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.

(For text of concurrent resolution, see House Journal No. 31, p. 471.)

With the recommendation that the concurrent resolution be adopted.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Warren, Nerat, Bledsoe, Ebli, Kennedy, Leland, Scripps, Meekhof, Daley, Haveman, Rick Jones, Pavlov and Wayne Schmidt

Nays: None

The Committee on Great Lakes and Environment, by Rep. Warren, Chair, reported

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 38.

A concurrent resolution to urge the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence River Basin Water Resources Council to scrutinize carefully the proposed diversion at Waukesha, Wisconsin.

(For text of concurrent resolution, see House Journal No. 31, p. 472.)

With the recommendation that the concurrent resolution be adopted.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Warren, Nerat, Bledsoe, Ebli, Kennedy, Leland, Scripps, Meekhof, Daley, Haveman, Rick Jones, Pavlov and Wayne Schmidt

Nays: None

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Warren, Chair, of the Committee on Great Lakes and Environment, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Thursday, May 27, 2010

Present: Reps. Warren, Nerat, Bledsoe, Ebli, Kennedy, Leland, Scripps, Meekhof, Daley, Haveman, Rick Jones, Pavlov and Wayne Schmidt

Absent: Reps. Meadows and Roberts

Excused: Reps. Meadows and Roberts

The Committee on Transportation, by Rep. Byrnes, Chair, reported

Senate Bill No. 115, entitled

A bill to amend 1993 PA 354, entitled "Railroad code of 1993," (MCL 462.101 to 462.451) by adding section 318.

Without amendment and with the recommendation that the bill pass.

The bill was referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Byrnes, Kandrevas, Geiss, Griffin, Haugh, Leland, Nerat, Roy Schmidt, Young, Opsommer, Bolger, DeShazor, Knollenberg, Wayne Schmidt and Paul Scott

Nays: None

The Committee on Transportation, by Rep. Byrnes, Chair, reported

House Resolution No. 187.

A resolution to express support for active transportation infrastructure options that promote walking and bicycle usage and reduce childhood obesity.

(For text of resolution, see House Journal No. 105 of 2009, p. 2407.)

With the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Byrnes, Kandrevas, Donigan, Geiss, Griffin, Haugh, Leland, Nerat, Roy Schmidt, Young, Knollenberg, Wayne Schmidt and Paul Scott

Nays: None

The Committee on Transportation, by Rep. Byrnes, Chair, reported

House Concurrent Resolution No. 34.

A concurrent resolution to express support for active transportation infrastructure options that promote walking and bicycle usage and reduce childhood obesity.

(For text of concurrent resolution, see House Journal No. 105 of 2009, p. 2409.)

With the recommendation that the concurrent resolution be adopted.

Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Byrnes, Kandrevas, Donigan, Geiss, Griffin, Haugh, Leland, Nerat, Roy Schmidt, Young, Knollenberg, Wayne Schmidt and Paul Scott

Nays: None

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Byrnes, Chair, of the Committee on Transportation, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Thursday, May 27, 2010

Present: Reps. Byrnes, Kandrevas, Donigan, Geiss, Griffin, Haugh, Leland, Nerat, Roy Schmidt, Young, Opsommer, Bolger, DeShazor, Knollenberg, Pearce, Wayne Schmidt and Paul Scott

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Melton, Chair, of the Committee on Education, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Thursday, May 27, 2010

Present: Reps. Melton, Lisa Brown, Bledsoe, Byrum, Corriveau, Geiss, Haase, Kennedy, Lindberg, Nathan, Polidori, Roberts, Sheltroun, Valentine, Pavlov, Amash, Ball, DeShazor, McMillin, Pearce, Paul Scott, Tyler and Walsh

Explanation of “No” Votes

Rep. Opsommer, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of **House Bill No. 4961**, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Legislative colleagues, simply put, this bill is about much more than just the DRIC. Much, much more. This is a vehicle bill that is attempting to use the DRIC as leverage for an unprecedented shift of power and authority from the legislative to the executive branch when it comes to the unilateral ability to be able to toll our citizens.

There is no reason it has to be this way. We should be looking at the DRIC and PPP bills separately. That is how we have done all the other toll bridges here in Michigan. But instead, it has been a conscious decision by MDOT to wrap the DRIC bridge up into broad public-private partnership legislation that would not only allow for the DRIC but would cause the Legislature to give up its authority to statutorily authorize tolling anywhere else in the state. I don't know if this is because the governor wants to use the bill as leverage to keep Canada happy with the DRIC, or if she simply wants to have the unilateral ability to impose tolls on taxpayers with no other checks and balances, but either way it's wrong.

I have a letter from the Attorney General's office that shows that right now, today, MDOT can not toll a road or bridge or other infrastructure without legislative approval. And you know what? That is how it should be, and how we should keep it.

Whether tolling is done directly by MDOT or a private contractor, you want someone who has been elected by the people determining where to use that tool. In fact, in cases where it is being done by a private contractor who is using toll rates not just to break even but also to create profits, I would think you would want to keep voter accountability even more. If toll rates go unfairly through the roof, who are taxpayers supposed to turn too? The Legislature will be powerless at that point; it would actually be players from outside of the state who would have the final word.

Besides taking away the tolling authority we already have, this bill is also too broad in terms of what it defines as a transportation facility. Let me read to you the bill and how they define it:

'A public transportation facility means any NEW, or EXISTING, DOMESTIC, or INTERNATIONAL, highway, lane, road, bridge, tunnel, overpass, ramp, interchange, ferry, airport, vehicle parking facility, vehicle transportation facility, port facility, locks facility, rail facility, intermodal or other public transit facility, or any other equipment, rolling stock, site, or facility used in the transportation of persons, goods, substances, vehicles, information, or matter of any kind, and any building, structure, parking area, appurtenance, or other property necessary or DESIRABLE for the facility'

My colleagues, that is more than a mouthful. It is literally anything that is not permanently nailed down in this state, and even then includes some of those things as well. This is supposed to be a transportation bill? Really? And it includes such things under the definition as a catchall phrase such as 'matter of any kind'? They should define it the other way, what are the things MDOT couldn't define as a public transportation facility? I'm not sure to be honest. And to be clear, under their definition for all these things it includes not just new but also existing. It includes domestic and out of state and international. When it comes to condemnation, it also includes property not just necessary for the project, but also just merely desirable for the facility. That even includes commercial uses like gas stations, restaurants, hotels, convention centers, and other things that would fall under the definition of being merely 'desirable'. So when a taxpayer has his or her private property taken away, and given to another private entity so that they can make a profit off of it, we can expect that the property may be taken away for a Speedway, or a McDonalds, or a Red Roof Inn, or a Kellogg Center type project that could all be part of any various toll bridge or toll road mixed projects. Under the current bill these companies would not even have to pay property taxes.

My colleagues, when such a project gets plunked down in your back yard, if the Senate doesn't stop it, you will have absolutely NO formal vote on that project. You will have to tell your constituents that it is out of your hands. Now, some people may like that. I know where they have passed legislation like this in other states that the consultants actually sell that as a feature, that the legislature gets left of the hook. Well, Michigan legislators are better than that, and there is no way we should be allowing for that here.

Simply put, this takes away too much power from the legislature, power and oversight that it already has, and then also substantially broadens the power of what MDOT can do with that authority at the same time. And the real question is, what does that have to do with the DRIC? Why not run bills like we have for the Blue Water Bridge? The answer is quite simply that we could, and the only reason we aren't is because the DRIC is being used as leverage to try to pass a bill that in its current form of robbing legislative power would have NO chance, a 0% chance, of passing out of this body on its own. No chance at all.

And why are we being pressured into feeling like we have to do this today? Being pressured from sources from outside of Michigan? If it wasn't for the fact that the main potential investor in all of this, the Ontario pension fund OMERS, was recently granted expanded powers by the Canadian government to provide investment management services I am not sure we would even be here. So when tolls are being paid in Michigan, tolls not just to break even mind you but also for profit, Michigan drivers could end up paying toll rates set to ensure that OMERS pensioners makes a high rate of return. We shouldn't be making decisions on tolling Michigan taxpayers based off of the financial needs and a quest by a Canadian pension fund, the whole reason for the supposed \$550 million dollar 'loan' they are offering us in the first place, a loan that will have to be repaid by, you guessed it, Michigan tolling.

And now, there are reports that Ohio is getting involved, and has introduced a resolution supporting the DRIC. Ohio? Ohio is now going to tell us who MDOT should be allowed to toll? Maybe we should also ask Indiana or Wisconsin what they think before we pass this bill as well. Maybe we should also check with Mexico to see where they want the NAFTA superhighway to go? At the end of the day, whose law is this anyway? Well it is ours, or at least it should be. Maybe some of you just contracted out our legislative authority and laws as well.

To conclude, if we pass laws in Michigan that give MDOT unilateral tolling power in our state it should be because that is what the Michigan Legislature feels is right, not because of pressure from other states or countries. And it should also

not allow for 'instrumentalities of government' from other countries and states to be able enter into contracts that could potentially determine the tolling rates and eminent domain location decisions taking place in Michigan. Under this bill, new governmental authorities will be created, authorities that will be defined as an instrumentality of government that in some cases will include people from outside of this state. The bill says that ownership of a project can in vested into these new creations. They will be the ones entering into the contracts. People will be making decisions that don't even live here and may not be citizens of our country, let alone our state. These facilities should not just be publicly owned, but owned by the MICHIGAN public. Texas has put a moratorium on these based on their experience with Mexico for a reason, a moratorium that apparently we have not learned from or listened to. For some reasons we appear to be in a race instead to be like California, which has little oversight and whose history on these projects are replete with problems. Even there, their law is nowhere near as broad as what has just passed out of the House.

I am also concerned that this bill will hamstring our local governments from being able to build roads. In other states, like California, local government has had to first pay a penalty in order to build something simply because others might consider it as competition. Can you imagine, paying someone else for the right to build on your own land? We are being sold that this is a panacea, that there is no risk to Michigan, and yet the bill passed today is nowhere near strong enough in ensuring that local governments won't have to pay penalties like this in order to build in their own backyard or face injunctions to stop expansion or construction that is underway.

This version of the bill is the wrong way to go, and I vote resoundingly NO."

Introduction of Bills

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6214, entitled

A bill to amend 1937 PA 94, entitled "Use tax act," by amending section 4a (MCL 205.94a), as amended by 2004 PA 172.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6215, entitled

A bill to amend 1933 PA 167, entitled "General sales tax act," by amending section 4a (MCL 205.54a), as amended by 2008 PA 415.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6216, entitled

A bill to amend 1937 PA 94, entitled "Use tax act," by amending section 4 (MCL 205.94), as amended by 2008 PA 314.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6217, entitled

A bill to amend 1933 PA 167, entitled "General sales tax act," by amending section 4a (MCL 205.54a), as amended by 2008 PA 415.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6218, entitled

A bill to amend 1937 PA 94, entitled "Use tax act," by amending section 4 (MCL 205.94), as amended by 2008 PA 314.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6219, entitled

A bill to amend 1933 PA 167, entitled "General sales tax act," by amending section 4g (MCL 205.54g), as amended by 2008 PA 438.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6220, entitled

A bill to amend 1937 PA 94, entitled "Use tax act," by amending section 4d (MCL 205.94d), as amended by 2008 PA 439.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6221, entitled

A bill to amend 1933 PA 167, entitled "General sales tax act," by amending section 2 (MCL 205.52), as amended by 2004 PA 173.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Meadows introduced

House Bill No. 6222, entitled

A bill to amend 1905 PA 282, entitled "An act to provide for the assessment of the property, by whomsoever owned, operated or conducted, of railroad companies, union station and depot companies, telegraph companies, telephone companies, sleeping car companies, express companies, car loaning companies, stock car companies, refrigerator car companies, and fast freight companies, and all other companies owning, leasing, running or operating any freight, stock, refrigerator, or any other cars, not being exclusively the property of any railroad company paying taxes upon its rolling stock under the provisions of this act, over or upon the line or lines of any railroad or railroads in this state, and for the levy of taxes thereon by a state board of assessors, and for the collection of such taxes, and to repeal all acts or parts of acts contravening any of the provisions of this act," by amending section 13 (MCL 207.13), as amended by 2001 PA 35.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Reps. Geiss, Tlaib, Johnson, Durhal, Young, Bledsoe, Melton, Leland, Womack, Kandrevas, Gonzales, Miller, Bettie Scott, Gregory, Stanley, Lemmons, Nathan, Haugh and Jackson introduced

House Bill No. 6223, entitled

A bill to amend 1980 PA 87, entitled "The uniform condemnation procedures act," by amending section 5 (MCL 213.55), as amended by 2006 PA 439.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Urban Policy.

Reps. Kandrevas, Rocca, Espinoza, Miller, Geiss, Roy Schmidt, Liss, Womack, Paul Scott, Segal, Byrum, Haase, Polidori, Gonzales, Switalski, Rick Jones, Moore, Melton, Schuitmaker, LeBlanc, Hammel, Robert Jones, Caul, Horn, Proos, Denby, Griffin, Dean, Huckleberry, Warren, Smith, Ebli, Meadows, Neumann, Green, Valentine, Durhal, Agema, Slezak, Hansen, Pavlov, Daley, Crawford, DeShazor, Opsommer, Stamas, Knollenberg, Meltzer, Moss, Marleau, Hildenbrand, Kowall, Johnson, Jackson, Spade, Lund, Walsh, Mayes, Angerer, Byrnes, Haugh, Bolger, Rogers, Stanley, Nathan, Corriveau, Leland, McDowell, Gregory, Constan, Lahti, Pearce, Ball, Clemente, Kennedy, Sheltroun, Slavens and Lisa Brown introduced

House Bill No. 6224, entitled

A bill to amend 1998 PA 58, entitled "Michigan liquor control code of 1998," by amending sections 205 and 307 (MCL 436.1205 and 436.1307), section 205 as amended by 2001 PA 274.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Regulatory Reform.

Reps. Sheltroun, Mayes, Moss, Proos, Lindberg, Lahti, Nerat, Spade, Neumann, Polidori, Schuitmaker, Agema, Meltzer and Kowall introduced

House Bill No. 6225, entitled

A bill to amend 1964 PA 287, entitled "An act to provide for the organization and functions of the state boards of education under the constitutions of 1908 and 1963; to provide for the appointment and functions of the superintendent of public instruction under the constitution of 1963; and to repeal certain acts and parts of acts," (MCL 388.1001 to 388.1017) by adding section 10a.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Education.

Rep. Lund moved that the House adjourn.
The motion prevailed, the time being 2:45 p.m.

The Speaker Pro Tempore declared the House adjourned until Tuesday, June 1, at 1:30 p.m.

RICHARD J. BROWN
Clerk of the House of Representatives